

# A Short Guide to Celestial Navigation



**Copyright © 1997-2015 Henning Umland**

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.3 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

**Revised June 5<sup>th</sup>, 2015**

**First Published May 20<sup>th</sup>, 1997**

# Index

## Preface

<b>Chapter 1</b>	The Basics of Celestial Navigation
<b>Chapter 2</b>	Altitude Measurement
<b>Chapter 3</b>	Geographic Position and Time
<b>Chapter 4</b>	Finding One's Position (Sight Reduction)
<b>Chapter 5</b>	Finding the Position of an Advancing Vessel
<b>Chapter 6</b>	Determination of Latitude and Longitude, Direct Calculation of Position
<b>Chapter 7</b>	Finding Time <i>and</i> Longitude by Lunar Distances
<b>Chapter 8</b>	Rise, Set, Twilight
<b>Chapter 9</b>	Geodetic Aspects of Celestial Navigation
<b>Chapter 10</b>	Spherical Trigonometry
<b>Chapter 11</b>	The Navigational Triangle
<b>Chapter 12</b>	General Formulas for Navigation
<b>Chapter 13</b>	Charts and Plotting Sheets
<b>Chapter 14</b>	Magnetic Declination
<b>Chapter 15</b>	Ephemerides of the Sun
<b>Chapter 16</b>	Navigational Errors
<b>Chapter 17</b>	The Marine Chronometer

## Appendix

**GNU Free  
Documentation  
License**

*Much is due to those who first broke the way to knowledge,  
and left only to their successors the task of smoothing it.*

*Samuel Johnson*

## Preface

Why should anybody still practice celestial navigation in the era of electronics and GPS? One might as well ask why some photographers still develop black-and-white photos in their darkroom instead of using a digital camera. The answer would be the same: because it is a noble art, and because it is rewarding. No doubt, a GPS navigator is a powerful tool, but using it becomes routine very soon. In contrast, celestial navigation is an intellectual challenge. Finding your geographic position by means of astronomical observations requires knowledge, skillfulness, and critical judgement. In other words, you have to use your brains. Everyone who ever reduced a sight knows the thrill I am talking about. The way is the goal.

It took centuries and generations of navigators, astronomers, geographers, mathematicians, and instrument makers to develop the art and science of celestial navigation to its present level, and the knowledge thus accumulated is a treasure that should be preserved. Moreover, celestial navigation gives us an insight into scientific thinking and creativeness in the pre-electronic age. Last but not least, celestial navigation may be a highly appreciated alternative if a GPS receiver happens to fail.

When I read my first book on navigation many years ago, the chapter on celestial navigation with its fascinating diagrams and formulas immediately caught my particular interest although I was a little intimidated by its complexity at first. As I became more advanced, I realized that celestial navigation is not nearly as difficult as it seems to be at first glance. Studying the literature, I found that many books, although packed with information, are more confusing than enlightening, probably because most of them have been written by experts and for experts. On the other hand, many publications written for beginners are designed like cookbooks, i. e., they contain step-by-step instructions but avoid much of the theory. In my opinion, one can not *really* comprehend celestial navigation and enjoy the beauty of it without knowing the mathematical background.

Since nothing really complied with my needs, I decided to write a compact manual for my personal use which had to include the most important definitions, formulas, diagrams, and procedures. As time went by, the project gained its own momentum, the book grew in size, and I started wondering if it might not be of interest to others as well. I contacted a few scientific publishing houses, but they informed me politely that they considered my work as dispensable ("Who is going to read this!"). I had forgotten that scientific publishing houses are run by marketing people, not by scientists. Around the same time, I became interested in the internet, and I quickly found that it is the ideal medium to share one's knowledge with others. Consequently, I set up my own web site to present my book to the public.

The style of my work may differ from other books on this subject. This is probably due to my different perspective. When I started the project, I was a newcomer to the world of navigation, but I had a background in natural sciences and in scientific writing. From the very beginning, it has been my goal to provide accurate information in a structured and comprehensible form. The reader may judge whether this attempt has been successful.

More people than I expected are interested in celestial navigation, and I would like to thank my readers for their encouraging comments and suggestions. However, due to the increasing volume of correspondence, I am no longer able to answer individual questions or to provide individual support. Unfortunately, I have still a few other things to do, e. g., working for a living. Nonetheless, I keep working on this publication at leisure, and I am still grateful for suggestions and error reports.

This publication is released under the terms and conditions of the GNU Free Documentation License. A copy of the latter is included.

June 5th, 2015

Henning Umland

Web site:

<http://www.celnar.de>

# Chapter 1

## The Basics of Celestial Navigation

**Celestial navigation**, also called **astronomical navigation**, is the art and science of finding one's geographic position through astronomical observations, in most cases by measuring **altitudes** of celestial bodies – sun, moon, planets, or stars.

An observer watching the night sky without knowing anything about geography and astronomy might spontaneously get the impression of being on a horizontal plane located at the center of a huge hollow sphere with the celestial bodies attached to its inner surface. This naive concept of a spherical universe has probably been in existence since the beginning of mankind. Later, astronomers of the ancient world (Ptolemy et al.) developed it to a high degree of perfection. Still today, **spherical astronomy** is fundamental to celestial navigation since the navigator, like the astronomers of old, measures **apparent positions** of bodies in the sky without knowing their absolute positions in space.

The apparent position of a body in the sky is best characterized by the **horizon system of coordinates** which is a special case of a **spherical coordinate system**. In this system, an imaginary (!) observer is located at the center of the **celestial sphere**, a hollow sphere of infinite diameter, which is divided into two hemispheres by the plane of the **celestial horizon** (Fig. 1-1). The center of the celestial sphere coincides with the center of the earth which is also assumed to be a sphere. The first coordinate of the observed body is its geocentric **altitude**, **H**. **H** is the vertical angle between the celestial horizon and a straight line extending from the center of the celestial sphere to the body. **H** is measured from  $0^\circ$  through  $+90^\circ$  above the horizon and from  $0^\circ$  through  $-90^\circ$  below the horizon. The geocentric **zenith distance**, **z**, is the corresponding angular distance between the body and the **zenith**, an imaginary point vertically overhead. The zenith distance is measured from  $0^\circ$  through  $180^\circ$ . **H** and **z** are **complementary angles** ( $H + z = 90^\circ$ ). The point opposite to the zenith on the celestial sphere is called **nadir** ( $H = -90^\circ$ ,  $z = 180^\circ$ ). **H** and **z** are also arcs of the **vertical circle** going through zenith, nadir, and the observed body. The second coordinate of the body, the geocentric **true azimuth**, **Az<sub>N</sub>**, is the horizontal direction of the body with respect to the **geographic north point** on the celestial horizon, measured clockwise from  $0^\circ$ (N) through  $360^\circ$ . The third coordinate, the **distance** of the body from the center of the celestial sphere, is not measured.




Fig. 1-1

In reality, the observer is **not** located on the plane of the celestial horizon but on or above the surface of the earth. The horizontal plane passing through the observer's eye is called **sensible horizon** (Fig. 1-2).




Fig. 1-2


The latter merges into the **geoidal horizon**, a plane tangent to the earth at the observer's position, when the observer's eye is at sea level. The planes of celestial, geoidal, and sensible horizon are parallel to each other and perpendicular to the **direction of gravity** at the observer's position.

Since sensible and geoidal horizon are relatively close to each other (compared with the radius of the earth), they can be considered as identical under most practical conditions. None of the above fictitious horizons coincides with the **visible horizon**, the line where the earth's surface and the sky appear to meet.

Usually, the trigonometric calculations of celestial navigation are based on the **geocentric altitudes** (or geocentric zenith distances) of bodies. Since it is not possible to measure the geocentric altitude of a body directly, it has to be derived from its altitude with respect to the visible or sensible horizon (altitude corrections, chapter 2).

The altitude of a body with respect to the visible **sea horizon** is usually measured with a **marine sextant**. Measuring altitudes with respect to the (invisible) sensible horizon requires an instrument with an **artificial horizon**, e. g., a **theodolite** (chapter 2). An artificial horizon is a device that indicates a plane perpendicular to the local direction of gravity, for example by means of a pendulum or a spirit level.


Geocentric altitude and zenith distance of a celestial body are determined by the distance between the terrestrial observer and the **geographic position of the body**, GP. GP is the point where a straight line extending from the center of the earth, C, to the celestial body intersects the earth's surface (*Fig. 1-3*).



*Fig. 1-3*

A body appears in the zenith ( $H = 90^\circ$ ,  $z = 0^\circ$ ) when GP is identical with the observer's position. A terrestrial (earth-bound) observer moving away from GP will experience that the geocentric zenith distance of the body varies in direct proportion with his growing distance from GP. The geocentric altitude of the body decreases accordingly. The body is on the celestial horizon ( $H = 0^\circ$ ,  $z = 90^\circ$ ) when the observer is one quarter of the circumference of the earth away from GP. If the observer moves farther away from GP, the body will become invisible.

For any given altitude of a body, there is an infinite number of terrestrial positions having the same distance from GP and thus forming a circle on the earth's surface (*Fig 1-4*). The center of this circle is on the line C–GP, below the earth's surface. An observer traveling along the circle will measure a constant altitude (and zenith distance) of the body, irrespective of his position on the circle. Therefore, such a circle is called a **circle of equal altitude**.



*Fig. 1-4*

The arc length  $r$ , the distance of the observer from GP measured along the surface of the earth, is obtained through the following formula:

$$r[nm] = 60 \cdot z[\text{°}] \quad \text{or} \quad r[km] = \frac{\text{Perimeter of Earth } [km]}{360^\circ} \cdot z[\text{°}]$$


One nautical mile (1 nm = 1.852 km) is the **great circle distance** (chapter 3) of one minute of arc on the surface of the earth. The mean perimeter of the earth is 40031.6 km.

As shown in Fig. 1-4, light rays originating from a distant object (fixed star) are virtually parallel to each other when they arrive at the earth. Therefore, the altitude of such an object with respect to the geoidal (or sensible) horizon, called **topocentric altitude**, equals its geocentric altitude. In contrast, light rays coming from a relatively close body (moon, sun, planets) diverge significantly. This results in a measurable difference between topocentric and geocentric altitude, called **parallax in altitude**. The effect is greatest when observing the moon, the body closest to the earth (chapter 2).

The true azimuth of a body depends on the observer's position on the circle of equal altitude and can assume any value between  $0^\circ$  and  $360^\circ$ . Usually, the navigator is not equipped to measure the azimuth of a body with the same precision as its altitude. However, there are methods to calculate the azimuth from other quantities.

Whenever we measure the altitude or zenith distance of a celestial body, we have already gained some information about our own geographic position because we know we are somewhere on a circle of equal altitude defined by the center, GP (the geographic position of the body), and the radius,  $r$ . Of course, the information available so far is still incomplete because we could be anywhere on the circle of equal altitude which comprises an infinite number of possible positions and is therefore also referred to as a **circle of position** (chapter 4).

We extend our thought experiment and observe a second body in addition to the first one. Logically, we are on two circles of equal altitude now. Both circles overlap, intersecting each other at two points on the earth's surface. One of these two points of intersection is our own position (Fig. 1-5a). Theoretically, both circles could be tangent to each other. This case, however, is unlikely. Moreover, it is undesirable and has to be avoided (chapter 16).



In principle, it is not possible for the observer to know which point of intersection – Pos. 1 or Pos. 2 – is identical with his actual position unless he has additional information, e. g., a fair estimate of his position, or the **compass bearing** (approximate azimuth) of at least one of the bodies. The problem of **ambiguity** does not occur when three bodies are observed because there is only one point where all three circles of equal altitude intersect (Fig. 1-5b).

Theoretically, the observer could find his position by plotting the circles of equal altitude on a globe. Indeed, this method has been used in the past but turned out to be impractical because precise measurements require a very big globe. Plotting circles of equal altitude on a map is possible if their radii are small enough. This usually requires observed altitudes of almost  $90^\circ$ . The method is rarely used since such altitudes are not easy to measure. Usually, circles of equal altitude have diameters of several thousand nautical miles and do not fit on nautical charts. Further, plotting circles of such dimensions is very difficult due to geometric distortions caused by the respective map projection (chapter 13).

Usually, the navigator has at least a rough idea of his position. It is therefore not required to plot a complete circle of equal altitude. In most cases only a short arc of the circle in the vicinity of the observer's estimated position is of interest. If the curvature of the arc is negligible, depending on the radius of the circle and the map scale, it is possible to plot a straight line (a secant or a tangent of the circle of equal altitude) instead of the arc. Such a line is called a **line of position or position line**.

In the 19<sup>th</sup> century, navigators developed very convenient mathematical and graphic methods for the construction of position lines on nautical charts. The point of intersection of at least two suitable position lines marks the observer's position. These methods, which are considered as the beginning of modern celestial navigation, will be explained in detail later.

In summary, finding one's geographic position by astronomical observations includes three basic steps:

1. **Measuring the altitudes or zenith distances of two or more celestial bodies (chapter 2).**
2. **Finding the geographic position of each body at the instant of its observation (chapter 3).**
3. **Deriving one's own position from the above data (chapter 4&5).**

# Chapter 2

## Altitude Measurement

In principle, altitudes and zenith distances are equally suitable for navigational calculations. Traditionally, most formulas are based upon altitudes since these are easily measured using the visible sea horizon as a natural reference line. Direct measurement of the zenith distance requires an instrument with an artificial horizon, e. g., a pendulum or spirit level indicating the local direction of gravity (perpendicular to the plane of the sensible horizon) since a reference point in the sky does not exist.

### Instruments

A **marine sextant** consists of a system of two mirrors and a telescope mounted on a sector-shaped metal frame (usually brass or aluminium alloy). A schematic illustration of the optical components is given in *Fig. 2-1*. The horizon glass is a half-silvered mirror whose plane is perpendicular to the plane of the frame. The index mirror, the plane of which is also perpendicular to the frame, is mounted on the so-called index arm rotatable on a pivot perpendicular to the frame. The optical axis of the telescope is parallel to the frame and passes obliquely through the horizon glass. During an observation, the instrument frame is held in an upright position, and the visible sea horizon is sighted through the telescope and horizon glass. A light ray originating from the observed body is first reflected by the index mirror and then by the back surface of the horizon glass before entering the telescope. By slowly rotating the index mirror on the pivot the superimposed image of the body is aligned with the image of the horizon line. The corresponding altitude, which is twice the angle formed by the planes of horizon glass and index mirror, can be read from the graduated limb, the lower, arc-shaped part of the sextant frame (*Fig. 2-2*). Detailed information on design, usage, and maintenance of sextants is given in [3] (see appendix).




Fig. 2-1




Fig. 2-2

On land, where the horizon is too irregular to be used as a reference line, altitudes have to be measured by means of instruments with an **artificial horizon**.

A **bubble attachment** is a special sextant telescope containing an **internal artificial horizon** in the form of a small spirit level the bubble of which (replacing the visible horizon) is superimposed on the image of the celestial body. Bubble attachments are expensive (almost the price of a sextant) and not very accurate because they require the sextant to be held absolutely still during an observation, which is rather difficult to manage. A sextant equipped with a bubble attachment is referred to as a **bubble sextant**. Special bubble sextants were used for air navigation before electronic navigation systems became standard equipment.

On land, a pan filled with water or, preferably, a more viscous liquid, e. g., glycerol, can be utilized as an **external artificial horizon**. As a result of gravity, the surface of the liquid forms a perfectly horizontal mirror unless distorted by movements or wind. The vertical angular distance between a body and its mirror image, measured with a marine sextant, is twice the altitude of the body. This very accurate method is the perfect choice for exercising celestial navigation in a backyard. *Fig. 2-3* shows a professional form of an external artificial horizon. It consists of a horizontal mirror (polished black glass) attached to a metal frame which is supported by three leg screws. Prior to an observation, the screws have to be adjusted with the aid of one or two detachable high-precision spirit levels until the mirror is exactly horizontal in every direction.

*Fig. 2-3*



*Fig. 2-4*



A **theodolite** (*Fig. 2-4*) is basically a telescopic sight which can be rotated about a vertical and a horizontal axis. The angle of elevation (altitude) is read from the graduated vertical circle, the horizontal direction is read from the horizontal circle. The specimen shown above has vernier scales and is accurate to approx. 1'.

The vertical axis of the instrument is aligned with the direction of gravity by means of a spirit level (artificial horizon) before starting the observations. Theodolites are primarily used for surveying, but they are excellent navigation instruments as well. Some models can resolve angles smaller than  $0.1'$  which is not achieved even with the best sextants. A theodolite is mounted on a tripod which has to rest on solid ground. Therefore, it is restricted to land navigation. Mechanical theodolites traditionally measure zenith distances. Electronic models can optionally measure altitudes. Some theodolites measure angles in the unit **gon** instead of degree ( $400$  gon =  $360^\circ$ ).

Before viewing the sun through an optical instrument, a proper shade glass must be inserted, otherwise the retina might suffer permanent damage! The sextant shown in Fig. 2-2 has two sets of shade glasses attached to the frame (one for each optical path).

### Altitude corrections

**Any altitude measured with a sextant or theodolite contains errors. Altitude corrections are necessary to eliminate systematic altitude errors AND to reduce the topocentric altitude of a body to the geocentric altitude (chapter 1). Altitude corrections do NOT remove random observation errors.**

#### Index error (IE)

A sextant or theodolite may display a constant error (**index error, IE**) which has to be subtracted from every reading before the latter can be used for further calculations. The error is positive if the angle displayed by the instrument is greater than the actual angle and negative if the displayed angle is smaller. Errors which vary with the displayed angle require the use of an individual correction table if the error can not be eliminated by overhauling the instrument.

$$1\text{st correction: } H_1 = H_s - IE$$

The **sextant altitude,  $H_s$** , is the altitude as indicated by the sextant before any corrections have been applied.

When using an external artificial horizon,  $H_1$  (not  $H_s$ !) has to be divided by two.


A theodolite measuring the zenith distance,  $z$ , requires the following formula to obtain  $H_1$ :

$$H_1 = 90^\circ - (z - IE)$$

#### Dip of horizon

If the earth's surface were an infinite plane, visible and sensible horizon would be identical. In reality, the visible sea horizon appears several arcminutes below the sensible horizon which is the result of two contrary effects, the curvature of the earth's surface and atmospheric refraction. The **geometrical horizon** is a flat cone formed by an infinite number of straight lines tangent to the earth and converging at the observer's eye. Since atmospheric refraction bends light rays passing along the earth's surface toward the earth, all points on the geometric horizon appear to be elevated, and thus form the **visible horizon**. Visible and geometrical horizon would be the same if the earth had no atmosphere (Fig. 2-5).

Fig. 2-5



The vertical angular distance of the sensible horizon from the visible horizon is called **dip (of horizon)** and is a function of the **height of eye, HE**, the vertical distance of the observer's eye from the sea surface (the distance between sensible and geoidal horizon):

$$Dip['] \approx 1.76 \cdot \sqrt{HE[m]} \approx 0.97 \cdot \sqrt{HE[ft]}$$

The above formula is empirical and includes the effects of the curvature of the earth's surface and of atmospheric refraction\*.

\*At sea, the dip of horizon can be obtained directly by measuring the angular distance between the visible horizon in front of the observer and the visible horizon behind the observer through the zenith. Subtracting 180° from the angle thus measured and dividing the resulting angle by two yields the dip of horizon. This very accurate method can not be accomplished with a sextant but requires a special instrument (prismatic reflecting circle) which is able to measure angles greater than 180°.

**The correction for the dip of horizon has to be omitted (Dip = 0) if any kind of an artificial horizon is used since the latter is solely controlled by gravity and thus indicates the plane of the sensible horizon (perpendicular to the vector of gravity).**

$$2\text{nd correction: } H_2 = H_1 - \text{Dip}$$


The altitude obtained after applying corrections for index error and dip is also referred to as **apparent altitude, Ha**.

$$Ha = H_2$$

### Atmospheric refraction

A light ray coming from a celestial body is slightly deflected toward the earth when passing obliquely through the atmosphere. This phenomenon is called **refraction**, and occurs always when light enters matter of different density at an angle smaller than 90°. Since the eye is not able to detect the curvature of the light ray, the body appears to be on a straight line tangent to the light ray at the observer's eye, and thus appears to be higher in the sky. R is the vertical angular distance between apparent and true position of the body measured at the observer's eye (Fig. 2-6).

Fig. 2-6



Atmospheric refraction is a function of Ha (=  $H_2$ ). Atmospheric **standard refraction,  $R_0$** , is 0' at 90° altitude and increases progressively to approx. 34' as the apparent altitude approaches 0°:

Ha [°]	0	1	2	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
$R_0$ [']	~34	~24	~18	9.9	5.3	2.6	1.7	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.0

There are several formulas to calculate  $R_0$ . *Smart's* formula yields accurate results from 15° through 90° apparent altitude [2,9]:

$$R_0 ['] = \frac{0.96469}{\tan H_2 [^\circ]} - \frac{0.00113}{\tan^3 H_2 [^\circ]}$$

The coefficients shown here are not exactly those given by *Smart* but have been modified to match the results (within  $\pm 0.0003'$ ) obtained with *Saastamoinen's* highly accurate formula (conditions: 10°C, 1010 hPa, 75% relative air humidity) [10]. For the purpose of celestial navigation, *Smart's* formula is still accurate enough at 10° apparent altitude.

For altitudes between 0° and 15°, the following formula is recommended [10].  $H_2$  is measured in degrees:

$$R_0 ['] = \frac{34.133 + 4.197 \cdot H_2 + 0.00428 \cdot H_2^2}{1 + 0.505 \cdot H_2 + 0.0845 \cdot H_2^2}$$

A rather simple refraction formula including the whole range of altitudes from 0° through 90° was found by *Bennett*:

$$R_0['] = \frac{1}{\tan\left(H_2[^\circ] + \frac{7.31}{H_2[^\circ] + 4.4}\right)}$$

*Bennett's* formula is sufficiently accurate for marine navigation. The maximum systematic error is smaller than 0.1' [2].

