

**The results are in!** See what nearly 90,000 developers picked as their most loved, dreaded, and desired coding languages and more in the 2019 Developer Survey.

## Use Python's string.replace vs re.sub

[Ask Question](#)

▲  
51  
▼ For Python 2.5, 2.6,  
should I be using  
`string.replace` or  
`re.sub` for basic  
text replacements?

★  
15 In PHP, this was  
explicitly stated but  
I can't find a similar  
note for Python.

[python](#)[regex](#)

edited Jan 8 '15 at 19:03



[the Tin Man](#)

136k 27 174 257

asked Apr 14 '11 at 19:58



[wag2639](#)

971 3 16 29

---

2 Avoid regex at all  
costs! ...Until  
absolutely  
necessary... –  
[jathanism](#) Apr  
14 '11 at 20:03

---

17 @jathanism: I  
respectfully  
disagree. I  
avoided regex  
for decades until  
I finally took the  
time to sit down  
and and actually  
*learn* them. Now  
I can't live

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

for many day-to-day tasks and should be a familiar tool in every programmer's toolbox. –

[ridgerunner](#) Apr 14 '11 at 20:27

- 
- 5 [@ridgerunner](#): Agreed, but it is also important to know *when* to use them. For simple string manipulations such as this, regular expressions are over the top. My rule of thumb is that if you can do it with the built-in string functions (`split()`, `replace()`, `find()` et al) without needing multiple status variables, complicated slicing etc you should. If it starts getting complex, then you move alternate tools such as regular expressions. – [Blair](#) Apr 14 '11 at 23:32
- 

- 5 Oh, and a general comment on the speed of regular expressions: it depends on the context. In a script you run occasionally with a few regular expressions, you won't notice the overhead. On the other hand, in a script which does some intensive/high volume processing you

using regular expressions lots. This is where profiling is important to determine where the bottleneck is (and I suppose I should trot out the *premature optimisation is the root of all evil* line at this point too). – [Blair](#) Apr 14 '11 at 23:37

---

- 2 [@Blair](#): I wholeheartedly agree. But many seem to be averse to regex because they find them "difficult" and this is simply because they have not taken the time to learn tem beyond a superficial level. Yes, if a simple string replace solves the problem, then by all means use that, (which is also very likely the fastest solution as well). But I see way too many convoluted, complex string manipulation solutions to problems which are easily solved with a single, *well crafted* regex. – [ridgerunner](#) Apr 15 '11 at 0:41
- 

## 4 Answers

---



As long as you can make do with



pitfalls of regular expressions (like escaping), and is generally faster.

answered Apr 14 '11 at 19:59



**Sven Marnach**

**360k** 80 758 702

- 1 If you are going to many times substitute, the replace is more fast than sub – [Danyun Liu](#) Jun 22 '12 at 6:59

@SvenMarnach  
Does this still apply to Python 2.7? – [NumenorForLife](#)  
Jun 17 '15 at 16:15

- 2 @jsc123: This advice is about avoiding pitfalls and unnecessary complexity; so yes, it applies to any Python version. :) – [Sven Marnach](#)  
Jun 17 '15 at 16:49



38



`str.replace()` should be used whenever it's possible to. It's more explicit, simpler, and faster.

```
In [1]: import re
```

```
In [2]: text = ""
```

```
In [3]: timeit te
1000000 loops, be
```

```
In [4]: timeit re
100000 loops, bes
```

answered Apr 14 '11 at 20:13



[chmullig](#)

9,790 5 26 49

2 Out of curiosity, how were you executing timeit in your example output? Is that something special to iPython allowing you to use that syntax? (Oh, and +1!) – [jathanism](#) Apr 15 '11 at 14:31

2 Yup, ipython includes it magically. [scienceoss.com/...](http://scienceoss.com/...) – [chmullig](#) Apr 15 '11 at 14:59

1 Unsure if this is a typo or I'm missing something, but your str.replace() run has 10x the number of loops as the regex run. – [BoltzmannBrain](#) Jan 8 '16 at 1:01

@alavin89  
IPython chooses a "fitting value" for the iteration count if one is not specified ([ipython.org/ipython-on-doc/3/interactive/magics.html#magic-timeit](http://ipython.org/ipython-on-doc/3/interactive/magics.html#magic-timeit)). It's possible that the value it chooses scales based on the time it takes to execute the snippet some

loop, the difference in loop counts does not matter significantly. – [NasaGeek](#) Jun 7 '16 at 20:48

---

What if you had chained multiple replace vs a single regex. At some point a single regex replace should be faster than having N chained replace 's on a string, no? – [radtek](#) Oct 6 '17 at 21:15

---

▲  
28  
▼

String manipulation is **usually** preferable to regex when you can figure out how to adapt it. Regex is incredibly powerful, but it's **usually** slower, and **usually** harder to write, debug, and maintain.

That being said, notice the amount of "usually" in the above paragraph! It's possible (and I've seen it done) to write a zillion lines of string manipulation for something you could've done with a 20-character regex. It's also possible to waste valuable time using "efficient" string functions on tasks a good regex

maintainability:

Regex can be horribly complex, but sometimes a regex will be simpler and easier to read than a giant block of procedural code.

Regex is fantastic for its intended purpose: searching for highly-variable needles in highly-variable haystacks. Think of it as a precision torque wrench: It's the perfect tool for a specific set of jobs, but it makes a lousy hammer.

### **Some guidelines you should follow when you aren't sure what to use:**

- **Is the pattern you're looking for highly static?** For example, do you want to split a string on every comma, pipe, or tab?
- **Is resource efficiency**

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our Cookie Policy, Privacy Policy, and our Terms of Service.

**developer time?** What are your priorities? Remember: [Hardware is cheap, programmers are expensive.](#)

- **Are you working with [HTML](#), [XML](#), or other context-free grammars?** Don't forget that [regex has limitations.](#)
- **And my #1 rule of thumb: **If you work on the problem for 5 minutes, can you rough out an idea for a non-regex approach?****

If the answer to any of these questions is "yes", you probably want string manipulation. Otherwise, consider regex.

edited May 23 '17 at 11:54



Community ♦

1 1

answered Apr 14 '11 at 22:19





9

Another thing to consider is that if you're doing rather complex replacements, [str.translate\(\)](#) might be what you're looking for.

answered Apr 14 '11 at 20:07

[jathanism](#)

25.6k 6 58 82