# MATH 7310 Homework 8

James Harbour

April 4, 2022

### Problem 1

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space.

(a): Prove that, for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2)$$

Proof.

$$||x \pm y||^2 = ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 \pm 2\operatorname{Re}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$
$$||x \pm iy||^2 = ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 \pm 2\operatorname{Re}(\langle x, iy \rangle) = ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 \pm 2\operatorname{Im}(\langle x, y \rangle).$$

We compute that

$$\frac{1}{4}(\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2) = \text{Re}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$
$$\frac{1}{4}(\|x+iy\|^2 - \|x-iy\|^2) = \text{Im}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

whence the identity follows by noting  $\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Re}\{\langle x, y \rangle\} + i \operatorname{Im}(\langle x, y \rangle)$ .

(b): If  $\mathcal{H}'$  is another Hilbert space, prove that a linear map from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}'$  is unitary if and only if it is isometric and surjective.

Proof.

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that  $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$  is unitary. Then T is surjective by definition. Moreover, for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|x\| = \langle x, x \rangle = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \|Tx\|$ , whence T is an isometry by linearity.

 $\underline{\longleftarrow}$ : Suppose that  $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$  is isometric and surjective. Then

$$\langle Tx, Ty \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|T(x) + T(y)\|^2 - \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 + i\|T(x) + T(iy)\|^2 - i\|T(x) - T(iy)\|^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (\|T(x+y)\|^2 - \|T(x-y)\|^2 + i\|T(x+iy)\|^2 - i\|T(x-iy)\|^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 + i\|x+iy\|^2 - i\|x-iy\|^2) = \langle x, y \rangle,$$

so T is an isometry. Now suppose that T(x) = 0. Then  $0 = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle$  whence x = 0, so T is also injective and thus unitary.

## Problem 2

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $e_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $e_n(t) = e^{2\pi i n t}$ .

(a): Show that  $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal set in  $L^2([0,1])$ .

*Proof.* Observe that, for  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \neq m$ 

$$\langle e_n, e_m \rangle = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i n t} \overline{e^{2\pi i m t}} \, dt = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i (n-m)t} \, dt = \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i (n-m)} e^{2\pi i (n-m)t} \right]_{t=0}^{t=1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i (n-m)} (e^{2\pi i (n-m)-1}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for  $\in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\langle e_n, e_n \rangle = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i n t} \overline{e^{2\pi i n t}} dt = \int_0^1 1 dt = 1$$

so  $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal set in  $L^2([0,1])$ .

(b): Show that  $\{f \in C([0,1]) : f(1) = f(0)\} = \{g \circ e_1 : g \in C(S^1)\}, \text{ where } S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}.$ 

*Proof.* Noting that  $e_1$  is just the composition of the canonical projection map  $[0,1] \to [0,1]/(0 \sim 1)$  with the homeomorphism  $[0,1]/(0 \sim 1) \cong S^1$  given by the same formula (where well-definedness follows from the quotiented set), the claim follows from the universal property of the quotient topology.

(c): The Stone-Weierstrass theorem says that if (X, d) is a compact metric space and  $A \subseteq C(X)$  is a linear subspace so that:

- $1 \in A$ ,
- $f \in A$  implies  $\overline{f} \in A$ ,
- $f, g \in A$  implies that  $fg \in A$ ,
- If  $x \in X$ , then there are  $f, g \in A$  with  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ ,

then A is dense in C(X) for the uniform norm  $||f||_u = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ . Use the Stone-Weierstrass theorem to show that  $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}^{||\cdot||_u} \{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{f \in C([0,1]) : f(1) = f(0)\}.$ 

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $p_n : S^1 \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $p_n(x) = x^n$  and set  $A = \operatorname{Span}\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq C(S^1)$ . Note that  $\overline{p_n} = p_{-n}, p_n p_m = p_{nm}, 1 = p_0$ , whence by linearity the first three properties above hold for A.

