

# Does Python have a ternary conditional operator?

[Ask Question](#)

▲  
5196  
▼  
★  
817

If Python does not have a ternary conditional operator, is it possible to simulate one using other language constructs?

[python](#)[operators](#)[ternary-operator](#)[conditional-operator](#)[python-2.5](#)

edited Mar 23 at 14:24

community wiki

18 revs, 14 users 43%

Devoted

- 
- 2    Though Pythons older than 2.5 are slowly drifting to history, here is a list of old pre-2.5 ternary operator tricks: "[Python Idioms](#)", [search for the text 'Conditional expression'](#)

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

ジョー May  
26 '11 at 0:48

103 In the Python 3.0 official documentation referenced in a comment above, this is referred to as "conditional\_expressions" and is very cryptically defined. That documentation doesn't even include the term "ternary", so you would be hard-pressed to find it via Google unless you knew exactly what to look for. The [version 2 documentation](#) is somewhat more helpful and includes a link to "[PEP 308](#)", which includes a lot of interesting historical context related to this question. – [nobar](#) Jan 10 '13 at 5:57

13 "ternary" (having three inputs) is a consequential property of this implementation, not a defining property of the concept. eg: SQL has  
 case [...]  
 { when ...  
 then ... } [  
 else ... ]  
 end for a similar effect



Dec 15 '14 at  
21:14 

- 
- 6 also ISO/IEC 9899 (the C programming language standard) section 6.5.15 calls it the "the conditional operator" – [user313114](#)  
Dec 15 '14 at 21:20
- 

- 4 Wikipedia covers this thoroughly in the article "[?:](#)".  
– [HelloGoodbye](#)  
Jun 9 '16 at 8:11
- 

## 21 Answers

---

  **6147** Yes, it was [added](#) in version 2.5. The expression syntax is:



a **if** condition e

First condition is evaluated, then exactly one of either a or b is evaluated and returned based on the [Boolean](#) value of condition . If condition evaluates to True , then a is evaluated and returned but b is ignored, or else when b is evaluated and returned but a is ignored.

is true only `a` is evaluated and `b` is not evaluated at all, but when condition is false only `b` is evaluated and `a` is not evaluated at all.

For example:

```
>>> 'true' if Tr
'true'
>>> 'true' if Fa
'false'
```

Note that conditionals are an *expression*, not a *statement*. This means you can't use assignment statements or `pass` or other **statements** within a conditional **expression**:

```
>>> pass if Fals
      File "<stdin>"
        pass if Fals
              ^
      SyntaxError: inv
```

You can, however, use conditional expressions to assign a variable like so:

```
x = a if True el
```

Think of the conditional expression as switching between two values. It is very useful when you're in a 'one value or another' situation, it but

If you need to use statements, you have to use a normal `if` **statement** instead of a conditional **expression**.

Keep in mind that it's frowned upon by some Pythonistas for several reasons:

- The order of the arguments is different from those of the classic `condition ? a : b` ternary operator from many other languages (such as C, C++, Go, Perl, Ruby, Java, Javascript, etc.), which may lead to bugs when people unfamiliar with Python's "surprising" behaviour use it (they may reverse the argument order).
- Some find it "unwieldy", since it goes contrary to the normal flow of thought (thinking of

- Stylistic reasons.  
(Although the 'inline if' can be *really* useful, and make your script more concise, it really does complicate your code)

If you're having trouble remembering the order, then remember that when read aloud, you (almost) say what you mean. For example, `x = 4 if b > 8 else 9` is read aloud as `x will be 4 if b is greater than 8 otherwise 9`.

