STAT 231: Problem Set 2A

Kim Zhou

due by 5 PM on Monday, March 1

In order to most effectively digest the textbook chapter readings – and the new R commands each presents – series A homework assignments are designed to encourage you to read the textbook chapters actively and in line with the textbook's Prop Tip of page 33:

"**Pro Tip**: If you want to learn how to use a particular command, we highly recommend running the example code on your own"

A more thorough reading and light practice of the textbook chapter prior to class allows us to dive quicker and deeper into the topics and commands during class. Furthermore, learning a programming lanugage is like learning any other language – practice, practice, practice is the key to fluency. By having two assignments each week, I hope to encourage practice throughout the week. A little coding each day will take you a long way!

Series A assignments are intended to be completed individually. While most of our work in this class will be collaborative, it is important each individual completes the active readings. The problems should be straightforward based on the textbook readings, but if you have any questions, feel free to ask me!

Steps to proceed:

- 1. In RStudio, go to File > Open Project, navigate to the folder with the course-content repo, select the course-content project (course-content.Rproj), and click "Open"
- 2. Pull the course-content repo (e.g. using the blue-ish down arrow in the Git tab in upper right window)
- 3. Copy ps2A.Rmd from the course repo to your repo (see page 6 of the GitHub Classroom Guide for Stat231 if needed)
- 4. Close the course-content repo project in RStudio
- 5. Open YOUR repo project in RStudio
- 6. In the ps2A.Rmd file in YOUR repo, replace "YOUR NAME HERE" with your name
- 7. Add in your responses, committing and pushing to YOUR repo in appropriate places along the way
- 8. Run "Knit PDF"
- 9. Upload the pdf to Gradescope. Don't forget to select which of your pages are associated with each problem. You will not get credit for work on unassigned pages (e.g., if you only selected the first page but your solution spans two pages, you would lose points for any part on the second page that the grader can't see).

1. NYC Flights

a.

In Section 4.3.1, the flights and carrier tables within the nycflights13 package are joined together. Recreate the flightsJoined dataset from page 80. Hint: make sure you've loaded the nycflights13 package before referring to the data tables (see code on page 79).

```
library(nycflights13)
flights_joined <- flights %>%
  inner_join(airlines, by = c("carrier" = "carrier"))
```

b.

Now, create a new dataset flightsJoined2 that:

- creates a new variable, distance_km, which is distance in kilometers (note that 1 mile is about 1.6 kilometers)
- keeps only the variables: name, flight, arr_delay, and distance_km
- keeps only observations where distance is less than 500 kilometers

Hint: see examples in Section 4.1 for subsetting datasets and creating new variables.

```
flights_joined2 <- flights_joined %>%
  mutate(
    distance_km = 1.6*distance
) %>%
  select(name, flight, arr_delay, distance_km) %>%
  filter(distance_km < 500)
head(flights_joined2)</pre>
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
                                flight arr_delay distance_km
##
     name
##
     <chr>
                                 <int>
                                            <dbl>
                                                         <dbl>
## 1 ExpressJet Airlines Inc.
                                  5708
                                              -14
                                                          366.
## 2 JetBlue Airways
                                  1806
                                               -4
                                                          299.
## 3 Southwest Airlines Co.
                                  4646
                                              -19
                                                          296
## 4 ExpressJet Airlines Inc.
                                  4144
                                               12
                                                          339.
## 5 JetBlue Airways
                                  1002
                                              -10
                                                          299.
## 6 JetBlue Airways
                                   102
                                                5
                                                          482.
```

c.

Lastly, using the functions introduced in Section 4.1.4, compute the number of flights (call this N), the average arrival delay (call this avg_arr_delay), and the average distance in kilometers (call this avg_dist_km) among these flights with distances less than 500 km (i.e. working off of flightsJoined2) grouping by the carrier name. Sort the results in descending order based on avg_arr_delay.

Getting NAs for avg_arr_delay? That happens when some observations are missing that data. Before grouping and summarizing, add a line to exclude observations with missing arrival delay information using filter(is.na(arr_delay)==FALSE).

```
flights_joined2 %>%
  filter(is.na(arr_delay) == FALSE) %>%
  group_by(name) %>%
  summarize(
    num_flights = n(), avg_arr_delay = mean(arr_delay), avg_dist_km = mean(distance_km)
) %>%
  arrange(desc(avg_arr_delay))
```

## # A tibble: 11 x 4					
## # A CIDDLE: 11 X 4					
##		name	num_flights	avg_arr_delay	avg_dist_km
##		<chr></chr>	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
##	1	Mesa Airlines Inc.	286	18.0	360.
##	2	ExpressJet Airlines Inc.	14753	15.6	373.
##	3	Envoy Air	2741	11.0	351.
##	4	JetBlue Airways	13443	8.66	385.
##	5	Endeavor Air Inc.	6144	6.82	339.
##	6	Southwest Airlines Co.	200	4.92	272.
##	7	United Air Lines Inc.	3307	4.09	320.
##	8	SkyWest Airlines Inc.	1	3	366.
##	9	US Airways Inc.	9093	2.22	308.
##	10	American Airlines Inc.	1428	1.88	299.
##	11	Delta Air Lines Inc.	1201	-0.643	325.

