

# Problem Set V

## Macroeconomics I

Nurfatima Jandarova

January 2, 2017

### Exercise 1 Corners again

(a)

- time is discrete and infinite
- Define  $s_t \in W$  the realization of a stochastic event that defines the wage rate. Hence, history of events is denoted as  $s^t$ . Define as well the associated transition matrix,  $P(s_{t+1}|s_t)$  and the unconditional probability of each history  $s^t$  at time  $t$ ,  $\pi_t(s^t)$ .
- Household values  $\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\ln(c_t(s^t)) - (1 - l_t(s^t))] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} \beta^t \pi_t(s^t) [\ln(c_t(s^t)) - n_t(s^t)]$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .  
Notice that period utility is a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , increasing in both  $c_t(s^t)$  and  $l_t(s^t)$ , and a concave function. Furthermore, period utility function satisfies Inada condition with respect to consumption, but not with respect to leisure:  $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} u_c(c, l) = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c} = \infty, \forall c, \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} u_l(c, l) = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} 1 = 1, \forall l$ .
- At time  $t$  and history  $s^t$ , a consumer is paid  $w_t(s_t)$ . Notice, that wage is said to follow Markov process, i.e., is history-independent. Therefore, the allocations in the economy are as well history-independent.
- Although not directly specified by the problem, I assume sequential trade market structure. Define  $a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1})$  the amount of claims on time  $t+1$ , history  $s^{t+1}$  consumption bought at time  $t$ , history  $s^t$ .
- Consumer has to choose allocations  $\{c_t(s^t), n_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and asset positions  $a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1})$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_t(s_t), n_t(s_t), a_{t+1}(s_t, s_{t+1})\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \sum_{s^t} \pi_t(s^t) [\ln(c_t(s_t)) - n_t(s_t)] \text{ s.t.} \\ c_t(s_t) + \sum_{s_{t+1}|s^t} \frac{a_{t+1}(s_t, s_{t+1})}{1+r} &= w_t(s_t)n_t(s_t) + a_t(s_t), \quad \forall t, \forall s^t \\ a_{t+1}(s_t, s_{t+1}) &\geq B, \quad \forall t, \forall s_t, \forall s_{t+1} \\ a_0(s_0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the problem could be written recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} V(a, s) = \max_{c, n, a'} & \{ \ln(c) - n + \beta \sum_{s' \in W} P(s'|s) V(a'(s'), s') \} \text{ s.t. } c + \sum_{s' \in W} \frac{a'(s')}{1+r} = w(s)n + a \\ & a'(s') \geq B, \quad \forall s' \in W \end{aligned}$$

or

$$V(a, s) = \max_{n, a'} \left\{ \ln \left( w(s)n + a - \sum_{s' \in W} \frac{a'(s')}{1+r} \right) - n + \beta \sum_{s' \in W} P(s'|s) V(a'(s'), s') \right\} \text{ s.t. } a'(s') \geq B, \quad \forall s' \in W$$

(b) If  $B$  is the natural debt limit, then the borrowing constraint will never bind. Suppose it does, which then implies that the household will be bound to consume 0 for the rest of infinite life. However, consumer's period utility satisfies Inada condition with respect to consumption, i.e., even a slightest deviation from zero constitutes a vast utility improvement. Hence, at equilibrium consumer will never want to have zero consumption.

(c) Policy functions for the household are given by  $\sigma^c(a, s), \sigma^n(a, s), \sigma^a(a, s, s')$ . Then, the FOCs are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma^c(a, s)} &= \mu \\ -1 + \mu w(s) &= -1 + \frac{w(s)}{\sigma^c(a, s)} \\ \frac{\mu}{1+r} = \beta P(s'|s) \frac{\partial V(a'(s'), s')}{\partial a'(s')} &\implies \frac{1}{1+r} = \beta P(s'|s) \frac{\sigma^c(a, s)}{\sigma^c(\sigma^a(a, s, s'), s')} \\ \frac{\partial V(a, s)}{\partial a} &= \mu \end{aligned}$$