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Nowadays the wide set (about 20) of methods to determine a single diode parameters has been proposed. The presented approach is a new and interesting. At the same time, in my opinion, the advantages of presented method are obscure. I recommend minor revision.
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**REFEREE'S COMMENTS TO AUTHOR:**

The paper is devoted to the new method for the extraction of the parameters of a single exponential diode model. Conclusions and abstract reflect main results of the article. References are appropriate. The work could be interesting for Solid-State Electronics. I have minor comments:

1. The wide set of methods to evaluate the parameters of single-exponential diode has been proposed. It is very important to make perfectly clear

a) advantages

b) differences

of presented approach.

2. Fig.2 and Fig.4 show that resulting curves of n, Io (especially) and R as plotted versus I do not approach constant values. What is the rigorous criterion for choosing of current range, in which parameters are determined?

3. Fig.2 shows that n and especially Io depend on IR. How to choose IR value?

4. Some discussion about accuracy of presented method would be interesting.

5. Fig.4, middle part. Circles correspond to greater values than triangles. At the same time, the Io value estimated from circles is smaller than Io value estimated from triangles.

6. In my opinion, the extracted values from measurements with the present method should be compared with other authors method results.

7. The acronym “RHS” (page 2, 3-rd paragraph) is not introduced.

8. The way from Eq.(2) to Eq.(3) is not evident.