Atmospheric refraction is influenced by atmospheric pressure, air temperature, and, to a lesser degree, by relative air humidity. Therefore the standard refraction,  $R_0$ , has to be multiplied with a correction factor,  $f$ , to obtain the actual refraction for a given combination of pressure and temperature (the influence of air humidity is usually ignored).

$$f = \frac{p[\text{hPa}]}{1010} \cdot \frac{283.15}{273.15+T[\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}]} = \frac{p[\text{in. Hg}]}{29.83} \cdot \frac{510}{460+T[\text{ }^{\circ}\text{F}]}$$

$P$  is the atmospheric pressure and  $T$  the air temperature at the observer's position. **Standard conditions** ( $f = 1$ ) are **1010 hPa** (29.83 in Hg) and **10°C** (50°F, 283.15 K). The correction for pressure and temperature is sometimes omitted ( $f = 1$ ) since the resulting error is often tolerable.


The common refraction formulas refer to a fictitious standard atmosphere with an average density gradient. The actual refraction may differ significantly from the calculated one if anomalous atmospheric conditions are present (temperature inversion, mirage effects, etc.). The influence of atmospheric anomalies increases strongly with decreasing altitude. Particularly refraction below ca. 5° apparent altitude may become erratic, and calculated or tabulated values in this range should not be blindly relied on. It should be mentioned that the dip of horizon, too, is influenced by atmospheric refraction and may become unpredictable under certain meteorological conditions.

$$\text{3rd correction: } H_3 = H_2 - f \cdot R_0 \approx H_2 - R_0$$

**$H_3$  represents the topocentric altitude of the body, the altitude with respect to the sensible horizon.**

### Parallax

The trigonometric calculations of celestial navigation are based upon geocentric altitudes. *Fig. 2-7* illustrates that the geocentric altitude of an object,  $H_4$ , is always greater than the topocentric altitude,  $H_3$ . The difference  $H_4 - H_3$  is called **parallax in altitude**,  $P$ .  $P$  decreases as the distance between object and earth increases. Accordingly, the effect is greatest when observing the moon since the latter is the object nearest to the earth. On the other hand,  $P$  is too small to be measured when observing fixed stars (see chapter 1, *Fig. 1-4*). Theoretically, the observed parallax refers to the sensible, not to the geoidal horizon. However, since the height of eye is by several magnitudes smaller than the radius of the earth, the resulting error is usually not significant.



The parallax (in altitude) of a body being on the geoidal horizon is called **horizontal parallax**,  $HP$  (*Fig. 2-7*). The horizontal parallax of the sun is approx. 0.15'. Current values for the  $HP$  of the moon (approx. 1°) and the navigational planets are given in the **Nautical Almanac** [12] and similar publications, e.g., [13]\*.

\*Tabulated values for  $HP$  refer to the equatorial radius of the earth (equatorial horizontal parallax, see chapter 9).

$P$  is a function of topocentric altitude and horizontal parallax of a body.\* It has to be added to  $H_3$ .

$$P = \arcsin(\sin HP \cdot \cos H_3) \approx HP \cdot \cos H_3$$

$$\text{4th correction: } H_4 = H_3 + P$$

An additional correction for the oblateness of the earth is recommended ( $\Delta P$ , see p. 2-8).

**$H_4$  represents the geocentric altitude of the body, the altitude with respect to the celestial horizon.**

### Semidiameter

When observing sun or moon with a marine sextant or theodolite, it is not possible to locate the center of the body precisely. It is therefore common practice to measure the altitude of the **upper or lower limb** of the body and add or subtract the apparent **semidiameter, SD**. The latter is the angular distance of the respective limb from the center of the body (Fig. 2-8).




Fig. 2-8

We have to correct for the **geocentric SD**, the SD measured by a fictitious observer at the center the earth, because  $H_4$  is measured at the center the earth (see Fig. 2-4)\*\*. The geocentric semidiameters of sun and moon are given on the daily pages of the **Nautical Almanac** [12]. The geocentric SD of a body can be calculated from its tabulated horizontal parallax. This is of particular interest when observing the moon.

$$SD_{\text{geocentric}} = \arcsin(k \cdot \sin HP) \approx k \cdot HP \quad k_{\text{Moon}} = \frac{r_{\text{Moon}}}{r_{\text{Earth}}} = 0.2725$$

The factor  $k$  is the ratio of the radius of the respective body to the equatorial radius of the earth ( $r_{\text{Earth}} = 6378$  km).

\*\*Note that Fig. 2-8 shows the topocentric semidiameter.

Although the semidiameters of the navigational planets are not quite negligible (the SD of Venus can increase to 0.5'), the apparent centers of these bodies are usually observed, and no correction for SD is applied. With a strong telescope, however, the limbs of the brightest planets can be observed. In this case the correction for semidiameter should be applied. Semidiameters of stars are much too small to be measured ( $SD = 0$ ).

$$\text{5th correction: } H_5 = H_4 \pm SD_{\text{geocentric}}$$

(lower limb: add SD, upper limb: subtract SD)

When using a bubble sextant, we observe the center of the body and skip the correction for semidiameter.

**The altitude obtained after applying the above corrections is called observed altitude,  $Ho$ :**  $Ho = H_5$

**$Ho$  represents the geocentric altitude of the center of the body.**

\*To be exact, the parallax formula shown above is rigorous for the observation of the center of a body only. When observing the lower or upper limb, there is a small error caused by the curvature of the body's surface which is usually negligible. The rigorous formula for the observation of either of the limbs is:

$$\text{Lower limb: } P = \arcsin[\sin HP \cdot (\cos H_3 + k)] - \arcsin(k \cdot \sin HP) \approx HP \cdot \cos H_3$$

$$\text{Upper limb: } P = \arcsin[\sin HP \cdot (\cos H_3 - k)] + \arcsin(k \cdot \sin HP) \approx HP \cdot \cos H_3$$

## Combined corrections for semidiameter and parallax

$H_3$  can be reduced to the observed altitude in one step. The following formula includes the corrections for semidiameter **and** parallax in altitude:

$$H_o = H_3 + \arcsin[\sin HP \cdot (\cos H_3 \pm k)] \approx H_3 + HP \cdot (\cos H_3 \pm k)$$


(lower limb: add k, upper limb: subtract k)

## Alternative procedure for semidiameter and parallax

Correcting for semidiameter **before** correcting for parallax is also possible. In this case, however, we have to calculate with the **topocentric** semidiameter, the semidiameter of the respective body as seen from the observer's position on the surface of the earth (see Fig. 2-8).

With the exception of the moon, the body nearest to the earth, there is no significant difference between topocentric and geocentric semidiameter. The topocentric SD of the moon is only marginally greater than the geocentric SD when the moon is on the sensible (geoidal) horizon but increases measurably as the altitude increases because of the decreasing distance between observer and moon. The distance is smallest (decreased by about the radius of the earth) when the moon is in the zenith. As a result, the topocentric SD of the moon being in the zenith is approximately 0.3' greater than the geocentric SD. This phenomenon is called **augmentation** (Fig. 2-9).

Fig. 2-9



The rigorous formula for the topocentric (augmented) semidiameter of the moon is:

$$SD_{topocentric} = \arctan \frac{k}{\left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sin^2 HP}} - (\cos H_3 \pm k)^2 \right] - \sin H_3}$$

(observation of lower limb: add k, observation of upper limb: subtract k)

The approximate topocentric semidiameter of the moon can be calculated with a simpler formula given by Meeus [2]. It refers to the center of the moon but is still accurate enough for the purpose of navigation (error < 1") when applied to the altitude of the upper or lower limb, respectively:

$$SD_{topocentric} \approx k \cdot HP \cdot (1 + \sin HP \cdot \sin H_3)$$

A similar formula was proposed by Stark [14]:

$$SD_{topocentric} \approx \frac{k \cdot HP}{1 - \sin HP \cdot \sin H_3}$$

Thus, the alternative fourth correction is:

$$4\text{th correction (alt.)}: \quad H_{4,alt.} = H_3 \pm SD_{topocentric}$$

(lower limb: add SD, upper limb: subtract SD)

#### **H<sub>4,alt</sub> represents the topocentric altitude of the center of the moon.**

Using the parallax formula explained above, we calculate P<sub>alt</sub> from H<sub>4,alt</sub>:

$$P_{alt} = \arcsin(\sin HP \cdot \cos H_{4,alt}) \approx HP \cdot \cos H_{4,alt}$$

Thus, the alternative fifth correction is:

$$5\text{th correction (alternative)}: \quad H_{5,alt} = H_{4,alt} + P_{alt}$$

$$Ho = H_{5,alt}$$

Since the geocentric SD is easier to calculate than the topocentric SD, it is usually more convenient to correct for the semidiameter in the last place or, better, to use the combined correction for parallax and semidiameter unless one has to know the augmented SD of the moon for special reasons.

The topocentric semidiameter of the moon can also be calculated from the observed altitude (the geocentric altitude of the center of the moon), Ho:

$$SD_{topocentric} = \arcsin \frac{k}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 HP} - 2 \cdot \frac{\sin Ho}{\sin HP}}}$$

Instead of Ho, the computed altitude, Hc, can be used (see chapter 4).

#### **Correction for the oblateness of the earth**

The above formulas for parallax and semidiameter are rigorous for spherical bodies. In fact, the earth is not a sphere but rather resembles an **oblate spheroid**, a sphere flattened at the poles (chapter 9). In most cases, the navigator will not notice the difference. However, when observing the moon, the flattening of the earth may cause a small but measurable error (up to  $\pm 0.2'$ ) in the parallax, depending on the observer's position. Therefore, a small correction,  $\Delta P$ , should be added to P if higher precision is required [12]. When using the combined formula for semidiameter and parallax,  $\Delta P$  is added to Ho.

$$\Delta P \approx f \cdot HP \cdot [\sin(2 \cdot Lat) \cdot \cos Az_N \cdot \sin H - \sin^2 Lat \cdot \cos H]^* \quad f = \frac{1}{298.257}$$

\* Replace H with H3 or H4,alt, respectively.

$$P_{improved} = P + \Delta P$$

Lat is the observer's estimated latitude (chapter 4). Az<sub>N</sub>, the true azimuth of the moon, is either measured with a compass (compass bearing) or calculated using the azimuth formulas given in chapter 4.

#### **Phase correction (Venus and Mars)**

Since Venus and Mars show phases similar to the moon, their apparent center may differ somewhat from the actual center. The coordinates of both planets tabulated in the **Nautical Almanac** [12] include the phase correction, i. e., they refer to the apparent center. The phase correction for Jupiter and Saturn is too small to be significant.

In contrast, coordinates calculated with **Interactive Computer Ephemeris** refer to the actual center. In this case, the upper or lower limb of the respective planet should be observed if the magnification of the telescope is sufficient, and the correction for semidiameter should be applied.

## Altitude correction tables

The **Nautical Almanac** provides sextant altitude correction tables for sun, planets, stars (pages A2 – A4), and the moon (pages xxxiv – xxxv), which can be used instead of the above formulas if small errors ( $< 1'$ ) are tolerable (among other things, the tables cause additional rounding errors).

## Other corrections

Sextants with an artificial horizon can exhibit additional errors caused by acceleration forces acting on the bubble or pendulum and preventing it from aligning itself with the direction of gravity. Such acceleration forces can be accidental (vessel movements) or systematic (coriolis force). The coriolis force is important to air navigation (high speed!) and requires a special correction formula.

In the vicinity of mountains, ore deposits, and other local irregularities of the earth's crust, the vector of gravity may slightly differ from the normal to the reference ellipsoid, resulting in altitude errors that are difficult to predict (**local deflection of the vertical**, chapter 9). Thus, the **astronomical position** of an observer (resulting from astronomical observations) may be slightly different from his geographic (geodetic) position with respect to a **reference ellipsoid** (GPS position). The difference is usually small at sea and may be ignored there. On land, particularly in the vicinity of mountain ranges, position errors of up to 50 arcseconds (Alps) or even 100 arcseconds (Himalaya) have been found. For the purpose of surveying there are maps containing local corrections for latitude and longitude, depending on the respective reference ellipsoid.

# Chapter 3

## Geographic Position and Time

### Geographic and Astronomical Terms

In celestial navigation, the earth is regarded as a sphere. This is an approximation only, but the errors caused by the flattening of the earth are usually negligible (chapter 9). A circle on the surface of the earth whose plane passes through the earth's center is called a **great circle**. A great circle has the greatest possible diameter of all circles on the surface of the earth. Any circle on the surface of the earth whose plane does **not** pass through the earth's center is a **small circle**. The **equator** is the only great circle whose plane is perpendicular to the **polar axis**, the **axis of rotation**. Further, the equator is the only **parallel of latitude** being a great circle. Any other parallel of latitude is a small circle whose plane is parallel to the plane of the equator. A **meridian** is a great circle going through the **geographic poles**, the points where the polar axis intersects the earth's surface. The **upper branch** of a meridian is the half from pole to pole passing through a given point, for example the observer's position. The **lower branch** is the opposite half. The meridian passing through the observer's position is called **local meridian**. The **Greenwich meridian**, the meridian passing through the center of the transit instrument at the **Royal Greenwich Observatory**, was adopted as the **prime meridian** at the **International Meridian Conference** in 1884. Its upper branch ( $0^\circ$ ) is the reference for measuring longitudes ( $0^\circ \dots +180^\circ$  to the east and  $0^\circ \dots -180^\circ$  to the west), its lower branch ( $\pm 180^\circ$ ) is the basis for the **International Dateline** (Fig. 3-1).




Fig. 3-1

Each point of the earth's surface has an imaginary counterpart on the surface of the celestial sphere obtained by central projection. The projected image of the observer's position, for example, is the **zenith**. Accordingly, there are two **celestial poles**, the **celestial equator**, **celestial meridians**, etc. The local celestial meridian is also a **vertical circle**, a great circle on the celestial sphere passing through the observer's zenith and nadir. Further, the local celestial meridian, passing through the celestial poles, marks the **north point** and the **south point** on the horizon. The vertical circle perpendicular to the local meridian, called **prime vertical**, marks the **west point** and **east point** on the horizon.

### The Equatorial System of Coordinates

The **geographic position of a celestial body**, GP, is defined by the **equatorial system of coordinates**, a spherical coordinate system the origin of which is at the center of the earth (Fig. 3-2). The **Greenwich hour angle** of a body, GHA, is the angular distance of the upper branch of the meridian passing through GP from the upper branch of the Greenwich meridian ( $\text{Lon} = 0^\circ$ ), measured **westward** from  $0^\circ$  through  $360^\circ$ . The meridian going through GP (as well as its projection on the celestial sphere) is called **hour circle**. The **Declination**, Dec, is the angular distance of GP from the plane of the equator, measured northward through  $+90^\circ$  or southward through  $-90^\circ$ . GHA and Dec are **geocentric coordinates** (measured at the center of the earth).




Fig. 3-2

Although widely used, the term „geographic position“ is misleading when applied to a celestial body since it actually describes a geocentric position in this case (see chapter 9). GHA and Dec are equivalent to **geocentric longitude and latitude** of a position with the exception that **longitudes are measured westward from the Greenwich meridian through  $-180^\circ$  and eastward through  $+180^\circ$** .

Since the Greenwich meridian rotates with the earth from west to east, whereas each hour circle remains linked with the almost stationary position of the respective body in the sky, the Greenwich hour angles of all celestial bodies increase by approx.  $15^\circ$  per hour ( $360^\circ$  in 24 hours). In contrast to stars ( $15^\circ$  2.46' /h), the GHA's of sun, moon, and planets increase at slightly different (and variable) rates. This is caused by the revolution of the planets (including the earth) around the sun and by the revolution of the moon around the earth, resulting in additional apparent motions of these bodies in the sky. For several applications it is useful to measure the angular distance between the hour circle of a celestial body and the hour circle of a reference point in the sky instead of the Greenwich meridian because the angle thus obtained is independent of the earth's rotation. The **sidereal hour angle, SHA**, of a given body is the angular distance of its hour circle (upper branch) from the hour circle (upper branch) of the **first point of Aries** (also called **vernal equinox**, see below), measured **westward** from  $0^\circ$  through  $360^\circ$ . Thus, the GHA of a body is the sum of its sidereal hour angle and the GHA of the first point of Aries, **GHA<sub>Aries</sub>**:


$$GHA = SHA + GHA_{Aries}$$

(If the resulting GHA is greater than  $360^\circ$ , subtract  $360^\circ$ .)


The angular distance of a celestial body **eastward** from the hour circle of the vernal equinox, measured in time units ( $24h = 360^\circ$ ), is called **right ascension, RA**. The latter is mostly used by astronomers whereas navigators prefer sidereal hour angles.

$$RA [h] = 24h - \frac{SHA [^\circ]}{15} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad SHA [^\circ] = 360^\circ - 15 \cdot RA [h]$$

*Fig. 3-3* illustrates the various hour angles on the plane of the equator as seen from the celestial north pole (time diagram).



Declinations are not affected by the rotation of the earth. The declinations of sun and planets change primarily due to the **obliquity of the ecliptic**, the inclination of the earth's equator to the **ecliptic**. The latter is the **mean orbital plane of the earth** and forms a great circle on the celestial sphere. The declination of the sun, for example, varies periodically between ca.  $+23.5^\circ$  (**summer solstice**) and ca.  $-23.5^\circ$  (**winter solstice**) as shown in *Fig. 3-4*.



The two points on the celestial sphere where the great circles of ecliptic and celestial equator intersect are called **equinoxes**. The term equinox is also used for the times at which the apparent sun, moving westward along the ecliptic during the course of a year, crosses the celestial equator, approximately on March 21 and on September 23\*. There is a **vernal equinox (first point of Aries, vernal point)** and an **autumnal equinox**. The former is the reference point for measuring sidereal hour angles (*Fig. 3-5*). At the instant of an equinox (Dec  $\approx 0^\circ$ ), day and night have roughly (!) the same length (12 h), regardless of the observer's position (Lat. *aequae noctes* = equal nights).

\*To be more precise, the equinoxes are defined as the instants at which the **ecliptic longitude** ( $\lambda$ ) of the apparent sun is either  $0^\circ$  (vernal equinox) or  $180^\circ$  (autumnal equinox) [10]. The actual declination of the sun at such an instant may slightly differ from  $0^\circ$  since the earth is not always exactly on the mean orbital plane (perturbations).




Fig. 3-5

The declinations of the planets and the moon are also influenced by the inclinations of their own orbits to the ecliptic. The plane of the moon's orbit, for example, is inclined to the ecliptic by approx.  $5^\circ$  and makes a tumbling movement with a period of 18.6 years (Saros cycle). As a result, the declination of the moon varies between approx.  $-28.5^\circ$  and  $+28.5^\circ$  at the beginning and at the end of the Saros cycle, and between approx.  $-18.5^\circ$  and  $+18.5^\circ$  in the middle of the Saros cycle.

Further, sidereal hour angles and declinations of all bodies change slowly due to the influence of the **precession** of the earth's polar axis. Precession is a slow, tumbling movement of the polar axis along the surface of an imaginary double cone. One revolution lasts about 26000 years (Platonic year). As a result, the equinoxes move westward along the celestial equator at a rate of approx.  $50''$  per year. Thus, the sidereal hour angle of each star decreases at about the same rate. In addition, there is a small elliptical oscillation of the polar axis with a period of 18.6 years (compare with the Saros cycle), called **nutation**, which causes the equinoxes to travel along the celestial equator at a periodically changing rate. Thus we have to distinguish between the fictitious **mean equinox of date** and the **true equinox of date** (see time measurement). Accordingly, the declination of each body oscillates. The same applies to the rate of change of the sidereal hour angle and right ascension of each body.

Even stars are not fixed in space but move individually, resulting in a slow drift of their equatorial coordinates (**proper motion**). Finally, the apparent positions of bodies are influenced by other factors, e. g., the finite speed of light (**light time, aberration**), and **annual parallax**, the latter being caused by the earth orbiting around the sun [16]. The accurate prediction of geographic positions of celestial bodies requires complicated algorithms. Formulas for the calculation of low-precision **ephemerides** of the sun (accurate enough for celestial navigation) are given in chapter 15.

### Time Measurement in Navigation and Astronomy

**Since the Greenwich hour angle of any celestial body changes rapidly, celestial navigation requires accurate time measurement, and the instant of each observation should be measured to the second if possible.** This is usually done by means of a chronometer and a stopwatch (chapter 17). The effects of time errors are discussed in chapter 16. On the other hand, the earth's rotation with respect to celestial bodies provides an important basis for astronomical time measurement.

Coordinates tabulated in the **Nautical Almanac** refer to **Universal Time, UT**. UT has replaced **Greenwich Mean Time, GMT**, the traditional basis for civil time keeping. Conceptually, UT (like GMT) is the hour angle of the fictitious **mean sun**, expressed in hours, with respect to the **lower branch** of the Greenwich meridian (mean solar time, Fig. 3-6).




Fig. 3-6

UT is calculated using the following formula:

$$UT[h] = \frac{GHA_{MeanSun} [^\circ]}{15} + 12$$

(If UT is greater than 24 h, subtract 24 hours.)


**By definition, the GHA of the mean sun increases by exactly 15° per hour, completing a 360° cycle in 24 hours.**  
The unit for UT is 1 solar day, the time interval between two consecutive meridian transits of the mean sun.

The rate of change of the GHA of the **apparent (observable) sun** varies periodically and is sometimes slightly greater, sometimes slightly smaller than 15°/h during the course of a year. This behavior is caused by the eccentricity of the earth's orbit and by the obliquity of the ecliptic. The time measured by the hour angle of the apparent sun with respect to the lower branch of the Greenwich meridian is called **Greenwich Apparent Time, GAT**. A sundial located on the Greenwich meridian would indicate GAT. The difference between GAT and UT at a given instant is called **equation of time, EoT**:

$$EoT = GAT - UT$$

EoT varies periodically between approx. -14 and +17 minutes (*Fig. 3-7*). Predicted values for EoT for each day of the year (at 0:00 and 12:00 UT) are given in the Nautical Almanac (grey background indicates negative EoT). EoT is needed when calculating times of sunrise and sunset, or determining a **noon longitude** (chapter 6). Formulas for the calculation of EoT are given in chapter 15.

