

Influence of γ -irradiation and ultrasound treatment on current mechanism in Au-SiO₂-Si structure

A.M. Gorb, O.A. Korotchenkov, O.Ya. Olikh*, A.O. Podolian, R.G. Chupryna

Faculty of Physics, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv 01601, Ukraine

Abstract

The effect of ^{60}Co γ -irradiation ($5 \cdot 10^7$ rad) and ultrasound treatment (4 MHz, 2 W/cm², up to 60 min) on current-voltage characteristics is experimentally investigated in an Au-SiO₂-Si structure. The change in the current mechanism is analysed in terms of a modifying defect system. The irradiation is shown to enhance the space charge limited current and trap-assisted tunneling current. Experimental observations of the acoustically induced low temperature annealing of the P_b and E' centers, which causes the partial recovery of irradiated silicon MOS structure characteristics, are highlighted.

Keywords: MOS structures, Si-SiO₂ interface, ultrasound treatment, γ -rays

1. Introduction

It is well known that defects are crucial for semiconductor devices performance. Thus, electrical characteristics of metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) structure are extremely sensitive to the interface state density. The formation of radiation defects (RDs) near the interface is therefore very harmful for device fabrications and frequently leads to a change in current mechanisms [1–6]. It is known that elastic acoustic vibrations can effectively interact with RDs and offer an interesting approach in trying to develop novel tools to controllably manipulate the defect properties. For example, RDs were annealed by acoustic wave treatments at a much lower temperature compared to that used for thermal annealing. Such phenomenon was observed in Si [7–9], Ge [10], semiconducting compounds [11, 12], and alkali halides [13]. Usually, the suggested mechanism deals with a decay of radiation-formed complexes and acoustically induced (AI) diffusion of defects to a sink.

Moreover, the ultrasound treatment (UST) can recover defect-related electronic parameters of irradiated barrier structures. For example, the "active" ultrasound effects were observed in solar cells [14–17], light-emitting diodes

*Corresponding author

Email address: olikh@univ.kiev.ua (O.Ya. Olikh)

(LEDs) [18, 19], and Schottky diodes [20, 21]. Furthermore, the AI modification of interface defects [22–24] and minority carrier lifetimes [25–27] were reported in industrially important Si–SiO₂ system.

Particular attention was paid to the UST of silicon MOS structures irradiated by ⁶⁰Co γ -rays [28, 29]. Utilising capacitance-voltage measurements, the authors observed the post-UST decrease of the radiation-induced charge in dielectric layers of the structures. They also detected decreased carrier lifetimes in Si, whereas the growth of surface recombination rates considered insignificant [28, 29].

The first aim of our work is to experimentally investigate the influence of UST on the charge transfer in irradiated Au–SiO₂–Si structures. In contrast to the cited study [28, 29], our results are obtained i) for structures with a significantly higher RD concentration in the conductive layer (see Section 2); ii) for the diode operating in the current mode. It should be noted that some of our results have been reported earlier [27]. However, this paper is focused on evolving more detailed models that describe the modification of current mechanisms and the evolution of the defect structure, which are caused by irradiation and UST.

On the other hand, the efficiency of Si solar cell is restricted by the recombination of carriers [30]. The silicon surface is often highly recombination active due to the abundance of dangling bonds. The number of band-gap states can be reduced by introducing a dielectric coating. The anneal is one of the most effective methods of passivation of Si–SiO₂ interface [30–32]. This reaction was reported to release atomic hydrogen that is then free to diffuse across the oxide and passivate dangling bonds at the oxide–silicon interface [31–33]. The results presented in this work demonstrate the feasibility of the acoustically induced enhancement of hydrogen diffusion. Therefore, acousto-anneal can be used as an effective processing step in fabricating devices based on the MOS technology.

2. Experimental and calculation details

Experiments were performed on *n*-type (111)-oriented crystalline float-zone Si with residual boron (B) impurity concentration of about 10^{12} cm⁻³ and doping phosphorus (P) impurity concentration of $2 \cdot 10^{12}$ cm⁻³. The corresponding resistivity is 4000 Ω ·cm. A bulk silicon material was divided into several rectangular-shaped samples of approximately $1 \times 5 \times 10$ mm³. The MOS structures were formed by chemical etching of the upper Si surfaces using HF–HNO₃–CH₃COOH solutions (HF:HNO₃:CH₃COOH = 3 : 5 : 3), followed by the surface oxidation due to the exposure to ambient air for 24 hours at room temperature and the Au vacuum evaporation. As a result, SiO₂ layer with a thickness of 10 – 15 Å [34–36] was formed. According Sze and Lee [37], the thickness of the depletion layer is about 10 μ m. GaZn-eutectic Ohmic contacts were rubbed on the bottom surfaces of the samples.

