

N-Gram Language Models

Pretend I have a corpus of sentences:

- I eat lunch today.
- They like to eat.
- I like lunch.
- Lunch was great today.

We want to build some sort of model to predict, given some test words, the most likely words to appear. For instance

I like _____ } our test sentence

Based only on our corpus, we see that the word **like** is followed by the word **lunch** 50% of the time, and **to** 50% of the time. In fact, we can construct a transition

matrix:

	I	eat	lunch	today	to	they	was	great	like
I	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
eat	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
lunch	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0
today	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
to	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
they	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
was	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
great	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
like	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0	0

This transition matrix will help us determine the likelihood of certain bigrams. For instance, like to:

$$p(x_i = \text{to} | x_{i-1} = \text{like}) = 0.5$$

However, our transition matrix isn't yet correct. Certain rows, like today, do not add up to 1. This is because today is always the end of a sentence, so no words appear after it. We need to account for this here:

START ↗ I eat lunch today END

START ↗ They like to eat. END

START ↗ I like lunch. END

START ↗ Lunch was great today END

we add these as placeholders
so we can model the start/end of a sentence

We add a "START" and "END" placeholder and treat it like any other word.

Our new transition matrix will look like this:

	START	I	eat	lunch	today	to	they	was	great	like	END
START	0	0.5	0	0.25	0	0	0.25	0	0	0	0
I	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0
eat	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
lunch	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.3
today	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
to	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
they	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
was	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
great	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
like	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0
END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Now let's use this to measure the probability of a sentence: I like to eat.

$$P(x_0=\text{START}, x_1=I, x_2=\text{like}, x_3=\text{to}, x_4=\text{eat}, x_5=\text{END}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} P(x_i | x_{i-1})$$

the likelihood of a word x_i following a word x_{i-1}

$$= p(x_1=I \mid x_0=\text{START}) \times$$

$$P(X_2 = \text{like} | X_1 = I) \quad x$$

$$p(X_3=t_0 | X_2=h_{k\epsilon}) \propto$$

$$P(X_4 = \text{eat} | X_3 = \text{to}) \times$$

$$P(X_5 = \text{END} | X_4 = \text{eat})$$

$$= 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.5$$

= 0.0625 (this is the probability of this sentence!)

Let's take a gibberish sentence, like

START Lunch they I today END

$$P(x_1 = \text{lunch} | x_0 = \text{START}) \rightarrow 0.25$$

$$P(x_2 = \text{they} | x_1 = \text{lunch}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$P(x_3 = \text{I} | x_2 = \text{they}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$P(x_4 = \text{today} | x_3 = \text{I}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$P(x_5 = \text{END} | x_4 = \text{today}) \rightarrow 1$$

$$= 0.25 \times 0 \times 0 \times 0 \times 1$$

$$= 0$$

This sentence, according to our model, is essentially impossible.

Perplexity:

We have our sentence probability, but not all sentences will be the same length. We can't compare the probability of a sentence of length 9 words with one that is of length 12.

Therefore, we'll use perplexity as our evaluation metric:

$$\text{Perplexity} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[N]{p(\text{sentence})}}$$

N = # of words in sentence

$p(\text{sentence})$ = probability of the sentence.

Going back to our test sentence:

I like to eat.

We calculated $p(\text{sentence}) = 0.0625$.

Therefore our perplexity is

$$\text{Perplexity} = \sqrt[4]{0.0625}$$

$$= 2.$$

Minimizing the perplexity is equivalent to maximizing the probability of a sentence. Thus, the lower the perplexity, the more likely a sentence is to be found in natural language.