

# MATH 7310 Homework 2

James Harbour

February 5, 2022

## Problem 1

Let  $\mu$  be a finitely additive measure.

(a) Prove that  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it is continuous from below as in Theorem 1.8c.

*Proof.* Theorem 1.8c shows the forward direction so it suffices to show the reverse direction. Suppose that  $\mu$  is continuous from below. Let  $(E_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of disjoint elements in the sigma algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  corresponding to  $\mu$ . Define a new sequence  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $F_n = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j$ . Then  $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$ . As  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have that

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(E_j),$$

so  $\mu$  is a measure. □

(b) If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , prove that  $\mu$  is a measure if and only if it is continuous from above as in Theorem 1.8d.

*Proof.* Theorem 1.8d shows the forward direction so it suffices to show the reverse direction. Suppose that  $\mu$  is continuous from above. Let  $(E_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of disjoint elements in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Define a new sequence  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $F_n = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j$ . Observe that  $F_1^c \supset F_2^c \supset F_3^c \supset \dots$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(F_1^c) = \mu(X) - \mu(F_1) < +\infty$ . Hence, by continuity from above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^\infty E_j\right) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n\right) = \mu\left(X \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_n^c\right) = \mu(X) - \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_n^c\right) = \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n^c) \\ &= \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j\right) = \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mu(X) - \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_j\right)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(E_j), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\mu$  is a measure. □

## Problem 2

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space.

(a) If  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(E \Delta F) = 0$ , then  $\mu(E) = \mu(F)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$0 = \mu(E \Delta F) = \mu((E \setminus F) \sqcup (F \setminus E)) = \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(F \setminus E).$$

As  $\mu(E \setminus F), \mu(F \setminus E) \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\mu(E \setminus F), \mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ . Then as  $E = (E \setminus F) \sqcup (E \cap F)$  and  $F = (F \setminus E) \sqcup (F \cap E)$ ,  $\mu(E) = \mu(F)$ .  $\square$

(b) Say that  $E \sim F$  if  $\mu(E \Delta F) = 0$ ; show that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.*

(Reflexivity): Note that  $E \Delta E = E \setminus E = \emptyset \implies \mu(E \Delta E) = 0$ , so  $E \sim E$ .

(Symmetry): Note that  $E \Delta F = (E \setminus F) \sqcup (F \setminus E) = F \Delta E$ , so  $E \sim F \implies F \sim E$ .

(Transitivity): Suppose that  $E \sim F$  and  $F \sim G$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E \setminus G &= ((E \setminus F) \sqcup (E \cap F)) \setminus G = ((E \setminus F) \setminus G) \cup ((E \cap F) \setminus G) \subseteq (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus G) \\ G \setminus E &= ((G \setminus F) \sqcup (G \cap F)) \setminus E = ((G \setminus F) \setminus E) \cup ((G \cap F) \setminus E) \subseteq (G \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E) \end{aligned}$$

so by monotonicity and subadditivity,

$$\mu(E \Delta G) \leq \mu((E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus G)) + \mu((G \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)) \leq \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(F \setminus E) + \mu(F \setminus G) + \mu(G \setminus F) = \mu(E \Delta F) + \mu(F \Delta G) = 0$$

hence  $E \sim G$ .  $\square$

(c) For  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$ , define  $\rho(E, F) = \mu(E \Delta F)$ . Then  $\rho(E, G) \leq \rho(E, F) + \rho(F, G)$ , and hence  $\rho$  defines a metric on the space  $\mathcal{M}/\sim$ .

*Proof.* Note that the inequality used in the proof of transitivity above held regardless of the assumptions that the symmetric differences were zero, whence

$$\rho(E, G) = \mu(E \Delta G) \leq \mu(E \Delta F) + \mu(F \Delta G) = \rho(E, F) + \rho(F, G).$$

$\square$

## Problem 3

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of finite unions of sets of the form  $(a, b] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  where  $-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq +\infty$ .

(i) Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (Use Proposition 1.7.)

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collection of sets of the form  $(a, b] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  with  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$ . By Proposition 1.7, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is an elementary family.

Note that for an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a, a] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$ , so  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Suppose  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

(ii) Show that the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ , by minimality  $\Sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Now take  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Observe that  $(q - \frac{1}{n}, q] \cap \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence  $\{q\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (q - \frac{1}{n}, q] \cap \mathbb{Q} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence,  $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$  contains all finite and countable subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so countability of  $\mathbb{Q}$  implies that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\square$

(ii) Define  $\mu_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu_0(A) = \infty$  for  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Prove that  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and that there is more than one measure on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu_0$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

## Problem 5

A *metric measure space* is a triple  $(X, d, \mu)$  where  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $\mu: \mathcal{B}_{(X,d)} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a measure. We say that  $E \subseteq X$  is a *continuity set*, if  $\mu(\overline{E} \setminus \text{Int}(E)) = 0$ . For this problem, fix a metric measure space  $(X, d, \mu)$ .

- (i) Show that the collection of continuity sets forms an algebra of sets.
- (ii) Show that if  $x \in X$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\mu(B_r(x, d)) < +\infty$ , then there is an  $s \in (0, r)$  so that  $B_s(x, d)$  is a continuity set.
- (iii)

## Problem 6

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\mu, \nu$  be finite Borel measures on  $X$  with  $\mu(X) = \nu(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{E \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,d)} : \mu(E) = \nu(E)\}$ .

- (i) Show that if  $F \subseteq E$  and  $F, E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $E \setminus F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also show that if  $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* As  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(E) = \nu(E)$  and  $\mu(F) = \nu(F)$ . Then

$$\mu(E \setminus F) = \mu(E) - \mu(F) = \nu(E) - \nu(F) = \nu(E \setminus F)$$

so  $E \setminus F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now suppose that  $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By continuity from above,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_n) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n\right),$$

so  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . □

- (ii) Given a nonempty  $F \subseteq X$  closed and  $x \in X$ , define  $d(x, F) = \inf_{y \in F} d(x, y)$ . Show that  $x \mapsto d(x, F)$  is continuous and  $F = \{x \in X : d(x, F) = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x, y \in X$ . For  $z \in F$ ,

$$d(x, F) \leq d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \implies d(x, F) - d(x, y) \leq d(y, z).$$

As this holds for arbitrary  $z \in F$ , it follows that  $d(x, F) - d(x, y) \leq d(y, F)$ , so  $d(x, F) - d(y, F) \leq d(x, y)$ . By symmetry,  $d(y, F) - d(x, F) \leq d(x, y)$ , so  $|d(x, F) - d(y, F)| \leq d(x, y)$ . Thus, the function  $x \mapsto d(x, F)$  is 1-Lipschitz whence it is continuous.

Clearly  $F \subseteq \{x \in X : d(x, F) = 0\}$ , so it suffices to show the reverse containment. Suppose that  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x, F) = 0$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an  $f_n \in F$  such that  $0 \leq d(x, f_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ . It follows that  $d(x, f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , so  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$ . Thus  $x$  is a limit point of  $F$ , so  $F$  being closed implies that  $x \in F$ . □

- (iii) Show that  $\{U \subseteq X : U \text{ is open}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\{F \subseteq X : F \text{ is closed}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.*

$\implies$ : Suppose that  $\{U \subseteq X : U \text{ is open}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Take  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $F$  is closed.

$\impliedby$ :

□