Fig. 3-7



The hour angle of the mean sun with respect to the lower branch of the local meridian (the upper branch going through the observer's position) is called **Local Mean Time, LMT**. LMT and UT are linked through the following formula:

$$LMT[h] = UT[h] + \frac{Lon [^\circ]}{15}$$

The instant of the mean sun passing through the upper branch of the local meridian is called **Local Mean Noon, LMN**.

A **zone time** is the local mean time with respect to a longitude being a multiple of ±15°. Thus, zone times differ by an integer number of hours. In the US, for example, Eastern Standard Time (UT-5h) is LMT at -75° longitude, Pacific Standard Time (UT-8h) is LMT at -120° longitude. Central European Time (UT+1h) is LMT at +15° longitude.

The hour angle of the apparent sun with respect to the lower branch of the local meridian is called **Local Apparent Time, LAT**:

$$LAT[h] = GAT[h] + \frac{Lon [^\circ]}{15}$$

The instant of the apparent sun crossing the upper branch of the local meridian is called **Local Apparent Noon, LAN**.

Time measurement by the earth's rotation does not necessarily require the sun as the reference point in the sky.

**Greenwich Apparent Sidereal Time, GAST**, is a time scale based upon the Greenwich hour angle (upper branch) of the true vernal equinox of date,  $GHA_{Aries}$  (see Fig. 3-3).

$$GAST[h] = \frac{GHA_{Aries}[\circ]}{15}$$

The values for  $GHA_{Aries}$  tabulated in the Nautical Almanac refer to the true equinox of date.

GAST can be measured by the Greenwich meridian transit of a chosen star since GAST and the right ascension of the observed star are numerically equal at the moment of meridian transit.

The Greenwich hour angle (measured in hours) of the imaginary mean vernal equinox of date (travelling along the celestial equator at a constant rate) is called **Greenwich Mean Sidereal Time, GMST**. The difference between GAST and GMST at a given instant is called **equation of the equinoxes, EQ, or nutation in right ascension**. EQ can be predicted precisely. It varies within approx.  $\pm 1\text{s}$ .

$$EQ = GAST - GMST$$

Due to the earth's revolution around the sun, a mean sidereal day (the time interval between two consecutive meridian transits of the mean equinox) is slightly shorter than a mean solar day:

$$24\text{ h Mean Sidereal Time} = 23\text{h }56\text{m }4.090524\text{s Mean Solar Time}$$

Since the exact instant of the sun's meridian transit is difficult to find, UT is calculated from GMST, to which it is linked through a formula [10]. Today, sidereal time (and thus, UT) is obtained by observation of extragalactic radio sources (quasars). Quasars can be regarded as fixed to the imaginary celestial sphere since they do not exhibit any measurable proper motion. Their apparent motions are measured through **Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI)**. This technology, which involves a global network of observation stations, produces much more accurate results than the observation of meridian transits.

By analogy with LMT and LAT, there is a **Local Mean Sidereal Time, LMST**, and a **Local Apparent Sidereal Time, LAST**:

$$LMST[h] = GMST[h] + \frac{Lon[\circ]}{15} \quad LAST[h] = GAST[h] + \frac{Lon[\circ]}{15}$$

Solar time and sidereal time are both linked to the earth's rotation. The earth's rotating speed, however, decreases slowly (tidal friction) and, moreover, fluctuates in an unpredictable manner due to random movements of matter within the earth's body (magma) and on the surface (water, air). Therefore, neither of the two time scales is strictly linear. Many astronomical applications, however, require a linear time scale. One example is the calculation of ephemerides since the motions of celestial bodies in space are independent of the earth's rotation.

**International Atomic Time, TAI**, is the most accurate time standard presently available. It is obtained by statistical analysis of data supplied by a world-wide network of atomic clocks. Among others, two important time scales are derived from TAI:

Civil life is mostly determined by **Coordinated Universal Time, UTC**, which is the basis for time signals broadcast by radio stations, e. g., WWV or WWVH. UTC is controlled by TAI. Due to the variable rotating speed of the earth, UT tends to drift away from UTC. This is undesirable since the cycle of day and night is linked to UT. Therefore, UTC is synchronized to UT, if necessary, by inserting (or omitting) leap seconds at certain times (June 30 and December 31) in order to avoid that the difference,  $\Delta UT$ , exceeds the specified maximum value of  $\pm 0.9\text{s}$ .

$$UT = UTC + \Delta UT \quad UTC = TAI - N$$

N is the cumulative number of leap seconds inserted since 1972 (N = 34 in 2011.0). Due to the occasional leap seconds, UTC is **not** a continuous time scale. Predicted values for  $\Delta UT$  are published by the **IERS Rapid Service** [15] on a weekly basis (IERS Bulletin A). Note that UT is a synonym for UT1 ( $UT1-UTC = DUT1 = \Delta UT$ ). The IERS also announces the insertion (or omission) of leap seconds in advance (IERS Bulletins A + C).

**Terrestrial Time, TT** (formerly called **Terrestrial Dynamical Time, TDT**), is another time scale derived from TAI:

$$TT = TAI + 32.184\text{s}$$

TT has replaced **Ephemeris Time, ET**. The offset of 32.184 s with respect to TAI is necessary to ensure a seamless continuation of ET. TT is used in astronomy (calculation of ephemerides) and space flight. The difference between TT and UT is called  $\Delta T$ :

$$\Delta T = TT - UT$$

At the beginning of the year 2015,  $\Delta T$  was +67.6s.

$\Delta T$  is of some interest to the navigator since computer almanacs require TT (TDT) as time argument (programs using UT calculate on the basis of interpolated or extrapolated  $\Delta T$  values). A precise long-term prediction of  $\Delta T$  is not possible. Therefore, computer almanacs using only UT as time argument may become less accurate in the long term.  $\Delta T$  values for the present and near future can be calculated with the following formula:

$$\Delta T = 32.184s + (TAI - UTC) - (UT1 - UTC)$$

Current values and short-term predictions for UT1-UTC and for TAI-UTC (cumulative number of leap seconds) are published in the IERS Bulletin A.

At present (2011) there is an ongoing discussion among scientists about the usefulness of leap seconds. Computer operating systems (mostly running on UTC), for example, would require a continuous time scale to create error-free time stamps for files at any instant. Another argument often heard is that the drift of UT with respect to atomic time is so slow that its effect on civil life will not become obvious in the foreseeable future. This argument cannot be denied since no one can tell if civilization as we know it today will still exist in, say, 3000 years. Up to now, no decision has been made. The practice of celestial navigation would not be affected by a possible abolishment of leap seconds since the calculation of ephemerides (RA, Dec) is based upon TT whereas the Greenwich hour angle of a celestial body is a function of its right ascension and sidereal time (the latter being determined by the earth's rotation).

### The GMT Problem

The term GMT has become ambiguous since it is often used as a synonym for UTC now. Moreover, astronomers used to reckon GMT from the upper branch of the Greenwich meridian until 1925 (the time thus obtained is sometimes called Greenwich Mean Astronomical Time, GMAT). Therefore, the term GMT should be avoided in scientific publications, except when used in a historical context.

### The Nautical Almanac

Predicted values for GHA and Dec of sun, moon and the navigational planets are tabulated for each integer hour (UT) of a calendar year on the daily pages of the **Nautical Almanac, N.A.**, and similar publications [12, 13].  $GHA_{Aries}$  is tabulated in the same manner.

Listing GHA and Dec of all 57 fixed stars used in navigation for each integer hour of the year would require too much space in a book. Therefore, sidereal hour angles are tabulated instead of Greenwich hour angles. Since declinations and sidereal hour angles of stars change only slowly, tabulated values for periods of 3 days are accurate enough for celestial navigation. GHA is obtained by adding the SHA of the respective star to the current value of  $GHA_{Aries}$ .

GHA and Dec for each second of the year are obtained using the **interpolation tables** at the end of the N.A. (printed on tinted paper), as explained in the following directions:

#### 1.

We note the exact time of observation (UT), determined with a chronometer and a stopwatch. If UT is not available, we can use UTC. The resulting error is tolerable in most cases.

#### 2.

We look up the day of observation in the N.A. (two pages cover a period of three days).

#### 3.

We go to the nearest integer hour preceding the time of observation and note GHA and Dec of the observed body. In case of a fixed star, we form the sum of  $GHA_{Aries}$  and the SHA of the star, and note the tabulated declination. When observing planets, we note the  $v$  and  $d$  factors given at the bottom of the appropriate column. For the moon, we take  $v$  and  $d$  for the nearest integer hour preceding the time of observation.

The quantity  $v$  is necessary to apply an additional correction to the following interpolation of the GHA of moon and planets. It is not required for stars. The sun does not require a  $v$  factor since the correction has been incorporated in the tabulated values for the sun's GHA.

The quantity  $d$ , which is negligible for stars, is the rate of change of Dec, measured in arcminutes per hour. It is needed for the interpolation of Dec. The sign of  $d$  is critical!

#### 4.

We look up the minute of observation in the interpolation tables (1 page for each 2 minutes of the hour), go to the second of observation, and note the **increment** from the respective column.

We enter one of the three columns to the right of the increment columns with the  $v$  and  $d$  factors and note the corresponding **corr**(ection) values ( $v$ -corr and  $d$ -corr).

The sign of  $d$ -corr depends on the trend of declination at the time of observation. It is positive if Dec at the integer hour following the observation is greater than Dec at the integer hour preceding the observation. Otherwise it is negative.

$v$  -corr is negative for Venus. Otherwise, it is always positive.

#### 5.

We form the sum of Dec and  $d$ -corr (if applicable).

#### 6.

We form the sum of GHA (or GHA Aries and SHA in case of a star), increment, and  $v$ -corr (if applicable). SHA values tabulated in the Nautical Almanac refer to the true vernal equinox of date.

## Interactive Computer Ephemeris

**Interactive Computer Ephemeris, ICE**, is a computer almanac developed by the U.S. Naval Observatory (successor of the **Floppy Almanac**) in the 1980s.

ICE is FREEWARE (no longer supported by USNO), compact, easy to use, and provides a vast quantity of accurate astronomical data for a time span of almost 250 (!) years. In spite of the archaic design (DOS program), ICE is still a useful tool for navigators and astronomers.

Among many other features, ICE calculates GHA and Dec for a given body and time as well as altitude and azimuth of the body for an **assumed position** (see chapter 4) and, moreover, sextant altitude corrections. Since the navigation data are as accurate as those tabulated in the **Nautical Almanac** (approx. 0.1'), the program makes an adequate alternative, although a printed almanac (and sight reduction tables) should be kept as a backup in case of a computer failure. The following instructions refer to the final version (0.51). Only program features relevant to navigation are explained.

### 1. Installation

Copy the program files to a chosen directory on the hard drive, floppy disk, USB stick, or similar storage device. ICE.EXE is the executable program file.

### 2. Getting Started

DOS users: Change to the program directory and enter "ice" or "ICE ". Windows users can run ICE in a DOS box.

Linux users: Install the DOS emulator "DOSBox". Copy the ICE files to a directory of your choice in your personal folder. ICE is started through the command "dosbox", followed by a blank space and the path to the program file:

`dosbox /home/<user name>/<program directory>/ICE.EXE` (Note that Linux is case-sensitive.)

After the program has started, the main menu appears.

Use the function keys F1 to F10 to navigate through the submenus. The program is more or less self-explanatory. Go to the submenu INITIAL VALUES (F1). Follow the directions on the screen to enter date and time of observation (F1), assumed latitude (F2), assumed longitude (F3), and your local time zone (F6). Assumed latitude and longitude define your assumed position. Use the correct data format, as shown on the screen (decimal format for latitude and longitude).

After entering the above data, press F7 to accept the values displayed. To change the default values permanently, edit the file ice.dft with a text editor (after making a backup copy) and make the desired changes. Do not change the data format. The numbers have to be in columns 21-40. An output file can be created to store calculated data. Go to the submenu FILE OUTPUT (F2) and enter a chosen file name, e.g., OUTPUT.TXT.

### 3. Calculation of Navigational Data

From the main menu, go to the submenu NAVIGATION (F7). Enter the name of the body. The program displays GHA and Dec of the body, GHA and Dec of the sun (if visible), and GHA of the vernal equinox for the date and time (UT) stored in INITIAL VALUES.

Hc (computed altitude) and Zn (azimuth) mark the apparent position of the body as observed from the assumed position. Approximate altitude corrections (refraction, SD, PA), based upon Hc, are also displayed (for lower limb of body). The semidiameter of the moon includes augmentation.

The coordinates calculated for Venus and Mars do **not** include the phase correction. Therefore, the upper or lower limb (if visible) should be observed.

$\Delta T$  is TT(TDT)-UT, the predicted difference between **terrestrial time** and UT for the given date.

The  $\Delta T$  value for 2011.0 predicted by ICE is 74.1s, the actual value is 66.3s (see below) which demonstrates that the extrapolation algorithm used by ICE is outdated.

Horizontal parallax and semidiameter of a body can be extracted from the submenu POSITIONS (F3). Choose APPARENT GEOCENTRIC POSITIONS (F1) and enter the name of the body (sun, moon, planets). The last column shows the distance of the center of the body from the center of the earth, measured in astronomical units (1 AU =  $149.6 \cdot 10^6$  km). HP and SD are calculated as follows:

$$HP = \arcsin \frac{r_E[\text{km}]}{\text{distance}[\text{km}]} \quad SD = \arcsin \frac{r_B[\text{km}]}{\text{distance}[\text{km}]}$$

$r_E$  is the equatorial radius of the earth (6378 km).  $r_B$  is the radius of the respective body (Sun: 696260 km, Moon: 1378 km, Venus: 6052 km, Mars: 3397 km, Jupiter: 71398 km, Saturn: 60268 km).

The apparent geocentric positions refer to TT (TDT), but the difference between TT and UT has no significant effect on HP and SD.

To calculate the times of rising and setting of a body, go to the submenu RISE & SET TIMES (F6) and enter the name of the body. The columns on the right display the time of rising, meridian transit, and setting for the assumed location (UT+xh, according to the time zone specified).

The increasing error of  $\Delta T$  values predicted by ICE may lead to reduced precision when calculating navigation data in the future. The coordinates of the moon are particularly sensitive to errors of  $\Delta T$ . Unfortunately, ICE has no option for editing and modifying the internal  $\Delta T$  algorithm. The high-precision part of ICE, however, is not affected since TT (TDT) is the time argument.

To circumvent the  $\Delta T$  problem, extract GHA and Dec using the following procedure:

1. Compute GAST using SIDEREAL TIME (F5). The time argument is UT.
2. Edit date and time at INITIAL VALUES (F1). Now, the time argument is TT (UT+ $\Delta T$ ). Compute RA and Dec using POSITIONS (F3) and APPARENT GEOCENTRIC POSITIONS (F1).
3. Use the following formula to calculate GHA from GAST and RA (RA refers to the true vernal equinox of date):

$$GHA[\text{°}] = 15 \cdot (GAST[\text{h}] + 24\text{h} - RA[\text{h}])$$

Add or subtract 360° if necessary.

High-precision GHA and Dec values thus obtained can be used as an internal standard to cross-check medium-precision data obtained through NAVIGATION (F7).

# Chapter 4

## Finding One's Position (Sight Reduction)

### Lines of Position

Any geometrical or physical line passing through the observer's (still unknown) position and accessible through measurement or observation is called a **position line** or **line of position, LOP**. Examples are circles of equal altitude, meridians, parallels of latitude, bearing lines (compass bearings) of terrestrial objects, coastlines, rivers, roads, railroad tracks, power lines, etc. A single position line indicates an infinite series of possible positions. The observer's actual position is marked by the point of intersection of at least two position lines, regardless of their nature. A position thus found is called **fix** in navigator's language. The concept of the position line is essential to modern navigation.

### Sight Reduction

Finding a line of position by observation of a celestial object is called **sight reduction**. Although some background in mathematics is required to comprehend the process completely, knowing the basic concepts and a few equations is sufficient for most practical applications. The geometrical background is given in chapter 10 and chapter 11. In the following, we will discuss the semi-graphic methods developed by *Sumner* and *St. Hilaire*. Both methods require relatively simple calculations only and enable the navigator to plot lines of position on a nautical chart or **plotting sheet** (chapter 13).

Knowing altitude and geographic position of a body, we also know the radius of the corresponding circle of equal altitude (our circular line of position) and the position of its center. As mentioned in chapter 1 already, plotting circles of equal altitude on a chart is usually impossible due to their large dimensions and the distortions caused by map projection. However, *Sumner* and *St. Hilaire* showed that only a short arc of each circle of equal altitude is needed to find one's position. Such a short arc can be represented by a secant or a tangent of the circle.

### Local Meridian, Local Hour Angle and Meridian Angle

The meridian passing through a given position, usually that of the observer, is called **local meridian**. In celestial navigation, the angle between the hour circle of the observed body (upper branch) and the local meridian (upper branch) plays a fundamental role. On the analogy of the Greenwich hour angle, we can measure this angle westward from the local meridian ( $0^\circ \dots +360^\circ$ ). In this case, the angle is called **local hour angle, LHA**. It is also possible to measure the angle westward ( $0^\circ \dots +180^\circ$ ) or eastward ( $0^\circ \dots -180^\circ$ ) from the local meridian in which case it is called **meridian angle, t**. In most navigational formulas, LHA and t can be substituted for each other since the trigonometric functions return the same results. For example, the cosine of  $+315^\circ$  equals the cosine of  $-45^\circ$ .

Like LHA, t is the algebraic sum of the Greenwich hour angle of the body, GHA, and the observer's geographic longitude, Lon. To make sure that the obtained angle is in the desired range, the following rules have to be applied when forming the sum of GHA and Lon:

$$LHA = \begin{cases} GHA + Lon & \text{if } 0^\circ < GHA + Lon < 360^\circ \\ GHA + Lon + 360^\circ & \text{if } GHA + Lon < 0^\circ \\ GHA + Lon - 360^\circ & \text{if } GHA + Lon > 360^\circ \end{cases}$$
$$t = \begin{cases} GHA + Lon & \text{if } GHA + Lon < 180^\circ \\ GHA + Lon - 360^\circ & \text{if } GHA + Lon > 180^\circ \end{cases}$$

In all calculations, the sign of Lon and t, respectively, has to be observed carefully. The sign convention (important!) is:

Eastern longitude: positive  
Western longitude: negative

Eastern meridian angle: negative  
Western meridian angle: positive (like LHA)

For reasons of symmetry, we will always refer to the meridian angle in the following considerations (the meridian angle  $+t$  results in the same altitude as the meridian angle  $-t$ ). Of course, the local hour angle would lead to the same results.




Fig. 4-1

Fig. 4-1 illustrates the various angles involved in the sight reduction process. The spherical triangle formed by GP, AP, and the north pole is called **navigational** (or **nautical**) **triangle** (chapter 11). AP is the observer's position (see intercept method).

### Sumner's Method

In December 1837, *Thomas H Sumner*, an American sea captain, was on a voyage from South Carolina to Greenock, Scotland. When approaching *St. George's Channel* between Ireland and Wales, he managed to measure a single altitude of the sun after a longer period of bad weather. Using the time sight formula (see chapter 6), he calculated a longitude from his estimated latitude. Since he was doubtful about his estimate, he repeated his calculations with two slightly different latitudes. To his surprise, he was able to draw a straight line through the three positions thus obtained. Accidentally, the line passed through the position of a lighthouse off the coast of Wales (*Small's Light*). By intuition, Sumner steered his ship along this line and soon after, *Small's Light* came in sight. Sumner concluded that he had found a "line of equal altitude". The publication of his method in 1843 marked the beginning of "modern" celestial navigation [18]. Although rarely used today, it is still an interesting alternative. It is easy to comprehend and the calculations to be done are quite simple.

Fig. 4-2 illustrates the points where a circle of equal altitude intersects two chosen parallels of latitude.




Fig. 4-2

An observer being between  $\text{Lat}_1$  and  $\text{Lat}_2$  is either on the arc A-B or on the arc C-D. With a rough estimate of the longitude of his position, the observer can easily find on which of the two arcs he is, for example, A-B. The arc thus found is the relevant part of his line of position, the other arc is discarded. On a chart, we can approximate the line of position by drawing a straight line through A and B which is a secant of the circle of equal altitude. This secant is called **Sumner line**. Before plotting the Sumner line on our chart, we have to find the respective longitude of each point of intersection, A, B, C, and D.

### Procedure:

1.

We choose a parallel of latitude ( $\text{Lat}_1$ ) north of our estimated latitude. Preferably,  $\text{Lat}_1$  should be marked by the nearest horizontal grid line on our chart or plotting sheet.

## 2.

From  $\text{Lat}_1$ , Dec, and the observed altitude,  $H_o$ , we calculate the meridian angle,  $t$ , using the following formula:

$$t = \pm \arccos \frac{\sin H_o - \sin \text{Lat} \cdot \sin \text{Dec}}{\cos \text{Lat} \cdot \cos \text{Dec}}$$

The equation is derived from the navigational triangle (chapter 10 & chapter 11). It has two solutions,  $+t$  and  $-t$ , since the cosine of  $+t$  equals the cosine of  $-t$ . Geometrically, this corresponds with the fact that the circle of equal altitude intersects the parallel of latitude at two points. Using the following formulas and rules, we obtain the longitudes of these two points of intersection,  $\text{Lon}$  and  $\text{Lon}'$ :

$$\text{Lon} = |t| - \text{GHA}$$

$$\text{Lon}' = 360^\circ - |t| - \text{GHA}$$

$$\text{If } \text{Lon} < -180^\circ \rightarrow \text{Lon} + 360^\circ$$

$$\text{If } \text{Lon}' < -180^\circ \rightarrow \text{Lon}' + 360^\circ$$

$$\text{If } \text{Lon}' > +180^\circ \rightarrow \text{Lon}' - 360^\circ$$

Comparing the longitudes thus obtained with our estimate, we select the most probable longitude and discard the other one. This method of finding one's longitude is called **time sight** (chapter 6).

