A.Y. 2022-2023 Luca Liverotti luca.liverotti@polimi.it

# Lab 8 – Homework Solutions

December 2, 2022

# A Homework

**Homework 8.1.** Consider the function  $f(x) = e^x$  in the interval [-1,1] and the associated piecewise linear interpolating polynomial on a set of nodes  $\{x_i\}$ .

- a. Determine how many equally spaced nodes  $x_i$  are needed in order to ensure an interpolation error with infinity norm smaller than  $10^{-3}$ .
- b. Construct the interpolating polynomial based on such a number of nodes, compute the associated error and check if the request on accuracy is satisfied.

## Solution Homework 8.1.

hw\_8\_1.m

```
clc
clear all
close all
f = 0(x) \exp(x);
% Using Theorem 10.2 and imposing that the right hand side is less or equal
% than 1e-3
h = sqrt(1e-3 * 8 / exp(1))
n = ceil(2 / h) + 1 % = 38
x = linspace(-1, 1, n);
y = \exp(x);
x_plot = linspace(-1, 1, 1000);
y_plot = interp1(x,y,x_plot);
plot(x_plot, f(x_plot), 'k-', x_plot, y_plot, 'r-', x, y, 'rx', 'LineWidth', 2, 'MarkerSize',
axis([-1.1 \ 1.1 \ 0 \ 3])
set(gca,'FontSize', 16)
set(gca,'LineWidth', 1.5)
err = max(abs(f(x_plot) - y_plot))
% The requirement is satisfied.
```

## Homework 8.2. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(x - 0.3)^2 + 0.01} + \frac{1}{x^2 + 0.04} - 6.$$

- a. Sample f(x) at 10 equally spaced nodes in the interval [-1,3] and construct the minimum degree interpolating polynomial.
- b. Numerically compute the error. Provide a method to improve the result.

#### Solution Homework 8.2.

```
hw 8 2.m
```

```
clc
clear all
close all
f = Q(x) 1./((x - 0.3).^2 + 0.01) + 1./(x.^2 + 0.04) - 6;
n = 10;
x = linspace(-1, 3, n)';
fx = f(x);
coeff_polyfit = polyfit(x, fx, n-1);
x_plot = linspace(-1, 3, 1000);
p_equally = polyval(coeff_polyfit, x_plot);
figure
subplot(2,1,1)
plot(x_plot, f(x_plot), '-b', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on, box on
plot(x_plot, p_equally, '-r', 'LineWidth',2)
plot(x, f(x), 'rx', 'LineWidth', 2, 'MarkerSize', 10)
set(gca, 'FontSize', 16)
set (gca, 'LineWidth', 1.5)
xlabel('x','FontSize',16)
ylabel('f(x)','FontSize',16)
legend('f', 'p_{equally}')
err_equally = abs(f(x_plot) - p_equally);
subplot(2,1,2)
hold on, box on
plot(x_plot, err_equally, '-r', 'LineWidth',2)
set (gca, 'FontSize', 16)
set(gca, 'LineWidth', 1.5)
xlabel('x', 'FontSize', 16)
ylabel('|e(x)|', 'FontSize', 16)
legend('p_{equally}')
```

**Homework 8.3.** Let  $f(x) = x^5 + 1$  and  $x_i = 0.2 \cdot i$ , with i = 0, 1, 2, 3.

- a. Let  $\Pi_n f(x)$  be the interpolating polynomial of f(x) at the nodes  $x_i$ . Compute  $\Pi_n f(x)$  and provide an upper bound for  $|E_n(x)| = |\Pi_n f(x) f(x)|$ .
- b. Let  $\Pi_1^H f(x)$  be the piecewise linear interpolation of f(x) at the nodes  $x_i$ . Compute an upper bound for  $|E_1^H f(x)| = |\Pi_1^H f(x) f(x)|$ .