The samples were γ -irradiated (⁶⁰Co source) at nominal room temperature to the dose of $5 \cdot 10^7$ rad. The measurement on the reference bulk sample shown that the conductivity has been reduced to about 0.5 of the initial value after

irradiation. As mentioned above, the ultrasound influence on γ -irradiated Si-SiO₂ structure, created by thermal oxidation, has been previously investigated [28, 29]. However, we used higher irradiation doses, up to $5 \cdot 10^7$ rad, which were not more than 10^6 rad in Refs. [28, 29]. Second, the sample resistivity was much greater in our case ($4000 \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ in contrast to $0.2 - 0.5 \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ reported in Refs. [28, 29]); therefore, the non-ionizing energy losses were larger as well. Third, it is known [38] that the density of γ -induced interface defects depends on substrate orientation, and an irradiation of (111)-oriented Si-SiO₂ structure (our case) leads to higher RD concentrations compared to that for the (100) substrate employed by Parchinskii *et al.* [28, 29]. Therefore, much more heavy structure degradation is expected in our case.

UST was done by attaching the piezoelectric transducer to one side of the sample. An epoxy glue was used as the bonding medium, providing the rigid coupling of the transducer to the sample. The thickness resonance of the transducers was 4 MHz. A radio-frequency voltage supplied from a generator drives the transducer, resulting in vibrations of the coupled transducer-sample system. UST was carried out by a two consecutive loading-unloading cycles, 30 min each; so the total UST time t_{UST} was equal to either 30 min or 60 min. The acoustic energy flux density W_{US} in Si was about 2 W/cm^2 . The sample temperature was measured with a copper-constantan thermocouple directly attached to the surface and did not exceed 350 K. The more details about the sample and UST setup are given elsewhere [27].

The initial Au-SiO₂-Si structure, γ -irradiated structure, and both irradiated and ultrasonically treated structure were investigated by using the current-voltage (I - V) technique. The forward and reverse bias characteristics were measured at 300 K in the current range from 10^{-9} to 10^{-3} A with a voltage step of 0.01 V. To identify the current mechanism in the irradiated structure, I - V characteristics were measured over a temperature range ~ 300 -340 K before UST.

The data non-linear fitting was done by using the method of modified artificial bee colony [39].

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 shows the I - V characteristics taken in the initial and irradiated Au-SiO₂-Si structures as well as after the sequent USTs. It is seen that the I - V curve for the non-irradiated sample is typical for the Schottky diode: The forward current is caused by a thermionic emission (TE) over the potential barrier, the reverse current value is determined by the barrier height lowering, which occurs due to the electric field ($\log I \sim V^{1/2}$) [40, 41]. The forward branch was modeled by fitting the following equation to the experimental data plotted in Fig. 1 [40]

$$I = I_s \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{q(V - IR_s)}{nkT} \right] - 1 \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where I_s is the saturation current, R_s is the series resistance, n is the ideality factor, the other symbols have their usual meanings. The fitting results are




Figure 1: The logarithmical (a, b) and double-logarithmical (c, d) plots of the reverse (a, c) and forward (b, d) I - V characteristics for Au-SiO₂-Si structure before and after γ -irradiation and UST. $T = 300$ K. The marks are the experimental results, and the solid, dashed, and dotted lines are the TE, TAT, and SCLC fitted curves using Eqs. (1), (7), and (3), respectively.

shown by solid lines in Fig. 1(b) and (d), the resulting parameter values are listed in Table 1. It should be noted that the presence of the oxide layer does not allow the barrier height to be determined only using the I_s value, since the carrier tunneling must also be taken into account [42, 43].

The experimental forward current value obtained in the non-irradiated structure exceeds the one expected from Eq. (1) at high bias; see Fig. 1. The extra current is most likely to be due to the carrier tunneling through the SiO₂ layer. The tunneling current can be given by [40, 44]:

$$\ln \left(\frac{I}{F_m^2} \right) \propto - \frac{4\sqrt{2}m^*(qE_{\text{eff}})^{3/2}}{3\hbar q F_m}, \quad (2)$$

where F_m is the electric field, E_{eff} is the effective tunneling energy. The linearity of the Fowler-Nordheim plot (Fig. 2) indicates of reasonable assumption for the excess current mechanism. It was taken into account when plotting that the electric field in a oxide layer is proportional to the applied voltage $F_m \propto V$.

As shown in Fig. 1, the γ -irradiation has a considerable effect on the I - V curve, which is obviously due to a modified current mechanism. Thus, the forward current decreases after irradiation and the I - V dependence, which is

Table 1: Extracted parameters for the Au-SiO₂-Si structure

Structure status				
γ -irradiation	—	+	+	+
UST	—	—	+	+
t_{UST} (min)	0	0	30	60
Parameter				
I_s (10^{-9} A)	3.3 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 0.5	—
R_s (10^4)	1.1 ± 0.2	13 ± 1	9 ± 1	—
n	1.7 ± 0.1	10.3 ± 0.2	9.9 ± 0.2	
m_F	—	1.30 ± 0.05	1.6 ± 0.05	1.8 ± 0.05
I_0 (10^{-8} A)	—	5 ± 1	13 ± 2	150 ± 10
$I_{0,\text{TAT}}$ (a.u.)	—	1	0.14 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.01
U_d (V)	—	0.7 ± 0.1	0.44 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.05
R_{TAT} (a.u.)		1	0.54 ± 0.05	0.33 ± 0.04
$K_{\text{RECT}, 0.5\text{V}}$	800 ± 100	0.22 ± 0.03	1.3 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 0.8




Figure 2: The Fowler-Nordheim plot of the forward branch for the non-irradiated Au-SiO₂-Si structure at $V > 1.6$ V. The line is the least-squares linear fitting result.

expected in the TE model, is observed only at $V > 1$ V. It should be emphasised that the radiation-induced current reduction in MOS structure was previously reported [45].

