

Irradiation influence on acousto-defect interaction in silicon n^+p -structure

O. Ya. Olikh,^{1, a)} A. M. Gorb,¹ R. G. Chupryna,¹ and O. V. Pristay-Fenenkov¹

Faculty of Physics, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv 01601, Ukraine

(Dated: 5 August 2017)

Abstract. The effects of 75 MeV boron (B^{5+}) ions and 60 Co gamma radiation on the IV, CV and spectral responses of PIN photodiodes were studied systematically to understand the radiation tolerance of the devices.

Keywords: acousto-defect interaction, silicon, irradiation

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been shown experimentally that ultrasound (US) can effectively interact with defects. US as defect engineering tool has some advantages: (i) locality of the action due to the predominant absorption in regions of deviation in the lattice periodicity; (ii) selectivity of the influence, which is achieved by variation of ultrasonic wave (USW) polarization and type; (iii) possibility of resonance transformations in the defect system due to the oscillation nature of process action. (iv) capability of reversible effect, which is observed in the low intensity USW utilization case.

In the case of piezoelectric semiconductors, the acousto-defect interaction (ADI) is determined mainly by electric field, which accompanies the vibration wave propagation. This effect is expected and is something related to acousto-electric phenomena. But US influence on defect system is also observed in non-piezoelectric crystals like silicon, which is dominant material in microelectronic. Thus it is experimentally observed in silicon crystals and silicon based structures, that US caused an atomic diffusion^{1,2}, transformed a native and an impurity defect³⁻⁷ modified interior surface states⁸⁻¹⁰, created new defect^{11,12}. Defects are known to determine a most of semiconductor device properties. And exactly the ADI is a reason of US induced variation of tunneling^{13,14}, a generation-recombination¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and a thermionic emission^{18,19} current in silicon barrier structures.

The main mechanisms of elastic vibration-defect interaction in non-piezoelectric crystals are considered to be the change of population of impurity oscillator levels²⁰, the displacement of impurity atoms with respect to their surroundings^{4,21,22}, the decreasing of the diffusion activation energy²³, the local temperature increase by clusters of point defects²⁴, the dislocation absorption of ultrasound^{16,25,26}. However to the best of our knowledge, the complete ADI theory in silicon is absent. One

of a top-ranked cause of this situation is a lack of experimental works, which have focused on investigation of acoustically induced (AI) effects.

Not all silicon defects are acoustically active and are subjected to modification under US action. The ADI efficiency depends on the defects centers type and structure⁸. Thus, for example according to^{21,22}, the force acting on the point defect during US loading (USL) is proportionate to volume elastic strain caused by the relaxation of the defect volume $\Delta\Omega_d$. The irradiation is most widespread and studied methods of alteration of semiconductor defects. On the one hand, it is shown²⁷⁻³⁰, that high-power US treatment leads to residual changes of the irradiated silicon structure properties. This effect deals with AI annealing of radiation defects (RDs). On the other hand, irradiation can be a reason of reversible AI phenomenon initiation^{31,32}, which is caused by formation of acoustically active RDs. Unfortunately, there have only few reports on acoustically driven phenomenon in irradiated silicon structures.

Our goal is to investigate experimentally the AI variations of electrical characteristic which take place in non-irradiated and irradiated n^+p -Si structures. Irradiation was carried by reactor neutrons and a ⁶⁰Co-gamma source. It is expected that γ -radiation introduces vacancy-impurity point defects (VO_i complex predominantly)³³⁻³⁵ whereas neutrons create cluster defects^{36,37}, disordered regions³⁸ and C_iO_i complex^{35,39} mainly. This work represents distinctions of AI changes in silicon structures with different RDs. The used US intensity (W_{US}) was not very high (< 0.5 W/cm²) to avoid the irreversible defect subsystem modification, which can be deals with a new defect creation, a RDs annealing or a long distance (a great many interatomic distance) AI diffusion. As a result, the full recovery of n^+p -Si structure characteristics was observed after the stop of an AW propagation. The models of coupled defect level recombination^{40,41}, Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) recombination, and dislocation-induced impedance^{42,43} were used to describe the processes in the space charge region, in the diode base, and shunt resistance respectively. The interaction of defects with an inhomogeneous USW

^{a)} Electronic mail: olikh@univ.kiev.ua

strain field^{21,22} was recruited to explain the observed AI phenomena. The investigation would provide not only better ADI understanding but could also facilitate the development of acoustically controlled devices or radiation sensors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND CALCULATION DETAILS

