Solutions: Galois Theory by Tom Leinster

Hassaan Naeem

November 11, 2022

Chapter 1. Overview of Galois Theory

Exercise 1.1.3

Both proofs of 'if' contain little gaps: 'It follows by induction' in the first proof, and 'it's easy to see' in the second. Fill them.

Solution: We show both both parts (i) and (ii) seperately

(i) Follows from induction that for any polynomial p over \mathbb{R} , $\overline{p(w)} = p(\overline{w})$:

Let $p(w) = c_0 + c_1 w^1 + c_2 w^2 + \dots + c_n w^n$ where $w^n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\overline{p(w)} = \overline{c_0 + c_1 w^1 + c_2 w^2 + \dots + c_n w^n}$$

$$= \overline{c_0} + \overline{c_1 w^1} + \overline{c_2 w^2} + \dots + \overline{c_n w^n}$$

$$= c_0 + c_1 \overline{w^1} + c_2 \overline{w^2} + \dots + c_n \overline{w^n}$$

$$= p(\overline{w})$$

(ii) Checking that r is the zero polynomial:

Lemma. If $r(x) = a_0 + a_1 x^1 + ... + a_n x^n$ and $r(x) = 0, \forall x \neq 0$, then $a_0 = 0$. Since \mathbb{Q} is a field, and must contain a zero.

By this lemma we have that $\forall x, r(x) = 0$ and therefore $r(x) = 0 = x(a_1 + a_2x + ... + a_nx^{n-1}) = a_1 + a_2x + ... + a_nx^{n-1}$ and $\forall x \neq 0$ $a_1 = 0$. Hence, we repeat the Lemma and show that all $a_1, ..., a_n = 0$. Therefore r(x) is the zero polynomial.

Exercise 1.1.6

Let $z \in \mathbb{Q}$. Show that z is not conjugate to z' for any complex number $z' \neq z$.

Solution:

Exercise 1.1.10

Suppose that $(z_1, ..., z_k)$ and $(z'_1, ..., z'_k)$ are conjugate. Show that z_i and z'_i are conjugate, for each $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$

Solution: By Definition 1.1.9 have that:

$$p(z_1, ..., z_k) = 0 \iff p(z'_1, ..., z'_k) = 0$$

When k = 1 we have that:

$$p(z_1) = 0 \iff p(z_1') = 0 \implies z_1 \text{ and } z_1' \text{ are conjugate}$$

Similarly, for any k:

$$p(z_i) = 0 \iff p(z_i') = 0 \implies z_i \text{ and } z_i' \text{ are conjugate}$$

Exercise 1.2.2

Show that Gal(f) is a subgroup of S_k .

Chapter 2. Group actions, rings and fields

Exercise 2.1.3

Check that \bar{g} is a bijection for each $g \in G$. Also check that Σ is a homomorphism.

Solution: We show injectivity (i), surjectivity (ii) and homomorphism (iii):

(i) Injectivity:

Let $x, y \in X$ and \bar{g} be our bijection If we have $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{g}(y)$

$$\Rightarrow gx = gy \Rightarrow g^{-1}(gx) = g^{-1}(gy) \Rightarrow (g^{-1}g)x = (g^{-1}g)y \Rightarrow ex = ey \Rightarrow x = y$$

(ii) Surjectivity:

We know that $f: X \to Y$ is surjective iff $\forall y \in Y \ \exists x \in X : f(x) = y$ We let $x \in X$ and e be the identity in G then, $x = ex = (gg^{-1})x = g(g^{-1}x) = gy = \bar{g}(y)$ where $y = g^{-1}x \in X$

Therefore \bar{q} is both injective and surjective, hence bijective.

(i) Σ is Homomorphism:

We have the map:

$$\Sigma: G \to Sym(X)$$
$$g \mapsto \bar{g}$$

We know that \bar{g} is well defined

Then we take $g, h \in G, x \in X$, then by **Definition 2.1.1**.

$$\Sigma(gh)(x) = gh(x) = g(hx)$$

= $\Sigma(g)(\Sigma(h)(x)) = \Sigma(g) \circ \Sigma(h) (x) \square$

Exercise 2.1.10

Example 2.1.9(iii) shows that the action of the isometry cube G of the cube on the set X of long diagonals is not faithful. By **Lemma 2.1.8**, there must be some non-identity isometry of the cube that fixes all four long diagonals. In fact, there is exactly one. What is it?

