



# Hermes2D

C++ library for rapid development of adaptive hp-FEM / hp-DG solvers

Lukas Korous Johannesburg, 12<sup>th</sup> Oct 2016

### Hermes2d Overview

#### What it is?

- C++ multi-platform (Win, Linux, MacOS) library for rapid prototyping of FEM & DG solvers
- "When you want to solve a non-trivial PDE in 2d with the least possible effort. And you know some C/C++."
- "When you want to test drive something small (a preconditioner, error estimate, FE space), and you don't want to write all the rest for it. And you know some OOP in C++."

#### How can I try it out?

- <a href="https://github.com/hpfem/hermes">https://github.com/hpfem/hermes</a>
- On Linux
  - Download, get dependencies via package managers, configure, build
- On Windows
  - Download, get dependencies from <a href="https://github.com/l-korous/hermes-windows">https://github.com/l-korous/hermes-windows</a>, configure, build

#### What technologies are used in Hermes2d?

- (Modern) C++, (well) documented, and exception-safe
- OpenMP for parallelization of the most CPU-intensive tasks
- Real-time OpenGL visualization
- External linear solvers (UMFPACK, PARALUTION, MUMPS, Trilinos)
- Usual exchange formats (XML, BSON, VTK, ExodusII, MatrixMarket, Matlab)



### Hermes2d Overview > Features


#### What can it do?

- Stationary / Time-dependent / Harmonic equations
- Number of manual, and automatic mesh refinement (AMR) / Spatial adaptivity options
  - Granular AMR setup based on customizable error estimate calculations
- Nonlinear equation handling using Newton's and Picard's method
  - Fully automatic & configurable Newton's method with damping coefficient control, jacobian reuse control, arbitrary stopping criteria control
- Time-adaptivity, Space-time adaptivity using embedded Runge-Kutta methods
  - Time-error space distribution, arbitrary calculations of any error estimate pluggable into AMR, and time-step controllers
- Weak & Strong coupled problems
  - No matter what the coupling is, when you can write the weak formulation of your problem on paper, you can do that in Hermes2d as well.

### What it cannot do (does not do)

- Problem specific optimizations, caching, shortcuts, etc.
  - But #1: In some cases, the Hermes2D API provides methods that can be used for some optimizations.
  - But #2: Due to the use of OOP, users of the library are welcome to sub-class Hermes2d core classes and implement the missing functionality.





### Hermes2d Structures > Introduction

As a user, I have a problem:

Domain Geometry Weak Formulation Essential Boundary Conditions & Initial Conditions

Problem Knowledge

**Desired Output** 

Mesh

Domain needs to be meshed usually via a specific tool (GMSH, Cubit, etc.).

Since triangulation is very crucial part of the FE method, even though Graphical User Interface software (e.g. Agros2D) is generally able to accept the geometry (and it triangulates internally), the mesh is available for inspection by the user.



### Hermes2d Structures > Introduction

As a user, I have a problem:

Domain Geometry Weak Formulation Essential Boundary Conditions & Initial Conditions

Problem Knowledge

**Desired Output** 

Mesh

Problem Knowledge translates to selecting suitable FE spaces / solvers & their attributes / preconditioners, manual mesh refinements in problematic areas, etc.

Desired Output translates to selecting desired postprocessing tools - integral calculations, point values, graphical representation of the solution, or any function of solution(s).



# Hermes2d Structures > Mapping

As a user, I have a problem:

**Essential Boundary** Problem Domain Weak **Desired Output Conditions & Initial** Knowledge Formulation Geometry Conditions Mesh Space H1Space EssentialBoundaryCondition L2Space (DG) View HcurlSpace Mesh WeakForm Filter IntegralCalculator Solver ExactSolution LinearSolver NewtonSolver



### Hermes2d Structures > Mesh

### **Functionality:**

Input / Output – own format (plain text, XML), Cubit, GMSH (through Agros2D)

Graphical representation – direct (OpenGL view), VTK format


Refinements – set of methods for manual mesh refinement

#### Data:

Element []

Vertex []

Edge []





### Hermes2d Structures > Space

### **Functionality:**

Input / Output – own format (XML, BSON)


**Graphical representation** 

- direct (OpenGL view), VTK format
- OrderView (top), BaseView (bottom)

Element order handling – Get/Set, increase, decrease, uniform settings

Essential boundary conditions handling:

virtual Scalar value(double x, double y)