The region $V > 1$ V fitted by Eq. (1) shows that the irradiation can significantly increase both the series resistance and ideality factor; see Table 1. In our opinion, increase in R_s can be explained by the γ -irradiation effects in bulk Si. Pintilie *et al.* [46] investigated the influence of γ -irradiation ($9 \cdot 10^7$ rad) on silicon (Fz-Si, 4 k Ω -cm), demonstrating that the known complexes VO_i , C_iC_s , H -center (V_2O_i), Γ -center, and interstitial defect $I^{0/-}$ are the main radiation defects involved. $I^{0/-}$ is a secondary defect and its appearance leads to compensation (inversion) of electrical conductivity [46]. It may be suggested that this defect is responsible for varying series resistance R_s . In turn, the increase in R_s to about 13 times causes the reduction of the voltage drop in the dielectric layer. As a result, the electric field intensity was ceased to be sufficient for effective Fowler-Nordheim tunneling and such current component was not observed after irradiation. The increase in the ideality factor can also be explained by formation of RDs and results in observed decreasing of the TE current.

Fig. 1(d) shows that the forward I - V characteristic of irradiated structures at low biases ($V < 1$ V) is quite well described by a power law

$$I = I_0 V^{m_F}, \quad (3)$$

where $m_F = \frac{V}{I} \frac{\partial I}{\partial V}$ is the power-law parameter. The relation (3) is typical for the space charge limited current (SCLC) [47–49] with the value of m_F being the energy distribution of traps emitting carriers. For instance, the value $m_F \approx 1.3$, which is observed in our structure after γ -irradiation and before UST corresponds to the exponentially distributed traps. It is known [47–49] that I_0 depends on the total trap concentration N_t as

$$I_0 \sim 1/N_t^{m_F-1}, \quad (4)$$

and the temperature dependence of the power-law parameter is given by

$$m_F = 1 + T_c/T, \quad (5)$$

where T_c is the trap energy distribution parameter. This can be related to the trap concentration per unit energy range located at an energy E above the valence band maximum as $P(E) = \frac{N_t}{kT_c} \exp(-\frac{E}{kT_c})$. The observed linearity of the temperature dependence of m_F (inset in Fig. 3) supports the above assumption that the SCLC would be taken into account to explain the experiments. It is also known [48] that the SCLC conduction should become important when the density of injected carriers is much larger than the density of thermally generated carriers. Therefore, SCLC appearance be expected in our structures fabricated on Si substrates with a high resistivity.

The SCLC current-voltage relation is often written as [48]

$$I(V, T) = C \exp\left(-\frac{E_x}{kT}\right) V^{m_F(T)}, \quad (6)$$




Figure 3: Temperature dependence of SCLC-current for γ -irradiated Au-SiO₂-Si structure before UST at $V = 0,4$ V. Inset: Temperature dependence of power-law parameter. Lines are the least-squares linear fits.

where C is the constant and E_x is the activation energy linked to the trap level. Taking into account Eq. (5), one gets the temperature dependence of the forward current shown in Fig. 3. It is seen that the experimental data points in Fig. 3 can be fitted well by Eq. (6) (line in Fig. 3) with the fitting parameter $E_x = (0,32 \pm 0,01)$ eV.

Let's consider radiation defects, which can result in SCLC. Some notes are appropriate. Firstly, the low temperature and low partial oxygen pressure used here form thin SiO₂ layers. Meanwhile, same radiation defects are known [50] to be created in both thin and thick layers. Secondly, the hydrogen content is the key factor for generating electrically active RDs in Si-MOS structures [50]. Native SiO₂ layers are known to be enriched with atomic hydrogen [34, 38].

The γ -irradiation of Si-SiO₂ structures is known [38] to lead to a stress relaxation, trap filling, and charged defect generation. It is believed [51, 52] that the negative charge is trapped at the interface while the positive charge accumulates in the oxide bulk. In particular, the irradiation results in breaking of the $\equiv\text{Si}-\text{H}$ bonds at Si-SiO₂ interface [53-55]. The unsaturated bonds $\equiv\text{Si}-$ act as electronic traps. The configuration of these defects depends appreciably on the silicon substrate orientation. It is widely believed [56] that the P_b centers appear at the (111)-oriented substrate interface, whereas the P_{b1} and P_{b0} centers are typical for the (100)-oriented substrates. Both P_{b0} and P_{b1} are chemically identical to P_b , although the difference in their electrical activity has been observed [56]. The anneal temperature is about 150°C [55] for P_b .

