


Facebook and Fake News

Consider each of the below proposals, and fill in each of the below TODOs.

Proposals

Proposal 1

Facebook relies on an algorithm as well as individual users' reports to identify content that is potentially "fake news." Once the content has been identified, it is sent to third party fact-checkers for verification. If the content is verified as fake news, it is publicly flagged with a warning that the content is disputed by fact-checkers.



Proposal 2

However, a designer at Facebook believes that there needs to be a different approach to content regulation. Their proposal is that content deemed problematic by third-party fact-checkers should be prevented from being shared on the platform altogether.

Questions

1. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you feel is better? Why?
 - a. Proposal 1. Because another option looks like censorship.
2. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you think best preserves or promotes the [five rights and opportunities necessary for a democratic public sphere](#)?
 - a. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Rights? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1. Because one person has rights to post what he wants, on the other hand others have rights to believe them or not.
 - b. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Opportunity for Expression? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1. The freedom to say what you think is an important part of self-expression.
 - c. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Access? Why?
 - i. Proposal 2. The second method is better for the availability of reliable information.
 - d. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Diversity? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1. The first method gives more freedom to express diversity.
 - e. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Communicative Power? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1. The first method gives more freedom to exchange information, discuss it, albeit at the expense of the quality of this information.