#### Data:

Mesh

Shapeset

ElementOrder []

EssentialBoundaryCondition []





### Hermes2d Structures > WeakForm, Solver

### **WeakForm Functionality:**

For each Form (=integral), performs calculations:

```
Scalar matrix_form(int n, double *wt, Func<double> *u, Func<double> *v)
Ord matrix_form(int n, double *wt, Func<Ord> *u, Func<Ord> *v)
Scalar vector_form(int n, double *wt, Func<double> *v)
Ord vector_form(int n, double *wt, Func<Ord> *v)
- n ... Number of integration points
- wt ... Integration weights
- u ... Basis function values(, derivatives) at integration points
- v ... Test function values(, derivatives) at integration points
```

#### WeakForm Data:

Form []

#### **Solver Subtypes:**

LinearSolver NewtonSolver PicardSolver

### **Solver Functionality:**

Damping factor handlingin case of Newton's methodAlgebraic solver selection

#### **Solver Data:**

Space WeakForm



# Examples > Magnetostatics

In this example, we solve the equation

$$\nabla \times \left[\frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla \times \mathbf{u})\right] = J, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\delta\Omega} = 0$$

#### Where

- J is the current density,
- $\mu$  is (nonlinear) permeability,
- u is the (sought) magnetic vector potential (its z-component),
- $\Omega$  is the space domain (picture on next slide).


The features illustrated on this example are


- Newton's method for nonlinear problems,
- nonlinear term specification using a table of values, and cubic spline interpolation.



# Examples > Magnetostatics

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Domain } \Omega \text{ with} \\ \text{triangular mesh} \end{array}$ 





Solution – vector potential u



### Examples > Magnetostatics

#### Refining the mesh according to a criterion

```
mesh->refine_by_criterion(&refinement_criterion);
- header: int refinement_criterion(Element* e), returning whether or not to refine a particular Element.
Used to refine the mesh around the copper <> iron interface.
```

#### CubicSpline class used for nonlinearity description

```
// Define nonlinear magnetic permeability via a cubic spline.
std::vector<double> mu_inv_pts({ 0.0, 0.5, 0.9, ... });
std::vector<double> mu_inv_val({ 1. / 1500.0, 1. / 1480.0, 1. / 1440.0, ... });
CubicSpline mu_inv_iron(mu_inv_pts, mu_inv_val, ...);
```

#### Newton's method for a strong nonlinearity

```
// Automatic damping ratio - if a step is unsuccessful, damping factor will be multiplied by this, if successful, it will be divided by this.

newton.set_auto_damping_ratio(1.5);

// Initial damping factor - we can start with a small one, automation will increase it if possible.

newton.set_initial_auto_damping_coeff(.1);

// The redidual norm after a step must be at most this factor times the norm from the previous step to pronounce the step 'successful'.

// Now for very strong nonlinearities, we may even need this > 1.

newton.set_sufficient_improvement_factor(1.25);

// This is a strong nonlinearity, where we can't afford to reuse Jacobian matrix from a step in subsequent steps.

newton.set_max_steps_with_reused_jacobian(0);

// Maximum number of iterations. Hermes will throw an exception if this number is exceeded and the tolerance is not met.

newton.set_max_allowed_iterations(100);

// The tolerance - simple in this case, but can be a combination of relative / absolute thresholds of residual norm, solution norm, solution difference norm, ...

newton.set tolerance(1e-8, Hermes::Solvers::ResidualNormAbsolute);
```





### Examples > Advection

In this example, we solve the equation

$$\nabla \cdot [(-x_2, x_1)\mathbf{u}] = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\delta\Omega} = \mathbf{g}$$

#### Where


- u is the sought solution,
- $\Omega$  is the space domain square (0, 1) x (0, 1),
- g is defined as follows:
  - g = 1, where  $(-x_2, x_1) \cdot \vec{n} (\vec{x}) > 0$ ,
  - g = 0, where  $(-x_2, x_1) \cdot \vec{n} (\vec{x}) \le 0$ .

### The features illustrated on this example are

- Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) implementation of integrating over internal edges,
- Post-processing of results in this case using a limiter preventing spurious oscillations that occur in DG method.