The γ -ray irradiation with doses above $5 \cdot 10^5$ rad leads to non-monotonic energy distribution of interface levels in n -Si-SiO₂ structure [38]. According to Parchinskii *et al.* [57], the highest density of surface states is observed at $E_c - (0,32 \pm 0,04)$ eV, coinciding with the value of E_x obtained from Fig. 3.

In our opinion, the P_b traps, being main electronic traps, are involved in

SCLC process at low forward bias in irradiated structures. Besides, the negative charge accumulated at the interface results both in increasing the barrier height and decreasing the TE current.

Figs. 1(b) and (d) show that UST causes an increase in the space charge limited current. We used Eq. (3) to fit experimental I - V curves of ultrasonically treated structures. The resulting fitting parameters are summarized in Table 1. According to Eq. (4), the detected increase in the I_0 value is evidently due to AI decreased P_b concentration. It should be pointed out that acousto-annealing takes place at rather low temperatures, which do not exceed $\approx 80^\circ\text{C}$.

On the one hand, the acousto-defect interaction in Si has been reported [23, 58–62] to cause atomic diffusion, transformation of native and impurity defects, modification of interior surface states, and appearance of new defects. On the other hand, the P_b center annealing is known [63, 64] to deal with the passivation of dangling bonds at the oxide–silicon interface by hydrogen atoms. Therefore, the AI diffusion of hydrogen can be attempted to explain our results. It should be stressed that similar phenomenon has previously been reported [22, 65, 66].

Table 1 shows that UST leads to the increase of m_F . According to Eq. (5), the m_F increment deals with the increase in T_c value as well as narrowing of the distribution of trap levels. So, it is known [48] that $m_F = 2$ is observed in the case of single-energy traps. Therefore, the acousto-annealing selectivity is evidenced by the observed narrowing, so that atomic hydrogen can be captured only by certain dangling bonds during UST.

In our opinion, the key parameter for the AI bond passivation is an existence of mechanical stress, which is generally non-uniform at the interface. The impurity diffusivity in turn depends on the stress [67]. The varying mechanical stress at acousto loading can displace the hydrogen atoms, and the efficiency of AI passivation is therefore determined by the strain field around the defect.

The acousto-annealing of the P_b centers decreases the negative interface charge and results both in partial recovery of the barrier height and increase in the TE current value; see Table 1. The AI decrease in R_s is therefore due to annealing of RDs ($I^{0/-}$ center) in the bulk of silicon.

The γ -released hydrogen atoms are potentially hazardous because of these mobile species are able [51, 53, 54, 68] i) to interact with hydrogen bonded at the Si/SiO₂ interface and thus to give rise to additional P_b centers; ii) to move inside the semiconductor bulk and to produce generation–recombination sites and deactivate boron in the Si substrate; iii) to migrate within oxide thus creating the E' centers. It is believed [51, 63] that the E' center is due to broken $\equiv\text{Si}-\text{O}$ bonds, resulting from the oxygen vacancy in SiO₂ and trapping positive charge. It has been concluded [51, 55] that the E' centers dominate the hole trapping processes in oxide films grown on silicon. In 10^7 rad γ -irradiated silicon, the total concentration of E' is about 10^{18} cm^{-3} , but the centers are non-uniformly distributed over the oxide layer depth and largest concentrations are expected near the Si/SiO₂ interface [38]. The broken $\equiv\text{Si}-\text{O}$ bonds do not recover at room temperature and the temperature of E' annealing is equal to 200°C [53, 55, 63].

The generation of E' centers is accompanied by a large (several orders of magnitude) increase in leakage currents [53, 55, 68]. The leakage currents are likely caused by inelastic tunneling of conduction band electrons to defect centers in the oxide near the Si/SiO₂ boundary [54, 55, 68]. In our opinion, such a trap-assisted tunneling (TAT) current is responsible for a reverse current in irradiated structures — Figs. 1(a) and 1(c).

In fact, according to [69–71], the bias dependence of the TAT current is described by

$$I_R = I_{0,\text{TAT}} (U_d - V) \exp \left(-\frac{R_{\text{TAT}}}{F_m} \right), \quad (7)$$

where $I_{0,\text{TAT}}$ and R_{TAT} do not depend on voltage, $I_{0,\text{TAT}}$ is proportional to the trap concentration and U_d is the barrier height. The reverse I – V branches for irradiated structures before and after UST were fitted to Eq. (7). It is seen in Fig. 1 that the experimental data are in a good agreement with the fitting curves, which thus confirms the above assumption that the reverse current mechanism is involved. The current deviation at high bias is probably caused by series resistance.