Official documentation:

- [Conditional expressions](#)
- [Is there an equivalent of C's "?:" ternary operator?](#)

edited May 18 at 10:26

community wiki  
7 revs, 13 users 28%  
[inko Vrsalovic](#)

---

212 The order may seem strange for coders however

natural to mathematicians. You may also understand it as do A in most case, except when C then you should do B instead... – [yota](#) Jan 25 '16 at 15:07



87 Be careful with order of operations when using this. For example, the line `z = 3 + x if x < y else y`. If `x=2` and `y=1`, you might expect that to yield 4, but it would actually yield 1. `z = 3 + (x if x > y else y)` is the correct usage. – [Kal Zekdor](#) Mar 6 '16 at 9:23



6 The point was if you want to perform additional evaluations *after* the conditional is evaluated, like adding a value to the result, you'll either need to add the additional expression to both sides (`z = 3 + x if x < y else 3 + y`), or group the conditional (`z = 3 + (x if`

3 ) –

[Kal Zekdor](#)

Apr 15 '16 at

0:36

1 what if there are multiple conditions ? – [MrGeek](#) May 26 '17 at 15:31

2 @MrGeek, I see what you mean, so you would basically be nesting the operations: `"foo" if Bool else ("bar" if Bool else "foobar")`` – [Dimesio](#) Aug 11 '17 at 0:04



You can index into a tuple:

678



```
(falseValue, true'
```

test needs to return *True* or *False*.

It might be safer to always implement it as:

```
(falseValue, true'
```

or you can use the built-in [bool\(\)](#) to assure a [Boolean](#) value:

```
(falseValue, true'
```



community wiki  
[andon Kuhn](#)

---

522 Note that this one always evaluates everything, whereas the if/else construct only evaluates the winning expression. – [SilverbackNet](#)  
 Feb 4 '11 at 2:25

---

97 

```
(lambda:
print("a"),
lambda:
print("b"))
[test==true]
()
```

 – [Dustin Getz](#)  
 Mar 8 '12 at 19:31

---

14 It should be noted that what's within the [] s can be an arbitrary expression. Also, for safety you can explicitly test for truthiness by writing `[bool(<expression>)]`. The `bool()` function has been around since v2.2.1. – [martineau](#) May 31 '12 at 18:20

---

12 This is great for code-golf, not so much for actual code. Although I have gotten so used to it that I do use it sometimes for conciseness when doing

string  
constants. –  
[Claudiu](#) Dec 5  
'14 at 17:52

- 9 I've done a similar trick -- only once or twice, but done it -- by indexing into a dictionary with `True` and `False` as the keys:
- ```
{True:trueValue,
False:falseValue}[test]
```
- I don't know whether this is any less efficient, but it does at least avoid the whole "elegant" vs. "ugly" debate. There's no ambiguity that you're dealing with a boolean rather than an int. – [JDM](#) Mar 1 '16 at 18:43



281



For versions prior to 2.5, there's the trick:

`[expression] and`

It can give wrong results when `on_true` has a false boolean value.<sup>1</sup>

Although it does have the benefit of evaluating expressions left to right, which is clearer in my opinion.

1. [Is there an equivalent](#)

edited Jan 13 '14 at 7:16


community wiki  
James Brady

56 The remedy is to use (test and [true\_value] or [false\_value])[0], which avoids this trap. – ThomasH Oct 21 '09 at 15:33

3 Ternary operator usually executes faster(sometimes by 10-25%). – volcano Jan 13 '14 at 7:52

5 @volcano Do you have source for me? – OrangeTux Aug 5 '14 at 12:30

2 @OrangeTux [Here's the disassembled code](#). Using the method ThomasH suggested would be even slower. – mbomb007 Mar 19 '18 at 20:59

190  `<expression 1>  
if <condition>  
else <expression 2>`

 `a = 1  
b = 2`

`1 if a > b else -1  
# Output is -1`


`1 if a > b else -1  
# Output is -1`

community wiki  
[revs, 2 users 62%](#)  
[imon Zimmermann](#)

---

13 What's the difference between this and the top answer?  
 – [kennytm](#) May 27 '10 at 7:59

---

70 This one emphasizes the primary intent of the ternary operator: value selection. It also shows that more than one ternary can be chained together into a single expression. – [Roy Tinker](#) Oct 4 '10 at 21:14 

---

4 @Craig , I agree, but it's also helpful to know what will happen when there are no parentheses. In real code, I too would tend to insert explicit parens. – [Jon Coombs](#) Dec 1 '14 at 21:30

---

4 Somehow, I'm able to understand this better than the top answer. – [Abhishek Divekar](#) Mar 23 '18 at 5:46

---



---

▲ From [the documentation](#):

124

▼ Conditional expressions (sometimes

.. . .