# Examples > Advection





### Examples > Advection

#### Integration over internal edges

Regular forms (simplified)

```
matrix_form(int n, double *wt, Func<double> *u, Func<double> *v)
- n ... Number of integration points
- wt ... Integration weights
- u ... Basis function values(, derivatives) at integration points
- v ... Test function values(, derivatives) at integration points
```

DG forms (simplified)

```
matrix_form(int n, double *wt, DiscontinuousFunc<double> *u, DiscontinuousFunc<double> *v)
- n, wt ... As above
- u, v ... DiscontinuousFunc<double> = { Func<double> u->central, Func<double>* u->neighbor } ... Only one is filled for each matrix_form call.
```

### Postprocessing

- e.g. Vertex-based limiter (Kuzmin, D.: A vertex-based hierarchical slope limiter for -adaptive discontinuous Galerkin methods, JCAM, 233(12):3077-3085)


```
// sln_vector ... Algebraic system solution
// space ... FE space of the solution
// Instantiate the limiter
PostProcessing::VertexBasedLimiter limiter(space, sln_vector);
// Get a limited solution, already as a Hermes2D Solution object
Hermes::Hermes2D::Solution<double> limited_sln = limiter.get_solution();
```




We solve the same problem – equation & boundary conditions:

$$\nabla \cdot [(-x_2, x_1)\mathbf{u}] = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\delta\Omega} = \mathbf{g}$$


But this time, we start from a very coarse mesh:




And we employ AMR (h-adaptivity) to get results on the next slide.









AMR step 2, Element count: 116



AMR step 3, Element count: 272




AMR step 4, Element count: 504



AMR step 5, Element count: 848





- based on a reference solution approach:
- 1. Solution is searched for in a "fine" Space.






- based on a reference solution approach:
- 1. Solution is searched for in a "fine" Space.
- 2. Obtained Solution is projected to a "coarse" Space.
  - One level of refinement coarser mesh, and degree p-1








- based on a reference solution approach:
- 1. Solution is searched for in a "fine" Space.
- 2. Obtained Solution is projected to a "coarse" Space.
  - One level of refinement coarser mesh, and degree p-1
- 3. Error is calculated as the appropriate norm of the difference.
  - In an element-wise manner
  - This is fully overridable by custom ErrorCalculator classes





- based on a reference solution approach:
- 1. Solution is searched for in a "fine" Space.
- 2. Obtained Solution is projected to a "coarse" Space.
  - One level of refinement coarser mesh, and degree p-1
- 3. Error is calculated as the appropriate norm of the difference.
  - In an element-wise manner
  - This is fully overridable by custom ErrorCalculator classes
- 4. The Elements with the largest errors are selected for refinement.





- based on a reference solution approach:
- 1. Solution is searched for in a "fine" Space.
- 2. Obtained Solution is projected to a "coarse" Space.
  - One level of refinement coarser mesh, and degree p-1
- 3. Error is calculated as the appropriate norm of the difference.
  - In an element-wise manner
  - This is fully overridable by custom ErrorCalculator classes
- 4. The Elements with the largest errors are selected for refinement.
- 5. For these Elements, the appropriate refinement is selected from candidates using local projections of the "fine" Solution.
  - Standard lists of the candidates for illustrations are on the right.

