

## PART A.

2. a.

ii) trendy wood chair old discount blue jeans navy sweater

A	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
B	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	0
C	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1

iii) trendy wood chair old discount blue jeans navy sweater

A	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
B	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
C	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1

iii) trendy wood chair old discount blue jeans navy sweater

IDF	2	2.5	2.5	2	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5
TF-A	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TF-B	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	0
TF-C	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1

b.  $Q = \text{"old jeans"}$

let  $ED(A, B)$  be Euclidean distance between A and B.

Then  $ED(B, Q) = 2$  and  $ED(C, Q) \approx 2.236$ .

Since  $ED(B, Q)$  is smaller, I recommend product B.



✓ c. Q = "discount chair"

Let  $CS(A, B)$  be Cosine Similarity between A and B.

Then  $CS(B, Q) \approx 0.2683$  and  $CS(C, Q) \approx 0.3262$

~~Since  $CS(B, Q)$  is smaller, I recommend~~

→ Accounts for different document length

- ✓ d. ① Euclidean Distance is sensitive to magnitude of sentences  
② Euclidean Distance performs poorly when high-dimensional space. → Use dot product, which handles high-dimensional data better.

3.

a. i) Accuracy =  $\frac{2+9}{2+2+2+9} = \frac{11}{15}$

ii) Precision =  $\frac{2}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$

iii) Recall =  $\frac{2}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$

iv) F1 =  $2 \cdot \frac{P \cdot R}{P + R} = 2 \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1} = \frac{1}{2}$

b.

		predicted	
		Threat	No threat
Actual	Threat	2	2 <sup>FN</sup>
	No Threat	2 <sup>FP</sup>	9

$$\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

→ Recall. The model should be more accepting of FP since cost of FN is extremely high.



c. ~~Accuracy. Majority of classes are either True threat or True No threat. Accuracy captures how many cases are classified correctly.~~

d. ~~0.5 or  $\frac{7}{15}$ . If it is a complete random guess, then the accuracy is 0.5, and in our case half of it can be rounded down to 7.~~

PART A.

$\frac{7}{15}$ . Can be achieved by predicting everything as No threat.

1c. "This looks bad"

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ii) prior : } P(Y = \text{TB}) &= \frac{1}{2} \\ P(Y = \text{NTB}) &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

iii) Evidence.

$$\begin{aligned}P(X) &= P(X|Y=\text{TB}) \cdot P(Y=\text{TB}) + P(X|Y=\text{NTB}) \cdot P(Y=\text{NTB}) \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{9}\end{aligned}$$

iii) Likelihood.

$$\begin{aligned}P(X|Y=\text{TB}) &= P(X=\text{"looks"}|Y=\text{TB}) \cdot P(X=\text{"bad"}|Y=\text{TB}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{9}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P(X|Y=\text{NTB}) &= P(X=\text{"looks"}|Y=\text{NTB}) \cdot P(X=\text{"bad"}|Y=\text{NTB}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{9}.\end{aligned}$$



iv) posterior.

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y = ZB | X) &= \frac{p(X | Y = ZB) \cdot p(Y = ZB)}{p(X)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{9}} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y = NZB | X) &= \frac{p(X | Y = NZB) \cdot p(Y = NZB)}{p(X)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{9}} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$