

Research Report: Ratings for "Irma la Douce (1963)"

Genres: Comedy

Below is a collection of individual user ratings drawn from the MovieLens 2000 dataset:

- On December 28, 2000, a female user aged between 45 and 49, working as a self-employed worker, gave a rating of 3.
- On December 18, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 5.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on December 15, 2000 from a male user in the between 45 and 49 age group, working as a retired individual.
- On February 01, 2001, a male user aged between 50 and 55, working as a lawyer, gave a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on December 08, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a scientist.
- A male viewer aged 56 or older, employed as a academic or educator, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on December 08, 2000.
- A female viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a academic or educator, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on January 02, 2001.
- On December 08, 2000, a user identifying as a female and categorized under between 45 and 49 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on January 31, 2001 from a female user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a programmer.
- On December 07, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 45 and 49 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on November 29, 2000 from a male user in the between 45 and 49 age group, working as a clerical or administrative worker.
- A female viewer aged under 18, employed as a artist, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on April

07, 2001.

- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a healthcare professional, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on December 08, 2000.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on November 26, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a academic or educator.
- On November 28, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a writer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on November 26, 2000.
- On February 04, 2001, a female user aged between 50 and 55, working as a unspecified occupation, gave a rating of 2.
- On November 25, 2000, a female user aged 56 or older, working as a self-employed worker, gave a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on November 25, 2000 from a male user in the 56 or older age group, working as a programmer.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on December 04, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a self-employed worker.
- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a unemployed individual, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on November 24, 2000.
- On November 22, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a programmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on December 18, 2001.
- On October 04, 2001, a male user aged between 45 and 49, working as a executive or managerial worker, gave a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on December 01, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a college or graduate student.

- The movie received a rating of 5 on November 21, 2000 from a male user in the 56 or older age group, working as a unspecified occupation.
- On November 21, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 25 and 34 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a self-employed worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on November 21, 2000.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on November 29, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a writer.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on November 20, 2000 from a male user in the between 25 and 34 age group, working as a self-employed worker.
- On December 15, 2002, a male user aged between 35 and 44, working as a academic or educator, gave a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on November 28, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a unspecified occupation.
- A female viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a artist, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on November 24, 2001.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on November 20, 2000 from a female user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a executive or managerial worker.
- On November 19, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on November 19, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a executive or managerial worker.
- On November 21, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- On November 27, 2000, a male user aged between 45 and 49, working as a technician or engineer, gave a rating of 4.
- On November 19, 2000, a user identifying as a female and categorized under between 25 and 34

age range gave this title a rating of 3.

- On November 19, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 3.

- On November 18, 2000, a male user aged between 50 and 55, working as a executive or managerial worker, gave a rating of 4.

- On November 17, 2000, a female user aged 56 or older, working as a executive or managerial worker, gave a rating of 4.

- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on November 16, 2000.

- A male viewer aged 56 or older, employed as a retired individual, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on November 13, 2000.

- The movie received a rating of 5 on November 08, 2000 from a female user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a programmer.

- On November 02, 2000, a female user aged between 50 and 55, working as a writer, gave a rating of 4.

- The movie received a rating of 4 on October 24, 2000 from a female user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a writer.

- On October 02, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 45 and 49 age range gave this title a rating of 4.

- On September 04, 2000, a female user aged between 50 and 55, working as a healthcare professional, gave a rating of 3.

- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on September 01, 2000.

- On August 30, 2000, a male user aged between 50 and 55, working as a technician or engineer, gave a rating of 5.

- On August 23, 2000, a female user aged between 25 and 34, working as a college or graduate student, gave a rating of 3.

- On August 14, 2000, a male user aged between 45 and 49, working as a executive or managerial worker, gave a rating of 3.
- On August 12, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 25 and 34 age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- A female viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a farmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on August 10, 2000.
- On August 09, 2000, a male user aged between 50 and 55, working as a writer, gave a rating of 5.
- On August 07, 2000, a female user aged between 45 and 49, working as a academic or educator, gave a rating of 5.
- A female viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a programmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on August 07, 2000.
- On August 06, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 5.
- On August 13, 2000, a male user aged between 25 and 34, working as a college or graduate student, gave a rating of 1.
- On August 05, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- On October 15, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on August 03, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a technician or engineer.
- On August 03, 2000, a user identifying as a female and categorized under between 45 and 49 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on August 03, 2000 from a female user in the between 45 and 49 age group, working as a writer.
- On August 02, 2000, a male user aged between 50 and 55, working as a retired individual, gave a rating of 2.

- On August 02, 2000, a male user aged between 35 and 44, working as a sales or marketing professional, gave a rating of 4.
- A female viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a artist, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on August 01, 2000.
- On August 01, 2000, a male user aged between 35 and 44, working as a executive or managerial worker, gave a rating of 3.
- On August 01, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a self-employed worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on July 10, 2000.
- A female viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a healthcare professional, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on July 07, 2000.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on July 07, 2000 from a male user in the 56 or older age group, working as a academic or educator.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on July 06, 2000 from a female user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a academic or educator.
- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a scientist, evaluated the film with a rating of 2 on July 03, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a healthcare professional, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on July 03, 2000.
- On March 15, 2001, a male user aged between 35 and 44, working as a artist, gave a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on June 30, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a academic or educator.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on July 01, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a healthcare professional.
- A female viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a unspecified occupation, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on June 30, 2000.

- A female viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a sales or marketing professional, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on June 18, 2000.
- On June 10, 2000, a female user aged between 50 and 55, working as a academic or educator, gave a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 5 on June 10, 2000 from a female user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a customer service representative.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on June 06, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a executive or managerial worker.
- On June 02, 2000, a user identifying as a female and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on May 25, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a self-employed worker.
- On May 19, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- On May 18, 2000, a male user aged 56 or older, working as a self-employed worker, gave a rating of 1.
- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a programmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 2 on May 15, 2000.
- On April 28, 2000, a male user aged 56 or older, working as a academic or educator, gave a rating of 1.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on April 28, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a executive or managerial worker.
- A female viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a writer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on April 27, 2000.
- On April 29, 2000, a user identifying as a female and categorized under between 45 and 49 age range gave this title a rating of 5.