

Reinforcement Learning HW 2

Mert Bilgin (7034879)

`mert.bilgin@student.uni-tuebingen.de`

Lalitha Sivakumar (6300674)

`lalitha.sivakumar@student.uni-tuebingen.de`

Kevin Van Le (7314700)

`kevin-van.le@student.uni-tuebingen.de`

October 29, 2025

1 State-Action Value Function and Policy Iteration

a)

The state-action value function is given by:

$$q_{\pi}(s, a) = \sum_{s'} p(s' | s, a) [r(s, a, s') + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$$

For $q_{\pi}(11, \text{down})$:

$$q_{\pi}(11, \text{down}) = \sum_{s'} p(s' | 11, \text{down}) [-1 + v_{\pi}(s')]$$

Since only $p(\text{Terminal} | 11, \text{down}) = 1$, we have:

$$q_{\pi}(11, \text{down}) = -1 + v_{\pi}(\text{Terminal}) = -1 + 0 = -1$$

Similarly, for $q_{\pi}(7, \text{down})$:

$$q_{\pi}(7, \text{down}) = \sum_{s'} p(s' | 7, \text{down}) [-1 + v_{\pi}(s')]$$

Since only $p(11 | 7, \text{down}) = 1$, we have:

$$q_{\pi}(7, \text{down}) = -1 + (-14) = -15$$

Finally, for $q_{\pi}(9, \text{left})$:

$$q_{\pi}(9, \text{left}) = \sum_{s'} p(s' | 9, \text{left}) [-1 + v_{\pi}(s')]$$

Since only $p(8 | 9, \text{left}) = 1$, we have:

$$q_{\pi}(9, \text{left}) = -1 + (-20) = -21$$

b)

We know that the state-value function under a policy π is given by:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a | s) q_\pi(s, a)$$

The optimal value can be written in a similar fashion. That is,

$$v_*(s) = \max_{\pi} v_\pi(s) = \max_{\pi} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a | s) q_\pi(s, a)$$

For the optimal policy π_* , we have:

$$v_*(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi_*(a | s) q_*(s, a)$$

Since the optimal policy selects the action that maximizes $q_*(s, a)$,

$$\pi_*(a | s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_*(s, a), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Substituting this back, we obtain:

$$v_*(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left[\mathbb{I} \left(a = \arg \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} q_*(s, a') \right) q_*(s, a) \right] = \max_a q_*(s, a)$$

where we use \mathbb{I} for the indicator operator.

c)

We know that for any policy π , the following holds for the action-value function:

$$q_\pi(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s')$$

Taking the maximum over all policies, we obtain:

$$\max_{\pi} q_\pi(s, a) = q_*(s, a) = \max_{\pi} \left(R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s') \right)$$

Since the reward R_s^a and transition probabilities $P_{ss'}^a$ do not depend on π , we can move the maximization inside the sum:

$$q_*(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ss'}^a \left(\max_{\pi} v_\pi(s') \right)$$

By definition of the optimal value function $v_*(s') = \max_{\pi} v_\pi(s')$, we have:

$$\boxed{q_*(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ss'}^a v_*(s')}$$

d)

An optimal policy can be found by maximizing over the optimal action-value function $q_*(s, a)$:

$$\pi_*(a | s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = \arg \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} q_*(s, a'), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It greedily selects the action with the highest estimated return according to q_* .

e)

We know that the state-value function is defined as:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a | s) q_\pi(s, a)$$

For any policy π , the action-value function satisfies:

$$q_\pi(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s')$$

Substituting the definition of $v_\pi(s')$ into the above equation gives:

$$q_\pi(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ss'}^a \left(\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a' | s') q_\pi(s', a') \right)$$

Simplifying, we obtain the Bellman expectation equation for the action-value function:

$$q_\pi(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} P_{ss'}^a \pi(a' | s') q_\pi(s', a')$$

2 Value Iteration

2.1 2.3 Custom Gridworld Analysis

The custom gridworld is defined as follows:

```
grid = [[' ', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' '],
        [' ', ' ', '#', '#', '+10'],
        [' ', ' ', '#', -10, ' ', ' '],
        [' ', ' ', '#', -10, ' ', ' '],
        [' ', ' ', '#', +5, ' ', ' '],
        ['S', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' ']]
```

For discount = 0.9, noise = 0.2, livingReward = 0.0: From the start state the agent prefers taking the shortest path to the small reward of +5, but if the agent is in the top next state from the start state it prefers the longer path. The reason is the discount factor where the longer path is one step close and the shorter path one step farther away. In the bottom left corner state the agent actually prefers taking the riskier path to the big reward due to the big reward being discounted less.

For discount = 0.9, noise = 0.1, livingReward = 0.0: For this configuration where the noise is smaller, the agent takes the shortest path to the big reward. The reason is now that due to the smaller noise, the risk is now less to fall into the -10 pits so the best action is now taking that riskier path.

For discount = 0.9, noise = 0.2, livingReward = 0.5: When adding a living reward to the default configuration, the agent prefers taking the long safe path to the big reward. Interestingly, on the bottom next state to the small reward, the agent would prefer going the long path to the left to go to the big reward instead of taking the small reward by going a step up. This highlights the effect that the living reward has on the agent, where taking steps is also rewarded.

For discount = 1.0, noise = 0.2, livingReward = 0.5: Using the previous configuration with discount = 1.0 is rewarded for being in the run as long as possible. Hence the positive terminal states becomes that states that the agent wants to avoid as it would end the run. If we assume that the top left corner is state (0,0) then the most valuable state is the state (0,2) as this state is the farthest away from all terminal states and the optimal action would be to walk into the wall. The distance to the terminal states still matter here because we have a noise factor where the agent could accidentally go to a terminal state.

For discount = 0.9, noise = 0.4, livingReward = 0.0: With high noise, the agent wants to avoid the pitfall at all costs, it takes the same route as in the first configuration with a small difference when the state is the bottom right corner. Here, the agent goes to the left to avoid accidentally falling into the pit.