## 3.

We choose a parallel of latitude ( $\text{Lat}_2$ ) south of our estimated latitude. The difference between  $\text{Lat}_1$  and  $\text{Lat}_2$  should not exceed 1 or 2 degrees. We repeat steps 1 and 2 with the second latitude,  $\text{Lat}_2$ .

## 4.

On our plotting sheet, we mark both remaining longitudes, either one on its corresponding parallel of latitude, and plot the Sumner line through the points thus located (LOP1, see Fig. 4-3 ).

Using the same parallels of latitude, we repeat steps 1 through 4 with the declination and observed altitude of a second body. The point where the Sumner line thus obtained, LOP2, intersects LOP1 is our fix.




Fig. 4-3

If we have only a very rough estimate of our latitude, the point of intersection may be outside the interval defined by both parallels, but the fix is still correct. Any fix obtained with *Sumner's* method has a small error caused by neglecting the curvature of the circles of equal altitude. We can improve the fix by iteration. For this purpose, we take a chart with a larger scale, choose a new pair of assumed latitudes, nearer to the fix, and repeat the procedure with the same altitudes. Ideally, the horizontal angular distance between both bodies should be  $90^\circ$  ( $30^\circ \dots 150^\circ$  is tolerable). Otherwise, the fix would become indistinct. Neither of the bodies should be near the local meridian, otherwise the resulting LOP would be difficult to plot. *Sumner's* method has the (small) advantage that no protractor is needed to plot lines of position.

### **The Intercept Method**

This procedure was developed by the French navy officer St. *Hilaire* and others and was first published in 1875. After that, it gradually became the standard for sight reduction since it avoids some of the restrictions of *Sumner's* method. Although the background is more complicated than with *Sumner's* method, the practical application is very convenient.

## Theory:

For any given position of the observer, the geocentric altitude of a celestial body is **solely** a function of the observer's latitude, the declination of the body, and the meridian angle (or local hour angle).

$$H = f(Lat, Dec, t)$$

The **altitude formula** is obtained by applying the law of cosines for sides to the navigational triangle (chapter 10 & 11):

$$H = \arcsin(\sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t)$$

We choose an arbitrary point on our nautical chart which is not too far from our estimated position. Preferably this is the nearest point where two grid lines on the chart intersect. This point is called **assumed position, AP** (Fig. 4-4). Using the above formula, we calculate the altitude of the body resulting from  $Lat_{AP}$  and  $Lon_{AP}$ , the geographic coordinates of AP. The altitude thus obtained is called **computed or calculated altitude,  $H_c$** .

Usually,  $H_c$  will slightly differ from the actually **observed altitude,  $H_o$**  (chapter 2). The difference,  $\Delta H$ , is called **intercept**.

$$\Delta H = H_o - H_c$$

Ideally (no observation errors),  $H_o$  and  $H_c$  would be identical if the observer were exactly at AP.

In the following, we will discuss which possible positions of the observer would result in the same intercept,  $\Delta H$ . For this purpose, we assume that the intercept is an infinitesimal quantity and denote it by  $dH$ . The general formula is:

$$dH = \frac{\partial H}{\partial Lat} \cdot d Lat + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \cdot dt$$

This differential equation has an infinite number of solutions. Since  $dH$  and both differential coefficients are constant, it can be reduced to a linear equation of the general form:

$$d Lat = a + b \cdot dt$$

Thus, the graph is a straight line, and it is sufficient to discuss two special cases,  $dt=0$  and  $dLat=0$ , respectively.

In the first case, the observer is on the same meridian as AP, and the small change  $dH$  is solely caused by a small variation of latitude,  $dLat$ , whereas  $t$  is constant ( $dt = 0$ ). We differentiate the altitude formula with respect to  $Lat$ :


$$\sin H = \sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t$$

$$d(\sin H) = (\cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec - \sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t) \cdot d Lat$$

$$\cos H \cdot dH = (\cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec - \sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t) \cdot d Lat$$

$$d Lat = \frac{\cos H}{\cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec - \sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t} \cdot dH$$

Adding  $dLat$  to  $Lat_{AP}$ , we obtain the point P1, as illustrated in Fig.4-4. P1 is on the circle of equal altitude.



In the second case, the observer is on the same parallel of latitude as AP, and dH is solely caused by a small change of the meridian angle, dt, whereas Lat is constant (dLat=0). Again, we begin with the altitude formula:

$$\sin H = \sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t$$

Differentiating with respect to t, we get

$$d(\sin H) = -\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t \cdot dt$$

$$\cos H \cdot dH = -\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t \cdot dt$$

$$dt = -\frac{\cos H}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t} \cdot dH$$

Adding dt (corresponding with an equal change of longitude, dLon) to Lon<sub>AP</sub>, we obtain the point P2 which is on the same circle of equal altitude. Thus, we would measure Ho at P1 and P2, respectively. Knowing P1 and P2, we can now plot a straight line passing through these positions. This line, a tangent of the circle of equal altitude, is our approximate **line of position, LOP**. The great circle passing through AP and GP is represented by a straight line perpendicular to the line of position, called **azimuth line**. The arc between AP and GP is the radius of the circle of equal altitude. The distance between AP and the point where the azimuth line intersects the line of position is the intercept, dH. The angle formed by the azimuth line and the local meridian of AP is called **azimuth angle, Az**. The same angle is measured between the line of position and the parallel of latitude passing through AP (Fig. 4-4).

There are several ways to derive Az and the **true azimuth, Az<sub>N</sub>**, from the right (plane) triangle defined by the vertices AP, P1, and P2:

#### Time-Altitude Azimuth:

$$\cos Az = \frac{dH}{d Lat} = \frac{\cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec - \sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t}{\cos H}$$

$$Az = \arccos \frac{\cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec - \sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t}{\cos H}$$

Alternatively, this formula can be derived from the navigational triangle (law of sines and cosines, chapter 10 & chapter 11). Az is not necessarily identical with the true azimuth, Az<sub>N</sub>, since the arccos function returns angles between 0° and +180°, whereas Az<sub>N</sub> is measured from 0° to +360°. To obtain Az<sub>N</sub>, we have to apply the following rules after calculating Az with the formula for time-altitude azimuth:

$$Az_N = \begin{cases} Az & \text{if } t < 0^\circ \quad (180^\circ < LHA < 360^\circ) \\ 360^\circ - Az & \text{if } t > 0^\circ \quad (0^\circ < LHA < 180^\circ) \end{cases}$$

#### Time Azimuth:

$$\tan Az = \frac{d Lat}{\cos Lat \cdot dt} = \frac{\sin t}{\sin Lat \cdot \cos t - \cos Lat \cdot \tan Dec}$$

The factor cos Lat is the relative circumference of the parallel of latitude on which AP is located (equator = 1).

$$Az = \arctan \frac{\sin t}{\sin Lat \cdot \cos t - \cos Lat \cdot \tan Dec}$$

The time azimuth formula is also derived from the navigational triangle (law of cotangents, chapter 10 & chapter 11). Knowing the altitude is not necessary. This formula requires a different set of rules to obtain Az<sub>N</sub>:

$$Az_N = \begin{cases} Az & \text{if } numerator < 0 \text{ AND } denominator < 0 \\ Az + 360^\circ & \text{if } numerator > 0 \text{ AND } denominator < 0 \\ Az + 180^\circ & \text{if } denominator > 0 \end{cases}$$

Calculating the time azimuth is more convenient with the arctan2 (= atan2) function. The latter is part of many programming languages and spreadsheet programs and eliminates the quadrant problem. Thus, no conversion rules are required to obtain  $Az_N$ . In an OpenOffice spreadsheet, for example, the equation would have the following format:

$$Az_N = DEGREES(Pi() + ATAN2 (denominator; numerator))$$

### Altitude Azimuth:

This formula, preferred by many navigators, is directly derived from the navigational triangle (cosine law, chapter 10 & chapter 11) without using differential calculus.

$$\cos Az = \frac{\sin Dec - \sin H \cdot \sin Lat}{\cos H \cdot \cos Lat}$$

$$Az = \arccos \frac{\sin Dec - \sin H \cdot \sin Lat}{\cos H \cdot \cos Lat}$$

As with the formula for time-altitude azimuth,  $Az_N$  is obtained through application of these rules:

$$Az_N = \begin{cases} Az & \text{if } t < 0 \quad (180^\circ < LHA < 360^\circ) \\ 360^\circ - Az & \text{if } t > 0 \quad (0^\circ < LHA < 180^\circ) \end{cases}$$

### Azimuth by the Law of Sines:

The azimuth can also be obtained by application of the law of sines (chapter 10 & chapter 11). The formula does not require the latitude to be known. To simplify the procedure, we calculate with the absolute value of the meridian angle.

$$Az = \arcsin \frac{\cos Dec \cdot \sin |t|}{\cos Ho}$$

This method has the disadvantage of ambiguity since the sine of any given angle,  $\alpha$ , equals the sine of its supplement,  $180^\circ - \alpha$ . To obtain the two solutions for the true azimuth,  $Az_{N1}$  and  $Az_{N2}$ , we apply the following rules:

$$Az_{N1} = \begin{cases} Az & \text{if } t < 0^\circ \quad (180^\circ < LHA < 360^\circ) \\ 360^\circ - Az & \text{if } t > 0^\circ \quad (0^\circ < LHA < 180^\circ) \end{cases}$$

$$Az_{N2} = \begin{cases} 180^\circ - Az & \text{if } t < 0^\circ \quad (180^\circ < LHA < 360^\circ) \\ 180^\circ + Az & \text{if } t > 0^\circ \quad (0^\circ < LHA < 180^\circ) \end{cases}$$

To find out which of the two solutions is the one we are looking for, we have to compare them with the compass bearing of the observed body. The difference between both solutions becomes small as  $Az$  approaches  $90^\circ$ . In such a case, a clear distinction may be impossible, and the sight should be discarded.

*Fig. 4-5 shows a macroscopic view of the line of position, the azimuth line, and the circles of equal altitude. In contrast to dH,  $\Delta H$  is a measurable quantity. Further, the position line is curved.*




Fig. 4-5

## Procedure for the Intercept Method:

Although the theory of the intercept method may look complicated at first glance, the practical application is very simple and does not require any background in differential calculus. The procedure comprises the following steps:

1.

We choose an **assumed position**, AP (see Fig. 4-1), which should be near to our **estimated position**. Preferably, AP should be defined by an integer number of degrees or arcminutes for  $\text{Lat}_{AP}$  and  $\text{Lon}_{AP}$ , respectively, depending on the scale of the chart we are using. Our estimated position itself may be used as well, but plotting a position line is easier when putting AP on a point on the chart where two grid lines intersect.

2.

We calculate the meridian angle,  $t_{AP}$ , (or the local hour angle,  $\text{LHA}_{AP}$ ) from GHA and  $\text{Lon}_{AP}$ , as shown earlier.

3.

We calculate the geocentric altitude of the observed body as a function of  $\text{Lat}_{AP}$ ,  $t_{AP}$ , and Dec (computed altitude):

$$Hc = \arcsin (\sin \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \sin \text{Dec} + \cos \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \cos \text{Dec} \cdot \cos t_{AP})$$

4.

We calculate the **true azimuth** of the body,  $Az_N$ , for example with the altitude azimuth formula:

$$Az = \arccos \frac{\sin \text{Dec} - \sin Hc \cdot \sin \text{Lat}_{AP}}{\cos Hc \cdot \cos \text{Lat}_{AP}}$$

$$Az_N = \begin{cases} Az & \text{if } t < 0 \quad (180^\circ < \text{LHA} < 360^\circ) \\ 360^\circ - Az & \text{if } t > 0 \quad (0^\circ < \text{LHA} < 180^\circ) \end{cases}$$


5.

We calculate the **intercept**,  $\Delta H$ , the difference between observed altitude,  $Ho$  (chapter 2), and computed altitude,  $Hc$ . The intercept, which is directly proportional to the difference between the radii of the corresponding circles of equal altitude, is usually expressed in nautical miles:

$$\Delta H [\text{nm}] = 60 \cdot (Ho[\text{°}] - Hc[\text{°}])$$

6.

We take a chart or plotting sheet with a convenient scale (depending on the respective scenario), and draw a suitable length of the azimuth line through AP (Fig. 4-6). On this line, we measure the intercept,  $\Delta H$ , from AP (towards GP if  $\Delta H > 0$ , away from GP if  $\Delta H < 0$ ) and draw a perpendicular through the point thus located. This perpendicular to the azimuth line is our approximate line of position (the red line in Fig. 4-6).



7.

To obtain our position, we need at least one additional position line. We repeat the procedure with altitude and GP of a second celestial body or of the same body at a different time of observation (Fig. 4-7). The point where both position lines (tangents) intersect is our fix. The second observation does not necessarily require the same AP to be used.




Fig. 4-7

Since the intercept method ignores the curvatures of the actual position lines, the obtained fix is not our exact position but rather an **improved position** (compared with AP). The residual error remains tolerable as long as the radii of the circles of equal altitude are not too small and AP is not too far from our actual position (chapter 16). The geometric error inherent to the intercept method can be decreased by **iteration**, i.e., substituting the obtained fix for AP and repeating the calculations (same altitudes and GP's). This will result in a more accurate position. If necessary, we can reiterate the procedure until the obtained position remains virtually constant (rarely needed).

Accuracy is also improved by observing three bodies instead of two. Theoretically, the position lines should intersect each other at a single point. Since no observation is entirely free of errors, we will usually obtain three points of intersection forming an **error triangle** (Fig. 4-8).




Fig. 4-8

Area and shape of the error triangle give us a rough estimate of the quality of our observations (chapter 16). Our **most probable position**, MPP, is approximately (!) represented by the “center of gravity” of the error triangle (the point where the bisectors of the three angles of the error triangle meet).

When observing more than three bodies, the resulting position lines will form the corresponding polygons.

### Direct Computation

If we do not want to plot lines of position to determine our fix, we can calculate the most probable position directly from an unlimited number of observations,  $n$  ( $n > 1$ ). The Nautical Almanac provides an averaging procedure based on statistical methods. First, the auxiliary quantities A, B, C, D, E, and G have to be calculated.

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos^2 Az_i$$

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^n \sin Az_i \cdot \cos Az_i$$

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^n \sin^2 Az_i$$

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta H)_i \cdot \cos Az_i$$

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta H)_i \cdot \sin Az_i$$

$$G = A \cdot C - B^2$$

In the above formulas,  $Az_i$  denotes the *true azimuth* of the respective body. The  $\Delta H$  values are measured in degrees (same unit as Lon and Lat). The geographic coordinates of the observer's MPP are then obtained as follows:

$$Lon = Lon_{AP} + \frac{A \cdot E - B \cdot D}{G \cdot \cos Lat_{AP}} \quad Lat = Lat_{AP} + \frac{C \cdot D - B \cdot E}{G}$$

The method does not correct for the geometric errors caused by the curvatures of position lines. Again, these are eliminated, if necessary, by iteration. For this purpose, we substitute the calculated MPP for AP. For each body, we calculate new values for  $t$  (or LHA),  $Hc$ ,  $\Delta H$ , and  $Az_N$ . With these values, we recalculate A, B, C, D, E, G, Lon, and Lat.


Upon repeating this procedure, the resulting positions will converge rapidly. In the majority of cases, less than two iterations will be sufficient, depending on the distance between AP and the true position.

### Combining Different Lines of Position

Since the point of intersection of any two position lines, regardless of their nature, marks the observer's geographic position, one celestial LOP may suffice to find one's position if another LOP of a different kind is available.


In a desert devoid of any landmarks, for instance, we can determine our current position by finding the point on the map where a position line obtained by observation of a celestial object intersects the dirt road we are travelling on (*Fig. 4-9*).

Fig. 4-9



We can as well find our position by combining our celestial LOP with the bearing line of a distant mountain peak or any other prominent landmark (*Fig. 4-10*). B is the compass bearing of the terrestrial object (corrected for magnetic declination).

Fig. 4-10



Both examples demonstrate the versatility of position line navigation.

# Chapter 5

## Finding the Position of an Advancing Vessel

Celestial navigation on an advancing vessel requires a correction for the change of position between subsequent observations unless the latter are performed in rapid succession or, better, simultaneously by two observers.

If the navigator knows the speed of the vessel,  $v$ , and the **course over ground**,  $C$  (the angle formed by the vector of motion and the local meridian), position line navigation provides a simple graphic solution.

Assuming that we make our first observation at the time  $T_1$  and our second observation at  $T_2$ , the distance,  $d$ , traveled during the time interval  $T_2-T_1$  is


$$d \text{ [nm]} = (T_2[h] - T_1[h]) \cdot v[\text{kn}]$$

$$1 \text{ kn (knot)} = 1 \text{ nm/h}$$

Although we have no knowledge of our absolute position yet, we know our second position relative to the first one, defined by  $C$  and  $d$ .


To find the absolute position, we plot both position lines in the usual manner, as illustrated in chapter 4. Next, we choose an arbitrary point on the first position line, LOP1, (resulting from the observation at  $T_1$ ) and advance this point according to the motion vector defined by the distance  $d$  and the course  $C$ . Finally, we draw a parallel of the first position line through the point thus located. The point where this **advanced position line** intersects the second line of position (resulting from the observation at  $T_2$ ) marks our position at the time  $T_2$ . A position obtained in this fashion is called **running fix** (Fig. 5-1).

Fig. 5-1



In a similar manner, we can obtain our position at  $T_1$  by **retiring** the second position line, LOP2. In this case we have to substitute  $C \pm 180^\circ$  for  $C$  (Fig. 5-2).

Fig. 5-2



Terrestrial lines of position may be advanced or retired in the same way as astronomical position lines.

It is also possible to choose two different assumed positions. AP1 should be close to the estimated position at  $T_1$ , AP2 close to the estimated position at  $T_2$  (Fig. 5-3).




Fig. 5-3

A running fix is not as accurate as a stationary fix. For one thing, course and speed over ground can only be estimated since the effects of current and wind (drift) are not exactly known in most cases.

Further, there is a geometrical error inherent to the method. The latter is based on the assumption that each point of the circle of equal altitude, representing a possible position of the vessel, travels the same distance,  $d$ , along the **rhumb line** (chapter 12) defined by the course,  $C$ . The result of such an operation, however, is a slightly distorted circle. Consequently, an advanced or retired LOP is not exactly parallel to the original LOP. The resulting position error usually increases as the distance,  $d$ , increases [19]. The procedure gives fairly accurate results when the distance traveled between the observations is smaller than approx. 60 nm.

# Chapter 6

## Determination of Latitude and Longitude, Finding a Position by Direct Calculation

### Latitude by Polaris

The geocentric altitude of a celestial object being at the celestial north pole would be numerically equal to the latitude of the observer's position (Fig. 6-1).




Fig. 6-1

This is nearly the case with Polaris, the pole star. However, since the declination of Polaris is not exactly  $90^\circ$  ( $89^\circ 16.0'$  in 2000.0), the altitude of Polaris is influenced by the local hour angle. The altitude of Polaris is also affected, to a lesser degree, by nutation. To obtain the accurate latitude from the observed altitude, several corrections are necessary:

$$Lat = Ho - 1^\circ + a_0 + a_1 + a_2$$

The corrections  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ , and  $a_2$ , respectively, depend on  $LHA_{Aries}$  (estimated), the observer's estimated latitude, and the number of the current month. They are given in the Polaris Tables of the Nautical Almanac [12]. To extract the data, the observer has to know his approximate position and the approximate time.

Unfortunately, the Nautical Almanac does not provide GHA and Dec for Polaris. When using a computer almanac, however, we can find Lat with the following simple procedure.  $Lat_E$  is our estimated latitude, Dec is the declination of Polaris, and  $t_E$  is the estimated meridian angle of Polaris (calculated from GHA and our estimated longitude).  $Hc$  is the computed altitude,  $Ho$  is the observed altitude (chapter 4).

$$Hc = \arcsin (\sin Lat_E \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat_E \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t_E)$$

$$\Delta H = Ho - Hc$$

Adding the altitude difference,  $\Delta H$ , to the estimated latitude, we obtain the improved latitude:

$$Lat_{improved} \approx Lat_E + \Delta H$$

The improved latitude is accurate to  $0.1'$  when  $Lat_E$  is smaller than  $70^\circ$  and when the error of  $Lat_E$  is smaller than  $2^\circ$ , provided the exact longitude is known. At higher latitudes, the algorithm becomes less accurate and is not recommended. The method even tolerates a longitude error of up to  $1^\circ$ , in which case the resulting latitude error is still smaller than  $1'$ . Latitude by Polaris is basically a variant of the ex-meridian sight. A rigorous procedure is given further below.

## Noon Latitude (Latitude by Maximum Altitude)

This is a very simple method enabling the observer to determine the latitude of his position by measuring the maximum altitude of the sun (or any other object). A very accurate time measurement is not required. The altitude of the sun passes through a flat maximum **approximately** (see noon longitude) at the moment of upper meridian passage (**local apparent noon, LAN**) when  $t$  equals 0 and the GP of the sun is either north or south of the observer, depending on the declination of the sun and the observer's geographic latitude. The latter is easily calculated by forming the algebraic sum or difference of the declination and observed zenith distance  $z$  ( $90^\circ - Ho$ ) of the sun, depending on whether the sun is north or south of the observer (Fig. 6-2).