CAND_LIST	H-candidates	ANISO-candidates	P-candidates
H_ISO	h h v v		h
	h h v v		v
H_ANISO	h h v v	h h h	h
	h h v v	h v	v
P_ISO			$h+\delta_0$
			v+δ <sub>0</sub>
P_ANISO			$h+\alpha_0$
			v+β <sub>0</sub>
HP_ISO	$\begin{array}{c c} {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}h + \!\delta_{3} & {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}h + \!\delta_{2} \\ {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}v + \!\delta_{3} & {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}v + \!\delta_{2} \end{array}$		$h+\delta_0$
	$\begin{array}{c c} {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}h + \delta_{0} & {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}h + \delta_{1} \\ {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}v + \delta_{0} & {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}v + \delta_{1} \end{array}$		$v+\delta_0$
HP_ANISO_H	$\begin{matrix} I /_2 h + \delta_3 \\ I /_2 v + \delta_3 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} I /_2 h + \delta_2 \\ I /_2 v + \delta_2 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h+\delta_1 \\ \hline \prime \prime_2 v+\delta_1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c c} \prime \prime_2 h+\delta_0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c c} \prime \prime_2 h+\delta_1 \end{array}$	h+δ <sub>0</sub>
	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{I} \prime_2 h + \delta_0 & \text{I} \prime_2 h + \delta_1 \\ \text{I} \prime_2 v + \delta_0 & \text{I} \prime_2 v + \delta_1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h+\delta_0 \\ \hline & {}^{1}\!\!/_{2}v+\delta_0 \end{array} \qquad v+\delta_0 \qquad v+\delta_1$	$v+\delta_0$
HP_ANISO_P	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		h+a0
	$\begin{array}{c} {}_{1\!f_2}h+\alpha_0 \\ {}_{1\!f_2}v+\beta_0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} {}_{1\!f_2}h+\alpha_1 \\ {}_{1\!f_2}v+\beta_1 \end{array}$		v+β <sub>0</sub>
HP_ANISO	$\begin{matrix} I_{\prime 2}h+\alpha_3 & I_{\prime 2}h+\alpha_2 \\ I_{\prime 2}v+\beta_3 & I_{\prime 2}v+\beta_2 \end{matrix}$	$h+\alpha_1$ $1/2 + \beta_1$ $1/2 + \alpha_0$ $1/2 + \alpha_0$	$h+\alpha_0$
	$\begin{smallmatrix} I/_2h+\alpha_0 & I/_2h+\alpha_1 \\ I/_2v+\beta_0 & I/_2v+\beta_1 \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h+\alpha_0 & \\ \nu+\beta_0 & v+\beta_1 \end{array}$	v+β <sub>0</sub>



```
int adaptivity_step = 1; bool done = false;
do
    // Construct globally refined reference mesh and setup reference space.
    Mesh::ReferenceMeshCreator ref_mesh_creator(mesh);
    MeshSharedPtr ref_mesh = ref_mesh_creator.create_ref_mesh();
    Space<double>::ReferenceSpaceCreator refspace creator(space, ref mesh, USE TAYLOR SHAPESET ? 0 : 1);
    SpaceSharedPtr<double> refspace = refspace_creator.create_ref_space();
    // Solve the problem on the reference space.
    linear_solver.set_space(refspace);
    linear_solver.solve();
    // Get the Hermes2D Solution object from the solution vector.
    Solution<double>::vector to solution(linear solver.get sln vector(), refspace, refsln);
    // Project the reference solution to the coarse space in L2 norm for error calculation.
    OGProjection<double>::project global(space, refsln, sln, HERMES L2 NORM);
    // Calculate element errors and total error estimate.
    errorCalculator.calculate errors(sln, refsln);
    double total_error_estimate = errorCalculator.get_total_error_squared() * 100;
    // If error is too large, adapt the (coarse) space.
    if (error estimate > ERR STOP)
        adaptivity.adapt(&selector);
    else
        done = true;
    // Increase the step counter.
    adaptivity step++;
} while (done == false);
```



### Examples > Navier-Stokes

In this example, we solve the equations

$$\frac{\delta u}{\delta t} - \frac{\Delta u}{Re} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\delta \Omega \setminus \Gamma_o} = \mathbf{g}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0$$

#### Where

- $\Gamma_o$  is the output boundary,
- u, p is the sought solution,
- $\Omega$  is the space domain see next slide,
- g is defined as follows:
  - $g_1 = 0$  everywhere except inlet,
  - $g_2 = 0$  everywhere,
  - $g_1$  is a parabolic profile on the inlet.

#### The features illustrated on this example are


- OpenGL visualization of vector fields,
- Simple time-stepping using implicit Euler scheme.



# Examples > Navier-Stokes > OpenGL visualization of vector fields

#### Solution

- Velocity (vector field) top
- Pressure bottom
- t = 30s






### Examples > Navier-Stokes > OpenGL visualization of vector fields

#### Solution

- Velocity (vector field) top
- Pressure bottom
- t = 40s






### Examples > Navier-Stokes > OpenGL visualization of vector fields

#### Solution

- Velocity (vector field) top
- Pressure bottom
- t = 55s





### Examples > Navier-Stokes

Simple time-stepping using implicit Euler scheme

```
for (int time step = 1; time step <= T FINAL / TAU; time step++, current time += TAU)</pre>
   // Update time-dependent essential BCs.
    newton.set time(current time);
   // Solve Newton.
   // Weak formulation implements the implicit Euler scheme, and uses previous time step solutions.
    newton.solve(coeff vec);
   // Get the solutions, insert as Hermes2D Solution object into the previous time step solutions.
   Hermes::Hermes2D::Solution<double>::vector to solutions(newton.get sln vector(), spaces, sln prev time);
   // Visualization.
   vview.set title("Velocity, time %g", current_time);
   vview.show(xvel prev time, yvel prev time);
    pview.set title("Pressure, time %g", current time);
    pview.show(p prev time);
```



