CoDesign of Learning Analytics Dashboards

...

1. Introduction

Learning analytics dashboards are designed to use learners' traces to present the computed indicators and other visual elements in a clear and intuitive way [6]. They have emerged as applications for visualizing and interacting with data collected in a learning environment in various forms [20]. Steiner et al. [24] referred to them as "visualizations of learning traces". For Yoo et al. [27], a learning dashboard is "a display which visualizes the results of educational data mining in a useful way". Schwendimann et al. [23] identified a lack of an agreed and shared definition and thus proposed the following:

> "*A learning dashboard is a single display that aggregates different indicators about learner(s), learning process(es) and/or learning context(s) into one or multiple visualizations.* " [23]

Learning dashboards provide interactive, historical, customized and analytical displays that are based on the results of analyzing learning data [18, 16]. By implementing visual and interactive analytics, they amplify human natural abilities to detect patterns, establish connections and make inferences. The produced visual outputs can significantly highlight aspects of interest from the mined and discovered knowledge [8].

Learning dashboards are suitable for online, face-to-face, and blended learning [25]. They can target different stakeholders: administrators, instructors, learners or all of

them. Within a single display, indicators and visualizations about learners, learning processes and contexts are rendered using different shapes, from plain text to visual elements (e.g., tables, spreadsheet charts, scatterplot, 3D representations) to complex artifacts such as alerts and notifications that prompt interventions [11, 19, 23]. Currently, they are increasingly deployed as a meaningful component in learning analysis systems. For instance, they are currently used in studying progression through courses [17], learners level of attainment [14], and learners' engagement from the cognitive and behavioral perspectives [7]. Despite being fairly recent educational tools, the research found many benefits of using learning dashboards to improve learning performance [1] and to increase learners' motivation [25, 26].

...

According to Bennett and Folley [3], we can distinguish three types of learner dashboards: predictive, modeler and descriptive.

- Predictive types use machine learning based on computer algorithms that rely on a series of trace data to predict the likelihood that the students outcomes. Many works in this category (i.e, [2]) use these algorithms to produce at risk rating for individual students.

- For example, aspects of a student's online behaviours such as communication, initiative, presence that have been derived from the number of messages, the number of comments in response to others' messages, time spent online, etc., are not included in this section.

- The third type of dashboard is descriptive and displays past learning behaviours

2

2. Designing leanring analytics dashboards

There is a growing body of literature on learner dashboard design, and several literature reviews covering the field. A comprehensive literature review by Bodily and Verbert [5] involved identifying and analyzing 93 papers with respect to functionality, data sources, design analysis, student perceptions, and measured effects. They found that the clear majority of the papers focused on technical features such as data sources, functional aspects (e.g., visualization data, what the dashboard was intended to do) while only two papers reported on how students' behaviours were changed as a result of using the Learner Dashboards and only one-third (34 of 93) focused on students' perceptions of the design.

2.1. General design principles

Due to the recent emergence of learning analytics dashboards, there is still a scarcity of studies on their design principles [9]. Yoo et al. [27] argued that, since dashboards are an instrument of communication, effective design is tied to several theoretical foundations, such as human cognition and perception, situational awareness and visualization technologies. In other words, their conceptualization must be based on an understanding of how humans see and think.

Based on a number of theoretical principles in addition to his practical experience, Few [12] outlined some good and bad examples of dashboard design. He claimed that the essential characteristics of a dashboard are: 1) to be visual displays; 2) to display the information needed to achieve specific objectives, 3) to fit on a single computer screen, and 4) to be used to monitor information at a glance. In terms of human perception, due to the limited working memory of humans, only three or four pieces of visual information can be stored at a time. Therefore, for more effective memory perception and retention, it is essential to incorporate graphic patterns such as graphs

rather than individual numbers. In addition, there must be a proper and reasoned use of pre-attentive attributes such as colour, shape, spatial position and movement. From Few's principles, Yoo et al. [27] drew three main implications:

1. the most important information should stand out from the rest in a dashboard, which usually has limited space to fit into a single screen;

2. the information in a dashboard should support one's situated awareness and help rapid perception using diverse visualization technologies; and

3. the information should be deployed in a way that makes sense, and elements of information should support viewers' immediate goal and end goal for decision making.