Solution: We show both both parts (i) and (ii) separately

Exercise 2.2.6

Prove that the only subring of a ring R that is also an ideal is R itself.

Solution: We know that I is an ideal of R if:

$$(I,+) \leq (R,+)$$
 [I is additive subgroup of R] & $\forall r \in R, x \in I$:
(1) $r \cdot x \in I$
(2) $x \cdot r \in I$

We know that a subring S of R is a subset $S \subseteq R$ containing 0 and 1.

Therefore if we take S to be an ideal as well then:

$$(S,+) \leq (R,+)$$
 & $\forall r \in R, s \in S$:
(1) $r \cdot s \in S$
(2) $s \cdot r \in S$

But we know that $1 \in S$. Therefore, $\forall r \in R$, (1) $1 \cdot r = r \in S$ and (2) $r \cdot 1 = r \in S$ Therefore $\forall r \in R, r \in S \implies S = R \quad \Box$

Exercise 2.2.8

The trivial ring or zero ring is the one-element set with its only possible ring structure. Show that the only ring in which 0 = 1 is the trivial ring.

Solution: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be our commutative, unital ring. If 1 = 0 in R, then $\forall r \in R$ we have r = 1r = 0r = 0

Exercise 2.2.8

Fill in the details of Example 2.2.13.

Solution: We suppose that $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal and we take $n \in I$ to be the least positive integer in I. We have obviously that $\langle n \rangle \subseteq I$. Then we assume that that $m \in I$, by the division algorithm we know that:

$$m = qn + r \qquad (0 \le r < n)$$

$$r = m - qn \qquad \in I$$

Therefore $r=0 \rightsquigarrow m=qn$. Therefore $m\in\langle n\rangle$ and we have that $I\subseteq\langle n\rangle$. Hence we have equality, $I=\langle n\rangle$

Exercise 2.2.15

Let r and s be elements of an integral domain. Show that $r|s|r \iff \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle \iff s = ur$ for some unit u.

Solution: If we have that r|s|r then $\exists \ a \in R : s = ar$ and $\exists \ b \in R : r = bs$ then:

$$\frac{s}{a} = bs$$

$$b = \frac{1}{a} \leadsto ab = 1 \leadsto b = a^{-1}$$

Then we have that s=ar, and we have just shown that a is a unit, hence s=ur. Therefore $r|s|r \implies s=ur$

If we have $\langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$, then r = s. Hence,

$$\begin{array}{lll} r=1s & \& & s=1r \\ r=as & \& & s=ar & \text{(where } a=1\text{)} \\ \Longrightarrow s|r \ \& & r|s \end{array}$$

Therefore $\langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle \implies r|s|r$

If we have that s = ur for some unit u, then also we have that

$$\begin{split} u^{-1}s &= u^{-1}ur \leadsto r = u^{-1}s \\ \text{Therefore } s &\in \langle r \rangle \ \& \ r \in \langle s \rangle, \langle s \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle \ \& \ \langle r \rangle \subseteq \langle s \rangle \\ &\Longrightarrow \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle \end{split}$$

Therefore $s = ur \implies \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$

Exercise 2.3.1

Write down all the examples of fields that you know.

Solution: $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$

Exercise 2.3.5

Let $\phi: K \to L$ be a homomorphism of fields and let $0 \neq a \in K$. Prove that $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$. Why is $\phi(a)^{-1}$ defined?

Solution: Since K is a field, and the fact that $0 \neq a \in K$, we have that a is a unit, $aa^{-1} = 1$, and $a^{-1} \in K$. By **Lemma 2.3.3**, we have that $\phi : K \to L$ is injective. Hence, $\phi(a)\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a \circ a^{-1}) = \phi(1) = 1$, and $\phi(a^{-1})\phi(a) = \phi(a^{-1} \circ a) = \phi(1) = 1$. Therefore we have that $\phi(a^{-1})$ is both a left and right inverse of a and hence it is the only inverse of a. Therefore, by injectivity $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$.

