Dear Editor,

We like to express our appreciation to the reviewers for their comments. We are resubmitting the revised version of the paper number JMSE–D–22–00483. We have studied the comments of the reviewer carefully, and have changed the text according to the comments they have listed. The location of revisions is highlighted by yellow in "Marked–JMSE–D–22–00483.pdf". Below we refer to each of the reviewer's comments.

Response to Reviewer #1

Comment 1. Since Ultrasound Stimulated (US) dissociation of FeB pairs in Silicon has been studied before, the author needs to give a short description of the work of reference 16 in the "Introduction" part.

Reply: In fact, the possibility of the ultrasound to change the state of FeB was shown previously [1, 2]. In particular, the FeB pair was revealed [2] to be dissociated in Cz–Si by the action of ultrasound with acoustic strain $\xi_{\rm US} = 10^{-5}$ – 10^{-4} . Furthermore, Ostapenko and Bell [2] regarded the resonance condition of pair dissociation and used 25–70 kHz. Besides, it was asserted [1] that in the case of predominant dissociated pairs, the ultrasound may promote the pairing reaction in contradistinction to the case of a high fraction of paired iron. But empirical evidence for this prediction is absent. In this work, i) the $f_{\rm US} = 2$ –30 MHz and subthreshold strain $\xi_{\rm US} < 2 \times 10^{-6}$ were used, which were deficient to effectively overcome the Coulombic attraction between Fe⁺_i and B⁻_s; ii) the predominant dissociation of FeB was realized by intense illumination. Thus the association of FeB pair (the migration of Fe⁺_i) was firstly investigated experimentally in conditions of USL.

The additional information was added to the revised manuscript (last paragraph in "Introduction").

Comment 2. The font in Figure 4 is obviously too small. Reply: The font was enlarged.

Comment 3. The forms of the separation of axis titles and units are inconsistent in the Figures.

Reply: All axis titles were changed according to "Axis title (unit)".

Response to Reviewer #2

Comment 1. Abstract: This part is precise and concise. It could be better to mention some points of the light induced degradation in solar cells.

Reply: The different light-induced degradation (LID) phenomena exist that affect the efficiency of Cz-silicon solar cells due to a decrease in lifetime of generated excess charge carriers. The main reasons for this transformation are boron—oxygen complex formation (BO-LID) [3] and iron—boron pair

dissociation (FeB-LID). Besides, the light- and elevated-temperature-induced degradation (LeTID) is observed. In recent studies the occurrence of the LeTID defect could be related to the presence of hydrogen and metal impurities [4–6]. We study the influence of ultrasound on LID recovery. The complete recovery in the dark at near room temperature and the determined value of activation energy $(0.656~{\rm eV})$ evidenced the iron–boron pair LID in our case.

The text was revised (Abstract and page 4, paragraph 2).

Comment 2. Introduction: Why not other doping elements? why iron given more importance? explanation required. Objective is not well framed.

Reply: The silicon solar cells (SCs) constitute about 90% of current global photovoltaic production capacity. Iron is one of the most relevant, omnipresent, and efficiency-limiting metallic impurities in p-type silicon solar cells [7, 8]. Therefore, the methods of defect engineering aimed at iron have practical importance. On the one hand, shallow acceptors (B, Al, Ga, In) are effective trapping sites for iron around room temperature and in darkness in p-Si due to electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged acceptors and the positively charged iron ions. All Fe-acceptor pairs are similar: complexes have two structural configurations with trigonal and orthorhombic symmetry and can be broken by intense illumination and/or annealing above 200°C [7, 9]. On the other hand, the back surface field (BSF) and passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC) are the most popular designs that have been used in the mass-production of Si SCs, and both BSF and PERC are mainly based on boron-doped silicon wafers [10, 11]. Therefore the iron-boron pair is one of the most relevant complexes to the defect engineering in real SCs. The aim of this work is to investigate experimentally how acoustic waves (AWs) influence the ability of iron to diffuse in silicon SCs.

The text was revised (second and third paragraphs in "Introduction").

Comment 3. Experimental part: How much doping of phosphorus? How do they measure thickness?