Python  
operations.

The expression  
`x if C else y`  
 first evaluates  
 the condition, *C*  
 (*not x*); if *C* is  
 true, *x* is  
 evaluated and  
 its value is  
 returned;  
 otherwise, *y* is  
 evaluated and  
 its value is  
 returned.

See [PEP 308](#)  
 for more details  
 about  
 conditional  
 expressions.

New since version  
2.5.

edited Oct 17 '15 at 7:43

community wiki  
[Michael Burr](#)



95



An operator for a  
 conditional  
 expression in  
 Python was added  
 in 2006 as part of  
[Python  
 Enhancement  
 Proposal 308](#). Its  
 form differ from  
 common `?:`  
 operator and it's:

`<expression1> if`

which is equivalent  
 to:

Here is an example:

```
result = x if a >
```

Another syntax which can be used (compatible with versions before 2.5):

```
result = (lambda:
```

where operands are [lazily evaluated](#).

Another way is by indexing a tuple (which isn't consistent with the conditional operator of most other languages):

```
result = (y, x)[a
```

or explicitly constructed dictionary:

```
result = {True: x
```

Another (less reliable), but simpler method is to use `and` and `or` operators:

```
result = (a > b) ;
```

however this won't work if `x` would be `False`.

A possible workaround is to make `x` and `y` lists or tuples as in

```
.. .. .
```

or:

```
result = ((a > b)
```

If you're working with dictionaries, instead of using a ternary conditional, you can take advantage of [get\(key, \\_default\)](#), for example:

```
shell = os.environ
```

Source: [?: in Python at Wikipedia](#)

edited Aug 7 '17 at 14:22

community wiki  
[revs](#), [2 users](#) [98%](#)  
[enorb](#)

---

```
1 result = {1:
    x, 0: y}[a >
    b] is another
    possible variant
    ( True and
      False are
      actually integers
      with values 1
      and 0 ) –
    Walter Tross
    Feb 9 at 18:07
```

---



Unfortunately, the

86

```
(falseValue, true'
```



solution doesn't have short-circuit behaviour; thus both falseValue and trueValue are evaluated

suboptimal or even buggy (i.e. both `trueValue` and `falseValue` could be methods and have side-effects).

One solution to this would be

```
(lambda: falseValue
```

(execution delayed until the winner is known ;)), but it introduces inconsistency between callable and non-callable objects. In addition, it doesn't solve the case when using properties.

And so the story goes - choosing between 3 mentioned solutions is a trade-off between having the short-circuit feature, using at least Python 2.5 (IMHO not a problem anymore) and not being prone to "trueValue - evaluates-to-false" errors.

edited May 9 at 9:45

community wiki  
 3 revs, 4 users 76%  
 orsky

- 
- 1 While the tuple of lambdas trick



It's only likely to be a reasonable idea if it can replace a long chain of `if` `else if` . – [Perkins](#) Oct 11 '18 at 17:34



56

For Python 2.5 and newer there is a specific syntax:



```
[on_true] if [cond]
```

In older Pythons a ternary operator is not implemented but it's possible to simulate it.

```
cond and on_true or
```

Though, there is a potential problem, which if `cond` evaluates to `True` and `on_true` evaluates to `False` then `on_false` is returned instead of `on_true` . If you want this behavior the method is OK, otherwise use this:

```
{True: on_true, False:
```

which can be wrapped by:

```
def q(cond, on_true, on_false):
    return {True: on_true,
```

and used this way:

```
q(cond, on_true, on_false)
```

```
... ..
```

edited Apr 25 '12 at 12:02


community wiki

[aolo](#)

---

2 The behaviour is not identical - `q("blob", on_true, on_false)` returns `on_false`, whereas `on_true if cond else on_false` returns `on_true`. A workaround is to replace `cond` with `cond is not None` in these cases, although that is not a perfect solution. – user3317 Sep 26 '12 at 9:09