### Example > Complex

This problem describes the distribution of the vector potential in a domain comprising a wire carrying electrical current, air, and an iron which is not under voltage. We solve the equation

$$-\frac{1}{\mu}\Delta A + i\omega\sigma A - = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\Gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta u}{\delta n}\Big|_{\Gamma_2} = 0$$

#### Where


- $\Omega$  is the space domain rectangle (0, 0.004) x (0, 0.003),
- $\Gamma_1$  is the top and right and left edge of  $\Omega$ ,
- $\Gamma_2$  is the bottom edge of  $\Omega$ ,
- A is the sought solution,  $\omega$  is the given angular velocity,  $\sigma$  given conductivity

The features illustrated on this example are


- hp-adaptive FEM,
- Handling of complex equations in Hermes2D,
- Spatial error inspection.

Air	Air	Air	Air
Iron	Air	Air	Air
Air	Air	Wire	Air





















### Example > Complex

#### Handling of complex equations in Hermes2D

- Very easy, all relevant Hermes2d classes have the number (real | complex) as their template argument:
  - For real numbers we use double H1Space<double>(mesh, boundary conditions)
  - For complex numbers we use std::complex<double> H1Space<std::complex<double> >(mesh, boundary\_conditions)

### hp-adaptive FEM

- Basic settings to control the AMR process

```
// Error calculation & adaptivity. We specify the norm here, and the type of error
DefaultErrorCalculator<::complex, HERMES_H1_NORM> errorCalculator(RelativeErrorToGlobalNorm);

// Stopping criterion for an adaptivity step. Influences the number of elements refined at each step.
AdaptStoppingCriterionSingleElement<::complex> stoppingCriterion(0.9);

// Adaptivity processor class. Gets the error calculator and stopping criterion
Adapt<::complex> adaptivity(&errorCalculator, &stoppingCriterion);

// Stopping criterion for adaptivity.
const double TOTAL_ERROR_ESTIMATE_STOP = 1e-3;
```



# Examples > Wave Equation

In this example, we solve the equations

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\delta^2 u}{\delta t^2} - \Delta u = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\delta\Omega} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta u}{\delta t}|_{\delta\Omega} = 0 \quad (1)$$

#### Where

- u is the sought solution,
- $\Omega$  is the space domain see next slide,
- c is the wave speed.

We transform (1) into

$$\frac{\delta u}{\delta t} = v$$

$$\frac{\delta v}{\delta t} = c^2 \Delta u$$


The features illustrated on this example are

- Using an arbitrary Runge-Kutta method for time discretization,
- Time-adaptivity using embedded Runge-Kutta methods.




# Example > Wave Equation

u (left), v(right), t = 1e-2s






u (left), v(right), t = 5.1e-1s






u (left), v(right), t = 1.01s






u (left), v(right), t = 1.51s





u (left), v(right), t = 2.01s





### Examples > Wave Equation > Runge-Kutta methods

These Runge-Kutta methods are available in Hermes2D:

### Explicit methods:

Explicit\_RK\_1, Explicit\_RK\_2, Explicit\_RK\_3, Explicit\_RK\_4.

### Implicit methods:

```
Implicit_RK_1, Implicit_Crank_Nicolson_2_2, Implicit_SIRK_2_2, Implicit_ESIRK_2_2, Implicit_SDIRK_2_2, Implicit_Lobatto_IIIA_2_2, Implicit_Lobatto_IIIB_2_2, Implicit_Lobatto_IIIC_2_2, Implicit_Lobatto_IIIA_3_4, Implicit_Lobatto_IIIB_3_4, Implicit_Lobatto_IIIC_3_4, Implicit_Radau_IIA_3_5, Implicit_SDIRK_5_4.
```

#### Embedded explicit methods:

Explicit\_HEUN\_EULER\_2\_12\_embedded, Explicit\_BOGACKI\_SHAMPINE\_4\_23\_embedded, Explicit\_FEHLBERG\_6\_45\_embedded, Explicit\_CASH\_KARP\_6\_45\_embedded, Explicit\_DORMAND\_PRINCE\_7\_45\_embedded.

