## Report embeddings

For this assignment I chose Russian language as a source and Czech language as a target and got the embeddings from the fastText website.

I used the VecMap tool in unsupervised way to create a cross-lingual mapping using only first 100000 words

python3 map\_embeddings.py --unsupervised cut.cc.ru.300.vec cut.cc.cs.300.vec ru\_mapped.emb cs\_mapped.emb

and then just concatenated resulting mappings:

cat ru\_mapped.emb cs\_mapped.emb > mapped.emb

Then I chose to do the parsing. I trained the parser with bilingual embeddings on Russian training data from

./udpipe --train --tokenizer=none --tagger=none --parser='mapped.emb' ru\_parser.model < UD\_Russian-GSD/ru\_gsd-ud-train.conllu

I compared the resulting parser on target Czech data and compared it with delexicalized Czech parser from tree\_translation assignment:

./udpipe --parse --accuracy ru\_parser.model < UD\_Czech-PUD/cs\_pud-ud-test.conllu ./udpipe --parse --accuracy cs-delex.udpipe < UD\_Czech-PUD/cs\_pud-ud-test.conllu

|                     | LAS    | UAS    |
|---------------------|--------|--------|
| Delex               | 61.81% | 48.69% |
| Bilingual embedding | 62.27% | 52.53% |

I also trained a delexicalized parser for Russian language:

```
./udpipe --train --tokenizer=none --tagger=none --parser='embedding_form=0;embedding_feats=0' ru.delex.parser.udpipe < UD_Russian-GSD/ru_gsd-ud-train.conllu
```

and compared the accuracy of both parsers on source language data:

./udpipe --parse --accuracy ru\_parser.model < UD\_Russian-GSD/ru\_gsd-ud-test.conllu
./udpipe --parse --accuracy ru.delex.parser.udpipe < UD\_Russian-GSD/ru\_gsd-udtest.conllu

|                     | LAS    | UAS    |
|---------------------|--------|--------|
| Delex               | 76.61% | 71.20% |
| Bilingual embedding | 85.25% | 82.12% |

In both cases, accuracy is better for the bilingual embedding parser.