

How are Muslims living in the West in non - Islamic countries, without betraying their Islamity?

The question is simple but veeeeery delicate!

ما حدا يفهمني غلط!

The delicacy is because we do not want to be misunderstood as if we are explaining outside friendly honesty (مصارحة حبية).

You see, Islam in its doctrine has a concept called taqiyya: the right to abide by non-Islamic rules and regulations all while being considered a perfect Muslim, as long as the concerned Muslim individual or community does not have the force to implement Muslim culture and worldliness, along with religion of course (it is a package: deen wa dunya). So they do not fully live their Islamity, but they are living their Islam. Full Islamity will come later, when it will be possible to implement through changing the political system.

Islam preconizes the spread of itself by proselytism, like Christianity: this is called the da`wa (الدعوة). In case that does not work, it demands use of military force (Jihad).

Now I am not saying that all Muslims nowadays are willing to go that far, but the legal doctrine says so, and those who disagree can still be treated of infidels or apostates.

So this is how many Muslims live in the West, but many of them wish to live their full Islamity there one day.

Because to them this is part of the salvation for Humanity, to apply God's will (as seen by Islam) on Earth.

Part of this applies also to Lebanon or anywhere where Muslims do not have the upper hand: Burma, Kashmir...

لا حكم على النوايا الصالحة

Reply to me:

Thank you for your clear explanation. Although I have many Muslim friends, I deduct from your text that it is better for us to have a confederation of states where everyone can follow his own beliefs without annoying his neighbors or friends, and therefore federalism cannot be applied if by definition Islam will tend to get the upper hand anyway. In a confederation, people will make links and friends and hopefully will learn to live together in full respect of each other's beliefs.

My reply:

Needless to thank!

No, wait! Whether in Federalism or in {partition with/without confederation}, if Islam tends to try to get the upper hand, there will be problems. Of course, this also applies if Canaanites (vulgarly said Christians) tend to dominate Muslims.

What you can do is to call upon Muslims in Lebanon who would like to get the upper hand, believing they are doing a good deed as explained above, to freeze their project until it is really meaningful to do so when there is a worldwide movement for a possibility for an Islamic State. That said, in respect of their doctrine. Because applying their doctrine in ONLY Lebanon is meaningless. Recall Arabism: Kamal Junblat said in 1956: “When all Arab countries unite into one state, call Lebanon to join! Why are u starting with Lebanon, the least country to accept?”

1) Because it is obvious that tending to get the upper hand has failed in Lebanon for 1400 years.

3) there is consensus among Muslims that they need the “Canaanites / Christians” for their cultural aspect, their education, schools, universities, openness to the West, hotels, restaurants, health system, hospitals, clinics etc...

I might be wrong, but that is the way I have come to see it after much ponderation.

WE HAVE TO BRING PEACE.
Suffering is not exempting anyone in Lebanon.
And peace needs LOVE.
And love OBLIGES loving the other as is. Accepting him as is.
When you change your friend or spouse or “partner in Lebanon” into what pleases you, this is not
love anymore, thus no peace, thus catastrophes... via clashes, emigration....
Sorry for the long reply 🙏
Really delicate