Analysing the deduced parameters listed in Table 1, one can see that UST leads to decrease in the $I_{0,\text{TAT}}$ and barrier height values. The former is evidently due to low temperature acousto-annealing of radiation traps (E' centers). This can be thought to come from acoustically stimulated diffusion of interstitial oxygen and hydrogen atoms. The barrier lowering is obviously in agreement with P_b annealing mentioned above.

The analysis also show that γ –irradiation results in considerable degradation of the rectification factor K_{RECT} . But UST leads to a forward current increase as well as reverse current decrease in irradiated Au-SiO₂-Si structures. Weighing the K_{RECT} data at 0.5 V listed in Table 1, we conclude that the recovery of K_{RECT} is observed due to UST. Thereby, a partial recovery of γ –degraded Si–MOS structure properties by ultrasound treatment is observed at temperatures close to room temperature.

4. Conclusion

The influence of γ –irradiation and ultrasound treatment on current mechanisms in Au-SiO₂-Si structures is experimentally studied. In the non-treated structures, the thermionic emission and tunneling through the SiO₂ layer contribute to the current. It is shown that γ –irradiation results in appearance of the space charge limited current at forward bias and trap-assisted tunneling current at reverse bias as well as in attenuation of the thermionic emission current. It is revealed that ultrasound treatments at temperatures close to room temperature increase the rectification factor value. The observed acoustically induced variation of the current is indicative of a low-temperature ($\approx 80^\circ\text{C}$) annealing of the P_b and E' centers. These observations can be explained by an enhanced diffusivity of interstitial species (hydrogen and oxygen) under ultrasound loading conditions. Taking into account the observed increase in the

power-law parameter for a space charge limited current it can be concluded that ultrasound treatment narrows the energy distribution of γ -induced traps at the Si/SiO₂ interface. Thus, ultrasound can be an effective tool for controlling metal-semiconductor structure characteristics.

References

- [1] A. Rao, S. Krishnan, G. Sanjeev, K. Siddappa, Effect of 8 MeV Electrons on Au/n-Si Schottky diodes, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Phys.* 5 (1) (2009) 55–62.
- [2] İlke Taşcıoğlu, A. Tataroğlu, A. Özbay, Şemsettin Altındal, The role of ⁶⁰Co γ -ray irradiation on the interface states and series resistance in MIS structures, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 79 (4) (2010) 457–461. doi:10.1016/j.radphyschem.2009.10.002.
- [3] A. Tataroğlu, Ş. Antındal, Analysis of interface states and series resistance at MIS structure irradiated under ⁶⁰Co γ -rays, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* 580 (3) (2007) 1588–1593. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2007.07.027.
- [4] O. Y. Olikh, Non-monotonic γ -ray influence on Mo/n-Si Schottky barrier structure properties, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 60 (1) (2013) 394–401. doi:10.1109/TNS.2012.2234137.
- [5] S. Verma, K. C. Praveen, A. Bobby, D. Kanjilal, Recovery of electrical characteristics of Au/n-Si Schottky junction under ⁶⁰Co gamma irradiation, *IEEE T. Device Mat. Re.* 14 (2) (2014) 721–725. doi:10.1109/TDMR.2014.2312753.
- [6] M. A. Salari, B. Gzeldir, M. Salam, The effects of gamma irradiation on electrical characteristics of zn/zno/n-si/au-sb structure, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 1935 (1) (2018) 050002. doi:10.1063/1.5025974.
- [7] A. O. Podolian, A. B. Nadtochiy, O. A. Korotchenkov, Charge carrier lifetime recovery in γ -irradiated silicon under the action of ultrasound, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 38 (5) (2012) 405–408. doi:10.1134/S1063785012050124.
- [8] A. Podolyan, V. Khivrich, Room-temperature ultrasonic annealing of radiation defects in silicon, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 31 (10) (2005) 11–16. doi:10.1134/1.1931783.
- [9] Y. Olikh, M. Tymochko, A. Dolgolenko, Acoustic-wave-stimulated transformations of radiation defects in γ -irradiated n-type silicon crystals, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 32 (7) (2006) 586–589. doi:10.1134/S106378500607011X.
- [10] Y. Olikh, N. Karas', On the effect of ultrasound on the annealing of radiation defects in neutron-doped germanium, *Fizika i tekhnika poluprovodnikov* 30 (8) (1996) 1455–1459.