#### Embedded implicit methods:


Implicit\_SDIRK\_CASH\_3\_23\_embedded, Implicit\_ESDIRK\_TRBDF2\_3\_23\_embedded, Implicit\_ESDIRK\_TRX2\_3\_23\_embedded, Implicit\_SDIRK\_BILLINGTON\_3\_23\_embedded, Implicit\_SDIRK\_CASH\_5\_24\_embedded, Implicit\_SDIRK\_CASH\_5\_34\_embedded, Implicit\_DIRK\_ISMAIL\_7\_45\_embedded.




### Time-adaptivity using embedded Runge-Kutta methods


```
runge_kutta.rk_time_step_newton(previous_solution, new_solution, time_error_function);
...
Hermes::Hermes2D::Views::ScalarView time_error_view;
time_error_view.show(time_error_function);
...
DefaultNormCalculator<double, HERMES_H1_NORM> normCalculator;
normCalculator.calculate_norms(time_error_function);
double relative_time_error_estimate = normCalculator.get_total_norm_squared() * 100.;
```

Image – temporal error in u (top), v (bottom), t = 0.3s











### Time-adaptivity using embedded Runge-Kutta methods

```
runge_kutta.rk_time_step_newton(previous_solution, new_solution, time_error_function);
...
Hermes::Hermes2D::Views::ScalarView time_error_view;
time_error_view.show(time_error_function);
...
DefaultNormCalculator<double, HERMES_H1_NORM> normCalculator;
normCalculator.calculate_norms(time_error_function);
double relative_time_error_estimate = normCalculator.get_total_norm_squared() * 100.;
```

Image – temporal error in u (top), v (bottom), t = 0.8s







We solve the equation

$$-\Delta u = f$$
,  $u|_{\delta\Omega} = \tilde{f}$ 

### Where


- $\Omega$  is the space domain square (0, 1) x (0, 1),
- $\tilde{f}$  is the (known) exact solution,
- f is the Laplacian of the exact solution,
- u is the sought solution


The features illustrated on this example are

- Calculating exact error,
- Anisotropic refinements usage in AMR.





solution (left), FE space (right), adaptivity step #1















### solution (left), FE space (right), adaptivity step #3










1
























Calculating exact error – through sub-classing existing Hermes2D class ExactSolutionScalar<double>:

```
class CustomExactSolution : public ExactSolutionScalar<double>{
public:
    // Implement the actual function expression.
    virtual double value(double x, double y) const;

    // Implement the derivatives expression.
    virtual void derivatives (double x, double y, double& dx, double& dy) const;

    // Overwrite the expression calculating polynomial order (for integration order deduction).
    virtual Ord value(Ord x, Ord y) const;
};
```

- and then employing standard ErrorCalculator<double> class for error calculation

### Anisotropic refinements usage in AMR

```
// Predefined list of element refinement candidates.
// H2D_HP_ANISO stands for h-, and p-candidates, anisotropic in shape, and polynomial orders.
const CandList CAND_LIST = H2D_HP_ANISO;
// Class which for each element selected for refinement, selects the best refinement candidate.
H1ProjBasedSelector<double> selector(CAND_LIST);
...
// As before, adapt the FE space - using the selector provided
adaptivity.adapt(&selector);
```



We solve the system of equations

$$c^{TT} \frac{\delta T}{\delta t} + c^{Tw} \frac{\delta w}{\delta t} - d^{TT} \Delta T - d^{Tw} \Delta w = 0,$$

$$c^{wT} \frac{\delta T}{\delta t} + c^{ww} \frac{\delta w}{\delta t} - d^{wT} \Delta T - d^{ww} \Delta w = 0.$$


#### Where


- *T*, *w* is the sought solution,
- $c^{ab}$ ,  $d^{ab}$  are thermal capacity and thermal conductivity properties,
- (complicated) boundary conditions (Axisymmetric, Dirichlet, Newton) are prescribed.


The features illustrated on this example are


- Multimesh,
- Dynamical meshes.