Reply: A diffusion from the gas phase (POCl₃) at 940°C was performed on wafers resulting in a n^+ -emitter layer on the front side (sheet resistance of about $20-30~\Omega/\Box$, thickness of 0.7 μ m). In addition, to reduce recombination losses and increase the conductivity of the contact layer, a p^+ layer (10 – $20~\Omega/\Box$, 0.6 μ m) was formed by boron diffusion from the gas phase (BCl₃) at 985°C on the rear surface. The solid and grid aluminum contacts were formed by magnetron sputtering on the rear and front surfaces, respectively.

The layer thicknesses were evaluated by using an annealing temperature and duration as well as an partial pressure gas.

More detailed information about samples was added (first paragraph in "Experimental and Calculation Details").

Comment 4. Why were AWs excited in the samples of 2.4; 4.1; 5.4; 9.0; 14; 18; 31 MHz (longitudinal) or 0.3 MHz (transverse) with frequency fUS?. Give a reason. Why not selected substitution atoms?

Reply: We have previously shown [12–17] that waves with megahertz frequency can be an effective tool for defect engineering in silicon structures. Thus in this work, we tried to use such waves to control iron impurities in silicon SCs.

On the other hand, it is widely known that the efficiency of ultrasound influence on defects in semiconductors depends on acoustic wave frequency. Moreover, the type of frequency dependence is determined by the mechanism of acousto-defect interaction [18–20]. The set of longitudinal wave frequencies (2.4; 4.1; 5.4; 9.0; 14; 18; 31 MHz) was used to establish features of ultrasound influence on iron migration. Besides, the effect of the increase in the carrier capture coefficient for defects in silicon SC is shown [12] to be intensified in the case of the transverse acoustic waves using. Accordingly, the transverse waves (0.3 MHz) were used as well. In the case of acoustically-induced (AI) change of capture coefficient, the USL causes the variation in the complex components' distance [12]. In our case, the AI effect is the opposite and is weakened for transverse waves using. Therefore, the acceleration of the FeB pair association does not deal with iron-boron distance change.

The text was revised (second paragraph in "Experimental and Calculation Details"; page 9, paragraph 1).

Comment 5. Result and discussion: In figure 5, x axis when will it reach minimum? Is there any particular reason for selecting 300 to 304K?

Reply: The investigations have shown that the dependence of $\tau_{\rm US}/\tau_0$ on ultrasound intensity is close to linear at low $W_{\rm US}$. The increase in $W_{\rm US}$ leads to saturation, which correspond to $\tau_{\rm US} \simeq 0.7\tau_0$ at T=340 K. Therefore the minimum (saturation) of curves in Fig. 5 is expected at about 0.3 W/cm². It should be noted that according to Ostapenko and Bell [2], the further increase in acoustic strain up to $\xi_{\rm US}=10^{-5}$ may lead to FeB pair dissociation and increase in $\tau_{\rm US}$.

The temperature range is limited for the following reasons. On the one hand, the investigations have shown that the ultrasound influence on FeB pair association time weakened with temperature decrease. In fact, the effect value is about 5% only for $T=300~{\rm K}$ — see Fig. 6. Therefore the experiments seem useless at T<300. On the other hand, the portion of interstitial iron atoms that remain unpaired in the equilibrium state encreases with temperature rise. According to Wijaranakula [21], the concentration of unpaired iron atom $N_{\rm Fe_i,eq}$ depends on temperature, doping level, and concentration of all iron impurity atoms $N_{\rm Fe_i,eq}$. The estimations show that at 340 K $N_{\rm Fe_i,eq} \simeq 0.1 N_{\rm Fe,0}$. The further temperature decrease leads to an increase in $N_{\rm Fe_i,eq}/N_{\rm Fe,0}$ value and to a significant decrease in change of short circuit current after intense illumination and measurement accuracy of association time.

Response to Reviewer #3

Comment 1. The results are new and may be considered for publication, however, the presentation of the contents is very poor and hence it is unacceptable in its present form. I guess, the main problem is with the English language used; many unconventional or unusual technical words, which make it difficult to understand what some descriptions mean.

Reply: The text has been revised. We hope for the language improvement.

Comment 2. 1. Page 3, line 28, "Fig. 1 Scheme of the sample..." should be changed to, "Fig. 1 Schematic structure of the sample...". And also in lines 33-34, this should be changed as, "The schematic structure of SC...".