Situational awareness deals with disclosing the type of information that is important for a particular purpose or task [10], and thus constitutes another design principle related to dashboards. Three levels can be distinguished:

1. perception of the elements in the environment;

2. comprehension of the current situation; and

3. projection of future status.

Situational awareness is commonly understood in terms of people being consistently aware of what is going on, in order to predict what will be happening as well as to prepare what must be done.

2.2. Limitation of the existing dashboards design approaches
2.2.1. Lack of theoretically informed design

One obstacle to the adoption of dashboards is the often existing gap between visual analyses and the objectives of the study [22]. Sometimes, to represent the analyzed data from different angles, designers use complex representations and visualizations that are

rather difficult for end users to interpret, especially "at a glance" [8]. According to the survey reported in [21], the existing dashboards generally have poor interface design and lack of usability testing. The selection of data to be visualized is generally not what the stakeholders in the analysis want or really need because they have not regularly been involved in the design process [15]. Bodily and Verbert [4] also noted the absence of design choice justifications in the conception of several learning dashboards.

A primary concern of dashboard designers must be the identification of what type of visual representations to implement, and what kind of interaction to offer. Gašević et al. [13] argue that, without careful considerations, the design of dashboards can result in the implementation of fragile and undesirable instructional practices by promoting ineffective feedback types and methods. In order to encourage adoption of learning dashboards, the design needs to be further informed by theories related to learning sciences and educational psychology. Holstein et al. [15] argued that the success of the dashboards depends on the degree to which its stakeholders have been involved in co-designing them.

2.2.2. Selection of the input data and the computed indicators

A rich variety of measured data and indicators are used and computed in existing dashboards. Dashboard solutions are heavily based on trace analysis, and little attention has been paid to use other data sources such as direct feedback or the quality of the produced artifacts. Moreover, as noted by Schwendimann et al. [23], there is little work on comparing which indicators and which visualizations are most suitable for the different user data literacy levels. In most cases, the chosen visualizations are rather similar to those in other areas of dashboard applications (e.g., web analytics), which highlights the lack of specific visualizations and visual metaphors that address the activities of learning and teaching (another potential area for future research) [23].

3. Conclusion

References

[1] Kimberly E Arnold and Matthew D Pistilli. Course signals at purdue: Using learning analytics to increase student success. In *Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on learning analytics and knowledge*, pages 267–270. ACM, 2012.

[2] Kimberly E Arnold, Steven Lonn, and Matthew D Pistilli. An exercise in institutional reflection: The learning analytics readiness instrument (lari). In *Proceedings of the fourth international conference on learning analytics and knowledge*, pages 163–167, 2014.

[3] Liz Bennett and Sue Folley. Four design principles for learner dashboards that support student agency and empowerment. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 2019.

[4] Robert Bodily and Katrien Verbert. Review of research on student-facing learning analytics dashboards and educational recommender systems. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 2017.

[5] Robert Bodily and Katrien Verbert. Trends and issues in student-facing learning analytics reporting systems research. In *Proceedings of the seventh international learning analytics & knowledge conference*, pages 309–318, 2017.

[6] Francis Brouns, Marta Elena Zorrilla Pantaleón, Pedro Solana González, Ángel Cobo Ortega, Marta Collantes Viaña, Carlos Rodríguez Hoyo, Mariana De Lima Silva, Carmen Marta-Lazo, José Antonio Gabelas Barroso, Pilar Arranz, et al. Eco d2. 5 learning analytics requirements and metrics report, 2015.

[7] Rubiela Carrillo, Clément Renaud, Yannick Prié, and Élise Lavoué. Dashboard for monitoring student engagement in mind mapping activities. In *Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT), 2017 IEEE 17th International Conference on*, pages 433–437. IEEE, 2017.

[8] Erik Duval. Attention please!: learning analytics for visualization and recommendation. In *the 1st International Conference on Learning Analytics*, 2011. ISBN 9781450310574.