2. Baby names

a.

Working with the babynames data table in the babynames package, create a dataset babynames that only includes years 2000 to 2017.

```
library(babynames)
babynames2 <- babynames %>%
filter(year %in% 2000:2017)
```

b.

Following the code presented in Section 5.2.4, create a dataset called BabyNarrow that summarizes the total number of people with each name (born between 2000 and 2017), grouped by sex. (Hint: follow the second code chunk on page 102, but don't filter on any particular names.) Look at the dataset. Why have we called this dataset "narrow"?

ANSWER:

```
BabyNarrow <- babynames2 %>%
group_by(name, sex) %>%
summarize(total = sum(n))
```

'summarise()' has grouped output by 'name'. You can override using the '.groups' argument.

BabyNarrow

```
## # A tibble: 73,332 x 3
## # Groups:
              name [67,063]
##
                sex
                     total
     name
##
      <chr>
               <chr> <int>
   1 Aaban
               М
                        107
##
  2 Aabha
               F
                         35
##
  3 Aabid
               М
                         10
  4 Aabir
                         5
##
               М
## 5 Aabriella F
                         32
## 6 Aada
               F
                          5
## 7 Aadam
               М
                        202
## 8 Aadan
                        130
               Μ
## 9 Aadarsh
               Μ
                        199
               F
## 10 Aaden
                          5
## # ... with 73,322 more rows
```

We call this dataset narrow because our categorical variable sex is combined into one column and the total counts are for each name, not grouped by sex, so we don't have as many columns.

c.

Now, following the code chunk presented on page 103*, put the data into a wide format (call the new dataset BabyWide), and only keep observations where both M and F are greater than 10,000. Compute the ratio (as pmin(M/F, F/M)) and identify the top three names with the largest ratio. (Note: these names could be different from the ones found on page 103 since we limited the dataset to years 2000-2017 and names with greater than 10,000 individuals.)

• Note: you can use the pivot_wider() function instead of the spread() function if using the 2nd edition of the textbook (e.g., see Section 6.2.2 and 6.2.3 in the 2nd edition). I find pivot_wider() and pivot_longer() to be more intuitive than spread() and gather().

ANSWER:

```
BabyWide <- babynames2 %>%
  group_by(sex, name) %>%
  summarize(total = sum(n)) %>%
  spread(key = sex, value = total, fill = 0) %>%
  filter(M > 10000, F > 10000) %>%
  mutate(ratio = pmin(M/F, F/M)) %>%
  arrange(desc(ratio))
```

'summarise()' has grouped output by 'sex'. You can override using the '.groups' argument.

```
BabyWide%>% head(3)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
## name F M ratio
## <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> ## 1 Justice 10947 11267 0.972
## 2 Skyler 17120 22154 0.773
## 3 Quinn 25022 19080 0.763
```

The top three balanced gender neutral names between 2000-2017 are Justice, Skyler, and Quinn.

 \mathbf{d} .

Lastly, use the gather() function (or the pivot_longer() function) to put the dataset back into narrow form. Call this dataset BabyNarrow2. Hint: see Section 5.2.3. Why are the number of observations in BabyNarrow2 different from that in BabyNarrow?

ANSWER:

```
BabyNarrow2 <- BabyWide %>%
  gather(key = sex, value = total, F, M)
BabyNarrow2
```

```
## # A tibble: 50 x 4
##
      name
              ratio sex
                           total
##
      <chr>>
              <dbl> <chr> <dbl>
##
    1 Justice 0.972 F
                           10947
    2 Skyler 0.773 F
##
                           17120
##
    3 Quinn
              0.763 F
                           25022
    4 Amari
              0.751 F
                           11778
    5 Casey
              0.720 F
##
                           12109
##
    6 Riley
              0.666 F
                           89827
##
    7 Peyton 0.641 F
                           61217
    8 Emerson 0.632 F
                           18592
    9 Charlie 0.624 F
                           13255
##
## 10 Dakota 0.612 F
                           21950
## # ... with 40 more rows
```

The number of observations in BabyNarrow2 is much fewer than the number in BabyNarrow because BabyNarrow is the entire babynames2 data set. In contrast, BabyNarrow2 is made from BabyWide, which we had filtered down to the names with more than 10000 males and 10000 females. So since BabyWide only had 25 observations, BabyNarrow2 has 50 observations.