Reply: The Reviewer is absolutely right. We have revised the text accordingly.

Comment 3. Fig. 2, presents the measured Isc as a function of time of illumination t. Thus the y-axis of Fig. 2 should be labelled as Isc (μA) and the x-axis as t (10^3 s) . Also it is not explained what the red and green curves represent? The should explain Red with US and Green without US? Thus, the figure captions of Fig. 2 in lines 26-27 on page 4, should be changed to, "Measured Isc plotted as a function of the illumination time t; red curve- with US and green curve without US."

Reply: The figure captions was revised.

Comment 4. 3. The second paragraph, on page 4, lines 36-40, does not make any sense to me. It needs to be rewritten. It should emphasize how Isc in Eq. (1) is obtained to represent the measure Isc in Fig. 2.

Reply: The FeB pair association in the dark was accompanied by the τ increase and was monitored by measuring the $I_{\rm SC}$ under LED illumination. The LED illumination induced excess carrier density $< 10^{12}~{\rm cm}^{-3}$), had duty cycle 0.5% while $I_{\rm SC}(t)$ measuring, and did not cause FeB dissociation. Moreover, the fitting of the measured dependencies $I_{\rm SC}(t)$ after high-intensive illumination allows determining the pair concentration and the characteristic time of the FeB complex formation.

The text was revised. (page 5, paragraph 2).

Comment 5. 4. In Eq. (1), please define all the symbols used. For example, what is Pph? Also if Eq. (1) represents the measured Isc, why does it not depend on the time t? This needs to be carefully explained.

Reply: The Eq. (1) was modified, all symbols were defined. The parameters, which depend on time, were pointed in Eqs.(2),(3),(6) as well. In initial manuscript the P_{ph} (LED light power) was introduced on page 3, line 57.

Comment 6. Page 5, line 53, "... where τ_{ass} is the characteristic time of the complex association." This does not make sense to me. Do you mean, ""... where τ_{ass} is the characteristic time of the formation of Fe-B complex?" This needs to be fixed.

Reply: We have revised the text accordingly.

Comment 7. On page 6, lines 33-37, "... the values of τ_{ass} ... 1380 ± 20 for T = 330 K ... $1.26 \pm 0.02)10^4$ for T = 300 K ...". Something is wrong here? How can a 30 degree difference in temperature can give such a big value of τ_{ass} from Eq. (10).

Reply: According to [22–24]

$$\tau_{\rm ass} = 5.7 \times 10^5 \, \frac{\rm s}{\rm K \, cm^3} \times \frac{T}{N_A} \exp\left(\frac{E_m}{kT}\right) \,, \tag{1}$$

where E_m is the energy of Fe_i⁺ migration, $E_m = 0.66$ eV [22–25]. Hence

$$\frac{\tau_{\rm ass}(T=300{\rm K})}{\tau_{\rm ass}(T=330{\rm K})} = \frac{300}{330} \times \exp\left[\frac{0.66}{8.625 \times 10^{-5}} \left(\frac{1}{300} - \frac{1}{330}\right)\right] \simeq 9.2\,. \tag{2}$$

At the same time

$$\frac{1.26 \times 10^4}{1380} \simeq 9.1 \,. \tag{3}$$

 $N_A = 1.4 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for the samples under investigation. Therefore the experimentally determined times are close to ones expected from Eq. (1).

Comment 8. Page, 6 lines 53-57, the first sentence of Results and Discussion does not make any sense. Please rewrite it.

Reply: The sentence was rewrite in form "The experiments have shown that the US loading leads to speed up of recovery of short circuit current after high-intensive illumination. Therefore, the FeB association is intensified under AW action."

Comment 9. Page 6, lines 60-61, "The figure also shows τ_{ass} values..." but figure 3 does not show any τ_{ass} ? Please check it and fix it carefully.

Reply: Figure 3 presents the measured short circuit current (marks), the fitting curves (lines), and the pair formation time constants determined from

the fitting (labels). The text (first paragraph in "Results and Discussion") was revised.