To see that the last property holds for A, take arbitrary  $x \in S^1$ . If  $x^n \neq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $p_1(x) = x \neq x^2 = p_2(x)$ . Suppose that  $x^n = 1$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $x^{-n} = 1$ , so we may assume without loss of generality that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If x = 1, then  $p_1(x) = 1 \neq 0 = 0(x)$  and  $p_1, 0 \in A$ . Otherwise, let  $m \geq 2$  be the smallest such  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^m = 1$ . Then  $p_m(x) = x^m \neq x^{m-1} = p_{m-1}(x)$ , as desired.

Thus, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem,  $\overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|_u} = C(S^1)$ . Now take  $f \in \{f \in C([0,1]) : f(1) = f(0)\}$ . Then by part (b) there exists a  $g \in C(S^1)$  such that  $f = g \circ e_1$ . Now take a sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in A such that  $a_n \to g$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_u$ .

Then  $a_n \circ e_1 \to g \circ e_1$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_u$ . Lastly, noting that  $a_n \circ e_n \in \text{Span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , it follows that  $f \in \overline{\text{Span}}^{\|\cdot\|_u}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

(d): Show that Span $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is dense in  $L^2([0,1])$  and use this to show that  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2([0,1])$ .

*Proof.* Observe that, for any measurable  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{C}$ , by Hölder's inequality applied twice we have

$$||f||_2 \le ||f||_1 \le ||f||_{\infty} ||1||_1 = ||f||_{\infty} m([0,1]) = ||f||_{\infty} \le ||f||_u.$$

Now suppose that  $f \in C([0,1])$  such that f(0) = f(1). Then there exists  $f_n \in \text{Span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  such that  $||f_n - f||_u \to 0$ . Then  $||f_n - f||_u \to 0$ , so  $\overline{\text{Span}}^{||\cdot||_2}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{f \in C([0,1]) : f(1) = f(0)\}$  which equals C([0,1]) modulo almost-everywhere equality. Moreover, the closure of C([0,1]) in the  $L^2$ -norm contains equivalence classes of the indicator functions of intervals via the hill approximation, so it it in fact is all of  $L^2$ . Thus by transitivity of topological density,  $\text{Span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is dense in  $L^2([0,1])$ .

As  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is orthonormal and the  $L^2$ -norm-closure of its span is in fact all of  $L^2([0,1])$ , it follows that  $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2([0,1])$ .

#### Problem 3

(a): Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces such that  $L^2(\mu)$  and  $L^2(\nu)$  are separable. If  $\{f_m\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  are orthonormal bases for  $L^2(\mu)$  and  $L^2(\nu)$  and  $h_{mn}(x,y) = f_m(x)g_n(y)$ , then  $\{h_{mn}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu \otimes \nu)$ .

(b): For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ , define  $e_n \in L^2([0, 1]^k)$  by

$$e_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^k e^{2\pi i n_j x}.$$

Show that  $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^k}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2([0,1]^k)$ .

# Problem 4

(a): Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $\mathcal{F}$  a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Sigma$ , and  $\nu = \mu|_{\mathcal{F}}$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , prove that there exists  $g \in L^1(\nu)$  (thus g is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable) such that  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\nu$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ ; also prove that if g' is another such function then g = g'  $\nu$ -a.e.

*Proof.* Define a new measure  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(E) = \int_E f \, d\mu$  for all  $E \in \Sigma$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu|_{\mathcal{F}}(E) = \nu(E) = 0$ , then  $\lambda(E) = \int_E f \, d\mu = 0$ , so  $\lambda|_{\mathcal{F}} \ll \nu$ . Let  $g = \frac{d\lambda|_{\mathcal{F}}}{d\nu} \in L^1(\nu)$ , so  $d\lambda|_{\mathcal{F}} = g \, d\nu$ . Then, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\int_{E} g \, d\nu = \lambda(E) = \int_{E} f \, d\mu \,.$$

Now suppose that g' is another such function.

(b): Show that  $\int gh \, d\nu = \int fh \, d\mu$  for all  $h \in L^1(\nu)$ .

*Proof.* We have the following relation

$$f d\mu = d\lambda_f = g d\nu \,,$$

so by homework 5 problem 1 part (a),

$$\int f h \, d\mu = \int h \, d\lambda_f = \int g h \, d\nu \, .$$

#### Problem 5

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space. For a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma$ , and  $f \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , let  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)$  be the conditional expectation of f onto  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(a): Show that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(fg) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)g$  for all  $g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ .

