

Phase	Task	Date	Decisions & Rationale	Challenges & Solutions	Implementation
1: Data Collection Research and Assess	Collect comments from YouTube using API	21 September 2025	Decision: Use YouTube Data API to automatically collect comments. Rationale: Efficient, reliable, and supports keyword filtering.	Challenges: API key required; some videos had comments disabled. Solutions: Used valid API key and try/except blocks to skip problematic videos	Implementation: Python with googleapiclient; fetched video IDs, retrieved comments for each video with engagement metrics, and saved to CSV using pandas.
	Collect tweets from Twitter using API	21 September 2025	Decision: Use Twitter API (Tweepy) to collect recent tweets with relevant keywords. Rationale: Provides structured access to tweets with engagement metrics and filtering options.	Challenges: Need to collect relevant tweets and handle API limits. Solutions: Applied keyword filtering, limited results per request, excluded retweets, and collected engagement metrics.	Implementation: Python with tweepy.Client, search_recent_tweets(), extracted tweet text and metrics, stored in pandas.DataFrame and saved with to_csv().
	Collect comments from Reddit using API	22 September 2025	Used praw to collect comments automatically as it provides structured access and engagement data.	API limits and search errors → set post limits, applied time filter, and used try/except to skip failed requests.	Used praw.Reddit + subreddit.search() to fetch comments, stored results in a pandas.DataFrame, and exported to CSV.
	Clean the collected comments	22 September 2025	Decision: Apply text cleaning to improve data quality. Rationale: Cleaning ensures reliable and accurate analysis by removing noise and irrelevant information	Challenge: The dataset contained duplicate comments, empty rows, URLs, mentions, emojis, punctuation, and stopwords that could affect analysis. Solution: Applied a series of preprocessing steps to remove duplicates, empty rows, URLs, mentions, emojis, punctuation, stopwords, and reset the DataFrame index.	Used the following Python functions/methods: drop_duplicates() dropna() reset_index() re.sub() for URLs and mentions re.compile().sub() for emojis str.translate() for punctuation stopwords.words() and list comprehension for stopwords removal
	Measure and visualize the proportion of hate speech per platform.	24 September 2025	Decided to calculate hate speech proportions using normalized labels (1 = hate, 0 = non-hate) and visualize results with a bar chart. This provides a clear comparison across platforms.	Some datasets had different label formats (text vs numbers). Solution: Standardized labels by mapping text (e.g., "toxic", "hate") to numeric values and dropping invalid rows.	Normalized label column to numeric (0/1). Filtered valid rows only. Calculated hate speech proportion for each platform. Visualized results using matplotlib bar plot with percentages on top.

	Visualize engagement distribution for hate vs non-hate content across platforms.	24 September 2025	Use boxplots to compare engagement levels (likes, replies, etc.) between hate and non-hate posts. Boxplots clearly show medians, ranges, and spread, making comparisons easier.	Labels and engagement columns had inconsistent formats → Solution: Standardize labels using <code>to_binary_label()</code> and convert engagement to numeric. Some rows missing data → Solution: Filter out invalid rows before plotting.	Convert label column to numeric (0/1) using <code>to_binary_label</code> . Filter valid rows with both label and engagement. Separate data by platform and label. Plot boxplots with matplotlib, showing engagement distribution for each label per platform.
2: Data Collection, Processing, Cleaning, and Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	Feature Scaling and Filtering.	14 October 2025	Scale numeric features (Engagement, toxicity, word count) to [0,1] and remove extreme word counts; needed for consistency and model readiness.	Outliers in word count → filtered rows with word count > 0 and < 300; scaled using MinMaxScaler.	MinMaxScaler() from <code>sklearn.preprocessing</code> , filtering with boolean indexing.
	Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) For Reddit .	14 October 2025	Visualize distributions, label proportions, correlations, and engagement trends; needed to understand patterns and data quality.	Outliers and varying distributions → used histograms, boxplots, heatmaps, and countplots to detect and summarize.	matplotlib, seaborn for histograms, boxplots, heatmaps, and countplots.
	Enhance Missing Value Handling	22 September 2025	Decision: Instead of deleting all rows with missing values, numeric columns were filled with zeros or mean values. Rationale: To minimize data loss and keep the dataset balanced.	Challenge: Many numeric columns had missing values which, if dropped, would reduce the dataset drastically. Solution: Applied mean imputation for continuous variables and zeros for counts.	Used <code>fillna(0)</code> and <code>me."fillna(df["toxicity_score_an()") in pandas.</code>
	Convert Text to Lowercase	22 September 2025	Decision: Standardize all tweet text to lowercase before analysis. Rationale: Ensures consistent text comparison (e.g., “Hate” vs “hate”).	Challenge: Mixed capitalization caused inconsistent counts for the same word. Solution: Converted all text to lowercase using Python string methods.	Used <code>text.lower()</code> during the text cleaning process.
	Label Encoding	22 September 2025	Decision: Convert categorical labels (“Hate”, “Non-Hate”) to numerical form (1, 0).	Challenge: Label column contained inconsistent values (different cases and formats).	Used : <code>"replace({"Hate":["df["Label 0}]) in pandas.: "Non-Hate", 1</code>