- [11] Y. Olikh, V. Tartachnik, I. Tichyna, R. Vernidub, Thermoacoustic annealing of radiation-induced defects in indium-phosphide crystal, in: The proceeding of 5th conference "Acoustoelectronics 91", 1991, pp. 95–96, Varna, Bulgaria.
- [12] I. Ostrovskii, O. Korotchenkov, V. A. Lysyh, Annealing of point defects by ultrasound in solids, *Soviet Physics Solid State* 20 (7) (1987) 2153–2156.
- [13] I. Ostrovskii, N. Ostrovskaya, O. Korotchenkov, J. Reidy, Radiation defects manipulation by ultrasound in ionic crystals, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 52 (6) (2005) 3068–3073. doi:10.1109/TNS.2005.861476.
- [14] A. Davletova, S. Z. Karazhanov, A study of electrical properties of dislocation engineered Si processed by ultrasound, *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* 70 (6) (2009) 989–992. doi:10.1016/j.jpcs.2009.05.009.
- [15] A. Davletova, S. Z. Karazhanov, Open-circuit voltage decay transient in dislocation-engineered Si p–n junction, *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* 41 (16) (2008) 165107. doi:10.1088/0022-3727/41/16/165107.
- [16] N. Guseynov, Y. Olikh, S. Askerov, Ultrasonic treatment restores the photoelectric parameters of silicon solar cells degraded under the action of ⁶⁰Co gamma radiation, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 33 (1) (2007) 18–21. doi:10.1134/S1063785007010063.
- [17] O. Y. Olikh, A. M. Gorb, R. G. Chupryna, O. V. Pristay-Fenenkov, Acousto-defect interaction in irradiated and non-irradiated silicon n^+p structure, *J. Appl. Phys.* 123 (16) (2018) 161573. doi:10.1063/1.5001123.
- [18] O. Konoreva, M. Lytovchenko, Y. Malyi, Y. Olikh, I. Petrenko, M. Pinkovska, V. Tartachnyk, Acoustic-stimulated relaxation of GaAs_{1-x}P_x LEDs electroluminescence intensity, *Semicond. Phys. Quantum Electron. Optoelectron.* 19 (1) (2016) 34–38. doi:10.15407/spqe19.01.034.
- [19] O. Konoreva, Y. M. Olikh, M. Pinkovska, O. Radkevych, V. Tartachnyk, V. Shlapatska, The influence of acoustic-dislocation interaction on intensity of the bound exciton recombination in initial and irradiated GaAsP LEDs structures, *Superlattices Microstruct.* 102 (2017) 88–93. doi:10.1016/j.spmi.2016.12.026.
- [20] I. G. Pashaev, Study of the relaxation of the excess current in silicon schottky diodes, *Semiconductors* 48 (10) (2014) 1391–1394. doi:10.1134/S1063782614100224.
- [21] O. Olikh, Reversible influence of ultrasound on γ -irradiated Mo/n-Si Schottky barrier structure, *Ultrasonics* 56 (2015) 545–550. doi:10.1016/j.ultras.2014.10.008.

- [22] S. Ostapenko, L. Jastrzebski, J. Lagowski, R. K. Smeltzer, Enhanced hydrogenation in polycrystalline silicon thin films using low-temperature ultrasound treatment, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 68 (20) (1996) 2873–2875. doi:10.1063/1.116353.
- [23] D. Kropman, V. Seeman, S. Dolgov, A. Medvids, Effect of ultrasonic treatment on the defect structure of the Si-SiO₂ system, *Phys. Status Solidi C* 13 (10–12) (2016) 793–797. doi:10.1002/pssc.201600052.
- [24] N. Zaveryukhina, E. Zaveryukhina, S. Vlasov, B. Zaveryukhin, Acoustostimulated changes in the density of surface states and their energy spectrum in p-type silicon single crystals, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 34 (3) (2008) 241–243. doi:10.1134/S106378500803019X.
- [25] P. Parchinskii, S. Vlasov, L. Ligai, O. Y. Shchukina, The effect of ultrasonic treatment on the generation characteristics of a Si-SiO₂ interface, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 29 (5) (2003) 392–394. doi:10.1134/1.1579804.
- [26] A. Zdebsky, D. Kropman, M. Sheynkman, The effect of ultrasound on point defects in structures Si-SiO₂, *Zh. Tekh. Fiz.* 59 (8) (1989) 131–134.
- [27] A. Gorb, O. Korotchenkov, O. Olikh, A. Podolian, Ultrasonically recovered performance of γ -irradiated metal-silicon structures, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 57 (3) (2010) 1632–1639. doi:10.1109/TNS.2010.2047655.
- [28] P. B. Parchinskii, S. I. Vlasov, R. A. Muminov, K. K. Ismailov, U. Turgunov, The effect of ultrasound on the parameters of metal-dielectric-semiconductor structures, *Tech. Phys. Lett.* 26 (10) (2000) 420–422. doi:10.1134/1.1262865.
- [29] P. Parchinskii, S. Vlasov, L. Ligai, Effect of ultrasonic treatment on the generation characteristics of irradiated silicon-silicon-dioxide interface, *Semiconductors* 40 (7) (2006) 808–811. doi:10.1134/S106378260607013X.
- [30] K. A. Collett, R. S. Bonilla, P. Hamer, G. Bourret-Sicotte, R. Lobo, T. Kho, P. R. Wilshaw, An enhanced anneal process to produce SRV < 1 cm/s in 1 Ω cm n-type Si, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 173 (2017) 50–58. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2017.06.022.
- [31] M. J. Kerr, A. Cuevas, Very low bulk and surface recombination in oxidized silicon wafers, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 17 (1) (2001) 35–38. doi:10.1088/0268-1242/17/1/306.
- [32] A. G. Aberle, Surface passivation of crystalline silicon solar cells: a review, *Prog. Photovoltaics Res. Appl.* 8 (5) (2000) 473–487. doi:10.1002/1099-159X(200009/10)8:5<473::AID-PIP337>3.0.CO;2-D.
- [33] Y. Larionova, V. Mertens, N.-P. Harder, R. Brendel, Surface passivation of n-type Czochralski silicon substrates by thermal-SiO₂/plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition SiN stacks, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 96 (3) (2010) 032105. doi:10.1063/1.3291681.