Fig. 6-2

1. Sun south of observer (Fig. 6-2a):  $Lat = Dec + z = Dec - Ho + 90^\circ$

2. Sun north of observer (Fig. 6-2b):  $Lat = Dec - z = Dec + Ho - 90^\circ$

Northern declination is positive, southern declination negative.

Before starting the observations, we need a rough estimate of our current longitude to know the time of meridian transit. We look up the time (UT) of Greenwich meridian transit of the sun on the daily page of the Nautical Almanac and add 4 minutes for each degree of western longitude or subtract 4 minutes for each degree of eastern longitude. To determine the maximum altitude, we start observing the sun approximately 15 minutes before the expected meridian transit. We follow the increasing altitude of the sun with the sextant, note the maximum altitude when the sun starts descending again, and apply the usual corrections.

We look up the declination of the sun at the approximate time (UT) of local meridian passage on the daily page of the Nautical Almanac and apply the appropriate formula.

The method may produce erratic results when the sun culminates close to the zenith, in which case it is difficult to find if the sun is north or south of the observer. Historically, noon latitude and latitude by Polaris are among the oldest methods of celestial navigation.

## Ex-Meridian Sight

Sometimes, it may be impossible to measure the maximum altitude of a body. For example, the latter may be obscured by a cloud at the instant of culmination. If we have a chance to measure the altitude some time before or after meridian transit, we are still able to find our latitude, provided we know our exact longitude.

First, we use the law of sines to calculate the azimuth angle from  $Ho$ ,  $Dec$ , and  $|t|$  (see chapter 10 & 11). This formula does not require the latitude to be known (compare with the azimuth formulas given in chapter 4). To simplify the procedure, we replace the meridian angle,  $t$ , with its absolute value,  $|t|$ . We can do this because  $Ho$  is independent of the sign of  $t$  (symmetry!).

$$\sin Az = \frac{\cos Dec \cdot \sin |t|}{\cos Ho} \quad Az = \arcsin \frac{\cos Dec \cdot \sin |t|}{\cos Ho}$$

Since  $\sin Az = \sin (180^\circ - Az)$ , the equation has two solutions,  $Az$  and its supplement angle,  $180^\circ - Az$ . This is illustrated by the fact that the circle of equal altitude usually intersects the local meridian at two points of different latitude.

We enter the following formula, which is based on Napier's analogies (chapter 11), with Az. Afterwards we repeat the calculation with the supplement angle,  $180^\circ - Az$ .

$$Lat = 90^\circ - 2 \cdot \arctan \frac{\cos \frac{|t| + Az}{2}}{\tan \frac{Ho + Dec}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{|t| - Az}{2}}$$


We obtain two latitudes,  $Lat_{Az}$  and  $Lat_{180^\circ - Az}$ . We chose the one which is nearest to our estimated latitude. The sight has to be discarded when the difference between the two calculated latitudes is too small for a clear distinction, depending on the reliability of our estimate. Both calculated latitudes merge as Az approaches  $90^\circ$ . Critical judgement is required.

With the advent of the intercept method, the ex-meridian sight became more or less obsolete and is mainly of historical interest today. Often the navigator knows his latitude better than his longitude. The latter can then easily be obtained by a time sight (see below).

### Latitude by two altitudes

Even if the longitude is unknown, the exact latitude can still be found by observation of two celestial bodies. The required quantities are Greenwich hour angle (or sidereal hour angle), declination, and the observed altitude of each body [7].

The calculations are based upon spherical triangles (see chapter 10 & chapter 11). In Fig. 6-3,  $P_N$  denotes the north pole, O the observer's unknown position,  $GP_1$  the geographic position of the first body, and  $GP_2$  the position of the second body.



First, we consider the spherical triangle  $[GP_1, P_N, GP_2]$ . Fig. 6-3 shows only one of several possible configurations. O may as well be outside the triangle  $[GP_1, P_N, GP_2]$ .  $\Delta GHA$  is the difference between both Greenwich hour angles which is equal to the difference between both sidereal hour angles:

$$\Delta GHA = |GHA_2 - GHA_1| = |SHA_2 - SHA_1|$$

Using the law of cosines for sides (chapter 10), we calculate d, the great circle distance between  $GP_1$  and  $GP_2$ :

$$\cos d = \sin Dec_1 \cdot \sin Dec_2 + \cos Dec_1 \cdot \cos Dec_2 \cdot \cos(\Delta GHA)$$

$$d = \arccos [\sin Dec_1 \cdot \sin Dec_2 + \cos Dec_1 \cdot \cos Dec_2 \cdot \cos(\Delta GHA)]$$

Now we solve the same triangle for the angle  $\omega$ , the horizontal distance between  $P_N$  and  $GP_2$ , measured at  $GP_1$ :

$$\cos \omega = \frac{\sin Dec_2 - \sin Dec_1 \cdot \cos d}{\cos Dec_1 \cdot \sin d}$$

$$\omega = \arccos \frac{\sin Dec_2 - \sin Dec_1 \cdot \cos d}{\cos Dec_1 \cdot \sin d}$$

For the spherical triangle  $[GP_1, O, GP_2]$ , we calculate the angle  $\rho$ , the horizontal distance between  $O$  and  $GP_2$ , measured at  $GP_1$ .

$$\cos \rho = \frac{\sin H_2 - \sin H_1 \cdot \cos d}{\cos H_1 \cdot \sin d}$$

$$\rho = \arccos \frac{\sin H_2 - \sin H_1 \cdot \cos d}{\cos H_1 \cdot \sin d}$$

We calculate the angle  $\psi$ , the horizontal distance between  $P_N$  and  $O$ , measured at  $GP_1$ . There are two solutions,  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ , since  $\cos \rho = \cos (-\rho)$ :

$$\psi_1 = |\omega - \rho| \quad \psi_2 = \omega + \rho$$

The circles of equal altitude intersect each other at two points. The corresponding positions are on opposite sides of the great circle going through  $GP_1$  and  $GP_2$  (not shown in *Fig. 6-3*). Using the law of cosines for sides again, we solve the spherical triangle  $[GP_1, P_N, O]$  for Lat. Since we have two solutions for  $\psi$ , we obtain two possible latitudes,  $Lat_1$  and  $Lat_2$ .

$$\sin Lat_1 = \sin H_1 \cdot \sin Dec_1 + \cos H_1 \cdot \cos Dec_1 \cdot \cos \psi_1$$

$$Lat_1 = \arcsin (\sin H_1 \cdot \sin Dec_1 + \cos H_1 \cdot \cos Dec_1 \cdot \cos \psi_1)$$

$$\sin Lat_2 = \sin H_1 \cdot \sin Dec_1 + \cos H_1 \cdot \cos Dec_1 \cdot \cos \psi_2$$

$$Lat_2 = \arcsin (\sin H_1 \cdot \sin Dec_1 + \cos H_1 \cdot \cos Dec_1 \cdot \cos \psi_2)$$

We choose the value nearest to our estimated latitude. The other one is discarded. If both solutions are very similar and a clear distinction is not possible, one of the sights should be discarded, and a body with a more favorable position should be chosen.

Although the method requires more complicated calculations than, e. g., a latitude by Polaris, it has the advantage that measuring two altitudes usually takes less time than finding the maximum altitude of a single body. Moreover, if fixed stars are observed, even a chronometer error of several hours has no significant influence on the resulting latitude since sidereal hour angles and declinations of stars change rather slowly. If the exact time of observation is known, even the observer's longitude and, thus, his position can be calculated precisely (see end of chapter).

When the horizontal distance between the observed bodies is in the vicinity of  $0^\circ$  or  $180^\circ$ , the observer's position is close to the great circle going through  $GP_1$  and  $GP_2$ . In this case, the two solutions for latitude are similar, and finding which one corresponds with the actual latitude may be difficult (depending on the quality of the estimate). The resulting latitudes are also close to each other when the observed bodies have approximately the same Greenwich hour angle.

### Noon Longitude (Longitude by Equal Altitudes)

Since the earth rotates with an angular velocity of exactly  $15^\circ$  per hour with respect to the mean sun, the time of local meridian transit (local apparent noon) of the sun,  $T_{Transit}$ , can be used to calculate the observer's longitude:

$$Lon [^\circ] = 15 \cdot (12 - T_{Transit} [h] - EoT_{Transit} [h])$$

$T_{Transit}$  is measured as UT (decimal format).

The correction for EoT at the time of meridian transit,  $EoT_{Transit}$ , has to be made because the apparent sun, not the mean sun, is observed (see chapter 3).

Since the Nautical Almanac contains only values for EoT (see chapter 3) at 0:00 UT and 12:00 UT of each day,  $EoT_{Transit}$  has to be found by interpolation.

Since the altitude of the sun - like the altitude of any celestial body - passes through a rather flat maximum, the instant of peak altitude is difficult to measure. The exact time of meridian transit can be derived, however, from the times of two equal altitudes of the sun.

Assuming that the sun moves along a symmetrical arc in the sky,  $T_{Transit}$  is the arithmetic mean of the times corresponding with a chosen pair of equal altitudes of the sun, one occurring before LAN,  $T_1$ , the other past LAN,  $T_2$  (Fig. 6-4).

$$T_{Transit} = \frac{T_1 + T_2}{2}$$




Fig. 6-4

In practice, the times of equal altitudes of the sun are measured as follows:

In the morning, the observer records the time  $T_1$  corresponding with a chosen altitude,  $H$ . In the afternoon, the time  $T_2$  is recorded when the descending sun passes through the same altitude again. Since only times of equal altitudes are measured, no altitude correction is required. The interval  $T_2 - T_1$  should be greater than approx. 2 hours.

Unfortunately, the arc of the sun is only symmetrical with respect to  $T_{Transit}$  if the sun's declination is constant during the observation interval. This is approximately the case around the times of the solstices. During the rest of the year, particularly at the times of the equinoxes,  $T_{Transit}$  differs significantly from the mean of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  due to the changing declination of the sun. Fig. 6-5 shows the altitude of the sun as a function of time and illustrates how the changing declination affects the apparent path of the sun in the sky, resulting in a time difference,  $\Delta T$ .

The blue line shows the path of the sun for a given, constant declination,  $Dec_1$ . The red line shows how the path would look with a different declination,  $Dec_2$ . In both cases, the apparent path of the sun is symmetrical with respect to  $T_{Transit}$ . However, if the sun's declination varies from  $Dec_1$  at  $T_1$  to  $Dec_2$  at  $T_2$ , the path shown by the green line will result.




Fig. 6-5

Now,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are no longer symmetrical to  $T_{Transit}$ .

The sun's meridian transit occurs before  $(T_1+T_2)/2$  if the sun's declination changes toward the observer's parallel of latitude, like shown in Fig. 6-5. Otherwise, the meridian transit occurs after  $(T_1+T_2)/2$ . Since time and local hour angle (or meridian angle) are proportional to each other, a systematic error in longitude results.

The error in longitude is negligible around the times of the solstices when Dec is almost constant, and is greatest (up to several arcminutes) at the times of the equinoxes when the rate of change of Dec is greatest (approx. 1'/h). Moreover, the error in longitude increases with the observer's latitude and may be quite dramatic in polar regions.

The obtained longitude can be improved, if necessary, by application of the **equation of equal altitudes** [5]:

$$\Delta t \approx \left( \frac{\tan Lat}{\sin t_2} - \frac{\tan Dec_2}{\tan t_2} \right) \cdot \Delta Dec \quad \Delta Dec = Dec_2 - Dec_1$$

$\Delta t$  is the change in the meridian angle,  $t$ , which cancels the change in altitude resulting from a small change in declination,  $\Delta Dec$ .  $Lat$  is the observer's latitude. If the accurate latitude is not known, an estimated latitude may be used.  $t_2$  is the meridian angle of the sun at  $T_2$ . Since we do not know the exact value for  $t_2$  initially, we start our calculations with an approximate value calculated from  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ :

$$t_2[\circ] \approx \frac{15 \cdot (T_2[h] - T_1[h])}{2}$$

We denote the improved value for  $T_2$  by  $T_2^*$ .

$$T_2^*[h] = T_2[h] - \Delta T[h] = T_2[h] - \frac{\Delta t[\circ]}{15}$$

At  $T_2^*$ , the sun would pass through the same altitude as measured at  $T_1$  if Dec did not change during the interval of observation.

Accordingly, the improved time of meridian transit is:

$$T_{Transit} = \frac{T_1 + T_2^*}{2}$$

The residual error resulting from the initial error of  $t_2$  is usually not significant. It can be decreased, if necessary, by iteration. Substituting  $T_2^*$  for  $T_2$ , we get the improved meridian angle,  $t_2^*$ :

$$t_2^*[\circ] \approx \frac{15 \cdot (T_2^*[h] - T_1[h])}{2}$$

With the improved meridian angle  $t_2^*$ , we calculate the improved correction  $\Delta t^*$ :

$$\Delta t^* \approx \left( \frac{\tan Lat}{\sin t_2^*} - \frac{\tan Dec_2}{\tan t_2^*} \right) \cdot \Delta Dec$$

Finally, we obtain a more accurate time value,  $T_2^{**}$ :

$$T_2^{**}[h] = T_2[h] - \frac{\Delta t^*[\circ]}{15}$$

And, accordingly:

$$T_{Transit} = \frac{T_1 + T_2^{**}}{2}$$

The error of  $\Delta Dec$  should be as small as possible. Calculating  $\Delta Dec$  with a high-precision computer almanac is preferable to extracting it from the Nautical Almanac.

When using the Nautical Almanac,  $\Delta Dec$  should be calculated from the daily change of declination to keep the rounding error as small as possible.

Although the equation of equal altitudes is strictly valid only for an infinitesimal change of Dec,  $d Dec$ , it can be used for a measurable change,  $\Delta Dec$ , (up to several arcminutes) as well without sacrificing much accuracy. Accurate time measurement provided, the residual error in longitude rarely exceeds  $\pm 0.1'$ .

### Theory of the Equation of Equal Altitudes

The equation of equal altitudes is derived from the altitude formula (see chapter 4) using **differential calculus**:

$$\sin H = \sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t$$

First, we need to know how a small change in declination would affect  $\sin H$ . We form the partial derivative with respect to Dec:

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial Dec} = \sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec - \cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec \cdot \cos t$$

Thus, the change in  $\sin H$  caused by an infinitesimal change in declination,  $d Dec$ , is:

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial Dec} \cdot d Dec = (\sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec - \cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec \cdot \cos t) \cdot d Dec$$

Now, we form the partial derivative with respect to  $t$  in order to find out how a small change in the meridian angle would affect  $\sin H$ :

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial t} = -\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t$$

The change in  $\sin H$  caused by an infinitesimal change in the meridian angle,  $dt$ , is:

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial t} \cdot dt = -\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t \cdot dt$$

Since we want both effects to cancel each other, the total differential has to be zero:

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial Dec} \cdot d Dec + \frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial t} \cdot dt = 0$$

$$-\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial t} \cdot dt = \frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial Dec} \cdot d Dec$$

$$\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t \cdot dt = (\sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec - \cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec \cdot \cos t) \cdot d Dec$$

$$dt = \frac{\sin Lat \cdot \cos Dec - \cos Lat \cdot \sin Dec \cdot \cos t}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t} \cdot d Dec$$

$$dt = \left( \frac{\tan Lat}{\sin t} - \frac{\tan Dec}{\tan t} \right) \cdot d Dec$$

$$\Delta t \approx \left( \frac{\tan Lat}{\sin t} - \frac{\tan Dec}{\tan t} \right) \cdot \Delta Dec$$

## Longitude Measurement on an Advancing Vessel

On an advancing vessel, we have to take into account not only the influence of varying declination but also the effects of changing latitude and longitude on the altitude of the body during the observation interval. Differentiating sin H (altitude formula) with respect to Lat, we get:

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial \text{Lat}} = \cos \text{Lat} \cdot \sin \text{Dec} - \sin \text{Lat} \cdot \cos \text{Dec} \cdot \cos t$$

Again, the total differential is zero because the combined effects of latitude and meridian angle cancel each other with respect to their influence on sin H:

$$\frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial \text{Lat}} \cdot d \text{Lat} + \frac{\partial(\sin H)}{\partial t} \cdot dt = 0$$

In analogy with a change in declination, we obtain the following formula for a small change in latitude:

$$dt = \left( \frac{\tan \text{Dec}}{\sin t} - \frac{\tan \text{Lat}}{\tan t} \right) \cdot d \text{Lat}$$

The correction for the combined variations in Dec, Lat, and Lon is:

$$\Delta t \approx \left( \frac{\tan \text{Lat}_2}{\sin t_2} - \frac{\tan \text{Dec}_2}{\tan t_2} \right) \cdot \Delta \text{Dec} + \left( \frac{\tan \text{Dec}_2}{\sin t_2} - \frac{\tan \text{Lat}_2}{\tan t_2} \right) \cdot \Delta \text{Lat} - \Delta \text{Lon}$$

$\Delta \text{Lat}$  and  $\Delta \text{Lon}$  are the small changes in latitude and longitude corresponding with the path of the vessel traveled between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The meridian angle,  $t_2$ , has to include a correction for  $\Delta \text{Lon}$ :

$$t_2[\circ] \approx \frac{15 \cdot (T_2[h] - T_1[h]) - \Delta \text{Lon}[\circ]}{2}$$

$\Delta \text{Lat}$  and  $\Delta \text{Lon}$  are calculated from the course over ground, C, the velocity over ground, v, and the time elapsed.

$$\Delta \text{Lat}' = v[\text{kn}] \cdot \cos C \cdot (T_2[h] - T_1[h])$$

$$\text{Lat}_2 = \text{Lat}_1 + \Delta \text{Lat}$$

$$\Delta \text{Lon}' = v[\text{kn}] \cdot \frac{\sin C}{\cos \text{Lat}} \cdot (T_2[h] - T_1[h])$$

$$\text{Lon}_2 = \text{Lon}_1 + \Delta \text{Lon}$$

$$1 \text{ kn (knot)} = 1 \text{ nm/h}$$

C is measured clockwise from true north ( $0^\circ$ ... $360^\circ$ ). Again, the corrected time of equal altitude is:

$$T_2^*[h] = T_2[h] - \frac{\Delta t[\circ]}{15} \quad T_{\text{Transit}} = \frac{T_1 + T_2^*}{2}$$

The longitude calculated from  $T_{\text{Transit}}$  refers to the observer's position at  $T_1$ . The longitude at  $T_2$  is  $\text{Lon} + \Delta \text{Lon}$ .

The longitude error caused by a change in latitude can be dramatic and requires the navigator's particular attention, even if the vessel travels at a moderate speed.

The above considerations clearly demonstrate that determining one's **exact** longitude by equal altitudes of the sun is not as simple as it seems to be at first glance, particularly on a moving vessel. It is therefore quite natural that with the development of position line navigation (including simple graphic solutions for a traveling vessel), longitude by equal altitudes became less important.

### The Meridian Angle of the Sun at Maximum Altitude

*Fig. 6-5* shows that the maximum altitude of the sun is slightly different from the altitude at the moment of meridian passage if the declination changes. At maximum altitude, the rate of change of altitude caused by the changing declination cancels the rate of change of altitude caused by the changing meridian angle.

The equation of equal altitude enables us to calculate the meridian angle of the sun at this moment. We divide each side of the equation by the infinitesimal time interval  $dT$ :

$$\frac{dt}{dT} = \left( \frac{\tan Lat}{\sin t} - \frac{\tan Dec}{\tan t} \right) \cdot \frac{d Dec}{dT}$$

Measuring the rate of change of  $t$  and  $Dec$  in arcminutes per hour we get:

$$900' / h = \left( \frac{\tan Lat}{\sin t} - \frac{\tan Dec}{\tan t} \right) \cdot \frac{d Dec[']}{dT[h]}$$

Since  $t$  is a very small angle, we can substitute  $\tan t$  for  $\sin t$ :

$$900 \approx \frac{\tan Lat - \tan Dec}{\tan t} \cdot \frac{d Dec[']}{dT[h]}$$

Now, we can solve the equation for  $\tan t$ :

$$\tan t \approx \frac{\tan Lat - \tan Dec}{900} \cdot \frac{d Dec[']}{dT[h]}$$

Since a small angle (in radians) is nearly equal to its tangent, we get:

$$t[\circ] \cdot \frac{\pi}{180} \approx \frac{\tan Lat - \tan Dec}{900} \cdot \frac{d Dec[']}{dT[h]}$$

Measuring  $t$  in arcminutes, the equation is stated as:

$$t['] \approx 3.82 \cdot (\tan Lat - \tan Dec) \cdot \frac{d Dec[']}{dT[h]}$$

$dDec/dT$  is the rate of change of declination measured in arcminutes per hour.

The maximum altitude occurs after meridian transit if  $t$  is positive, and before meridian transit if  $t$  is negative.

For example, at the time of the spring equinox ( $Dec \approx 0$ ,  $dDec/dT \approx +1'/h$ ) an observer being at  $+80^\circ$  (N) latitude would observe the maximum altitude of the sun at  $t \approx +21.7'$ , i. e., 86.8 seconds after local meridian transit (LAN). An observer at  $+45^\circ$  latitude, however, would observe the maximum altitude at  $t \approx +3.82'$ , i. e., only 15.3 seconds after meridian transit.

### The Maximum Altitude of the Sun

We can use the last equation to evaluate the systematic error of a noon latitude. The latter is based upon the maximum altitude of the sun, not on the altitude at the moment of meridian transit. Following the above example, the observer at  $80^\circ$  latitude would observe the maximum altitude 86.7 seconds after meridian transit.

During this interval, the declination of the sun would have changed from 0 to  $+1.445''$  (assuming that Dec is 0 at the time of meridian transit). Using the altitude formula (chapter 4), we get:

$$Hc = \arcsin (\sin 80^\circ \cdot \sin 1.445'' + \cos 80^\circ \cdot \cos 1.445'' \cdot \cos 21.7') = 10^\circ 0' 0.72''$$

In contrast, the calculated altitude at meridian transit would be exactly  $10^\circ$ . Thus, the error of the noon latitude would be  $-0.72''$ .