---

4 Why not `bool(cond)` instead of `cond is True`? The former checks the truthiness of `cond`, the latter checks for pointer-equality with the `True` object. As highlighted by [@AndrewCecil](#), `"blob"` is truthy but it is not `True`. – [Jonas Kölker](#) Nov 11 '13 at 16:11 

---

Wow, that looks really hacky! :) Technically, you can even write `[on_false, on_true][cond is True]` so the expression becomes shorter.

circuit in this answer. If on\_true and on\_false are expensive to call this is a bad answer. – [Hucker](#) Mar 28 at 14:08

## 56 Ternary Operator in different programming Languages

Here I just try to show some important difference in ternary operator between a couple of programming languages.

### *Ternary Operator in Javascript*

```
var a = true ? 1
# 1
var b = false ? 1
# 0
```

### *Ternary Operator in Ruby*

```
a = true ? 1 : 0
# 1
b = false ? 1 : 0
# 0
```

### *Ternary operator in Scala*

```
val a = true ? 1
```

### *Ternary operator in R programming*


```
a <- if (TRUE) 1 0
# 1
b <- if (FALSE) 1 0
# 0
```

### *Ternary operator in Python*

```
a = 1 if True else 0
# 1
b = 1 if False else 0
# 0
```


edited Jan 26 at 14:05


community wiki  
 1 revs, 3 users 96%  
 implans

- 11 This [blogger found python's ternary operator to be unnecessarily different than most other languages](#). – JamesThomasMo Feb 15 '17 at 23:08 
- 2 Ruby works also with a = true ? 1 : 0 – [rneves](#) May 15 '17 at 17:50
- 7 "Now you can see the beauty of python language. its highly readable and maintainable." I don't see the relevance of this sentence, nor how the ternary operator syntax

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

Dec 8 '17 at  
15:38

- 2 It may sound opinionated; but what it essentially says is that it the Python syntax is likely to be understood by a person who never saw a ternary operator, while very few people will understand the more usual syntax unless they have been told first what it means. – [fralau](#)  
Jan 10 '18 at  
17:12 

- 1 Algol68: a=.if.  
.true. .then. 1  
.else. 0 .fi. This may be expressed also  
a=(.true.|1|0) As usual Algol68 is an improvement over its successors. – [Albert van der Hoi](#)  
Jun 17 '18 at  
12:55 



You might often find

36



cond **and** on\_true

but this lead to problem when  
on\_true == 0

```
>>> x = 0
>>> print x == 0
1
>>> x = 1
>>> print x == 0
1
```

where you would

```
>>> x = 0
>>> print 0 if x :
0
>>> x = 1
>>> print 0 if x :
1
```

answered Jan 14 '13 at 15:56

community wiki  
enoit Bertholon



29

## Does Python have a ternary conditional operator?

Yes. From the [grammar file](#):

```
test: or_test ['if' or_
```

The part of interest is:

```
or_test ['if' or_
```

So, a ternary conditional operation is of the form:

```
expression1 if ex|
```

expression3 will be lazily evaluated (that is, evaluated only if expression2 is false in a boolean context). And

it may considered  
bad style.)

```
expression1 if ex|
and so on
```

### A note on usage:

Note that every `if`  
must be followed  
with an `else` .  
People learning list  
comprehensions  
and generator  
expressions may  
find this to be a  
difficult lesson to  
learn - the following  
will not work, as  
Python expects a  
third expression for  
an `else`:

```
[expression1 if e:
#
```

which raises a  
`SyntaxError:`  
`invalid syntax` .  
So the above is  
either an  
incomplete piece of  
logic (perhaps the  
user expects a no-  
op in the false  
condition) or what  
may be intended is  
to use `expression2`  
as a filter - notes  
that the following is  
legal Python:

```
[expression1 for
```

```
expression2
works as a filter for
the list
comprehension,
and is not a ternary
```