[9] Vanessa Echeverria, Roberto Martinez-Maldonado, Roger Granda, Katherine Chiluiza, Cristina Conati, and Simon Buckingham Shum. Driving data storytelling from learning design. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, pages 131–140. ACM, 2018.

[10] Mica R Endsley. *Designing for situation awareness: An approach to user-centered design*. CRC press, 2016.

[11] Stephen Few. *Information Dashboard Design: The Effective Visual Communication of Data*. O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2006. ISBN 0596100167.

[12] Stephen Few. *Information Dashboard Design: Displaying data for at-a-glance monitoring*. Analytics Press, 2013.

[13] Dragan Gašević, Shane Dawson, and George Siemens. Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning. *TechTrends*, 59(1):64–71, 2015.

[14] Sergio Gutierrez-Santos, Eirini Geraniou, Darren Pearce-Lazard, and Alexandra Poulovassilis. Design of teacher assistance tools in an exploratory learning environment for algebraic generalization. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 5(4):366–376, 2012.

[15] Kenneth Holstein, Bruce M. McLaren, and Vincent Aleven. Intelligent tutors as teachers' aides: Exploring teacher needs for real-time analytics in blended classrooms. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Learning Analytics & Knowledge Conference*, LAK '17, pages 257–266, New York, NY, USA, 2017. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-4870-6.

[16] Jeonghyun Kim, Il Hyun Jo, and Yeonjeong Park. Effects of learning analytics dashboard: analyzing the relations among dashboard utilization, satisfaction, and learning achievement. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 17(1):13–24, 2015. ISSN 1876407X. doi: 10.1007/s12564-015-9403-8.

[17] Scott Nicholson. A user-centered theoretical framework for meaningful gamification. *Games+ Learning+ Society*, 8(1):223–230, 2012.

[18] Yeonjeong Park and Il-Hyun Jo. Development of the learning analytics dashboard to support students' learning performance. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 21(1):110–133, 2015.

[19] V Podgorelec and S Kuhar. Taking advantage of education data: Advanced data analysis and reporting in virtual learning environments. *Elektronika ir Elektrotechnika*, 114(8):111–116, 2011.

[20] Alejandro Ramos-Soto, Manuel Lama, Borja Vázquez-Barreiros, Alberto Bugarín, and M Mucientes S Barro. Towards textual reporting in learning analytics dashboards. In *2015 IEEE 15th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT)*, pages 260–264. IEEE, 2015.

[21] Gabriel Reimers and Anna Neovesky. Student focused dashboards. In *Proceedings*

of the 7th International Conference on Computer Supported Education-Volume 1, pages 399–404. SCITEPRESS-Science and Technology Publications, Lda, 2015.

[22] Lynne D Roberts, Joel A Howell, and Kristen Seaman. Give me a customizable dashboard: Personalized learning analytics dashboards in higher education. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 22(3):317–333, 2017.

[23] B. A. Schwendimann, M. J. Rodríguez-Triana, A. Vozniuk, L. P. Prieto, M. S. Boroujeni, A. Holzer, D. Gillet, and P. Dillenbourg. Perceiving learning at a glance: A systematic literature review of learning dashboard research. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 10(1):30–41, Jan 2017. ISSN 1939-1382.

[24] Christina M Steiner, Michael D Kickmeier-Rust, and Dietrich Albert. Learning analytics and educational data mining: An overview of recent techniques. *Learning Analytics for and in Serious Games*, 6:61–75, 2014.

[25] Katrien Verbert, Erik Duval, Joris Klerkx, Sten Govaerts, and José Luis Santos. Learning analytics dashboard applications. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 2013.

[26] Alyssa Friend Wise, Jovita Maria Vytasek, Simone Hausknecht, and Yuting Zhao. Developing learning analytics design knowledge in the "middle space": The student tuning model and align design framework for learning analytics use. *Online Learning*, 20(2), 2016.

[27] Yesom Yoo, Hyeyun Lee, Il-Hyun Jo, and Yeonjeong Park. Educational dashboards for smart learning: Review of case studies. In *Emerging Issues in Smart Learning*, pages 145–155. Springer, 2015.