*Proof.* Noting that, for  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , as  $\mu(X) < +\infty$  and  $g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu|_{\mathcal{F}})$ , we have that  $g \cdot \mathbb{1}_E \in L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu|_{\mathcal{F}})$  whence by problem 4 part (b)

$$\int_{E} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f) g \, d\mu|_{\mathcal{F}} = \int \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)(g \mathbb{1}_{E}) \, d\mu|_{\mathcal{F}} = \int f g \mathbb{1}_{E} \, d\mu = \int_{E} f g \, d\mu.$$

Thus, by the uniqueness of conditional expectation in problem 4,  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(fg) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)g$ .

(b): If  $f \in L^2(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , show that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)$  is the orthogonal projection of f onto  $L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  in the decomposition

$$L^{2}(X, \Sigma, \mu) = L^{2}(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) + L^{2}(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)^{\perp}.$$

Note: one difficulty you'll a priori face is that we do not yet know that  $f \in L^2$  implies that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}}(f) \in L^2$ . However, one can note that you can characterize the orthogonal projection g of f onto  $L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  by  $\langle f, h \rangle = \langle g, h \rangle$  for all  $h \in L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  (you should prove this if you use it), and this can be used to show that this projection is the conditional expectation.

## Problem 6

Show that if  $\nu$  is a signed measure, then E is  $\nu$ -null if and only if  $|\nu|(E)=0$ . Also, prove that if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are signed measures, then  $\nu \perp \mu$  if and only if  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$  and  $\nu^- \perp \mu$ .

Proof.

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that E is  $\nu$ -null. Let (P,N) be a Hahn decomposition for  $\nu$  and consider positive measures  $\nu^+$ ,  $\nu^-$  such that  $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$ . By uniqueness of these positive measures,  $\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap P)$  and  $\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap N)$ . Nullity of E for  $\nu$  then implies that  $\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap P) = 0$  and  $\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap N) = 0$ . Thus  $|\nu|(E) = \nu^+(E) + \nu^-(E) = 0$ .

 $\underline{\Leftarrow}$ : Suppose that  $|\nu|(E)=0$ . Let  $F\subseteq E$  such that  $F\in \Sigma$ . As  $|\nu|$  is a positive measure on  $\Sigma$ ,  $|\nu|(F)=0$ , whence  $\nu^+(F)=0=\nu^-(F)$ . It follows that  $\nu(F)=\nu^+(F)-\nu^-(F)=0$ , so E is  $\nu$ -null.

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that  $\nu \perp \mu$ . So there exist  $E, F \in \Sigma$  such that E is  $\mu$ -null and F is  $\nu$ -null,  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , and  $E \cup F = X$ . Then by the previously proven equivalence,  $|\nu|(F) = 0$  whence  $\nu^+(F) = 0 = \nu^-(F)$ . As  $\nu^+$ ,  $\nu^-$  are positive measures, this implies that F is  $\nu^+$ -null and  $\nu^-$ -null, so the initial decomposition of X giving singularity of  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  also gives  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$  and  $\nu^- \perp \mu$ .

 $\underline{\longleftarrow}$ : Suppose that  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$  and  $\nu^- \perp \mu$ . Then there exist  $E^+, F^+, E^-, F^- \in \Sigma$  such that  $E^\pm \cap F^\pm = \emptyset$ ,  $E^\pm \cup F^\pm = X$ ,  $E^\pm$  is  $\mu$ -null, and  $F^\pm$  is  $\nu^\pm$ -null. Consider the sets  $A = E^+ \cup E^-$  and  $B = F^+ \cap F^-$ . Note that A is a union of  $\mu$ -null sets and is thus  $\mu$ -null, whilst  $\nu^+(B) = 0 = \nu^-(B)$  implies that  $|\nu|(B) = 0$ , so B is  $\nu$ -null. A and B are clearly disjoint and

$$X \setminus A = X \setminus (E^+ \cup E^-) = (X \setminus E^+) \cap (X \setminus E^-) = F^+ \cap F^- = B \implies A \cup B = X.$$