In the same way, we can calculate the maximum altitude of the sun observed at  $45^\circ$  latitude:

$$Hc = \arcsin (\sin 45^\circ \cdot \sin 0.255'' + \cos 45^\circ \cdot \cos 0.255'' \cdot \cos 3.82') = 45^\circ 0' 0.13''$$

In this case, the error of the noon latitude would be only  $-0.13''$ .

The above examples show that even at the times of the equinoxes, the systematic error of a noon latitude caused by the changing declination of the sun is not significant because it is much smaller than other observational errors, e. g., the errors in dip or refraction. A measurable error in latitude can only occur if the observer is very close to one of the poles ( $\tan \text{Lat}!$ ). Around the times of the solstices, the error in latitude is practically non-existent.

### Time Sight

The process of deriving the longitude from a single altitude of a body (as well as the observation made for this purpose) is called **time sight**. This method requires knowledge of the exact latitude, e. g., a noon latitude. Solving the navigational triangle (chapter 11) for the meridian angle,  $t$ , we get:

$$t = \pm \arccos \frac{\sin H_o - \sin \text{Lat} \cdot \sin \text{Dec}}{\cos \text{Lat} \cdot \cos \text{Dec}}$$

The equation has two solutions,  $+t$  and  $-t$ , since  $\cos t = \cos (-t)$ . Geometrically, this corresponds with the fact that the circle of equal altitude intersects the parallel of latitude at two points.

Using the following formulas and rules, we obtain the longitudes of these points of intersection,  $\text{Lon}_1$  and  $\text{Lon}_2$ :

$$\text{Lon}_1 = t - \text{GHA}$$

$$\text{Lon}_2 = 360^\circ - t - \text{GHA}$$


$$\text{If } \text{Lon}_1 < -180^\circ \rightarrow \text{Lon}_1 + 360^\circ$$

$$\text{If } \text{Lon}_2 < -180^\circ \rightarrow \text{Lon}_2 + 360^\circ$$

$$\text{If } \text{Lon}_2 > +180^\circ \rightarrow \text{Lon}_2 - 360^\circ$$

Even if we do not know the exact latitude, we can still use a time sight to derive a line of position from an assumed latitude. After solving the time sight, we plot the assumed parallel of latitude and the calculated meridian.

Next, we calculate the azimuth of the body with respect to the position thus obtained (azimuth formulas, chapter 4) and plot the azimuth line. Our line of position is the perpendicular of the azimuth line going through the calculated position (Fig. 6-6).



Finding a LOP by time sight is mainly of historical interest. The modern navigator will certainly prefer the intercept method (chapter 4) which can be used without any restrictions regarding meridian angle (local hour angle), latitude, and declination (see below).

A time sight is not reliable when the body is close to the meridian. Using differential calculus, we can demonstrate that the error of the meridian angle,  $dt$ , resulting from an altitude error,  $dH$ , varies in proportion with  $1/\sin t$ :

$$dt = - \frac{\cos H_0}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t} \cdot dH$$

Moreover,  $dt$  varies inversely with  $\cos Lat$  and  $\cos Dec$ . Therefore, high latitudes and declinations should be avoided as well. The same restrictions apply to *Sumner's* method which is based upon two time sights.

### Direct Computation of a Position

If we know the exact time, the observations for a **latitude by two altitudes** even enable us to calculate our position directly, without graphic plot. After obtaining our latitude,  $Lat$ , from two altitudes (see above), we use the time sight formula to calculate the meridian angle of one of the bodies. In case of the first body, for example, we calculate  $t_1$  from the quantities  $Lat$ ,  $Dec_1$ , and  $H_1$  (see Fig. 6-3). Two possible longitudes result from the meridian angle thus obtained. We choose the one nearest to our estimated longitude. This is a **rigorous method**, not an approximation.

In a similar manner, a position can be found by combining a noon longitude with an ex-meridian sight.

Direct computation is rarely used since the calculations are more complicated than those required for graphic solutions. Of course, in the age of computers the complexity of the method does not present a problem anymore.

# Chapter 7

## Finding Time and Longitude by Lunar Distances

In celestial navigation, time and longitude are interdependent. Finding one's longitude at sea or in unknown terrain is impossible without knowing the exact time and vice versa. Therefore, old-time navigators were basically restricted to latitude sailing on long voyages, i. e., they had to sail along a chosen parallel of latitude until they came in sight of the coast. Since there was no reliable estimate of the time of arrival, many ships ran ashore during periods of darkness or bad visibility. Spurred by heavy losses of men and material, scientists tried to solve the longitude problem by using astronomical events as time marks. In principle, such a method is only suitable when the observed time of the event is virtually independent of the observer's geographic position.

Measuring time by the apparent movement of the moon with respect to the background of fixed stars was suggested in the 15<sup>th</sup> century already (*Regiomontanus*) but proved impracticable since neither reliable ephemerides for the moon nor precise instruments for measuring angles were available at that time.

Around the middle of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, astronomy and instrument making had finally reached a stage of development that made time measurement by lunar observations possible. Particularly, deriving the time from a so-called **lunar distance**, the angular distance of the moon from a chosen reference body, became a popular method. Although the procedure is rather cumbersome, it became an essential part of celestial navigation and was used far into the 19<sup>th</sup> century, long after the invention of the mechanical chronometer (*Harrison*, 1736). This was mainly due to the limited availability of reliable chronometers and their exorbitant price. When chronometers became affordable around the middle of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, lunar distances gradually went out of use. Until 1906, the Nautical Almanac included lunar distance tables showing predicted geocentric angular distances between the moon and selected bodies in 3-hour intervals.\* After the tables were dropped, lunar distances fell more or less into oblivion. Not much later, radio time signals became available world-wide, and the longitude problem was solved once and for all. Today, lunar distances are mainly of historical interest. The method is so ingenious, however, that a detailed study is worthwhile.

The basic idea of the lunar distance method is easy to comprehend. Since the moon moves across the celestial sphere at a rate of about 0.5° per hour, the angular distance between the moon, M, and a body in her path, B, varies at a similar rate and rapidly enough to be used to measure the time. The time corresponding with an observed lunar distance can be found by comparison with tabulated values.

Tabulated lunar distances are calculated from the geocentric equatorial coordinates of M and B using the cosine law:

$$\cos D = \sin Dec_M \cdot \sin Dec_B + \cos Dec_M \cdot \cos Dec_B \cdot \cos(GHA_M - GHA_B)$$

or

$$\cos D = \sin Dec_M \cdot \sin Dec_B + \cos Dec_M \cdot \cos Dec_B \cdot \cos[15 \cdot (RA_M[h] - RA_B[h])]$$

D is the geocentric lunar distance. These formulas can be used to set up one's own table with the aid of the Nautical Almanac or any computer almanac if a lunar distance table is not available.

\*Almost a century after the original Lunar Distance Tables were dropped, Steven Wepster resumed the tradition. His tables are presently (2004) available through the internet [14].

### Clearing the lunar distance

Before a lunar distance measured by the observer can be compared with tabulated values, it has to be reduced to the corresponding geocentric angle by clearing it from the effects of refraction and parallax. This essential process is called **clearing the lunar distance**. Numerous procedures have been developed, among them rigorous and “quick” methods. In the following, we will discuss the almost identical methods by *Dunthorne* (1766) and *Young* (1856). They are rigorous for a spherical model of the earth.

Fig. 7-1 shows the positions of the moon, M, and a reference body, B, in the coordinate system of the horizon. We denote the apparent positions of the centers of the moon and the reference body by  $M_{app}$  and  $B_{app}$ , respectively. Z is the zenith.




Fig. 7-1

The side  $D_{app}$  of the spherical triangle  $B_{app}$ -Z-M<sub>app</sub> is the apparent lunar distance. The altitudes of  $M_{app}$  and  $B_{app}$  (obtained after applying the corrections for index error, dip, and semidiameter) are  $H_{Mapp}$  and  $H_{Bapp}$ , respectively. The vertical circles of both bodies form the angle  $\alpha$ , the difference between the azimuth of the moon,  $Az_M$ , and the azimuth of the reference body,  $Az_B$ :

$$\alpha = Az_M - Az_B$$

The position of each body is shifted along its vertical circle by atmospheric refraction and parallax in altitude. After correcting  $H_{Mapp}$  and  $H_{Bapp}$  for both effects, we obtain the geocentric positions M and B. We denote the altitude of M by  $H_M$  and the altitude of B by  $H_B$ .  $H_M$  is always greater than  $H_{Mapp}$  because the parallax of the moon is always greater than refraction. The angle  $\alpha$  is neither affected by refraction nor by the parallax in altitude:

$$Az_M = Az_{Mapp} \quad Az_B = Az_{Bapp}$$

The side D of the spherical triangle B-Z-M is the unknown geocentric lunar distance. If we knew the exact value for  $\alpha$ , calculation of D would be very simple (cosine law). Unfortunately, the navigator has no means for measuring  $\alpha$  precisely. It is possible, however, to calculate D solely from the five quantities  $D_{app}$ ,  $H_{Mapp}$ ,  $H_M$ ,  $H_{Bapp}$ , and  $H_B$ .

Applying the cosine formula to the spherical triangle formed by the zenith and the apparent positions, we get:

$$\cos D_{app} = \sin H_{Mapp} \cdot \sin H_{Bapp} + \cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp} \cdot \cos \alpha$$

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{\cos D_{app} - \sin H_{Mapp} \cdot \sin H_{Bapp}}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}$$

Repeating the procedure with the spherical triangle formed by the zenith and the geocentric positions, we get:

$$\cos D = \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B + \cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \cos \alpha$$

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{\cos D - \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is constant, we can combine both azimuth formulas:

$$\frac{\cos D - \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B} = \frac{\cos D_{app} - \sin H_{Mapp} \cdot \sin H_{Bapp}}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}$$

Thus, we have eliminated the unknown angle  $\alpha$ . Now, we subtract unity from both sides of the equation:

$$\frac{\cos D - \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B} - 1 = \frac{\cos D_{app} - \sin H_{Mapp} \cdot \sin H_{Bapp}}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}} - 1$$

$$\frac{\cos D - \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B} - \frac{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B} = \frac{\cos D_{app} - \sin H_{Mapp} \cdot \sin H_{Bapp}}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}} - \frac{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}$$

$$\frac{\cos D - \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B - \cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B} = \frac{\cos D_{app} - \sin H_{Mapp} \cdot \sin H_{Bapp} - \cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}$$

Using the addition formula for cosines, we have:

$$\frac{\cos D - \cos(H_M - H_B)}{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B} = \frac{\cos D_{app} - \cos(H_{Mapp} - H_{Bapp})}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}}$$

Solving for  $\cos D$ , we obtain *Dunthorne's* formula for clearing the lunar distance:

$$\cos D = \frac{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}} \cdot [\cos D_{app} - \cos(H_{Mapp} - H_{Bapp})] + \cos(H_M - H_B)$$

Adding unity to both sides of the equation instead of subtracting it, leads to *Young's* formula:

$$\cos D = \frac{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B}{\cos H_{Mapp} \cdot \cos H_{Bapp}} \cdot [\cos D_{app} + \cos(H_{Mapp} + H_{Bapp})] - \cos(H_M + H_B)$$

## Procedure

Deriving UT from a lunar distance comprises the following steps:

### 1.

We measure the altitude of the upper or lower limb of the moon, whichever is visible, and note the time of the observation indicated by our watch,  $WT1_{LMapp}$ .

We apply the corrections for index error and dip (if necessary) and get the apparent altitude of the limb,  $H1_{LMapp}$ . We repeat the procedure with the reference body and obtain the watch time  $WT1_{Bapp}$  and the altitude  $H1_{Bapp}$ .

### 2.

We measure the angular distance between the limb of the moon and the reference body,  $D_{Lapp}$ , and note the corresponding watch time,  $WT_D$ . The angle  $D_{Lapp}$  has to be measured with the greatest possible precision. It is recommended to measure a few  $D_{Lapp}$  values and their corresponding  $WT_D$  values in rapid succession and calculate the respective average value. When the moon is almost full, it is not quite easy to distinguish the limb of the moon from the terminator (shadow line). In general, the limb has a sharp appearance whereas the terminator is slightly indistinct.

### 3.

We measure the altitudes of both bodies again, as described above. We denote them by  $H_{LMapp}$  and  $H_{Bapp}$ , and note the corresponding watch times of observation,  $WT_{LMapp}$  and  $WT_{Bapp}$ .

### 4.

Since the observations are only a few minutes apart, we can calculate the altitude of the respective body at the moment of the lunar distance observation by linear interpolation:

$$H_{LMapp} = H1_{LMapp} + (H2_{LMapp} - H1_{LMapp}) \cdot \frac{WT_D - WT1_{LMapp}}{WT2_{LMapp} - WT1_{LMapp}}$$

$$H_{Bapp} = H1_{Bapp} + (H2_{Bapp} - H1_{Bapp}) \cdot \frac{WT_D - WT1_{Bapp}}{WT2_{Bapp} - WT1_{Bapp}}$$

### 5.

We correct the altitude of the moon and the angular distance  $D_{Lapp}$  for the augmented semidiameter of the moon,  $SD_{aug}$ . The latter can be calculated directly from the altitude of the upper or lower limb of the moon:

$$\tan SD_{aug} = \frac{k}{\left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sin^2 HP_M}} - (\cos H_{LMapp} \pm k)^2 \right] - \sin H_{LMapp}} \quad k = 0.2725$$

$$\text{upper limb: } \cos H_{LMapp} - k \quad \text{lower limb: } \cos H_{LMapp} + k$$

The altitude correction is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lower limb: } H_{Mapp} &= H_{LMapp} + SD_{aug} \\ \text{Upper limb: } H_{Mapp} &= H_{LMapp} - SD_{aug} \end{aligned}$$

The rules for the lunar distance correction are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Limb of moon towards reference body: } D_{app} &= D_{Lapp} + SD_{aug} \\ \text{Limb of moon away from reference body: } D_{app} &= D_{Lapp} - SD_{aug} \end{aligned}$$

The above procedure is an approximation since the augmented semidiameter is a function of the altitude corrected for refraction. Since refraction is a small quantity and since the total augmentation between  $0^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$  altitude is only approx.  $0.3'$ , the resulting error is very small and may be ignored.

The sun, when chosen as reference body, requires the same corrections for semidiameter. Since the sun does not show a measurable augmentation, we can use the geocentric semidiameter tabulated in the Nautical Almanac or calculated with a computer program.

### 6.

We correct both altitudes,  $H_{Mapp}$  and  $H_{Bapp}$ , for atmospheric refraction,  $R$ .

$$R_i['] = \frac{p[mbar]}{1010} \cdot \frac{283}{T[^{\circ}C] + 273} \cdot \left( \frac{0.97127}{\tan H_i} - \frac{0.00137}{\tan^3 H_i} \right) \quad i = Mapp, Bapp \quad H_i > 10^\circ$$

$R_i$  is subtracted from the respective altitude. The refraction formula is only accurate for altitudes above approx.  $10^\circ$ . Lower altitudes should be avoided anyway since refraction may become erratic and since the apparent disk of the moon (and sun) assumes an oval shape caused by an increasing difference in refraction for upper and lower limb. This distortion would affect the semidiameter with respect to the reference body in a complicated way.

## 7.

We correct the altitudes for the parallax in altitude:

$$\sin P_M = \sin HP_M \cdot \cos(H_{Mapp} - R_{Mapp}) \quad \sin P_B = \sin HP_B \cdot \cos(H_{Bapp} - R_{Bapp})$$

We apply the altitude corrections as follows:

$$H_M = H_{Mapp} - R_{Mapp} + P_M \quad H_B = H_{Bapp} - R_{Bapp} + P_B$$

The correction for parallax is not applied to the altitude of a fixed star (HPB = 0).

## 8.

With  $D_{app}$ ,  $H_{Mapp}$ ,  $H_M$ ,  $H_{Bapp}$ , and  $H_B$ , we calculate D using *Dunthorne's* or *Young's* formula.

## 9.

The time corresponding with the geocentric distance D is found by interpolation. Lunar distance tables show D as a function of time, T (UT). If the rate of change of D does not vary too much (less than approx. 0.3' in 3 hours), we can use linear interpolation. However, in order to find T, we have to consider T as a function of D (inverse interpolation).

$$T_D = T_1 + (T_2 - T_1) \cdot \frac{D - D_1}{D_2 - D_1}$$

$T_D$  is the unknown time corresponding with D.  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are tabulated lunar distances.  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are the corresponding time (UT) values ( $T_2 = T_1 + 3h$ ). D is the geocentric lunar distance calculated from  $D_{app}$ . D has to be between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .

If the rate of change of D varies significantly, more accurate results are obtained with methods for non-linear interpolation, for example, with 3-point *Lagrange* interpolation. Choosing three pairs of tabulated values,  $(T_1, D_1)$ ,  $(T_2, D_2)$ , and  $(T_3, D_3)$ ,  $T_D$  is calculated as follows:

$$T_D = T_1 \cdot \frac{(D - D_2) \cdot (D - D_3)}{(D_1 - D_2) \cdot (D_1 - D_3)} + T_2 \cdot \frac{(D - D_1) \cdot (D - D_3)}{(D_2 - D_1) \cdot (D_2 - D_3)} + T_3 \cdot \frac{(D - D_1) \cdot (D - D_2)}{(D_3 - D_1) \cdot (D_3 - D_2)}$$

$$T_2 = T_1 + 3h \quad T_3 = T_2 + 3h \quad D_1 < D_2 < D_3 \quad \text{or} \quad D_1 > D_2 > D_3$$

D may have any value between  $D_1$  and  $D_3$ .

There must not be a minimum or maximum of D in the time interval  $[T_1, T_3]$ . This problem does not occur with a properly chosen body having a suitable rate of change of D. Near a minimum or maximum of D,  $\Delta D / \Delta T$  would be very small, and the observation would be erratic anyway.

After finding  $T_D$ , we can calculate the watch error,  $\Delta T$ .

$$\Delta T = WT_D - T_D$$

$\Delta T$  is the difference between our watch time at the moment of observation,  $WT_D$ , and the time found by interpolation,  $T_D$ .

Subtracting the watch error from the watch time,  $WT$ , results in UT.

$$UT = WT - \Delta T$$

## Improvements

The procedures described so far refer to a spherical earth. In reality, however, the earth has approximately the shape of an ellipsoid flattened at the poles. This leads to small but measurable effects when observing the moon, the body nearest to the earth. First, the parallax in altitude differs slightly from the value calculated for a spherical earth. Second, there is a small parallax in azimuth which would not exist if the earth were a sphere (see chapter 9). If no correction is applied, D may contain an error of up to approx. 0.2'. The following formulas refer to an observer on the surface of the reference ellipsoid (approximately at sea level).

The corrections require knowledge of the observer's latitude, Lat, the true azimuth of the moon,  $Az_M$ , and the true azimuth of the reference body,  $Az_B$ .

Since the corrections are small, the three values do not need to be very accurate. Errors of a few degrees are tolerable. Instead of the azimuth, the compass bearing of each body, corrected for magnetic declination, may be used.

Parallax in altitude:

This correction is applied to the parallax in altitude and is used to calculate  $H_M$  with higher precision before clearing the lunar distance.

$$\Delta P_M \approx f \cdot HP_M \cdot [\sin(2 \cdot \text{Lat}) \cdot \cos Az_M \cdot \sin H_{Mapp} - \sin^2 \text{Lat} \cdot \cos H_{Mapp}]$$

f is the flattening of the earth:  $f = \frac{1}{298.257}$

$$P_{M, \text{improved}} = P_M + \Delta P_M$$

$$H_M = H_{mapp} - R_{Mapp} + P_{M, \text{improved}}$$

Parallax in azimuth:

The correction for the parallax in azimuth is applied after calculating  $H_M$  and D. The following formula is a fairly accurate approximation of the parallax in azimuth,  $\Delta Az_M$ :

$$\Delta Az_M \approx f \cdot HP_M \cdot \frac{\sin(2 \cdot \text{Lat}) \cdot \sin Az_M}{\cos H_M}$$

In order to find how  $\Delta Az_M$  affects D, we go back to the cosine formula:

$$\cos D = \sin H_M \cdot \sin H_B + \cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \cos \alpha$$

We differentiate the equation with respect to  $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{d(\cos D)}{d\alpha} = -\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \sin \alpha$$

$$d(\cos D) = -\sin D \cdot dD$$

$$-\sin D \cdot dD = -\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \sin \alpha \cdot d\alpha$$

$$dD = \frac{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \sin \alpha}{\sin D} \cdot d\alpha$$

Since  $d\alpha = dAz_M$ , the change in D caused by an infinitesimal change in  $Az_M$  is:

$$dD = \frac{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \sin \alpha}{\sin D} \cdot dAz_M$$

With a small but measurable change in  $Az_M$ , we have:

$$\Delta D \approx \frac{\cos H_M \cdot \cos H_B \cdot \sin \alpha}{\sin D} \cdot \Delta Az_M$$

$$D_{improved} \approx D + \Delta D$$

Combining the formulas for  $\Delta Az_M$  and  $\Delta D$ , we get:

$$D_{improved} \approx D + f \cdot HP_M \cdot \frac{\cos H_B \cdot \sin(2 \cdot Lat) \cdot \sin Az_M \cdot \sin(Az_M - Az_B)}{\sin D}$$

In most cases,  $D_{improved}$  will be accurate to 0.1" (provided the measurements are error-free). The correction formula is less accurate when the topocentric (~ apparent) positions of moon and reference body are close ( $< 5^\circ$ ) together. The formula should not be applied when the reference body is less than about four semidiameters (~1°) away from the center of the moon.

## Accuracy

According to modern requirements, the lunar distance method is rather inaccurate. In the 18<sup>th</sup> and early 19<sup>th</sup> century, however, this was generally accepted because a longitude with an error of 0.5°-1° was still better than no longitude measurement at all. Said error is the approximate result of an error of only 1' in the measurement of  $D_{Lapp}$ , not uncommon for a sextant reading under practical conditions. Therefore,  $D_{Lapp}$  should be measured with greatest care.