Exercise 2.3.13

This proof of Lemma 2.3.12 is quite abstract. Find a more concrete proof, taking equation (2.2) as your definition of characteristic. (You will still need the fact that ϕ is injective.)

Solution: By (2.2) we have:

$$charR = \begin{cases} least \ n > 0 : n * 1_R = 0_R & , \text{ if such an n exists} \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We know that $\phi(1_K) = 1_L$ and $\phi(0_K) = 0_L$, since ϕ is injective, then also $\phi(n \cdot 1_K) = n \cdot 1_L \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have two possible cases for the characteristic c of K (charK), c = 0 or c > 0.

If c = 0, then $\phi(0_K) = 0_L = 0$. Therefore charL = c = charK. If c > 0, then $\phi(c \cdot 1_K) = c \cdot 1_L = 0$. Therefore charL = c = charK.

Exercise 2.3.15

What is the prime subfield of \mathbb{R} ? Of \mathbb{C} ?

Solution: For \mathbb{R} it is \mathbb{Q} . For \mathbb{C} it is also \mathbb{Q} . See Lemma 2.3.16.

Exercise 2.3.25

What are the irreducible elements of a field?

Solution: We know that for a ring R, r is irreducible if r is not 0 or a unit and if for $a, b \in R$, then $r = ab \implies a$ or b is a unit. However, we know that every element of a field K is a either a unit or 0. Therefore, there are no irreducible elements in a field.

Chapter 3. Polynomials

Exercise 3.1.4

Show that whenever R is a finite nontrivial ring, it is possible to find distinct polynomials over R that induce the same function $R \to R$. (Hint: are there finitely or infinitely many polynomials over R? Functions $R \to R$?)

Solution:

Exercise 3.1.8

What happens to everything in the previous paragraph if we substitute $t=u^2+c$ instead?

Solution:

Exercise 3.1.13

Let p be a prime and consider the field $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ of rational expressions over \mathbb{F}_p . Show that t has no pth root in $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. (Hint: consider degrees of polynomials.)

Solution: A rational expression over K is $\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$ where $f(t), g(t) \in K[t]$ with $g \neq 0$. For any $\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ where $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$, suppose we have have that $\left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}\right)^p = t$. We then have that $f^p = tg^p$. Then $deg(f^p) = np$ where n = deg(f) and $deg(tg^p) = deg(t) + deg(g^p) = 1 + mp$ where m = deg(g), hence we have $np = mp + 1 \rightsquigarrow p = \frac{1}{n-m}$. But this is impossible since p is prime, hence a contradiction, hence t has no pth root in $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$.

Exercise 3.2.4

Prove that the ideals in Warning 3.2.3 are indeed not principal.

Solution:

Exercise 3.3.5

If I gave you a quadratic over \mathbb{Q} , how would you decide whether it was reducible or irreducible?

Solution: By Lemma 3.3.1 (ii), if the quadratic has a root in \mathbb{Q} , then it is reducible. By the same lemma (iii), if the quadratic has no root in \mathbb{Q} , then it is irreducible.

Exercise 3.3.13

The last step in (3.9) was $'deg(\bar{h}) \leq deg(h)'$. Why is that true? And when does equality hold?

Solution: $\bar{h} = h mod p$. Therefore if $p | a_{n_h}$ then $a_{n_{\bar{h}}} = 0$ and $deg(\bar{h}) < deg(h)$. If $p \nmid a_{n_h}$ then $a_{n_h} = a_{n_{\bar{h}}}$ and $deg(\bar{h}) = deg(h)$. Therefore $deg(\bar{h}) \leq deg(h)$. Equality holds on the preceding condition.

Exercise 3.3.15

Use Eisenstein's criterion to show that for every $n \geq 1$, there is an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} of degree n.