- Multimesh & Dynamical meshes
  - The key functionality here is de-refining the FE space, either periodically or when some indicator indicates us to.

```
if (should_unrefine_in_this_step)
{
    // Unrefine one layer of refinements on the mesh for T.
    T_mesh->unrefine_all_elements();
    // Also coarsen the polynomial order.
    T_space->adjust_element_order(-1);

    // Do the above also for the mesh for w.
    w_mesh->unrefine_all_elements();
    w_space->adjust_element_order(-1);

    // Assign DOFs after the change.
    T_space->assign_dofs();
    w_space->assign_dofs();
}
```

- Note:
  - In the above, it is clearly seen that there are two Space, and two Mesh structures different for each component.
  - This is enough input from the user to achieve multi-mesh behavior. Hermes2D takes care of the rest.



We solve the Euler equations of compressible flow

$$\frac{\mathbf{w}^{n+1} - \mathbf{w}^n}{\tau} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_x(\mathbf{w}^{n+1})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_y(\mathbf{w}^{n+1})}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_z(\mathbf{w}^{n+1})}{\partial z} = 0$$

Where

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho \\ \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_2 \\ \rho u_3 \\ E \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{f}_x = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_1^2 + p \\ \rho u_1 u_2 \\ \rho u_1 u_3 \\ u_1(E+p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \frac{w_1^2}{w_0} + p \\ \frac{w_1 w_2}{w_0} \\ \frac{w_1 w_3}{w_0} \\ \frac{w_1}{w_0}(w_4+p) \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{f}_y = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u_2 \\ \rho u_2 u_1 \\ \rho u_2^2 + p \\ \rho u_2 u_3 \\ u_2(E+p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_2 \\ \frac{w_2 w_1}{w_0} \\ \frac{w_2}{w_0} + p \\ \frac{w_2 w_3}{w_0} \\ \frac{w_2}{w_0}(w_4+p) \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{f}_z = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u_3 \\ \rho u_3 u_1 \\ \rho u_3 u_2 \\ \rho u_3^2 + p \\ u_3(E+p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_3 \\ \frac{w_3 w_1}{w_0} \\ \frac{w_2}{w_0} + p \\ \frac{w_2}{w_0}(w_4+p) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p = \frac{R}{c_v} \left( E - \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^3 \right) \right) = \frac{R}{c_v} \left( w_4 - \frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2}{2w_0} \right)$$


- w is the sought solution,
- R,  $c_v$  are constants
- (complicated) Boundary conditions (reflective, 'do nothing', and inlet) are prescribed.

The feature illustrated on this example are:

- Post-processing filters (calculating Mach number, pressure)




Mach number (left), Pressure (right), t = 3e-4 s.






Mach number (left), Pressure (right), t = 0.09 s.






Mach number (left), Pressure (right), t = 0.21 s.





Mach number (left), Pressure (right), t = 0.36 s.





### Post-processing filters

- Implemented by sub-classing Filter classes


```
class MachNumberFilter : public Hermes::Hermes2D::SimpleFilter<double>
  // Constructors, etc.
 // Overriden method performing the actual calculation
 virtual void filter fn(int n, const std::vector<const double*>& source values, double* result)
    // Calculate result for each integration point.
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)</pre>
     double density = source values.at(0)[i];
     double momentum_x = source_values.at(1)[i];
     double momentum_y = source_values.at(2)[i];
     double energy = source values.at(3)[i];
     // Expression to calculate Mach number in the integration point.
     result[i] = std::sqrt((momentum_x / density) * (momentum_x / density) + (momentum_y / density) * (momentum_y / density))
        / std::sqrt(kappa * QuantityCalculator::calc pressure(density, momentum x, momentum y, energy, kappa) / density);
};
```



This example shows how to model harmonic steady state in parallel plate waveguide. We solve the (complex) equation

$$-\Delta E - \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon E + j \omega \sigma \mu = 0,$$

In this domain:




#### Where

- E is the sought solution,
- $\omega$  is angular velocity,
- $\sigma$  is conductivity,
- $\varepsilon$  is permittivity,
- $\mu$  is permeability,
- (complicated) boundary conditions (perfect conductor, impedance, Dirichlet) are prescribed.


The feature illustrated on this example is


- writing a simple program using Hermes2d.




























```
std::cout << "Done?";
std::cin >> change_state;
if (!strcmp(change_state, "y"))
    break;
std::cout << "Frequency change [1e9 Hz]: ";
std::cin >> frequency_change;
```











Thank you for your attention.

www.hpfem.org/hermes