The 2 inch (300 μm thick) p-type boron doped, $\langle 111 \rangle$ orientation, Czochralski (Cz) silicon wafer having resistivity of $10 \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ was used for fabrication of n^+p -Si structure. The n^+ emitter with carrier concentration of about 10^{19} cm^{-3} and thickness of $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ was formed by phosphorus implantation. Then wafer surface was passivated by Al_2O_3 film and further capped by TiO_x as antireflective coating. Finally the aluminium front (metal grid) and rear (solid contact) electrodes were deposited by screen printing before rapid annealing. The samples with area of about 2 cm^2 used in experiments were cut from the central part of the wafer. 1 sample was irradiated with neutrons in the fluence of $\Psi_n = 4 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ n/cm}^2$ and was denoted as nSC. 2 samples were irradiated with ^{60}Co γ -rays in the dose $D_{\gamma 1} = 10^6 \text{ rad}$ and $D_{\gamma 2} = 10^7 \text{ rad}$ and were denoted as g6SC and g7SC respectively. The label iSC was used for non-irradiated sample. To avoid an impact of long-term annealing, which are typical to neutron damaged structure especially^{35,36}, irradiated samples were stored for 5 years at room temperature before measuring. According to data^{44,45} the neutron dose and gamma fluences can be calculated as $D_n = 4.6 \cdot 10^3 \text{ rad}$ and $\Psi_{\gamma 1} = 1.6 \cdot 10^{15} \gamma/\text{cm}^2$ and $\Psi_{\gamma 2} = 1.6 \cdot 10^{16} \gamma/\text{cm}^2$ respectively. The non-ionizing energy losses (NIEL) and lifetime damage-constants (K_τ) for neutron differ from γ - ^{60}Co ones by four order of magnitude^{33,44}: $\text{NIEL}_n = 2.04 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ MeV cm}^2/\text{g}$, $\text{NIEL}_\gamma = 1.07 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ MeV cm}^2/\text{g}$, $K_{\tau,n} = 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, $K_{\tau,\gamma} = 5 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. Therefore neutrons and γ -rays are expected to produce a similar damage ($\Psi_{\gamma 1} \cdot K_{\tau,\gamma} < \Psi_n \cdot K_{\tau,n} < \Psi_{\gamma 2} \cdot K_{\tau,\gamma}$).

The dark forward current-voltage (I - V) characteristics of the samples both with and without USL were measured over a temperature range 290–340 K. The temperature was controlled by differential copper-constantan thermocouple. Some curves are shown in Fig. 1.

The double-diode model of n^+p structure I - V characteristics expressed in the following form:

$$I(V, T) = I_{SCR} + I_{base} + I_{sh}, \quad (1)$$

$$I_{SCR} = \frac{qAn_id}{2\tau_g} \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{q(V - IR_s)}{nkT} \right] - 1 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

$$I_{base} = \frac{qAn_i^2}{p_p} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_n kT}{\tau_n}} \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{q(V - IR_s)}{kT} \right] - 1 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$I_{sh} = (V - IR_s)/R_{sh}, \quad (4)$$

where I_{SCR} reflects the overall recombination in the space charge region (SCR), I_{base} is closely related to recombination in the quasi-neutral region, I_{sh} is the shunt current, A is the sample area, n_i is the intrinsic carrier

TABLE I. The parameters of ultrasound loadings.

Sample	W_{US} , W/cm ²	Label
iSC	0.22	USL-i-1
	0.40	USL-i-2
nSC	0.21	USL-n-1
	0.36	USL-n-2
g6SC	0.32	USL-g6-2
g7SC	0.24	USL-g7-1
	0.40	USL-g7-2

concentration, τ_g is the SCR carrier lifetime, d is the SCR thickness:

$$d = \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon\varepsilon_0(p_p + n_n)}{q^2 p_p n_n} \left[E_g - kT \ln \left(\frac{N_v N_c}{p_p n_n} \right) - 2kT - Vq \right]}, \quad (5)$$

ε is the permittivity (11.7 for Si), p_p and n_n are the majority carrier concentration in the p - and n -type regions, E_g is the semiconductor band gap, N_c and N_v are the effective density of states in the conduction and valence bands; n is the ideality factor of the nonideal current components, R_s and R_{sh} are the series and shunt resistances of the structure, μ_n and τ_n are the electron (minority carrier) mobility and lifetime in the diode base.

We used Eqs. (1)–(5) to fit the experimental data and τ_g , τ_n , n , R_{sh} , and R_s were taken as the fittings parameters. The known^{46–48} temperature dependencies of n_i , E_g , and μ_n were used. The extremely good fit to the experimental data was obtained — see Fig. 1. In particular, the R_s value 1Ω was determined for all samples.

