

Homework #1

CSE 546: Machine Learning

Ray Chen

Collaborator: Kevin Shao

October 20, 2022

B1:

- **Part a:** Proof

For Train error:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\text{train}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{train}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}})] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N_{\text{train}}} \sum_{(x,y) \in S_{\text{train}}} (f(x) - y)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{\text{train}}} \sum_{(x,y) \in S_{\text{train}}} \mathbb{E}(f(x) - y)^2 \\
&= N_{\text{train}} \frac{1}{N_{\text{train}}} \sum_{(x,y) \sim D} \mathbb{E}(f(x) - y)^2 \\
&= \epsilon(f)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for test error

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\text{test}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{test}}(\hat{f}_{\text{test}})] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N_{\text{test}}} \sum_{(x,y) \in S_{\text{test}}} (\hat{f}(x) - y)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{\text{test}}} \sum_{(x,y) \in S_{\text{test}}} \mathbb{E}(\hat{f}(x) - y)^2 \\
&= N_{\text{test}} \frac{1}{N_{\text{test}}} \sum_{(x,y) \sim D} \mathbb{E}(\hat{f}(x) - y)^2 \\
&= \epsilon(\hat{f})
\end{aligned}$$

- **Part b:** Brief Explanation (3-5 sentences)

No, it will not be true with regard to the training loss. Also, $\mathbb{E}_{\text{train}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{train}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}})] \neq \epsilon(\hat{f})$. Because x_i and y_i are not independent to the \hat{f} .

- **Part c:** Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\text{train}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{train}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}})] &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{\text{train}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{train}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}})] \mathbb{P}_{\text{train}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}} = f) \\
&= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{\text{test}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{test}}(f)] \mathbb{P}_{\text{train}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}} = f) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\text{train, test}}[\hat{\epsilon}_{\text{test}}(\hat{f}_{\text{train}})]
\end{aligned}$$

B2:

- **Part a:** 1-2 sentences Intuitively, for a small m , it should have low bias and high variance; for a large m , it should have high bias and low variance. According to formula of bias-variance decomposition at x_i :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{f}_m(x_i) - f(x_i))^2 \right] = \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_m(x_i)] - f(x_i))^2}_{\text{Bias}^2(x_i)} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{f}_m(x_i) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_m(x_i)])^2 \right]}_{\text{Variance}(x_i)}$$

- **Part b:** Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_m(x_i)] - f(x_i))^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} c_j \mathbf{1} \right] - f(x_i) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} y_k \right) \mathbf{1} \right] - f(x_i) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} \mathbb{E}[f(x_k) + \epsilon_k] \right) \mathbf{1} - f(x_i) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \bar{f}^{(j)} \mathbf{1} - f(x_i) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} (\bar{f}^{(j)} - f(x_i))^2 \end{aligned}$$

• **Part c:** Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\hat{f}_m(x_i) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_m(x_i)]\right)^2\right] &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} c_j \mathbf{1}\{x_i \in ((j-1)m, jm]\} - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} c_j \mathbf{1}\{x_i \in ((j-1)m, jm]\}\right]\right)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} (c_j - \mathbb{E}[c_j]) \mathbf{1}\{x_i \in ((j-1)m, jm]\}\right)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} (c_j - \mathbb{E}[c_j])^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} m \mathbb{E}\left[(c_j - \bar{f}^{(j)})^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} m \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} y_i - f(x_i)\right)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} m \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} \frac{\epsilon_i}{m}\right)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} \sigma^2 \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

- **Part d:** Derivation of minimal error with respect to m . 1-2 sentences about scaling of m with parameters.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} (\bar{f}^{(j)} - f(x_i))^2 &\leq \frac{L^2}{n^2} (\operatorname{argmin}(x_i) - i)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n/m} \sum_{i=(j-1)m+1}^{jm} \left(\frac{L}{n}m\right)^2 \\
&= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L^2 m^2}{n^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Total error is $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L^2 m^2}{n^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m}\right)$. If we want to minimize with respect to m , we can set its derivative to zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dm} \left(\frac{L^2 m^2}{n^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m} \right) &= 0 \\
\frac{2L^2 m}{n^2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= \left(\frac{\sigma^2 n^2}{2L^2} \right)^{1/3} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{\sigma n}{L} \right)^{2/3} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{n}{L} \right)^{2/3} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Plug m back in:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{L^2 \left(\frac{n^2 \sigma^2}{2L^2} \right)^{2/3}}{n^2} + \sigma^2 \left(\frac{n^2 \sigma^2}{2L^2} \right)^{-1/3} &= \frac{3}{4^{1/3}} \sigma^{4/3} \left(\frac{L}{n} \right)^{2/3} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{L}{n} \right)^{2/3} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

It just as intuition, minimized total error increased with the decrease of number of samples.