References

- [1] Ostapenko, S.S., Jastrzebski, L., Lagowski, J., Sopori, B.: Increasing short minority carrier diffusion lengths in solar–grade polycrystalline silicon by ultrasound treatment. Appl. Phys. Lett. **65**(12), 1555–1557 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.112942
- [2] Ostapenko, S.S., Bell, R.E.: Ultrasound stimulated dissociation of Fe–B pairs in silicon. J. Appl. Phys. 77(10), 5458–5460 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.359243
- [3] Lindroos, J., Savin, H.: Review of light-induced degradation in crystalline silicon solar cells. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 147, 115–126 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2015.11.047
- [4] Schmid, A., Fischer, C., Skorka, D., Herguth, A., Winter, C., Zuschlag, A., Hahn, G.: On the role of AlO_x thickness in AlO_x/SiN_y : H layer stacks regarding light- and elevated temperature-induced degradation and hydrogen diffusion in c-Si. IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics $\mathbf{11}(4)$, 967–973 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOTOV.2021.3075850
- [5] Wagner, M., Wolny, F., Hentsche, M., Krause, A., Sylla, L., Kropfgans, F., Ernst, M., Zierer, R., Bönisch, P., Müller, P., Schmidt, N., Osinniy, V., Hartmann, H.-P., Mehnert, R., Neuhaus, H.: Correlation of the LeTID amplitude to the Aluminium bulk concentration and Oxygen precipitation in PERC solar cells. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 187, 176–188 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2018.06.009
- [6] Chen, D., Kim, M., Stefani, B.V., Hallam, B.J., Abbott, M.D., Chan, C.E., Chen, R., Payne, D.N.R., Nampalli, N., Ciesla, A., Fung, T.H., Kim, K., Wenham, S.R.: Evidence of an identical firing-activated carrier-induced defect in monocrystalline and multicrystalline silicon. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 172, 293–300 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat. 2017.08.003
- [7] Istratov, A.A., Hieslmair, H., Weber, E.R.: Iron and its complexes in silicon. Applied Physics A: Materials Science & Processing **69**(1), 13–44 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050968
- [8] Schubert, M.C., Padilla, M., Michl, B., Mundt, L., Giesecke, J., Hohl-Ebinger, J., Benick, J., Warta, W., Tajima, M., Ogura, A.: Iron related solar cell instability: Imaging analysis and impact on cell performance. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 138, 96–101 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2015.03.001

- [9] Bartel, T., Gibaja, F., Graf, O., Gross, D., Kaes, M., Heuer, M., Kirscht, F., Möller, C., Lauer, K.: Dynamics of iron-acceptor-pair formation in codoped silicon. Appl. Phys. Lett. 103(20), 202109 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4830227
- [10] Ajayan, J., Nirmal, D., Mohankumar, P., Saravanan, M., Jagadesh, M., Arivazhagan, L.: A review of photovoltaic performance of organic/inorganic solar cells for future renewable and sustainable energy technologies. Superlattices Microstruct. 143, 106549 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.spmi.2020.106549
- [11] Green, M.A.: Photovoltaic technology and visions for the future. Prog. Energy **1**(1), 013001 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1088/2516-1083/ab0fa8
- [12] Olikh, O.Y.: Acoustically driven degradation in single crystalline silicon solar cell. Superlattices Microstruct. **117**, 173–188 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2018.03.027
- [13] Olikh, O.Y., Gorb, A.M., Chupryna, R.G., Pristay–Fenenkov, O.V.: Acousto–defect interaction in irradiated and non–irradiated silicon n^+ –p structure. J. Appl. Phys. **123**(16), 161573 (2018). https://doi.org/10. 1063/1.5001123
- [14] Olikh, O.Y., Voytenko, K.V., Burbelo, R.M.: Ultrasound influence on I–V–T characteristics of silicon Schottky barrier structure. J. Appl. Phys. **117**(4), 044505 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4906844
- [15] Gorb, A.M., Korotchenkov, O.A., Olikh, O.Y., Podolian, A.O., Chupryna, R.G.: Influence of γ -irradiation and ultrasound treatment on current mechanism in au-sio₂-si structure. Solid-State Electron. **165**, 107712 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sse.2019.107712
- [16] Olikh, O.: Reversible influence of ultrasound on γ -irradiated Mo/n-Si Schottky barrier structure. Ultrasonics **56**, 545–550 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2014.10.008
- [17] Olikh, O., Voytenko, K.: On the mechanism of ultrasonic loading effect in silicon–based Schottky diodes. Ultrasonics **66**(1), 1–3 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2015.12.001
- [18] Brailsford, A.D.: Abrupt-kink model of dislocation motion. Phys. Rev. **122**(3), 778–786 (1961). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.122.778
- [19] Pavlovich, V.N.: Enhanced diffusion of impurities and defects in crystals in conditions of ultrasonic and radiative excitation of the crystal lattice. Phys. Status Solidi B 180(1), 97–105 (1993). https://doi.org/10.1002/ pssb.2221800108