- [34] H. Angermann, P. Balamou, W. J. Lu, L. Korte, C. Leendertz, B. Stegmann, Oxidation of Si surfaces: Effect of ambient air and water treatments on surface charge and interface state density, in: *Ultra Clean Processing of Semiconductor Surfaces XIII*, Vol. 255 of *Solid State Phenomena*, 2016, pp. 331–337. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.255.331.
- [35] A. Philipossian, Activity of HF/H₂O treated silicon surfaces in ambient air before and after gate oxidation, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 139 (10) (1992) 2956–2961.
- [36] M. Morita, T. Ohmi, E. Hasegawa, M. Kawakami, M. Ohwada, Growth of native oxide on a silicon surface, *J. Appl. Phys.* 68 (3) (1990) 1272–1281. doi:10.1063/1.347181.
- [37] S. M. Sze, M. Lee, *Semiconductor Devices: Physics and Technology*, 3rd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, 2012.
- [38] V. Pershenkov, V. Popov, A. Shalnov, *Poverkhnostnye radiatsiyni efekty v elementakh intehralnykh mikroskhem*, Enerhoatomyzdat, Moskow, 1988.
- [39] N. Karaboga, S. Kockanat, H. Dogan, The parameter extraction of the thermally annealed schottky barrier diode using the modified artificial bee colony, *Appl. Intell.* 38 (3) (2013) 279–288. doi:10.1007/s10489-012-0372-x.
- [40] E. H. Rhoderick, R. H. Williams, *Metal–Semiconductor Contacts*, 2nd Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988.
- [41] J. Andrews, M. Lepselter, Reverse current-voltage characteristics of metal-silicide Schottky diodes, *Solid-State Electron.* 13 (7) (1970) 1011–1023. doi:10.1016/0038-1101(70)90098-5.
- [42] A. M. Ozbek, B. J. Baliga, Tunneling coefficient for GaN Schottky barrier diodes, *Solid-State Electron.* 62 (1) (2011) 1–4. doi:10.1016/j.sse.2011.04.016.
- [43] H. Kobayashi, T. Ishida, Y. Nakato, H. Mori, Mechanism of carrier transport through a silicon–oxide layer for indium–tin–oxide/silicon–oxide/silicon solar cells, *J. Appl. Phys.* 78 (6) (1995) 3931–3939. doi:10.1063/1.359912.
- [44] Y. N. Novikov, Non-volatile memory based on silicon nanoclusters, *Semiconductors* 43 (8) (2009) 1040–1045. doi:10.1134/S1063782609080144.
- [45] G. Niu, G. Banerjee, J. D. Cressler, J. M. Roldan, S. D. Clark, D. C. Ahlgren, Electrical probing of surface and bulk traps in proton-irradiated gate-assisted lateral PNP transistors, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 45 (6) (1998) 2361–2365. doi:10.1109/23.736455.

- [46] I. Pintilie, E. Fretwurst, G. Lindström, J. Stahl, Second-order generation of point defects in gamma-irradiated float-zone silicon, an explanation for "type inversion", *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 82 (13) (2003) 2169–2171. doi:10.1063/1.1564869.
- [47] D.-H. Ma, W.-J. Zhang, R.-Y. Luo, Z.-Y. Jiang, Qiang-Ma, X.-B. Ma, Z.-Q. Fan, D.-Y. Song, L. Zhang, Effect of Si nanoparticles on electronic transport mechanisms in p-doped silicon-rich silicon nitride/c-Si heterojunction devices, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 50 (2016) 20–30. doi:10.1016/j.mssp.2016.04.001.
- [48] M. M. A.-G. Jafar, High-bias current-voltage-temperature characteristics of undoped rf magnetron sputter deposited boron carbide (B_5C)/p-type crystalline silicon heterojunctions, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 18 (1) (2003) 7–22. doi:10.1088/0268-1242/18/1/302.
- [49] M. Kaya, H. Çetin, B. Boyarbay, A. Gök, E. Ayyıldız, Temperature dependence of the current-voltage characteristics of Sn/PANI/p-Si/Al heterojunctions, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 19 (40) (2007) 406205. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/19/40/406205.
- [50] J. L. Cantin, H. J. von Bardeleben, J. L. Autran, Irradiation effects in ultrathin Si/SiO₂ structures, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 45 (3) (1998) 1407–1411. doi:10.1109/23.685215.
- [51] R. A. B. Devine, The structure of SiO₂, its defects and radiation hardness, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 41 (3) (1994) 452–459. doi:10.1109/23.299784.
- [52] P. M. Lenahan, J. F. Conley, What can electron paramagnetic resonance tell us about the Si/SiO₂ system?, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* 16 (4) (1998) 2134–2153. doi:10.1116/1.590301.
- [53] S. Mahapatra, D. Saha, D. Varghese, P. B. Kumar, On the generation and recovery of interface traps in MOSFETs subjected to NBTI, FN, and HCI stress, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 53 (7) (2006) 1583–1592. doi:10.1109/TED.2006.876041.
- [54] D. Esseni, J. D. Bude, L. Selmi, On interface and oxide degradation in VLSI MOSFETs. i. deuterium effect in CHE stress regime, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 49 (2) (2002) 247–253. doi:10.1109/16.981214.
- [55] D. M. Fleetwood, S. T. Pantelides, R. D. Schrimpf (Eds.), *Defects in Microelectronic Materials and Devices*, CRC Press, London, New York, 2009.
- [56] D. K. Schroder, J. A. Babcock, Negative bias temperature instability: Road to cross in deep submicron silicon semiconductor manufacturing, *J. Appl. Phys.* 94 (1) (2003) 1–18. doi:10.1063/1.1567461.

