

Research Report: Ratings for "Cross of Iron (1977)"

Genres: War

Below is a collection of individual user ratings drawn from the MovieLens 2000 dataset:

- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a sales or marketing professional, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on December 12, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on December 07, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on December 01, 2000.
- A male viewer aged 56 or older, employed as a retired individual, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on December 01, 2000.
- On February 12, 2001, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 35 and 44 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- On November 26, 2000, a male user aged between 35 and 44, working as a executive or managerial worker, gave a rating of 2.
- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a self-employed worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on November 20, 2000.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on November 20, 2000 from a male user in the between 25 and 34 age group, working as a writer.
- On June 19, 2002, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 50 and 55 age range gave this title a rating of 3.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on November 15, 2000 from a male user in the between 45 and 49 age group, working as a technician or engineer.
- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on November 08, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a technician or engineer, evaluated the film

with a rating of 3 on November 07, 2000.

- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on October 19, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a artist, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on September 22, 2000.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on September 21, 2000 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a healthcare professional.
- On September 10, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under between 45 and 49 age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a technician or engineer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on September 03, 2000.
- On August 25, 2000, a male user aged between 45 and 49, working as a technician or engineer, gave a rating of 4.
- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a unspecified occupation, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on August 25, 2000.
- A male viewer aged 56 or older, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on August 12, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a tradesperson or craftsman, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on August 11, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a technician or engineer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on August 09, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a programmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on November 08, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a programmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on August 06, 2000.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on August 05, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a clerical or administrative worker.

- The movie received a rating of 3 on August 03, 2000 from a male user in the between 18 and 24 age group, working as a college or graduate student.
- On August 16, 2000, a user identifying as a male and categorized under 56 or older age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- The movie received a rating of 3 on January 15, 2001 from a male user in the between 50 and 55 age group, working as a unspecified occupation.
- The movie received a rating of 4 on August 03, 2000 from a male user in the 56 or older age group, working as a tradesperson or craftsman.
- On August 03, 2000, a male user aged between 25 and 34, working as a self-employed worker, gave a rating of 4.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a sales or marketing professional, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on July 31, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on August 20, 2000.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a executive or managerial worker, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on July 24, 2000.
- On July 20, 2000, a male user aged between 25 and 34, working as a college or graduate student, gave a rating of 5.
- A male viewer aged between 45 and 49, employed as a scientist, evaluated the film with a rating of 3 on July 17, 2000.
- On July 05, 2000, a user identifying as a female and categorized under between 35 and 44 age range gave this title a rating of 4.
- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a artist, evaluated the film with a rating of 5 on July 03, 2000.
- A female viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a technician or engineer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on June 25, 2000.
- On May 31, 2000, a male user aged between 45 and 49, working as a executive or managerial

worker, gave a rating of 5.

- A male viewer aged between 50 and 55, employed as a writer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on May 18, 2000.

- A male viewer aged between 35 and 44, employed as a academic or educator, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on May 17, 2000.

- A male viewer aged between 25 and 34, employed as a programmer, evaluated the film with a rating of 4 on May 15, 2000.

- The movie received a rating of 3 on May 04, 2000 from a male user in the between 35 and 44 age group, working as a technician or engineer.