- [20] Peleshchak, R.M., Kuzyk, O.V., Dan'kiv, O.O.: Formation of periodic structures under the influence of an acousti wave in semiconductors with a two-component defect subsystem. Ukr. J. Phys. 61(8), 741–746 (2016). https://doi.org/10.15407/ujpe61.08.0741
- [21] Wijaranakula, W.: The reaction kinetics of iron-boron pair formation and dissociation in p-type silicon. J. Electrochem. Soc. **140**(1), 275–281 (1993). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2056102
- [22] Khelifati, N., Laine, H.S., Vähänissi, V., Savin, H., Bouamama, F.Z., Bouhafs, D.: Dissociation and formation kinetics of iron-boron pairs in silicon after phosphorus implantation gettering. Phys Status Solidi A 216(17), 1900253 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/pssa.201900253
- [23] Möller, C., Bartel, T., Gibaja, F., Lauer, K.: Iron-boron pairing kinetics in illuminated p-type and in boron/phosphorus co-doped n-type silicon. J. Appl. Phys. 116(2), 024503 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4889817
- [24] Tan, J., Macdonald, D., Rougieux, F., Cuevas, A.: Accurate measurement of the formation rate of iron-boron pairs in silicon. Semicond Sci. Technol. **26**(5), 055019 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/26/5/055019
- [25] Macdonald, D., Cuevas, A., Geerligs, L.J.: Measuring dopant concentrations in compensated p-type crystalline silicon via iron-acceptor pairing. Appl. Phys. Lett. 92(20), 202119 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2936840
- [26] Tayyib, M., Theobald, J., Peter, K., Odden, J.O., Sætre, T.O.: Accelerated light-induced defect transformation study of elkem solar grade silicon. Energy Procedia 27, 21–26 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2012.07.023. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaics SiliconPV 2012
- [27] Sachenko, A.V., Kryuchenko, Y.V., Kostylyov, V.P., Bobyl, A.V., Terukov, E.I., Abolmasov, S.N., Abramov, A.S., Andronikov, D.A., Shvarts, M.Z., Sokolovskyi, I.O., Evstigneev, M.: Temperature dependence of photoconversion efficiency in silicon heterojunction solar cells: Theory vs experiment. J. Appl. Phys. 119(22), 225702 (2016) https://arxiv.org/abs/https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4953384. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4953384
- [28] Zoth, G., Bergholz, W.: A fast, preperetion-free method to detect iron in silicon. J. Appl. Phys. 67(11), 6764–6771 (1990). https://doi.org/10. 1063/1.345063
- [29] Schmidt, J., Bothe, K., Voronkov, V.V., Falster, R.: Fast and slow stages of lifetime degradation by boron–oxygen centers in crystalline silicon.

- physica status solidi (b) 257(1), 1900167 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201900167
- [30] Geerligs, L.J., Macdonald, D.: Dynamics of light-induced feb pair dissociation in crystalline silicon. Appl. Phys. Lett. **85**(22), 5227–5229 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1823587
- [31] Lagowski, J., Edelman, P., Kontkiewicz, A.M., Milic, O., Henley, W., Dexter, M., Jastrzebski, L., Hoff, A.M.: Iron detection in the part per quadrillion range in silicon using surface photovoltage and photodissociation of iron-boron pairs. Appl. Phys. Lett. 63(22), 3043–3045 (1993). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.110789
- [32] Ostapenko, S.S., Jastrzebski, L., Sopori, B.: Change of minority carrier diffusion length in polycrystalline silicon by ultrasound treatment. Semicond. Sci. Technol. 10(11), 1494–1500 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1088/ 0268-1242/10/11/011