- [57] P. B. Parchinskii, S. I. Vlasov, A. Nasirov, The effect of γ -ray radiation on the characteristics of the interface between silicon and lead-borosilicate glass, *Semiconductors* 38 (11) (2004) 1304–1307. doi:10.1134/1.1823064.
- [58] M. Jivanescu, A. Romanyuk, A. Stesmans, Influence of in situ applied ultrasound during Si^+ implantation in SiO_2 on paramagnetic defect generation, *J. Appl. Phys.* 107 (11) (2010) 114307. doi:10.1063/1.3369041.
- [59] O. Korotchenkov, H. Grimmeiss, Long-wavelength acoustic-mode-enhanced electron emission from Se and Te donors in silicon, *Phys. Rev. B* 52 (20) (1995) 14598–14606. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.52.14598.
- [60] O. Y. Olikh, The variation in activity of recombination centers in silicon p - n structures under the conditions of acoustic loading, *Semiconductors* 43 (6) (2009) 745–750. doi:10.1134/S1063782609060116.
- [61] O. Y. Olikh, K. V. Voytenko, R. M. Burbelo, Ultrasound influence on I–V–T characteristics of silicon Schottky barrier structure, *J. Appl. Phys.* 117 (4) (2015) 044505. doi:10.1063/1.4906844.
- [62] R. Savkina, A. Smirnov, T. Kryshchab, A. Kryvko, Sonosynthesis of microstructures array for semiconductor photovoltaics, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 37 (2015) 179–184. doi:10.1016/j.mssp.2015.02.066.
- [63] K. Takakura, H. Ohyama, A. Ueda, M. Nakabayashi, K. Hayama, K. Kobayashi, E. Simoen, A. Mercha, C. Claeys, Recovery behaviour resulting from thermal annealing in n-MOSFETs irradiated by 20 MeV protons, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 18 (6) (2003) 506–511. doi:10.1088/0268-1242/18/6/319.
- [64] H. Wurzer, R. Mahnkopf, H. Klose, Annealing of degraded npn-transistors—mechanisms and modeling, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 41 (4) (1994) 533–538. doi:10.1109/16.278506.
- [65] J. Koshka, S. Ostapenko, T. Ruf, J. M. Zhang, Activation of luminescence in polycrystalline silicon thin films by ultrasound treatment, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 69 (17) (1996) 2537–2539. doi:10.1063/1.117731.
- [66] S. S. Ostapenko, Mechanism of ultrasonic enhanced hydrogenation in poly-Si thin films, in: *Defects in Semiconductors 19*, Vol. 258 of *Materials Science Forum*, Trans Tech Publications, 1997, pp. 197–202. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.258-263.197.
- [67] M. J. Aziz, Stress effects on defects and dopant diffusion in Si, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 4 (5) (2001) 397–403. doi:10.1016/S1369-8001(01)00014-2.
- [68] D. J. DiMaria, E. Cartier, Mechanism for stress-induced leakage currents in thin silicon dioxide films, *J. Appl. Phys.* 78 (6) (1995) 3883–3894. doi:10.1063/1.359905.

- [69] A. S. Gilmore, J. Bangs, A. Gerrish, I-V modeling of current limiting mechanisms in HgCdTe FPA detectors, Proc. SPIE 5563 (2004) 46–54. doi:10.1117/12.562614.
- [70] V. Gopal, S. K. Singh, R. M. Mehra, Excess dark currents in HgCdTe p^+-n junction diodes, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 16 (5) (2001) 372–376. doi:10.1088/0268-1242/16/5/316.
- [71] V. Gopal, A new approach to investigate leakage current mechanisms in infrared photodiodes from illuminated current–voltage characteristics, J. Appl. Phys. 116 (8) (2014) 084502. doi:10.1063/1.4893899.