## Alternative syntax for a more narrow case:

You may find it somewhat painful to write the following:

```
expression1 if ex|
```

expression1 will have to be evaluated twice with the above usage. It can limit redundancy if it is simply a local variable. However, a common and performant Pythonic idiom for this use-case is to use `or`'s shortcutting behavior:

```
expression1 or ex|
```

which is equivalent in semantics. Note that some style-guides may limit this usage on the grounds of clarity - it does pack a lot of meaning into very little syntax.

edited Aug 8 '16 at 18:56

community wiki  
[revs](#)  
[aron Hall](#)

---

```
1 expression1
  or
```



ves as  
expression1  
||  
expression2 in  
javascript –  
[JSDBroughton](#)  
Feb 18 '16 at  
13:05

- 
- 1 Thanks,  
@selurvedu - it  
can be confusing  
until you get it  
straight. I learned  
the hard way, so  
your way might  
not be as hard. ;) )  
Using if without  
the else, at the  
end of a  
generator  
expression or list  
comprehension  
will filter the  
iterable. In the  
front, it's a  
ternary  
conditional  
operation, and  
requires the else.  
Cheers!! –  
[Aaron Hall](#) ♦  
May 27 '16 at  
4:37

---

@AaronHall  
Although your  
use of  
metasyntactic  
expressionN  
for all instances  
is consistent, it  
might be easier  
to understand  
with naming that  
distinguished the  
conditional test  
expression from  
the two result  
expressions; eg,  
result1 if  
condition else  
result2 . This is  
especially  
evident when  
nesting (aka  
chaining):  
result1 if  
condition1  
else result2  
if condition2  
\_

[tchrist](#) Jan 26 at 14:12 

@tchrist thanks for the review - if you look at the revision history, this post currently has two revisions. Most of my other answers, especially the top ones, have been revisited again and again. This answer never gets my attention because the community wiki status gives me no credit for the content, and so I never see any votes on it. As I don't really have time for an edit on this right now, frog knows when it will come to my attention again in the future. I can see you've edited the top answer, so feel free to borrow/quote my material from this post in that one (and cite me if apropos!) –

[Aaron Hall](#) ♦ Jan 26 at 18:24



Simulating the python ternary operator.

18



For example

```
a, b, x, y = 1, 2
result = (lambda:
```

output:

```
'b greater than a
```

community wiki  
[asikiran Vaddi](#)

---

Why not simply  
`result = (y,  
x) [a < b]` Why  
do you uses  
`lambda`  
function ? –  
[Grijesh Chauhan](#)  
Dec 27 '13 at  
5:50

---

5 [@GrijeshChauhan](#) Because on  
"compiated"  
expressions, e. g.  
involving a  
function call etc.,  
this would be  
executed in both  
cases. This might  
not be wanted. –  
[glglgl](#) Feb 13 '14  
at 8:14

---



you can do this :-

16

`[condition] and  
[expression_1] or  
[expression_2] ;`



Example:-

```
print(number%2
and "odd" or
"even")
```

This would print  
"odd" if the number  
is odd or "even" if  
the number is even.

**The result :- If  
condition is  
true exp\_1 is  
executed else  
exp\_2 is  
executed.**

evaluates as False.  
And any data other  
than 0 evaluates to  
True.

## Here's how it works:

if the condition  
[condition]  
becomes "True"  
then , expression\_1  
will be evaluated  
but not  
expression\_2 . If  
we "and"  
something with 0  
(zero) , the result  
will always to be  
false .So in the  
below statement ,

```
0 and exp
```

The expression exp  
won't be evaluated  
at all since "and"  
with 0 will always  
evaluate to zero  
and there is no  
need to evaluate  
the expression .  
This is how the  
compiler itself  
works , in all  
languages.

In

```
1 or exp
```

the expression exp  
won't be evaluated  
at all since "or" with  
1 will always be 1.  
So it won't bother  
to evaluate the  
expression exp  
since the result will  
be 1 anyway .

But in case of

**True and** exp1 **or** exp2

The second expression exp2 won't be evaluated since True and exp1 would be True when exp1 isn't false .

Similarly in

**False and** exp1 **or** exp2

The expression exp1 won't be evaluated since False is equivalent to writing 0 and doing "and" with 0 would be 0 itself but after exp1 since "or" is used, it will evaluate the expression exp2 after "or" .