Solution: Let $f(t) = a_0 + ... + a_n t^n \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ with $n \geq 1$. For $n \geq 1$, we can always choose an $f \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $f(t) = a_n t^n + a_0$, and we can further always choose an a_n, a_0 and p such that $p \nmid a_n, p \mid a_0, p^2 \nmid a_0$. Hence, we have $f(t) = a_n t^n + a_0$ fulfilling the Eisenstein criterion, and hence f(t) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . As an example, we can always choose $f(t) = t^n + 2$ and p = 2.

Chapter 4. Field extensions

Exercise 4.1.3

Find two examples of fields K such that $Q \subsetneq K \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, i)$

Solution:
$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$
 and $K = \mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

Exercise 4.1.5

Check the truth of all the statements in the previous paragraph.

Solution: Follow trivially from definitions of interesection and subfields. See **Lemma 2.2.3** for showing interesection of subfields still remains a subfield.

Exercise 4.1.7

What is the subfield of \mathbb{C} generated by $\{7/8\}$? By $\{2+3i\}$? By $\mathbb{R} \cup \{i\}$?

Solution: Since \mathbb{C} is of characteristic 0, by **Lemma 2.3.16** the prime subfield of \mathbb{C} is \mathbb{Q} . Since \mathbb{Q} contains $\{7/8\}$ and by definition of prime subfield, it is the intersection of all the subfields of \mathbb{C} containing $\{7/8\}$, hence \mathbb{Q} is generated by $\{7/8\}$.

Let L be the subfield of \mathbb{C} generated by $\{2+3i\}$. Then $L=\{2a+3bi:a,b\in\mathbb{Q}\}$ by similar argument as **Example 4.1.6** (ii).

Similarly, let L be the subfield of \mathbb{C} generated by $\mathbb{R} \cup \{i\}$. Then $L = \mathbb{R} \cup \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \stackrel{?}{=} \{a + bi : a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$

Exercise 4.1.11

Let M:K be a field extension. Show that $K(Y\cup Z)=(K(Y))(Z)$ whenever $Y,Z\subseteq M$.

Solution:

Exercise 4.2.2

Show that every element of K is algebraic over K.

Solution: Since K is a field, $\forall k \in K : \exists -k \in K : k + (-k) = (-k) + k = 0$. Therefore, $\forall k \in K$, we can choose $f(t) = t - k \in K[t]$. Hence we have that $f \neq 0$ and f(k) = k - k = 0. Therefore $\forall k \in K, k$ is algebraic over K.

Exercise 4.2.9

What is the minimal polynomial of an element of K?

Solution: We can refer back to **Exercise 4.2.2**. If we let m(t) = t - k, then we see that it is indeed monic and unique $\forall k \in K$ satisfying condition (4.2).

Exercise 4.3.5

Let M: K and L: K be field extensions, and let $\phi: M \to L$ be a homomorphism over K. Show that if $\alpha \in M$ has minimal polynomial m over K then $\phi(\alpha) \in L$ also has minimal polynomial m over K.

Solution:

Exercise 4.3.9

Fill in the details of the last paragraph of that proof.

Solution: We show that there is at most one homomorphism $\phi: K(t) \to L$ over K such that $\phi(t) = \beta$. We let ϕ and ϕ' be two such homomorphisms. Then we have that $\phi(t) = \beta = \phi'(t)$. By **Lemma 4.3.1 (ii)** we have that t generates K(t) over K, and hence by **Lemma 4.3.6** $\phi = \phi'$

Exercise 4.3.15

Prove that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$

Solution: We know that $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ and hence $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Now we show the inclusion the other way. We use the hint and get that $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^3 = 11\sqrt{2} + 9\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Then we have that: $11\sqrt{2} + 9\sqrt{3} - 9(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$, hence $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Similarly, we get that $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$.

Exercise 4.3.18

How many elements does the field $\mathbb{F}_3(\sqrt{2})$ have? What about $\mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$, where α is a root of $1 + t + t^2$?

Solution: We know that $\mathbb{F}_3(\sqrt{2})$ can be constructed as $\mathbb{F}_3[t]/\langle t^2-2\rangle$. Hence, any element of the field has the form $a_0+a_1t+\langle t^2-2\rangle$ with $a_i\in\mathbb{F}_3$. Hence, there are $3^2=9$ elements.