			Rationale: Required for statistical analysis and model training.	Solution: Mapped all text labels to numerical equivalents using a replace dictionary.	
	Normalize Numeric Columns	22 September 2025	Decision: Scale numerical features between 0 and 1 for uniform comparison. Rationale: Prevent bias caused by differences in feature scales.	Challenge: Variables like engagement and word count had wide value ranges. Solution: Applied normalization to compress scales evenly.	Used MinMaxScaler() from <code>sklearn.preprocessing</code> on numeric columns.
	Filter Text by Word Count	22 September 2025	Decision: Remove rows with very short or extremely long tweets (0–300 words kept). Rationale: To ensure text quality and remove noise.	Challenge: Some rows had empty or excessively long texts affecting analysis. Solution: Applied condition to keep text with reasonable word count range.	Used <code>df = df[(df["word_count"] > 0) & (df["word_count"] < 300)]</code> .
	Perform Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) on Twitter Dataset	23 September 2025	Decision: Conduct EDA to understand data characteristics, detect outliers, and identify trends and relationships between variables. Rationale: EDA provides insights into data structure, quality, and relationships that inform the modeling phase and guide decision-making.	Challenges: - Needed to separate univariate and bivariate analysis as outlined in lecture guidelines. - Some variables showed very small variation after normalization. Solutions: Applied both graphical and non-graphical EDA methods to interpret patterns; adjusted histogram bin sizes and visual scales to enhance interpretability.	.pandas ,Python :Tools used - seaborn ,matplotlib :(Univariate (Non-Graphical - ,()describe ,()info . Used value_counts() to summarize . data. :(Univariate (Graphical - Created histograms and boxplots for numeric columns using sns.histplot() and sns.boxplot(). Created :(Bivariate (Graphical - correlation heatmap with sns.heatmap() and analyzed .relationships such as Label vs word_count. Findings: - <ul style="list-style-type: none">Hate (Label=1) tweets tend to have slightly higher word counts.Engagement and toxicity are weakly correlated.Some outliers observed in engagement values. Confirmed :Metadata Review - ,(source as Twitter API (Tweepy ,collected on 21 Sept 2025 focused on hate-speech-related keywords. Recognized :Bias Awareness - overrepresentation of negative ,limited to English users ,tweets and higher visibility bias due to engagement filtering.
	Perform Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) on YouTube Dataset	23 September 2025	Decision: Conducted Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) to understand the structure, quality, and relationships within the YouTube comments dataset. The analysis focused on numerical variables such as	Challenges: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Presence of skewed distributions in numerical columns (especially toxicity_score and Engagement).	Seaborn: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Used <code>describe()</code>, <code>info()</code>, and <code>value_counts()</code> for statistical summaries.Created histograms to visualize distributions of numeric features (Engagement, toxicity_score,

		<p>Engagement, toxicity_score, word_count, and negative_word_count, as well as categorical label distribution (Hate = 1, Non-Hate = 0). Detected outliers and patterns using both graphical and non-graphical methods.</p> <p>Rationale: EDA helps reveal hidden trends in user engagement, toxicity, and word usage. It assists in understanding how hate-related comments differ from normal comments, and ensures the dataset is suitable for modeling and classification.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High imbalance between hate and non-hate labels. Some variables contained extreme or near-zero values after scaling. <p>Solutions: Applied both univariate and bivariate graphical EDA methods using matplotlib and seaborn libraries.</p>	<p>word_count, negative_word_count.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generated boxplots for numeric columns to detect outliers and compare label-based distributions. Built correlation heatmap (<code>sns.heatmap()</code>) to identify relationships among numeric variables. Plotted countplot (<code>sns.countplot()</code>) to display label distribution between hate and non-hate comments. <p>Findings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The dataset is label-imbalanced, with a larger number of non-hate comments. Hate comments (Label = 1) tend to have slightly higher word counts and engagement values. Engagement and toxicity_score show a weak positive correlation (~0.34). Most toxicity_score and Engagement values are concentrated near zero, indicating skewed data. Some outliers appear in word_count and Engagement, but they represent valid extreme user interactions. <p>Tools Used: Python, Pandas, Matplotlib, Seaborn.</p>
--	--	--	---	--