Date of evaluation	03/07/2024
Evaluation period start and end dates	11/02/2023 – 02/22/2024
Resource manager	
Employee's name	Vladislav Karchmit

Attuibuta	Cuada	Details and clarifications		
Attribute	Grade	Positive	Negative	
Being helpful to our	Matches the expectations	1. Vlad is always ready to spend		
clients		extra time to complete testing (both		
		for features and smoke testing,		
		especially when he understands		
		that it's critical for the client). Vlad		
		is always ready to spend extra time		
		to complete testing (both for		
		features and smoke testing)		
		especially when he understands		
		that it's critical for the client.		
		2. Vlad not seldom helped in		
		demonstrations of new features by		
		leaving his comments on the pre-		
		demo, as well as not seldom		
		replaced the developer of the task		
		and presented the tasks to the		
		client personally.		
		3. he suggests help if some		
		teammates need it.		
		4. he worries about delivery on		
		time.		
		5. Studied additional materials		
		according to self-assessment		

Compliance with company and project policies and processes	Matches the expectations	1. Vlad always attends all calls on the project and is highly active at them. He also always maintains an up-to-date status for his tasks, which helps the team to plan their activities properly.  2. He can notice any violations of our processes and ask people to correct their violations if possible (see the attachment).  Security:  1. Reported 1 security incident - phishing or marketing email, not a security incident (clicking the link would be one)  2. No involvements in security incidents	
		Following task management and time reporting policies:  1. Expected effective capacity  2, Same day time reporting	
Quality of work	Matches the expectations	1. PM and QAL - He prepared good test strategies for some epics.  Feedback about three latest Test strategies prepared for the project.  - Overall, Test strategies are comprehensive and cover all the required details for the large functionality testing. They are sufficient for understanding of what will be done and why it will be done.  2. There was a big emission	

Productivity	Matches the expectations	calculation task on the project during the period under review and thanks to Vlad and his approach to work several non-obvious but important defects were found and corrected, which allowed to deliver the completed task without critical defects.  3. He helps push developer test creation for epics, actively discusses the scope with developers, and explains why it's essential.  4. There were no incidents during the period.  5, Develops autotests well, is unafraid to solve complex tasks, performs quality cross-code reviews.  1. Always tries to complete tasks on	1.QAL - I would expect that he will not
rioddctivity	iviatches the expectations	time, but not seldom the developer has more time to complete the task and in these moments the timelines for Vlad's tests are cut, but he still tries to meet the deadline and in the vast majority of cases he succeeds. The last vivid example of this is the epic Emissions where the developer was a bit short of deadlines, but the testing of these tasks was done in the shortest possible time and with proper quality.  2. he pushes the team if he understands that something is under risk.	postpone Automation tickets due to other tasks or at least discuss it obviously with me. It would be great if the speed is increased with the experience received earlier.

3. he usually follows our timeline, and there were no critical cases when Vlad delayed his tasks. He notifies the team if he will be
unavailable during working hours.
4. He quickly responds to messages
and is ready to have a call if needed.
5. Successfully worked during
another QAE vacation

Contribution to	Matches the expectations	1. Vlad diligently promoted	
improving processes		improvements regarding E2E testing	
		on the project. Thanks to his work,	
		DoD tasks for QA Automation were	
		improved and the process of writing	
		E2E tests was significantly changed	
		for the better. As an example of	
		improvement, we can single out the	
		appeared tests on the system API,	
		which were not present before.	
		Vlad is interested in bringing the	
		quality of testing to the project to a	
		new level, he is constantly	
		suggesting improvements in writing	
		E2E tests.	
		2- He is always active on retro calls,	
		always suggesting ideas for process	
		improvements, and bringing up any	
		situations that could have been	
		avoided or would have helped	
		improve processes.	
		3. He started a new process on the	
		project: conducting testing strategy	
		demonstrations with the team and	
		general refining. This helped us	
		more clearly understand what	
		functionality we could cover with	
		certain types of tests. This process	
		also helped in part to start	
		introducing integration tests on the	
		project that had not been used	
		before.	
		4. He described the process of	
		performing automated testing on	
		the project. He came up with the	
		ability to collect statistics and	

implemented it on the project. We	
have been collecting these statistics	
for 3 months now and in the future,	
we plan to provide them to the	
customer in an interactive form.	