In the USL case, the transverse acoustic waves with frequency of 4.2 MHz were excited with help of a piezoelectric transducer and were injected in the samples from the base side in the $[111]$ -direction. The USLs parameters of different samples are listed in the Table I. It was reported previously^{6,7,19} that a characteristic time of change in the silicon structure parameters under the ultrasound action did not exceed $2 \cdot 10^3 \text{ s}$. In order to wait till the acoustically induced (AI) transitional period the following experimental procedure has been used. After USL start the sample was kept at room temperature during 60 min and then the I - V measurements and the sample heating were started. In order to avoid the effect of piezoelectric field on I - V characteristics, the piezoelectric transducer has been shielded.

The linear and non-linear fitting were done by using the least-squares and differential evolution⁴⁹ method, respectively.




FIG. 1. Dark I - V characteristics measured (a) at 306 K for non-irradiated (circles), neutron-irradiated (squares) and gamma-irradiated (diamonds and triangles) structures without USL; (b) at 301 K (circles) and 341 K (asterisks) with (filled marks, $W_{US} = 0.40 \text{ W/cm}^2$) and without (open marks) USL for the iSC. The marks are the experimental results, the solid lines are the fitted curves using Eqs. (1)–(5). The dashed, dot-dashed and dotted lines in (a) are the base, SCR and shunt components of iSC current, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Ultrasound influence on the SCR recombination

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, 16 methods were implemented to extract the physical parameters of Schottky diodes. To do so, experimental and synthetic I - V data were used. It has been analyzed the dependences of extraction accuracy of series resistance, barrier height and ideality factor on the parameters value and on the noise level of the I - V curve. It has been shown that the use of Lambert function for numerical calculation allows to reduce both the determination error value and the number of accuracy influencing factor; whereas the running time increases. It has been examined the adaptive procedure, which provide selection of the I - V data range for an auxiliary function construction taking into account the deviation of calculated curve and curve under investigation. This procedure find out to improve the accuracy of analytical Gromov method, especially in the case of low noise level data. In consideration of evolutionary algorithms, the MABC method is favorable over the DE, the PSO and the TLBO due to the minimal running time. The most reliable and preferred methods seem to be evolutionary algorithms (specifically the MABC), Gromov method with adaptive procedure and Lee method. The first one is reasonable in the case of a small R_s value (a few ohms) or a large I_s value (high temperature).

This work of review, test and comparative analysis of

different techniques for determination of Schottky diode parameters should be useful for further research and development on metal-semiconductor devices.

- ¹M. Jivanescu, A. Romanyuk, and A. Stesmans, J. Appl. Phys. **107**, 114307 (2010).
- ²A. Romanyuk, P. Oelhafen, R. Kurps, and V. Melnik, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 013118 (2007).
- ³I. A. Buyanova, S. S. Ostapenko, M. K. Sheinkman, and M. Murrikov, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **9**, 158 (1994).
- ⁴O. Korotchenkov and H. Grimmliss, Physical Review B **52**, 14598 (1995).
- ⁵O. Y. Olikh, Semiconductors **43**, 745 (2009).
- ⁶S. S. Ostapenko and R. E. Bell, Journal of Applied Physics **77**, 5458 (1995).
- ⁷I. Ostrovskii, O. Korotchenkov, O. Olikh, A. Podolyan, R. Chupryna, and M. Torres-Cisneros, J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt. **3**, S82 (2001).
- ⁸D. Kropman, V. Seeman, S. Dolgov, and A. Medvids, phys. stat. sol. (c) **13**, 793 (2016).
- ⁹N. Zaveryukhina, E. Zaveryukhina, S. Vlasov, and B. Zaveryukhin, Technical Physics Letters **34**, 241 (2008).
- ¹⁰S. A. Mirsagatov, I. B. Sapaeva, and Z. Nazarov, Inorganic Materials **51**, 1 (2015).
- ¹¹R. Savkina, A. Smirnov, T. Kryshstab, and A. Kryvko, Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process. **37**, 179 (2015).
- ¹²M. Viot, R. Pflieger, E. V. Skorb, J. Ravaux, T. Zemb, and H. Mohwald, J. Phys. Chem. C **119**, 15493 (2012).
- ¹³O. Y. Olikh, K. V. Voytenko, R. M. Burbelo, and J. M. Olikh, Journal of Semiconductors **37**, 122002 (2016).
- ¹⁴O. Olikh, Semiconductors **45**, 798 (2011).
- ¹⁵A. Davletova and S. Z. Karazhanov, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids **70**, 989 (2009).
- ¹⁶A. Davletova and S. Z. Karazhanov, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics **41**, 165107 (2008).
- ¹⁷V. Melnik, Y. Olikh, V. Popov, B. Romanyuk, Y. Goltvyanskii, and A. Evtukh, Materials Science & Engineering, B: Solid-State Materials for Advanced Technology **124–125**, 327 (2005).