In a similar manner, we know that $\mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$ can be constructed as $\mathbb{F}_2[t]/\langle t^2+t+1\rangle$. Hence any element of the field has the form $a_0+a_1t+\langle t^2+t+1\rangle$ with $a_i\in\mathbb{F}_2$. Hence there are $2^2=4$ elements.

Chapter 5. Degree

Exercise 5.1.9

Write out the addition and multiplication tables of $\mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$.

Solution: The tables are straightforward, using modulo arithmetic and the irreducible polynomial evaluated at α .

+	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$
0	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$
1	1	0	$1 + \alpha$	α
α	α	$1 + \alpha$	0	1
$1 + \alpha$	$1 + \alpha$	α	1	0

X	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$
α	0	α	$1 + \alpha$	1
$1 + \alpha$	0	$1 + \alpha$	1	α

Exercise 5.1.13

Give an example of to show that the inequality in Corollary 5.1.12 can be strict. Your example can be as trivial as you like.

Solution: We choose our fields and hence extensions to be $\mathbb{C}: \mathbb{R}: \mathbb{Q}$. We also choose $\beta = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. The minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{2}$ over \mathbb{Q} is $m = t^2 - 2$, then $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) = [\mathbb{Q}(\beta): \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.

Similarly, the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{2}$ over \mathbb{R} is $m = t - \sqrt{2}$, then $\deg_{\mathbb{R}}(\beta) = [\mathbb{R}(\beta) : \mathbb{R}] = 1$.

Hence we have that $[\mathbb{R}(\beta) : \mathbb{R}] < [\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}]$

Exercise 5.1.16

Let M: K be a field extension and α a transcendental element of M. Can every element of $K(\alpha)$ be represented as a polynomial in α over K?

Solution: We have that $K(\alpha) = \left\{ \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} : f, g \in F[t] \right\}$, which is just K(t), the field rational expressions. Therefore it is not polynomial is α over K.

Exercise 5.1.20

Show that a field extension whose degree is a prime number must be simple.

Solution: Let $M: K(\alpha): K$ be field extensions where M and K are arbitrary fields, $\alpha \in M$, and [M:K] = p, where p is prime. By **Theorem 5.1.17 (iii)** we have $[M:K] = [M:K(\alpha)][K(\alpha):K]$. Hence, we must have that $[K(\alpha):K] = 1$ or p, however, we also know that $K(\alpha) \neq K$, hence $[K(\alpha):K] = p$, and therefore, $[M:K(\alpha)] = 1$, which by **Example 5.1.3** tells us $M = K(\alpha)$. Hence M:K is a simple.

Exercise 5.1.23

Generalize Example 5.1.22. In other words, what general result does the argument of Example 5.1.22 prove, not involving the particular numbers chosen there?

Solution: Let M: K be a field extension and $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in M$. If $gcd(deg_K(\alpha_1), ..., deg_K(\alpha_n)) = 1$ (i.e., coprime), then we have that, $[K(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) : K] = [K(\alpha_1) : K]...[K(\alpha_n) : K]$

Exercise 5.2.5

Let M:K be a field extension and $K\subseteq L\subseteq M$. In the proof of Proposition 5.2.4, I said that if L is a subfield of M then L is a K-linear subspace of M. Why is that true? And is the converse also true? Give proof or a counterexample.

Solution: We know that M acts as a vector space over K. If L is a subfield of M, then we can similarly conclude that L acts as a vector space over K. Since we have that L is a subset of M (a subfield) we can conclude that L is a linear (K-linear) subspace of M (by definition of a linear subspace).

The converse is not true.