The altitudes of both bodies do not quite require the same degree of precision because a small error in the apparent altitude leads to about the same error in the geocentric altitude. Since both errors cancel each other to a large extent, the resulting error in D is comparatively small. An altitude error of a few arcminutes is tolerable in most cases. Therefore, measuring two altitudes of each body and finding the altitude at the moment of the lunar distance observation by interpolation is not absolutely necessary. Measuring a single altitude of each body shortly before or after the lunar distance measurement is sufficient if a small loss in accuracy is accepted.

The position of the reference body with respect to the moon is crucial. The rate of change of D should not be too low. It becomes zero when D passes through a minimum or maximum, making an observation useless. This can be checked with lunar distance tables. Since the plane of the lunar orbit forms a relatively small angle (approx. 5°) with the ecliptic, bright bodies in the vicinity of the ecliptic are most suitable (sun, planets, selected stars).

The stars generally recommended for the lunar distance method are Aldebaran, Altair, Antares, Fomalhaut, Hamal, Markab, Pollux, Regulus, and Spica, but other stars close to the ecliptic may be used as well, e. g., Nunki. The lunar distance tables of the *Nautical Almanac* contained only D values for those bodies having a favorable position with respect to the moon on the day of observation.

# Chapter 8

## Rise, Set, Twilight

### General Conditions for Visibility

For the planning of observations, it is useful to know the times during which a certain body is above the horizon as well as the times of sunrise, sunset, and twilight.


A body can be always above the horizon, always below the horizon, or above the horizon during a part of the day, depending on the observer's latitude and the declination of the body.

A body is **circumpolar** (always above the celestial horizon) when the zenith distance is smaller than  $90^\circ$  at the moment of **lower** meridian passage, i. e., when the body is on the lower branch of the local meridian (*Fig 8-1a*). This is the case if

$$|Lat + Dec| > 90^\circ$$

A body is continually below the celestial horizon when the zenith distance is greater than  $90^\circ$  at the instant of **upper** meridian passage (*Fig 8-1b*). This is the case if

$$|Lat - Dec| > 90^\circ$$



A celestial body being on the same hemisphere as the observer is either sometimes above the horizon or circumpolar. A body being on the opposite hemisphere is either sometimes above the horizon or permanently invisible, but never circumpolar.

The sun provides a good example of how the visibility of a body is affected by latitude and declination. At the time of the summer solstice ( $Dec = +23.5^\circ$ ), the sun is circumpolar to an observer being north of the **arctic circle** ( $Lat > +66.5^\circ$ ). At the same time, the sun remains below the celestial horizon all day if the observer is south of the **antarctic circle** ( $Lat < -66.5^\circ$ ). At the times of the equinoxes ( $Dec = 0^\circ$ ), the sun is circumpolar only at the poles. At the time of the winter solstice ( $Dec = -23.5^\circ$ ), the sun is circumpolar south of the antarctic circle and invisible north of the arctic circle. If the observer is between the arctic and the antarctic circle, the sun is visible during a part of the day all year round.

### Rise and Set

The events of rise and set can be used to determine latitude, longitude, or time. One should not expect very accurate results, however, since atmospheric refraction may be erratic if the body is on or near the horizon.

The geometric rise or set of a body occurs when the center of the body passes through the celestial horizon ( $H = 0^\circ$ ). Due to the influence of atmospheric refraction, all bodies except the moon appear above the visible and sensible horizon at this instant. The moon is not visible at the moment of her geometric rise or set since the depressing effect of the horizontal parallax ( $\sim 1^\circ$ ) is greater than the elevating effect of atmospheric refraction.

The approximate apparent altitudes (referring to the sensible horizon) at the moment of the astronomical rise or set are:

Sun (lower limb):      15'

Stars:                    29'

Planets:                29' – HP

When measuring these altitudes with reference to the sea horizon, we have to add the dip of horizon (chapter 2) to the above values. For example, the altitude of the lower limb of the rising or setting sun is approx. 20' if the height of eye is 8m.

We begin with the well-known altitude formula (chapter 4).

$$\sin H = \sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t = 0$$

$$\cos t = - \frac{\sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec}$$

Solving the equation for the meridian angle,  $t$ , we get :

$$t = \arccos (-\tan Lat \cdot \tan Dec)$$

The equation has no solution if the argument of the inverse cosine is smaller than  $-1$  or greater than  $1$ . In the first case, the body is **circumpolar**, in the latter case, the body remains continuously below the horizon. Otherwise, the  $\arccos$  function returns values in the range from  $0^\circ$  through  $180^\circ$ .

Due to the ambiguity of the  $\arccos$  function, the equation has two solutions, one for rise and one for set. For the calculations below, we have to observe the following rules:

If the body is **rising** (body eastward from the observer),  $t$  is treated as a **negative** quantity.

If the body is **setting** (body westward from the observer),  $t$  is treated as a **positive** quantity.

If we know our latitude and the time of rise or set, we can calculate our longitude:

$$Lon = -GHA \pm t$$

GHA is the Greenwich hour angle of the body at the moment of rise or set. The sign of  $t$  has to be observed carefully (see above). If the resulting longitude is smaller than  $-180^\circ$ , we add  $360^\circ$ .

Knowing our position, we can calculate the times of sunrise and sunset:

$$UT_{Sunrise, Sunset} = 12 - EoT - \frac{Lon[\circ]}{15} \pm \frac{t[\circ]}{15}$$

The times of sunrise and sunset obtained with the above formula are not quite accurate since Dec and EoT are variable. Since we do not know the exact time of rise or set at the beginning, we have to use estimated values for Dec and EoT initially. The time of rise or set can be improved by iteration (repeating the calculations with Dec and EoT at the calculated time of rise or set). Further, the times thus calculated are influenced by the irregularities of atmospheric refraction near the horizon. Therefore, a time error of  $\pm 2$  minutes is not unusual.

Accordingly, we can calculate our longitude from the time of sunrise or sunset if we know our latitude:

$$Lon[\circ] = 15 \cdot (12 - GMT_{Sunrise, Sunset} - EoT) \pm t[\circ]$$

Again, this is not a very precise method, and an error of several arcminutes in longitude is not unlikely. Knowing our longitude, we are able to determine our approximate latitude from the time of sunrise or sunset:

$$t [^\circ] = \text{Lon} [^\circ] - 15 \cdot (12 - \text{GMT}_{\text{Sunrise, Sunset}} - \text{EoT})$$

$$\text{Lat} = \arctan \left( -\frac{\cos t}{\tan \text{Dec}} \right)$$

**In navigation, rise and set are defined as the moments when the upper limb of a body is on the visible horizon.** These events can be observed without a sextant. Now, we have to take into account the effects of refraction, horizontal parallax, dip, and semidiameter. These quantities determine the altitude ( $\text{Ho}$ ) of a body with respect to the celestial horizon at the instant of the visible rise or set.

$$t = \arccos \frac{\sin \text{Ho} - \sin \text{Lat} \cdot \sin \text{Dec}}{\cos \text{Lat} \cdot \cos \text{Dec}}$$

$$\text{Ho} = \text{HP} - \text{SD} - R_H - \text{Dip}$$

By definition, the standard refraction for a body being on the sensible horizon,  $R_H$ , is  $34'$  (in reality it is subject to random variations).

When observing the upper limb of the sun, we get:

$$\text{Ho} = 0.15' - 16' - 34' - \text{Dip} \approx -50' - \text{Dip}$$

$\text{Ho}$  is negative. If we refer to the upper limb of the sun and the sensible horizon ( $\text{Dip}=0$ ), the meridian angle at the time of sunrise or sunset is:

$$t = \arccos \frac{-0.0145 - \sin \text{Lat} \cdot \sin \text{Dec}}{\cos \text{Lat} \cdot \cos \text{Dec}}$$

### Azimuth and Amplitude

The azimuth angle of a rising or setting body is calculated with the azimuth formula (see chapter 4):

$$\text{Az} = \arccos \frac{\sin \text{Dec} - \sin \text{H} \cdot \sin \text{Lat}}{\cos \text{H} \cdot \cos \text{Lat}}$$

With  $\text{H}=0$ , we get:

$$\text{Az} = \arccos \frac{\sin \text{Dec}}{\cos \text{Lat}}$$

$\text{Az}$  is  $+90^\circ$  (rise) and  $-90^\circ$  (set) if the declination of the body is zero, regardless of the observer's latitude. Accordingly, the sun rises in the east and sets in the west at the times of the equinoxes (geometric rise and set).

With  $\text{H}_{\text{center}} = -50'$  (upper limb of the sun on the sensible horizon), we have:

$$\text{Az} = \arccos \frac{\sin \text{Dec} + 0.0145 \cdot \sin \text{Lat}}{0.9999 \cdot \cos \text{Lat}}$$

The true azimuth of the rising or setting body is:

$$\text{Az}_N = \begin{cases} \text{Az} & \text{if } t < 0 \\ 360^\circ - \text{Az} & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

The azimuth of a body at the moment of rise or set can be used to find the magnetic declination at the observer's position (compare with chapter 13).

The horizontal angular distance of a rising or setting body from the east (rising) or west (setting) point on the horizon is called **amplitude** and can be calculated from the azimuth. An amplitude of E45°N, for instance, means that the body rises 45° north of the east point on the horizon.

## Twilight

At sea, twilight is important for the observation of stars and planets since it is the only time when these bodies **and** the horizon are visible. By definition, there are three kinds of twilight. The altitude, H, refers to the center of the sun and the celestial horizon and marks the beginning (morning) and the end (evening) of the respective twilight.

**Civil twilight:**       $H = -6^\circ$

**Nautical twilight:**       $H = -12^\circ$

**Astronomical twilight:**  $H = -18^\circ$

In general, an altitude of the sun between  $-3^\circ$  and  $-9^\circ$  is recommended for astronomical observations at sea (best visibility of brighter stars and sea horizon). However, exceptions to this rule are possible, depending on the actual weather conditions and the brightness of the observed body.

The meridian angle for the sun at  $-6^\circ$  altitude (center) is:

$$t = \arccos \frac{-0.10453 - \sin Lat \cdot \sin Dec}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec}$$

Using this formula, we can find the approximate time for our observations (in analogy to sunrise and sunset). As mentioned above, the simultaneous observation of stars **and** the horizon is possible during a limited time interval only.

To calculate the length of this interval,  $\Delta T$ , we use the altitude formula and differentiate  $\sin H$  with respect to the meridian angle, t:

$$\frac{d(\sin H)}{dt} = -\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t$$

$$d(\sin H) = -\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t \cdot dt$$

Substituting  $\cos H \cdot dH$  for  $d(\sin H)$  and solving for  $dt$ , we get the change in the meridian angle,  $dt$ , as a function of a change in altitude,  $dH$ :

$$dt = -\frac{\cos H}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t} \cdot dH$$

With  $H = -6^\circ$  and  $dH \approx \Delta H = 6^\circ$  ( $H = -3^\circ \dots -9^\circ$ ), we get:

$$\Delta t [^\circ] \approx -\frac{5.97}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t}$$

Converting the change in the meridian angle to a time span (measured in minutes) and ignoring the sign, the equation is stated as:

$$\Delta T [m] \approx \frac{24}{\cos Lat \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \sin t}$$

The shortest possible time interval for our observations (Lat = 0, Dec = 0, t = 96°) lasts approx. 24 minutes. As the observer moves northward or southward from the equator, cos Lat and sin t decrease ( $t > 90^\circ$ ). Accordingly, the duration of twilight increases. When t is 0° or 180°,  $\Delta T$  is infinite.

This is confirmed by the well-known fact that the duration of twilight is shortest in equatorial regions and longest in polar regions.

We would obtain the same result when calculating t for H = -3° and H = -9°, respectively:

$$\Delta T[m] = 4 \cdot (t_{-9^\circ}[\text{°}] - t_{-3^\circ}[\text{°}])$$

The Nautical Almanac provides tabulated values for the times of sunrise, sunset, civil twilight and nautical twilight for latitudes between -60° and +72° (referring to an observer being at the Greenwich meridian). In addition, times of moonrise and moonset are given.

# Chapter 9


## Geodetic Aspects of Celestial Navigation

### The Ellipsoid

Celestial navigation is based upon the assumption that the earth is a sphere. Accordingly, calculations are based on the laws of spherical trigonometry. In reality, the shape of the earth rather resembles an **oblate spheroid (ellipsoid)** resulting from two forces, **gravitation** and **centrifugal force**, acting on the viscous body of the earth. While gravitation alone would force the earth to assume the shape of a sphere, the state of lowest potential energy, the centrifugal force caused by the earth's rotation contracts the earth along the axis of rotation (polar axis) and stretches it along the plane of the equator. The local vector sum of both forces is called **gravity**.

There are several reference ellipsoids in use to describe the shape of the earth, for example the **World Geodetic System** ellipsoid of 1984 (WGS 84). An important characteristic of the WGS 84 ellipsoid is that its center coincides with the mass center of the earth. There are special reference ellipsoids whose centers are not identical with the mass center. Off-center ellipsoids are constructed to obtain a better fit for a particular region. The following considerations refer to the WGS 84 ellipsoid which gives the best universal fit and is accurate enough for the purpose of navigation in most cases. Fig. 9-1 shows a meridional section of the ellipsoid.

Fig. 9-1



Earth data (WGS 84 ellipsoid) :

Equatorial radius	$r_e$	6378137.0 m
Polar radius	$r_p$	6356752.3142 m
Flattening	$f = (r_e - r_p) / r_e$	1/298.25722

Due to the flattening of the earth, we have to distinguish between **geodetic** and **geocentric** latitude of a given position. The geodetic latitude,  $\text{Lat}$ , is the angle between the local normal (perpendicular) to the surface of the reference ellipsoid and the line of intersection formed by the plane of the equator and the plane of the local meridian. The geocentric latitude,  $\text{Lat}'$ , is the angle formed by the local radius vector and said line of intersection. Geodetic and geocentric latitude are interrelated as follows:

$$\tan \text{Lat}' = (1-f)^2 \cdot \tan \text{Lat}$$

If the earth were a sphere ( $f = 0$ ), geodetic and geocentric latitude would be the same. With the spheroid, both quantities are equal only at the poles and on the equator. At all other places, the absolute value of the geocentric latitude is smaller than the absolute value of the geodetic latitude. Due to the rotational symmetry of the ellipsoid with respect to the polar axis, **geodetic and geocentric longitude are equal, provided the same reference meridian is used\***. Maps are usually based upon **geodetic coordinates** which are also referred to as **geographic coordinates** [1]\*\*. In this context it should be mentioned that the term "**geographic position**", applied to a celestial body, is misleading, since Greenwich hour angle and declination are **geocentric coordinates** (see chapter 3).

\* Actually, the WGS84 (GPS) reference meridian is located approx. 5 arcseconds east of the 1884 Greenwich meridian.

\*\*In other publications, e. g., [10], astronomical coordinates (see below) and geographic coordinates are considered as identical.

In the following, we will discuss the effects of the oblateness (flattening) of the earth on celestial navigation.

Any zenith distance (and corresponding altitude) measured by the navigator refers to the local **direction of gravity** (plumb line) which points to the **astronomical nadir** and thus defines the **astronomical zenith** which is exactly opposite to the nadir. Even the visible sea horizon is defined by the astronomical zenith since the plane tangent to the water surface at the observer's position is perpendicular to the local direction of gravity.

Under the assumption that the mass distribution inside the ellipsoid is in a hydrostatic equilibrium, the plumb line coincides with the local normal to the ellipsoid which passes through the **geodetic zenith**. Thus, astronomical and geodetic zenith are identical. Accordingly the **astronomical coordinates** of a terrestrial position (obtained by astronomical observations) are equal to the geodetic (geographic) coordinates in this hypothetical case. As demonstrated in *Fig. 9-1* for example, the altitude of the celestial north pole,  $P_N$ , with respect to the geoidal horizon equals the geodetic, not the geocentric latitude. A noon latitude, calculated from the (geocentric) declination and the zenith distance with respect to the astronomical zenith would lead to the same result.

The **geocentric zenith** is defined as the point where a straight line originating from the center of the earth and passing through the observer's position intersects the celestial sphere. The angle between this line and the local normal to the reference ellipsoid is called **angle of the vertical**,  $v$ . The angle of the vertical lies on the plane of the local meridian and is a function of the geodetic latitude. The following formula was proposed by *Smart* [9]:

$$v['] \approx 692.666 \cdot \sin(2 \cdot \text{Lat}) - 1.163 \cdot \sin(4 \cdot \text{Lat}) + 0.026 \cdot \sin(6 \cdot \text{Lat})$$

The coefficients of the above formula refer to the proportions of the WGS 84 ellipsoid.

The angle of the vertical at a given position equals the difference between geodetic and geocentric latitude (*Fig. 9-1*):

$$v = \text{Lat} - \text{Lat}'$$

The maximum value of  $v$ , occurring at  $45^\circ$  geodetic latitude, is approx.  $11.5'$ . Thus, the geocentric latitude of an observer being at  $45^\circ$  geodetic latitude is only  $44^\circ 48.5'$ .

The navigator, of course, wants to know if the oblateness of the earth causes significant errors due to the fact that calculations of celestial navigation are based on the laws of spherical trigonometry. According to the above values for polar radius and equatorial radius of the WGS 84 ellipsoid, the great circle distance of one arcminute is 1849 m at the poles and 1855 m at the equator. This small difference does not produce a significant error when plotting lines of position. It is therefore sufficient to use the adopted mean value (1 nautical mile = 1.852 km). However, when calculating the great circle distance (chapter 11) of two locations thousands of nautical miles apart, the error caused by the oblateness of the earth can increase to several nautical miles. If high precision is required, the formulas for geodetic distance should be used [2]. The shortest path between two points on the surface of an ellipsoid is called **geodesic line**. It is the equivalent to the arc of a great circle on the surface of a sphere.

## The Geoid

In reality, the earth is not in a state of hydrostatic equilibrium and is therefore not **exactly** an oblate ellipsoid. The shape of the earth is more accurately described by the **geoid**, an equipotential surface of gravity.

The geoid has local anomalies in the form of elevations and depressions caused by geographic features and a non-uniform mass distribution. Elevations occur at local accumulations of matter (mountains, ore deposits), depressions at local deficiencies of matter (large water bodies, valleys, caverns). The elevation or depression of each point of the geoid with respect to the reference ellipsoid is found by gravity measurement.


On the slope of an elevation or depression of the geoid, the direction of gravity (the normal to the geoid) does not coincide with the normal to the reference ellipsoid, i. e., the astronomical zenith differs from the geodetic zenith in such places. The small angle between the local direction of gravity and the local normal to the reference ellipsoid, i. e., the angular distance between astronomical and geodetic zenith, is called **deflection of the vertical**. The latter is composed of a **meridional (north-south) component** and a **zonal (west-east) component**.

The deflection of the vertical is usually negligible at sea. In the vicinity of mountain ranges, however, significant deflections of the vertical (up to approx. 1 arcminute) have been reported (chapter 2). Thus, an **astronomical position** may be measurably different from the geodetic (geographic) position. This is important to surveying and map-making. Therefore, local corrections for the meridional and zonal component may have to be applied to an astronomical position, depending on the required precision.

## The Parallax of the Moon

Due to the oblateness of the earth, the distance between geoidal and celestial horizon is not constant but can assume any value between  $r_p$  and  $r_e$ , depending on the observer's latitude. This has a measurable effect on the parallax in altitude of the moon since tabulated values for HP refer to the equatorial radius,  $r_e$ . The apparent position of the moon is further affected by the fact that usually the local direction of gravity does not pass through the center of the ellipsoid. This displacement of the plumb line from the earth's center causes a small (usually negligible) parallax in azimuth unless the moon is on the local meridian. In the following, we will calculate the effects of the oblateness of the earth on the parallax of the moon with the exact formulas of spherical astronomy [9]. The effect of the oblateness of the earth on the apparent position of other bodies is negligible.

*Fig. 9-2* shows a projection of the astronomical zenith,  $Z_a$ , the geocentric zenith,  $Z_c$ , and the geographic position of the moon,  $M$ , on the **celestial sphere**, an imaginary hollow sphere of infinite diameter with the earth at its center.



The geocentric zenith,  $Z_c$ , is the point where a straight line from the earth's center through the observer's position intersects the celestial sphere. The astronomical zenith,  $Z_a$ , is the point at which the plumb line going through the observer's position intersects the celestial sphere.  $Z_a$  and  $Z_c$  are on the same celestial meridian.  $M$  is the projected geocentric position of the moon defined by Greenwich hour angle and declination.

$M'$  is the point where a straight line from the observer through the moon's center intersects the celestial sphere.  $Z_c$ ,  $M$ , and  $M'$  are on a great circle. The zenith distance measured by the observer is  $z_a'$  because the astronomical zenith is the only reference available. The quantity we want to know is  $z_a$ , the astronomical zenith distance corrected for parallax in altitude. This is the angular distance of the moon from the astronomical zenith, measured by a fictitious observer at the earth's center.

The known quantities are  $v$ ,  $A_a'$ , and  $z_a'$ . In contrast to the astronomer, the navigator is usually not able to measure  $A_a'$  precisely. For navigational purposes, the calculated azimuth (see chapter 4) may be substituted for  $A_a'$ .