- ¹⁸O. Y. Olikh, K. V. Voytenko, and R. M. Burbelo, *Journal of Applied Physics* **117**, 044505 (2015).
- ¹⁹O. Olikh, *Ultrasonics* **56**, 545 (2015).
- ²⁰V. N. Pavlovich, *phys. stat. sol. (b)* **180**, 97 (1993).
- ²¹F. Mirzade, *J. Appl. Phys.* **110**, 064906 (2011).
- ²²R. Peleshchak, O. Kuzyk, and O. Dan'kiv, *Ukr. J. Phys.* **61**, 741 (2016).
- ²³V. D. Krevchik, R. A. Muminov, and A. Y. Yafasov, *phys. stat. sol. (a)* **63**, K159 (1981).
- ²⁴F. Mirzade, *J. Appl. Phys.* **97**, 084911 (2005).
- ²⁵I. Ostrovskii and O. Korotchenkov, *Solid State Commun.* **82**, 267 (1992).
- ²⁶O. Olikh and K. Voytenko, *Ultrasonics* **66**, 1 (2016).
- ²⁷N. Guseynov, Y. Olikh, and S. Askerov, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* **33**, 18 (2007).
- ²⁸P. Parchinskii, S. Vlasov, and L. Ligai, *Semiconductors* **40**, 808 (2006).
- ²⁹A. Gorb, O. Korotchenkov, O. Olikh, and A. Podolian, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **57**, 1632 (2010).
- ³⁰A. O. Podolian, A. B. Nadtochiy, and O. A. Korotchenkov, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* **38**, 405 (2012).
- ³¹Y. Olikh, M. Tymochko, and A. Dolgolenko, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* **32**, 586 (2006).
- ³²Y. Olikh and M. Tymochko, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* **37**, 37 (2011).
- ³³H. Jafari and S. Feghhi, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **816**, 62 (2016).
- ³⁴Y. P. Rao, K. Praveen, Y. R. Rani, A. Tripathi, and A. G. Prakash, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **316**, 205 (2013).
- ³⁵M. Moll, H. Feick, E. Fretwurst, G. Lindström, and C. Schütze, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **388**, 335 (1997).
- ³⁶J. Srou, C. Marshall, and P. Marshall, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **50**, 653 (2003).
- ³⁷I. Pintilie, G. Lindstroem, A. Junkes, and E. Fretwurst, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **611**, 52 (2009).
- ³⁸N. Arutyunov, N. Bennett, N. Wight, R. Krause-Rehberg, V. Emtsev, N. Abrosimov, and V. Kozlovski, *phys. stat. sol. (b)* **253**, 2175 (2016).
- ³⁹C. A. Londos, G. Antonaras, and A. Chroneos, *J. Appl. Phys.* **114**, 193513 (2013).
- ⁴⁰A. Schenka and U. Krumbein, *Journal of Applied Physics* **78**, 3185 (1995).
- ⁴¹S. Steingrube, O. Breitenstein, K. Ramspeck, S. Glunz, A. Schenk, and P. P. Altermatt, *Journal of Applied Physics* **110**, 014515 (2011).
- ⁴²V. Gopal and S. Gupta, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **50**, 1220 (2003).
- ⁴³V. Gopal and S. Gupta, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **51**, 1078 (2004).
- ⁴⁴A. Akkerman, J. Barak, M. Chadwick, J. Levinson, M. Murat, and Y. Lifshitz, *Radiat Phys Chem* **62**, 301 (2001).
- ⁴⁵D. Bräunig and F. Wulf, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **43**, 105 (1994).
- ⁴⁶A. B. Sproul and M. A. Green, *J. Appl. Phys.* **73**, 1214 (1993).
- ⁴⁷D. K. Schroder, *Semiconductor Material and Device Characterization*, 3rd ed. (John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2006).
- ⁴⁸A. McEvoy, T. Markvart, and L. Castaner, eds., *Solar Cells. Materials, Manufacture and Operation*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, Oxford, 2013).
- ⁴⁹K. Wang and M. Ye, *Solid-State Electron.* **53**, 234 (2009).