**Note:-** This kind of branching using "or" and "and" can only be used when the expression\_1 doesn't have a Truth value of False (or 0 or None or emptylist [ ] or emptystring '.) since if expression\_1 becomes False , then the expression\_2 will be evaluated because of the presence "or" between exp\_1 and exp\_2.

**cases regardless of what `exp_1` and `exp_2` truth values are, do this :-**

```
[condition] and
([expression_1] or
1) or
[expression_2] ;
```


edited Aug 20 '17 at 7:48

community wiki

[revs](#)

[atesh bhat](#)

---

If you want to use that in the context of `x = [condition] and ([expression_1] or 1) or [expression_2]` and `expression_1` evaluates to false, `x` will be 1, not `expression_1`. Use the accepted answer. – [moi](#)  
Oct 20 '17 at 6:37 

---

▲  
15  
▼ Ternary conditional operator simply allows testing a condition in a single line replacing the multiline if-else making the code compact.

**Syntax :**

```
[on_true] if
[expression]
else [on_false]
```

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

## ternary operator:

```
# Program to demonstrate ternary operator
a, b = 10, 20
# Copy value of a to min if a is less than b
min = a if a < b else b
print(min) # Output: 10
```

## 2- Direct Method of using tuples, Dictionary, and lambda:

```
# Python program to demonstrate ternary operator
a, b = 10, 20
# Use tuple for selection
print((b, a)[a < b])
# Use Dictionary
print({True: a, False: b}[a < b])
# lambda is more elegant
# because in lambda only one expression is allowed
# tuple and Dictionary
print((lambda: b, a)[a < b])
```

## 3- Ternary operator can be written as nested if-else:

```
# Python program to demonstrate ternary operator
a, b = 10, 20
print ("Both a and b are equal" if a == b else "a is greater than b" if a > b else "b is greater than a")
```

Above approach can be written as:

```
# Python program to demonstrate ternary operator
a, b = 10, 20
if a != b:
    if a > b:
        print("a is greater than b")
    else:
        print("b is greater than a")
else:
    print("Both a and b are equal")
# Output: b is greater than a
```

answered Apr 4 '18 at 14:02

li Hallaji

---

1 Note that the ternary operator is smaller (in memory) and faster than the nested if. Also, your nested if-else isn't actually a rewrite of the ternary operator, and will produce different output for select values of a and b (specifically if one is a type which implements a weird `__ne__` method). – [Perkins](#) Oct 11 '18 at 17:28

---

▲  
13  
▼

More a tip than an answer (don't need to repeat the obvious for the hundreth time), but I sometimes use it as a oneliner shortcut in such constructs:

```
if conditionX:
    print('yes')
else:
    print('nah')
```

, becomes:

```
print('yes') if c
```

Some (many :) may frown upon it as unpythonic (even, ruby-ish :), but I personally find it more natural - i.e. how you'd express it normally, plus a



edited May 23 '16 at 19:16

community wiki

revisions, 2 users 96%

author

---

3 I prefer `print('yes' if conditionX else 'nah' )` over your answer. :-) – [Frederick99](#) Aug 20 '17 at 6:07

---

That is if you want to `print()` in both cases - and it looks a bit more pythonic, I have to admit :) But what if the expressions/functions are not the same - like `print('yes') if conditionX else True` - to get the `print()` only in truthy `conditionX` – [Todor Minakov](#) Oct 26 '17 at 11:40

---

To add to Frederick99's remark, another reason to avoid `print('yes') if conditionX else print('nah')` is that it gives a `SyntaxError` in Python2. – [Thierry Lathuille](#) Oct 21 '18 at 21:51

---

The only reason it gives a syntax error is because in Python 2 `print` is a statement -

That can be resolved by either using it as a statement, or better - from future import print\_function.

