

Amortized Cost Analysis

EXAMPLE 1. CLEARABLE TABLE

Consider a data structure “Clearable Table”. It has two operations : add and clear.

Actual cost of operations

$C(\text{add}) = 1$

$C(\text{clear}) = k$, where k denotes the number of items present in the “Clearable Table”.

Our goal is to determine the average cost of a sequence of n operations.

Sample Instance 1:

add, add, add, clear, add, add, clear, add, add, add, add, add, clear, add, add, clear.

1 1 1 3 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 2

Total cost = 24

Number of operations = 16

Average cost per operation = $24/16 \leq 2$.

Sample Instance 2:

add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Total cost = 14

Number of operations = 14

Average cost per operation = $14/14 = 1 \leq 2$.

Sample Instance 3:

add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, clear

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 11

Total cost = 22

Number of operations = 12

Average cost per operation = $22/12 \leq 2$.

It seems **the average cost of an operation is constant time!** Can we show mathematically?

First Try

Traditional worst-case analysis

Each add costs **1** in the worst-case.

Each clear costs **n** in the worst-case (where n is the size of the Clearable Table).

Now consider a sequence of n operations.

All those operations can be clear. Therefore, total cost = $n * n$.

The number of operations = n.

The average cost = Total cost / The number of operations = $n * n / n = n$.

This is certainly wrong. We cannot use traditional worst-case analysis!

Second Try

Observe that any item you add to the table needs to be removed during the next clear operation. Therefore, **can we distribute the cost of clear among all previous adds?**

Sample Instance 1 (revisited)

add, add, add, clear, add, add, clear, add, add, add, add, add, clear, add, add, clear.

1	1	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	2
2	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	0

Total cost = 24

Number of operations = 16

Average cost per operation = $24/16 \leq 2$.

Sample Instance 2:

add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear, add, clear.

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0

Total cost = 14

Number of operations = 14

Average cost per operation = $14/14 = 1 \leq 2$.

Sample Instance 3:

add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, add, clear

2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Total cost = 22

Number of operations = 12

Average cost per operation = $22/12 \leq 2$.

Amortized_Cost (add) = 2

Amortized_Cost (clear) = 0

Now consider a sequence of n operations.

All those operations can be add (the most costly operation).

Therefore, total amortized cost = $2n$.

The number of operations = n .

The average cost = Total amortized cost / The number of operations = $2n / n = 2$.

The average cost of an operation is 2.

The average cost of an operation is constant time!

EXAMPLE 2. ARRAYLIST WITH SIZE DOUBLING STRATEGY

Consider a data structure “ArrayList with size doubling strategy”. It has two operations : add and resize.

Actual cost of operations

$C(\text{add}) = 1$

$C(\text{resize}) = 3k$, where k denotes the present size of the ArrayList.

(It cost $2k$ to create a new array of size $2k$. Then it costs k to copy current array content to the newly created array. Thus total cost is $2k + k = 3k$.)

Our goal is to determine the average cost of a sequence of n operations.

Sample Instance 1:

A resize just happened from size 4 to size 8.

That means, you have 4 free spaces in your newly created ArrayList.

add add add add resize

1 1 1 1 $3 * \text{size} = 3 * 8 = 24$.

Total cost = $4 + 24 = 28$.

As in the previous example, we want to distribute the total cost of 28 among previous 4 adds.

$\text{Amortized_Cost}(\text{add}) = 28/4 = 7$.

$\text{Amortized_Cost}(\text{resize}) = 0$.

Sample Instance 2:

A resize just happened from size 8 to size 16.

That means, you have 8 free spaces in your newly created ArrayList.

add add add add add add add add add resize

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 $3 * \text{size} = 3 * 16 = 48$.

Total cost = $8 + 48 = 56$.

As in the previous example, we want to distribute the total cost of 56 among previous 8 adds.

$\text{Amortized_Cost}(\text{add}) = 56/8 = 7$.

$\text{Amortized_Cost}(\text{resize}) = 0$.

$\text{Amortized_Cost}(\text{add}) = 7$

$\text{Amortized_Cost}(\text{resize}) = 0$

Now consider a sequence of n operations.

All those operations can be add (the most costly operation).

Therefore, total amortized cost = $7n$.

The number of operations = n .

The average cost = Total amortized cost / The number of operations = $7n / n = 7$.

The average cost of an operation is 7.

The average cost of an operation is constant time!

VERY IMPORTANT: NEVER TRY AMORTIZING WITH 1 ITEM (Or just considering the very first resize.)

1 add

Resize 3

$\text{Amortized cost} = (1+3)/1 = 4$. That is wrong.

EXAMPLE 3. RESIZING USING FIXED INCREMENTS.

A resize just happened and let it is size = K and also assume that the fixed size increment is d .

Now there are d empty slots.

Therefore, we can add d items and then we need to resize again.

Cost of d adds = d .

Cost of resize = $K + d$ to create a new array of size $K + d$

PLUS

copy K items from the old array to new array.

$$= K + d + K = 2K + d.$$

Thus the total cost = $2K + d + d = 2K + 2d$

Amortized cost of resize = $(2K + 2d)/d = 2(K/d) + 2$.

Note that (K/d) is not a constant as in the previous cases. **It is unbounded!** Therefore, you cannot show the average cost of an operation is constant time.