Exercise 5.2.8

Let M: K be a field extension and write L for the set of elements of M algebraic over K. By imitating the proof of Proposition 5.2.7, prove that L is a subfield of M.

```
Solution: We have that L = \{\alpha \in M : [K(\alpha) : K] < \infty\}.
 Then \forall \alpha, \beta \in L, [K(\alpha, \beta) : K] \leq [K(\alpha) : K][K(\beta) : K] < \infty
 Now \alpha + \beta \in K(\alpha, \beta), so K(\alpha + \beta) \subseteq K(\alpha, \beta), hence [K(\alpha + \beta) : K] \leq [K(\alpha, \beta) : K] < \infty, giving \alpha + \beta \in L. Similarly, \alpha \cdot \beta \in L.
 Then \forall \alpha \in L, [K(-\alpha) : K] = [K(\alpha) : K] < \infty, giving -\alpha \in L. Similarly, 1/\alpha \in L (if \alpha \neq 0), and clearly 0, 1 \in L \square
```

Exercise 5.3.7

Find an example of Lemma 5.3.6 where [LL':L]=2, and another where [LL':L]=1.

Solution: If we let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $L' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, we then get $LL' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})] = 2$.

If we let $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{4})$ and $L'=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, we then get $LL'=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{4},\sqrt{3})$. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{4},\sqrt{3}):\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})]=1$.

Chapter 6. Splitting fields

Exercise 6.1.5

Show that if a ring homomorphism ψ is injective then so is ψ_* , and if ψ is an isomorphism then so is ψ_* .

Solution: We have that $\psi: R \to S$ and $\psi_*: R[t] \to S[t]$. Since ψ is injective we that $\forall x, y \in R$ if $\psi(x) = \psi(y) \implies x = y$. Then we choose $f, f' \in R[t]$ and assume that $\psi_* f = \psi_* f'$. From **Definition 3.1.7** we then have that:

$$\psi_* f = \psi_* f'$$

$$\psi_* \left(\sum_i a_i t^i \right) = \psi_* \left(\sum_i b_i t^i \right)$$

$$\sum_i \psi(a_i) t^i = \sum_i \psi(b_i) t^i$$

$$\psi(a_i) = \psi(b_i)$$

$$\implies a_i = b_i$$

Hence we have that $f = \sum_i a_i t^i = f'$. Hence ψ_* is injective.

If ψ is an isomorphism then ψ is both surjective and injective. We have just shown that ψ_* is injective, so we show that it is also surjective to prove it is an isomorphism. We know that ϕ_* is surjective $\iff \forall s \in S[t] \ \exists r \in R[t] : \psi_* r = s$.

We choose $s \in S[t]$ and let e be the identity homomorphism. Then we have:

$$s = es$$

$$= (\psi_* \psi_*^{-1})s$$

$$= \psi_* (\psi_*^{-1}s)$$

$$= \psi_* \left(\psi_*^{-1} \left(\sum_i a_i t^i \right) \right)$$

$$= \psi_* \left(\sum_i \psi^{-1}(a_i) t^i \right)$$

$$= \psi_* r$$

where $\psi^{-1}(a_i) \in R$ exists since ψ is an isomorphism, and $r = \sum_i \psi^{-1}(a_i)t^i \in R[t]$. Hence ψ_* is also surjective, hence it is an isomorphism.

Exercise 6.1.5

Show that (ii) can equivalently be replaced by: 'if L is a subfield of M containing K, and f splits in L, then L = M'.

Solution: We first show (\Longrightarrow). By (ii) we have that $f(t)=(t-\alpha_1)...(t-\alpha_n)=0$

We then show (\Leftarrow). We have that $K \subseteq L = M$, and $f(t) = \beta(t - \alpha_1) \cdots (t - \alpha_n)$ for some $n \ge 0$ and $\beta, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in M$.

Exercise 6.2.9

In Example 6.2.8(iii), I said that $\mathbb{Q}(\xi, \omega \xi, \omega^2 \xi) = \mathbb{Q}(\xi, \omega)$. Why is that true?

Solution: We know that $\mathbb{Q}(\xi) = \{a + b\xi + c\xi^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, and hence $\{1, \xi, \xi^2\}$ forms a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}$. Similarly, $\{1, \omega\}$ forms a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\xi, \omega) : \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$. By **Theorem 5.1.17 (Tower Law)(i)** we then have that $\{1, \xi, \xi^2, \omega, \xi\omega, \xi^2\omega\}$ forms a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\xi, \omega) : \mathbb{Q}$.