[Todor Minakov](#)

Oct 22 '18 at 4:09



a **if** condition **else**

9



Just memorize this pyramid if you have trouble remembering:

```

condition
if condition else
a
```

answered [Dec 6 '18 at 14:45](#)

community wiki  
[nivtej](#)



5



YES, python have a ternary operator, here is the syntax and an example code to demonstrate the same :)

```

#[On true] if [expression]
# if the expression is
false
```

```

a= input("Enter the value of a: ")
b= input("Enter the value of b: ")

print("A is Bigger" if a > b else "B is Bigger")
```

[answered Oct 21 '18 at 20:46](#)

community wiki

[revs](#)

[PythonLover](#)

---

I have added a one line statement example to check which number is big to elaborate it further –

[PythonLover](#) Oct 21 '18 at 20:45

---

1 `print` is really not a good choice, as this will give a `SyntaxError` in Python2. –

[Thierry Lathuille](#) Oct 21 '18 at 21:52

---

@Thierry Lathuille here I used `print()` function not `print` statement, `print` function is for Python 3 while `print` statement is for Python 2 –

[PythonLover](#) Oct 21 '18 at 21:54

---

The question has already been asked on SO, just try it with Python 2 and you will see by yourself.

'`print('hello')`' is a perfectly valid syntax in Python 2.7, but the way it is parsed makes your code above throw a

`SyntaxError`. –

[Thierry Lathuille](#) Oct 21 '18 at 21:58

---

What is the correct one please tell –

[PythonLover](#) Oct

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

4

Many programming languages derived from C usually have the following syntax of ternary conditional operator:

```
<condition> ? <expression1> : <expression2>
```

At first, the Python Benevolent Dictator For Life (I mean Guido van Rossum, of course) rejected it (as non-Pythonic style), since it's quite hard to understand for people not used to C language. Also, the colon sign : already has many uses in Python. After **PEP 308** was approved, Python finally received its own shortcut conditional expression (what we use now):

```
<expression1> if <condition> else <expression2>
```

So, firstly it evaluates the condition. If it returns True, **expression1** will be evaluated to give the result, otherwise **expression2** will be evaluated. Due

one expression will be executed.

Here are some examples (conditions will be evaluated from left to right):

```
pressure = 10
print('High' if p

# Result is 'High'
```

Ternary operators can be chained in series:

```
pressure = 5
print('Normal' if

# Result is 'Norm
```

The following one is the same as previous one:

```
pressure = 5

if pressure < 20:
    if pressure <
        print('No
    else:
        print('Hi
else:
    print('Critica

# Result is 'Norm
```

Hope this helps.

edited Jan 4 at 22:02

community wiki  
revs, 2 users 99%  
RGeo



One of the  
alternatives to  
Python's

```
{True:"yes", False:"no"}[boo]
```

which has the following nice extension:

```
{True:"yes", False:"no"}[boo]
```

The shortest alternative remains:

```
("no", "yes")[boo]
```

but there is no alternative if you want to avoid the evaluation of both `yes()` and `no()` in the following:

```
yes() if [condition]
```

answered Feb 9 at 18:23

community wiki  
/alter Tross



*A neat way to chain multiple operators:*

0



```
f = lambda x,y: 'equal' if x==y else 'less' if x<y else 'greater'
```

```
array = [(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)]
```

```
for a in array:
    x, y = a[0], a[1]
    print(f(x,y))
```

```
# Output is:
# equal,
# less,
# greater,
# equal
```

answered May 12 at 13:03



if variable is defined and you want to check if it has value you can just `a or b`

```
def test(myvar=None):
    # shorter than if
    print myvar or None

test()
test([])
test(False)
test('hello')
test(['Hello'])
test(True)
```

will output

```
no Input
no Input
no Input
hello
['Hello']
True
```

edited Apr 26 '18 at 16:22

community wiki

[revs](#)  
[wink](#)

- 1 While useful for similar problems, this is not a ternary conditional. It works to replace `x if x else y`, but not `x if z else y`. – [Perkins](#) Oct 11 '18 at 17:13

protected by [NullPointer](#) Jun 10 '13 at 5:15

Thank you for your

answers that had to be removed, posting an answer now requires 10 [reputation](#) on this site (the [association bonus](#) does not count).

Would you like to answer one of these [unanswered questions](#) instead?