# Assignment 1

# 1 Generative and Discriminative classifiers: Gaussian Bayes and Logistic Regression

Recall that a generative classifier estimates  $P(\mathbf{x}, y) = P(y)P(\mathbf{x}|y)$ , while a discriminative classifier directly estimates  $P(y|\mathbf{x})$ .

## 1.1 Specific Gaussian naive Bayes classifiers and logistic regression

Consider a specific class of Gaussian naive Bayes classifiers where:

- y is a boolean variable following a Bernoulli distribution, with parameter  $\pi = P(y=1)$  and thus  $P(Y=0) = 1 \pi$ .
- $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_D]^T$ , with each feature  $x_i$  a continuous random variable. For each  $x_i$ ,  $P(x_i|y=k)$  is a Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{ik}, \sigma_i)$ . Note that  $\sigma_i$  is the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution, which does not depend on k.
- For all  $i \neq j$ ,  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are conditionally independent given y (so called "naive" classifier).

**Question**: please show that the relationship between a discriminative classifier (say logistic regression) and the above specific class of Gaussian naive Bayes classifiers is precisely the form used by logistic regression.

#### 1.2 General Gaussian naive Bayes classifiers and logistic regression

Removing the assumption that the standard deviation  $\sigma_i$  of  $P(x_i|y=k)$  does not depend on k. That is , for each  $x_i$ ,  $P(x_i|y=k)$  is a Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{ik},\sigma_{ik})$ , where  $i=1,\ldots,D$  and k=0,1.

**Question**: is the new form of  $P(y|\mathbf{x})$  implied by this more general Gaussian naive Bayes classifier still the form used by logistic regression? Derive the new form of  $P(y|\mathbf{x})$  to prove your answer.

### 1.3 Gaussian Bayes classifiers and logistic regression

Now, consider the following assumptions for our Gaussian Bayes classifiers (without "naive"):

- y is a boolean variable following a Bernoulli distribution, with parameter  $\pi = P(y=1)$  and thus  $P(Y=0) = 1 \pi$ .
- $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2]^T$ , i.e., we only consider two features for each sample, with each feature a continuous random variable.  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are **not** conditional independent given y. We assume  $P(x_1, x_2|y=k)$  is a bivariate Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{1k}, \mu_{2k}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \rho)$ , where  $\mu_{1k}$  and  $\mu_{2k}$  are means of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are standard deviations of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , and  $x_2$  is the correlation between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The density of the bivariate Gaussian distribution is:

$$P(x_1, x_2 | y = k) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma_2^2(x_1 - \mu_{1k})^2 + \sigma_1^2(x_2 - \mu_{2k})^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2(x_1 - \mu_{1k})(x_2 - \mu_{2k})}{2(1-\rho^2)\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2}\right].$$

**Question**: is the form of  $P(y|\mathbf{x})$  implied by such not-so-naive Gaussian Bayes classifiers still the form used by logistic regression? Derive the form of  $P(y|\mathbf{x})$  to prove your answer.