

The results are in! See what nearly 90,000 developers picked as their most loved, dreaded, and desired coding languages and more in the 2019 Developer Survey.

Pandas: How can I use the apply() function for a single column?

[Ask Question](#)

149



28

I have a pandas data frame with two columns. I need to change the values of the first column without affecting the second one and get back the whole data frame with just first column values changed. How can I do that using apply in pandas?

[python](#)[pandas](#)[dataframe](#)[python-3.5](#)

edited Apr 19 '17 at 12:44

[Fabio Lamanna](#)**8,511** 9 51 89

asked Jan 23 '16 at 10:04

[Amani](#)**3,365** 11 45 75

-
- 3 Please post some input sample data and desired output. – [Fabio Lamanna](#)
Jan 23 '16 at 10:12
-

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

Operate on the column directly instead. –

[Ted Petrou](#) Nov 6 '17 at 22:43

As Ted Petrou said, avoid using `apply` as much as possible. If you're not sure you need to use it, you probably don't. I recommend taking a look at [When should I ever want to use pandas apply\(\) in my code?](#). – [coldspeed](#) Jan 30 at 10:22

3 Answers



Given a sample dataframe `df` as:

218



```
a,b
1,2
2,3
3,4
4,5
```

what you want is:

```
df['a'] = df['a']
```

that returns:

```
   a  b
0  2  2
1  3  3
2  4  4
3  5  5
```

edited Feb 21 '17 at 21:54



mit

6,159 6 34 60

answered Jan 23 '16 at 10:15



[Fabio Lamanna](#)

never be used in
a situation like
this – [Ted Petrou](#)
Nov 6 '17 at
22:41

-
- 4 @TedPetrou
you're perfectly
right, it was just
an example on
how to apply a
general function
on one single
column, as the
OP asked. –
[Fabio Lamanna](#)
Nov 7 '17 at 9:26

-
- 6 When I try doing
this I get the
following
warning: "A
value is trying to
be set on a copy
of a slice from a
DataFrame. Try
using
.loc[row_indexer,
col_indexer] =
value instead" –
[dagrun](#) Mar 13
'18 at 11:24

-
- 9 As a matter of
curiosity: why
should apply not
be used in that
situation? What
is the situation
exactly? –
[Uncle Ben Ben](#)
Mar 28 '18 at
18:08

-
- 6 @UncleBenBen
in general
apply uses an
internal loop
over rows that is
far slower than
vectorized
functions, like
e.g. `df.a =
df.a / 2` (see
Mike Muller
answer). –
[Fabio Lamanna](#)
Mar 29 '18 at
9:21
-



33



You don't need a function at all. You can work on a whole column directly.

Example data:

```
>>> df = pd.DataFrame
>>> df
```

```
      a      b
0  100  200  300
1 1000 2000 3000
```

Half all the values in column a :

```
>>> df.a = df.a / 2
>>> df
```

```
      a      b
0   50  200  300
1  500 2000 3000
```

edited Aug 22 '17 at 16:18



Chrisji

296 2 13

answered Jan 23 '16 at 10:58



Mike Müller

55.3k 10 89 105



28



For a single column better to use `map()` , like this:

```
df = pd.DataFrame
25, 'b': 30, 'c':
```

```
      a  b  c
0  15 15  5
1  20 10  7
2  25 30  9
```

```
0    7.5  15    5
1   10.0  10    7
2   12.5  30    9
```

edited Mar 22 '17 at 14:18



[Fabio Lamanna](#)

8,511 9 51 89

answered Jan 23 '16 at 10:49



[George Petrov](#)

1,129 6 17

56 Why is `map()` better than `apply()` for a single column? – [ChaimG](#) Feb 5 '17 at 18:21

3 I think it should be `lambda a: a / 2.` instead. – [Max Candocia](#) Mar 17 '17 at 4:52

1 This was very useful. I used it to extract file names from paths stored in a column

```
df['file_name'] = df['Path'].map(lambda a: os.path.basename(a))
```

 – [mmann1123](#) May 1 '18 at 19:10

17 `map()` is for Series (i.e. single columns) and operates on one cell at a time, while `apply()` is for DataFrame, and operates on a whole row at a time. – [jpcgt](#) Jul 24 '18 at 14:27