# Solution Homework 8.3.

```
clc
clear all
close all
f = @(x) x.^5 + 1;
x = [0 \ 0.2 \ 0.4 \ 0.6];
p3 = polyfit(x, f(x), 3)
x_plot = linspace(0, 0.6, 1000);
p3_plot = polyval(p3, x_plot);
figure
plot(x, f(x), 'rx', 'LineWidth', 2, 'MarkerSize', 10)
hold on, box on
plot(x_plot, f(x_plot), 'b--', 'LineWidth', 2)
plot(x_plot, p3_plot, 'r-', 'LineWidth', 2) axis([-0.05 0.65 0.99 1.09])
set(gca, 'FontSize', 16)
set(gca, 'LineWidth', 1.5)
xlabel('x', 'FontSize', 16)
ylabel('f(x)','FontSize',16)
leg = legend('nodes', 'f', 'p3', 'Location', 'nw');
err = max(abs(f(x_plot) - p3_plot))
err_estimate = 72*(0.2)^4/(4*4)
% This is consistent with the estimate.
8 b)
s3\_plot = interp1(x, f(x), x\_plot);
plot(x_plot, s3_plot, 'g-','LineWidth', 2)
leg = legend('nodes', 'f', 'p3', 's3', 'Location', 'nw');
err = max(abs(f(x_plot) - s3_plot))
err_estimate = 1/8*0.2^2*20*(0.6)^3
% This is consistent with the estimate.
```

## Homework 8.4. Consider the following function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0,1) \\ 1 & x \in [1,2]. \end{cases}$$

First interpolate the function using the Lagrange polynomial with an increasing number of nodes. Then repeat the procedure using the piecewise linear and a least-squares approximation. What do you observe? Justify the answer.

# Solution Homework 8.4.

hw\_8\_4.m

```
clc
clear all
close all

x_plot = linspace(0, 2, 1000);
f = @(x) 1*(x >= 1);

figure
plot(x_plot, f(x_plot), 'b-', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on, box on
axis([-0.1 2.1 -1 2])
set(gca, 'FontSize', 16)
```

```
set(gca, 'LineWidth', 1.5)
xlabel('x','FontSize',16)
ylabel('f(x)', 'FontSize', 16)
% Equispaced nodes
for n = [2, 4, 8, 16, 32]
 x = linspace(0, 2, n);
 coeff = polyfit(x, f(x), n-1);
 pn = polyval(coeff, x_plot);
 plot(x_plot, pn, 'r-', 'LineWidth',2)
 pause
end
% The result is very poor, for many reasons.
% The function to be interpolated is discontinuous,
\mbox{\it \$} the interpolating polynomial has too many oscillations and
% the computation of the polynomial becomes ill-conditioned when
% the number of nodes increases.
% Piecewise linear polynomials
figure
plot(x_plot, f(x_plot), 'b-', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on, box on
axis([-0.1 2.1 -1 2])
set(gca, 'FontSize', 16)
set(gca, 'LineWidth', 1.5)
xlabel('x','FontSize',16)
ylabel('f(x)','FontSize',16)
for n = [2, 4, 8, 16, 32]
 x = linspace(0, 2, n);
 s_plot = interpl(x, f(x), x_plot);
 plot(x_plot, s_plot, 'g-','LineWidth', 2)
 pause
end
% With linear splines the interpolation approximates the function
\mbox{\ensuremath{\it \$}} with increasing precision, since for big n the slope at
% the discontinuity gets higher.
figure
plot(x_plot, f(x_plot), 'b-', 'LineWidth', 2)
hold on, box on
axis([-0.1 2.1 -1 2])
set(gca, 'FontSize', 16)
set(gca, 'LineWidth', 1.5)
xlabel('x','FontSize',16)
ylabel('f(x)','FontSize',16)
x = linspace(0, 2, 15);
for n = [2, 4, 8, 16, 32]
 v = polyfit(x, f(x), n);
 s_plot = polyval(v, x_plot);
plot(x_plot, s_plot, 'g-','LineWidth', 2)
 pause
end
% Once again the result is very poor.
% The function to be interpolated is discontinuous,
% the interpolating polynomial has too many oscillations and
```

- % the computation of the polynomial becomes ill-conditioned when % the number of nodes increases because the interpolant tends to be smooth.