We have three spherical triangles,  $Z_a Z_c M'$ ,  $Z_a Z_c M$ , and  $Z_a M M'$ . First, we calculate  $z_c'$  from  $z_a'$ ,  $v$ , and  $A_a'$  using the **law of cosines for sides** (see chapter 10):

$$\cos z_c' = \cos z_a' \cdot \cos v + \sin z_a' \cdot \sin v \cdot \cos (180^\circ - A_a')$$

$$z_c' = \arccos (\cos z_a' \cdot \cos v - \sin z_a' \cdot \sin v \cdot \cos A_a')$$

To obtain  $z_c$ , we first have to calculate the relative ( $r_e = 1$ ) local radius,  $\rho$ , and the geocentric parallax,  $p_c$ :

$$\rho = \frac{r}{r_e} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - (2e^2 - e^4) \cdot \sin^2 Lat}{1 - e^2 \cdot \sin^2 Lat}} \quad e^2 = 1 - \frac{r_p^2}{r_e^2}$$

$$p_c = \arcsin(\rho \cdot \sin HP \cdot \sin z_c^l)$$

HP is the equatorial horizontal parallax. The geocentric zenith distance corrected for parallax is:

$$z_c = z_c^l - p_c$$

Using the cosine formula again, we calculate  $A_c$ , the azimuth angle of the moon with respect to the geocentric zenith:

$$A_c = \arccos \frac{\cos z_a^l - \cos z_c^l \cdot \cos v}{\sin z_c^l \cdot \sin v}$$

The astronomical zenith distance corrected for parallax is:

$$z_a = \arccos(\cos z_c \cdot \cos v + \sin z_c \cdot \sin v \cdot \cos A_c)$$

Thus, the **parallax in altitude** (astronomical) is:

$$PA = z_a^l - z_a$$

The small angle between M and M', measured at  $Z_a$ , is the **parallax in azimuth**,  $p_{az}$ :

$$p_{az} = \arccos \frac{\cos p_c - \cos z_a \cdot \cos z_a^l}{\sin z_a \cdot \sin z_a^l}$$

The parallax in azimuth does not exist when the moon is on the local meridian. It is further non-existent when the observer is at one of the poles or on the equator ( $v = 0$ ) but greatest when the observer is at medium latitudes. As a consequence of the parallax in azimuth, the horizontal direction of the moon observed from the surface of the ellipsoid is always a little closer to the elevated pole (the celestial pole above the horizon) than the horizontal direction observed from the center of the ellipsoid. The parallax in azimuth does not exceed  $\pm f \cdot HP$  when the moon is on the horizon but increases with increasing altitude. In most cases, particularly at sea, the navigator will not notice the influence of the flattening of the earth. Traditionally, the apparent altitude of a body is reduced to the geocentric altitude through the established altitude correction procedure (including the correction for parallax in altitude). The intercept method (chapter 4) compares the observed altitude thus obtained with the geocentric altitude calculated from the assumed geodetic (geographic) coordinates of the observer and the geocentric equatorial coordinates (chapter 3) of the observed body. The difference between observed and calculated altitude is the intercept. The calculated azimuth is geocentric. A correction for the parallax in azimuth (see above) is usually omitted since such a degree of precision can not be reproduced when plotting position lines on a nautical chart. On land, however, more accurate altitude measurement is possible, and the navigator or surveyor may wish to use refined methods for the calculation of his position when observing the moon.

### Medium-precision method

During the course of altitude corrections, we calculate the parallax in altitude,  $P$ , with the formulas for spherical bodies (chapter 2). After doing this, we calculate the approximate correction for the flattening of the earth,  $\Delta P$ :

$$\Delta P \approx f \cdot HP \cdot [\sin(2 \cdot Lat) \cdot \cos Az_N \cdot \sin H - \sin^2 Lat \cdot \cos H]$$

Adding  $\Delta P$  to  $P$ , we get the improved parallax in altitude which we use for our further calculations instead of  $P$ :

$$P_{improved} = P + \Delta P$$

As a result, we obtain a more accurate intercept (chapter 4). The above correction formula is accurate to a fraction of a second of arc.

The approximate parallax in azimuth is obtained through a simple formula:

$$\Delta Az_N \approx f \cdot HP \cdot \frac{\sin(2 \cdot Lat) \cdot \sin Az_{N,geocentric}}{\cos H_{geocentric}}$$

The topocentric true azimuth is

$$Az_{N,topocentric} = Az_{N,geocentric} - \Delta Az_N$$

The formula for the parallax in azimuth is also accurate to a fraction of an arcsecond. It becomes less accurate as the altitude approaches 90°. Observing bodies with such altitudes, however, is difficult and usually avoided.

### Rigorous method\*

For even more accurate results, we use the **topocentric equatorial coordinates** of the observed body for sight reduction. Instead of the center of the earth, the observer's position is the origin of this coordinate system. The plane of the topocentric equator is parallel to the geocentric equator. The plane of the local meridian remains the same. The values for altitude and true azimuth calculated from the topocentric coordinates of the observed body are topocentric as well. There is neither a parallax in altitude nor a parallax in azimuth, so we have to skip the parallax correction and have to correct for the topocentric (augmented) semidiameter of the body when performing the altitude corrections.

The topocentric equatorial coordinates of a celestial body are obtained from the geocentric ones through coordinate transformation. The given quantities are:

Geographic latitude of the observer	Lat
Geocentric meridian angle	t
Geocentric declination	Dec
Equatorial horizontal parallax	HP
Polar radius of the earth	r <sub>p</sub>
Equatorial radius of the earth	r <sub>e</sub>

To be calculated:

Topocentric meridian angle	t'
Topocentric declination	Dec'

First, we calculate a number of auxiliary quantities:

Eccentricity of the ellipsoid, distance between center and focal point of a meridional section ( $r_e = 1$ ):

$$e = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r_p}{r_e}\right)^2}$$

Local radius ( $r_e = 1$ ):

$$\rho = \frac{r_p}{r_e} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2 \cdot \cos^2 Lat'}}$$

\*The formulas are rigorous for an observer on the surface of a reference ellipsoid the center of which coincides with the mass center of the earth.

Geocentric latitude of the observer:

$$Lat' = \arctan \left[ \left( \frac{r_p}{r_e} \right)^2 \cdot \tan Lat \right] = \arctan [ (1-f)^2 \cdot \tan Lat ]$$

The topocentric coordinates of the body, t' and Dec', are calculated as follows. Δt is the parallax in hour angle:

$$\Delta t = \arctan \frac{\rho \cdot \cos Lat' \cdot \sin HP \cdot \sin t}{\cos Dec - \rho \cdot \cos Lat' \cdot \sin HP \cdot \cos t}$$

$$t' = t + \Delta t$$

$$Dec' = \arctan \frac{(\sin Dec - \rho \cdot \sin Lat' \cdot \sin HP) \cdot \cos \Delta t}{\cos Dec - \rho \cdot \cos Lat' \cdot \sin HP \cdot \cos t}$$

# Chapter 10


## Spherical Trigonometry

The earth is usually regarded as a sphere in celestial navigation although an oblate spheroid would be a better approximation. Otherwise, navigational calculations would become too difficult for practical use. The position error introduced by the spherical earth model is usually very small and stays within the "statistical noise" caused by other omnipresent errors like, e.g., abnormal refraction, rounding errors, etc. Although it is possible to perform navigational calculations solely with the aid of tables (H.O. 229, H.O. 211, etc.) and with little mathematics, the principles of celestial navigation can not be comprehended without knowing the elements of spherical trigonometry.

### The Oblique Spherical Triangle

Like any triangle, a spherical triangle is characterized by three sides and three angles. However, a spherical triangle is part of the surface of a sphere, and the sides are not straight lines but arcs of great circles (Fig. 10-1).

Fig. 10-1



A **great circle** is a circle on the surface of a sphere whose plane passes through the center of the sphere (see chapter 3).

Any side of a spherical triangle can be regarded as an angle - the angular distance between the adjacent vertices, measured at the center of the sphere. The interrelations between angles and sides of a spherical triangle are described by the **law of sines**, the **law of cosines for sides**, the **law of cosines for angles**, the **law of sines and cosines**, the **law of cotangents**, **Napier's analogies**, and **Gauss' formulas** (apart from other formulas).

#### Law of sines:

$$\frac{\sin A_1}{\sin s_1} = \frac{\sin A_2}{\sin s_2} = \frac{\sin A_3}{\sin s_3}$$

#### Law of cosines for sides:

$$\begin{aligned}\cos s_1 &= \cos s_2 \cdot \cos s_3 + \sin s_2 \cdot \sin s_3 \cdot \cos A_1 \\ \cos s_2 &= \cos s_1 \cdot \cos s_3 + \sin s_1 \cdot \sin s_3 \cdot \cos A_2 \\ \cos s_3 &= \cos s_1 \cdot \cos s_2 + \sin s_1 \cdot \sin s_2 \cdot \cos A_3\end{aligned}$$

#### Law of cosines for angles:

$$\begin{aligned}\cos A_1 &= -\cos A_2 \cdot \cos A_3 + \sin A_2 \cdot \sin A_3 \cdot \cos s_1 \\ \cos A_2 &= -\cos A_1 \cdot \cos A_3 + \sin A_1 \cdot \sin A_3 \cdot \cos s_2 \\ \cos A_3 &= -\cos A_1 \cdot \cos A_2 + \sin A_1 \cdot \sin A_2 \cdot \cos s_3\end{aligned}$$

**Law of sines and cosines:**

$$\begin{aligned}\sin s_1 \cdot \cos A_2 &= \cos s_2 \cdot \sin s_3 - \sin s_2 \cdot \cos s_3 \cdot \cos A_1 \\ \sin s_2 \cdot \cos A_3 &= \cos s_3 \cdot \sin s_1 - \sin s_3 \cdot \cos s_1 \cdot \cos A_2 \\ \sin s_3 \cdot \cos A_1 &= \cos s_1 \cdot \sin s_2 - \sin s_1 \cdot \cos s_2 \cdot \cos A_3 \\ \sin s_1 \cdot \cos A_3 &= \cos s_3 \cdot \sin s_2 - \sin s_3 \cdot \cos s_2 \cdot \cos A_1 \\ \sin s_2 \cdot \cos A_1 &= \cos s_1 \cdot \sin s_3 - \sin s_1 \cdot \cos s_3 \cdot \cos A_2 \\ \sin s_3 \cdot \cos A_2 &= \cos s_2 \cdot \sin s_1 - \sin s_2 \cdot \cos s_1 \cdot \cos A_3\end{aligned}$$

**Law of cotangents:**

$$\begin{aligned}\sin A_1 \cdot \cot A_2 &= \cot s_2 \cdot \sin s_3 - \cos s_3 \cdot \cos A_1 \\ \sin A_1 \cdot \cot A_3 &= \cot s_3 \cdot \sin s_2 - \cos s_2 \cdot \cos A_1 \\ \sin A_2 \cdot \cot A_3 &= \cot s_3 \cdot \sin s_1 - \cos s_1 \cdot \cos A_2 \\ \sin A_2 \cdot \cot A_1 &= \cot s_1 \cdot \sin s_3 - \cos s_3 \cdot \cos A_2 \\ \sin A_3 \cdot \cot A_1 &= \cot s_1 \cdot \sin s_2 - \cos s_2 \cdot \cos A_3 \\ \sin A_3 \cdot \cot A_2 &= \cot s_2 \cdot \sin s_1 - \cos s_1 \cdot \cos A_3\end{aligned}$$

**Napier's analogies:**

$$\begin{array}{ll}\tan \frac{A_1 + A_2}{2} \cdot \tan \frac{A_3}{2} = \frac{\cos \frac{s_1 - s_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}} & \tan \frac{A_1 - A_2}{2} \cdot \tan \frac{A_3}{2} = \frac{\sin \frac{s_1 - s_2}{2}}{\sin \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}} \\ \frac{\tan \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}}{\tan \frac{s_3}{2}} = \frac{\cos \frac{A_1 - A_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{A_1 + A_2}{2}} & \frac{\tan \frac{s_1 - s_2}{2}}{\tan \frac{s_3}{2}} = \frac{\sin \frac{A_1 - A_2}{2}}{\sin \frac{A_1 + A_2}{2}}\end{array}$$

**Gauss' formulas:**

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\sin \frac{A_1 + A_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{A_3}{2}} = \frac{\cos \frac{s_1 - s_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{s_3}{2}} & \frac{\cos \frac{A_1 + A_2}{2}}{\sin \frac{A_3}{2}} = \frac{\cos \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{s_3}{2}} \\ \frac{\sin \frac{A_1 - A_2}{2}}{\cos \frac{A_3}{2}} = \frac{\sin \frac{s_1 - s_2}{2}}{\sin \frac{s_3}{2}} & \frac{\cos \frac{A_1 - A_2}{2}}{\sin \frac{A_3}{2}} = \frac{\sin \frac{s_1 + s_2}{2}}{\sin \frac{s_3}{2}}\end{array}$$

These formulas and others derived thereof enable any quantity (angle or side) of a spherical triangle to be calculated if three other quantities are known.

**Particularly the law of cosines for sides is of interest to the navigator.**

## The Right Spherical Triangle

Solving a spherical triangle is less complicated when it contains a right angle (Fig. 10-2). Using **Napier's rules of circular parts**, any quantity can be calculated if only two other quantities (apart from the right angle) are known.




Fig. 10-2

We arrange the sides forming the right angle ( $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ) and the **complements** of the remaining angles ( $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ) and opposite side ( $s_3$ ) in the form of a circular diagram consisting of five sectors, called "parts" (in the same order as they appear in the triangle). The right angle itself is omitted (Fig. 10-3):




Fig. 10-3

According to *Napier's* rules, the sine of any part of the diagram equals the product of the tangents of the adjacent parts and the product of the cosines of the opposite parts:

$$\begin{aligned}\sin s_1 &= \tan s_2 \cdot \tan(90^\circ - A_2) = \cos(90^\circ - A_1) \cdot \cos(90^\circ - s_3) \\ \sin s_2 &= \tan(90^\circ - A_1) \cdot \tan s_1 = \cos(90^\circ - s_3) \cdot \cos(90^\circ - A_2) \\ \sin(90^\circ - A_1) &= \tan(90^\circ - s_3) \cdot \tan s_2 = \cos(90^\circ - A_2) \cdot \cos s_1 \\ \sin(90^\circ - s_3) &= \tan(90^\circ - A_2) \cdot \tan(90^\circ - A_1) = \cos s_1 \cdot \cos s_2 \\ \sin(90^\circ - A_2) &= \tan s_1 \cdot \tan(90^\circ - s_3) = \cos s_2 \cdot \cos(90^\circ - A_1)\end{aligned}$$

In a simpler form, these equations are stated as:


$$\begin{aligned}\sin s_1 &= \tan s_2 \cdot \cot A_2 = \sin A_1 \cdot \sin s_3 \\ \sin s_2 &= \cot A_1 \cdot \tan s_1 = \sin s_3 \cdot \sin A_2 \\ \cos A_1 &= \cot s_3 \cdot \tan s_2 = \sin A_2 \cdot \cos s_1 \\ \cos s_3 &= \cot A_2 \cdot \cot A_1 = \cos s_1 \cdot \cos s_2 \\ \cos A_2 &= \tan s_1 \cdot \cot s_3 = \cos s_2 \cdot \sin A_1\end{aligned}$$

There are several applications for the right spherical triangle in navigation, for example **Ageton's sight reduction tables** (chapter 11) and **great circle navigation** (chapter 13).

# Chapter 11

## The Navigational Triangle

The **navigational (nautical) triangle** is the (usually) oblique spherical triangle formed by the north pole,  $P_N$ , the observer's assumed position, AP, and the geographic position of the celestial object, GP (Fig. 11-1). All common sight reduction procedures are based upon the navigational triangle.



When using the intercept method, the latitude of the assumed position,  $\text{Lat}_{AP}$ , the declination of the observed celestial body,  $\text{Dec}$ , and the meridian angle,  $t$ , or the local hour angle, LHA, (calculated from the longitude of AP and the GHA of the object), are the known quantities.

The first step is calculating the side  $z$  of the navigational triangle by using the law of cosines for sides:

$$\cos z = \cos(90^\circ - \text{Lat}_{AP}) \cdot \cos(90^\circ - \text{Dec}) + \sin(90^\circ - \text{Lat}_{AP}) \cdot \sin(90^\circ - \text{Dec}) \cdot \cos t$$

Since  $\cos(90^\circ - x)$  equals  $\sin x$  and vice versa, the equation can be written in a simpler form:

$$\cos z = \sin \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \sin \text{Dec} + \cos \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \cos \text{Dec} \cdot \cos t$$

The side  $z$  is not only the **great circle distance** between AP and GP but also the **zenith distance** of the celestial object and the radius of the **circle of equal altitude** (see chapter 1).

Substituting the altitude  $H$  for  $z$ , we get:

$$\sin H = \sin \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \sin \text{Dec} + \cos \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \cos \text{Dec} \cdot \cos t$$

Solving the equation for  $H$  leads to the altitude formula known from chapter 4:

$$H = \arcsin(\sin \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \sin \text{Dec} + \cos \text{Lat}_{AP} \cdot \cos \text{Dec} \cdot \cos t)$$

The altitude thus obtained for a given position is called **computed altitude,  $H_c$** .

The azimuth angle of the observed body is also calculated by means of the law of cosines for sides:

$$\cos(90^\circ - Dec) = \cos(90^\circ - Lat_{AP}) \cdot \cos z + \sin(90^\circ - Lat_{AP}) \cdot \sin z \cdot \cos Az$$

$$\sin Dec = \sin Lat_{AP} \cdot \cos z + \cos Lat_{AP} \cdot \sin z \cdot \cos Az$$

Using the computed altitude instead of the zenith distance results in the following equation:

$$\sin Dec = \sin Lat_{AP} \cdot \sin Hc + \cos Lat_{AP} \cdot \cos Hc \cdot \cos Az$$

Solving the equation for Az finally yields the azimuth formula from chapter 4:

$$Az = \arccos \frac{\sin Dec - \sin Lat_{AP} \cdot \sin Hc}{\cos Lat_{AP} \cdot \cos Hc}$$

The arccos function returns angles between  $0^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ . Therefore, the resulting azimuth angle is not necessarily identical with the true azimuth,  $Az_N$  ( $0^\circ \dots 360^\circ$ , measured clockwise from true north) commonly used in navigation. In all cases where  $t$  is negative (GP east of AP),  $Az_N$  equals  $Az$ . Otherwise ( $t$  positive, GP westward from AP as shown in Fig. 11-1),  $Az_N$  is obtained by subtracting  $Az$  from  $360^\circ$ .

When the meridian angle,  $t$ , (or the local hour angle, LHA) is the quantity to be calculated (time sight, *Sumner's* method),  $Dec$ ,  $Lat_{AP}$  (the assumed latitude), and  $z$  (or  $H$ ) are the known quantities.

Again, the law of cosines for sides is applied:

$$\cos z = \cos(90^\circ - Lat_{AP}) \cdot \cos(90^\circ - Dec) + \sin(90^\circ - Lat_{AP}) \cdot \sin(90^\circ - Dec) \cdot \cos t$$

$$\sin H = \sin Lat_{AP} \cdot \sin Dec + \cos Lat_{AP} \cdot \cos Dec \cdot \cos t$$

$$\cos t = \frac{\sin H - \sin Lat_{AP} \cdot \sin Dec}{\cos Lat_{AP} \cdot \cos Dec}$$


$$t = \arccos \frac{\sin H - \sin Lat_{AP} \cdot \sin Dec}{\cos Lat_{AP} \cdot \cos Dec}$$

The obtained meridian angle,  $t$  (or LHA), is then used as described in chapter 4 and chapter 5.

When observing a celestial body at the time of meridian passage (e. g., for determining one's latitude), the local hour angle is zero, and the navigational triangle becomes infinitesimally narrow. In this case, the formulas of spherical trigonometry are not needed, and the sides of the spherical triangle can be calculated by simple addition or subtraction.


### The Divided Navigational Triangle

An alternative method for solving the navigational triangle is based upon two right spherical triangles obtained by constructing a great circle passing through GP and intersecting the local meridian perpendicularly at X (Fig. 11-2).



The first right triangle is formed by  $P_N$ ,  $X$ , and  $GP$ , the second one by  $GP$ ,  $X$ , and  $AP$ . The **auxiliary parts**  $R$  and  $K$  are intermediate quantities used to calculate  $z$  (or  $H_c$ ) and  $Az$ .  $K$  is the geographic latitude of  $X$ . Both triangles are solved using **Napier's rules of circular parts** (see chapter 9). Fig. 11-3 illustrates the corresponding circular diagrams:

Fig. 11-3



According to Napier's rules,  $H_c$  and  $Az$  are calculated by means of the following formulas:

$$\sin R = \sin t \cdot \cos Dec \quad \Rightarrow \quad R = \arcsin(\sin t \cdot \cos Dec)$$

$$\sin Dec = \cos R \cdot \sin K \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sin K = \frac{\sin Dec}{\cos R} \quad \Rightarrow \quad K = \arcsin \frac{\sin Dec}{\cos R}$$

Substitute  $180^\circ - K$  for  $K$  in the following equation if  $|t| > 90^\circ$  (or  $90^\circ < LHA < 270^\circ$ ).

$$\sin H_c = \cos R \cdot \cos(K - Lat_{AP}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_c = \arcsin[\cos R \cdot \cos(K - Lat_{AP})]$$

$$\sin R = \cos H_c \cdot \sin Az \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sin Az = \frac{\sin R}{\cos H_c} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Az = \arcsin \frac{\sin R}{\cos H_c}$$

For further calculations, substitute  $180^\circ - Az$  for  $Az$  if  $K$  and  $Lat$  have opposite signs or if  $|K| < |Lat|$ .

To obtain the true azimuth,  $Az_N$  ( $0^\circ \dots 360^\circ$ ), the following rules have to be applied:

$$Az_N = \begin{cases} -Az & \text{if } Lat_{AP} > 0 (N) \text{ AND } t < 0 (180^\circ < LHA < 360^\circ) \\ 360^\circ - Az & \text{if } Lat_{AP} > 0 (N) \text{ AND } t > 0 (0^\circ < LHA < 180^\circ) \\ 180^\circ + Az & \text{if } Lat_{AP} < 0 (S) \end{cases}$$

The divided navigational triangle is of considerable importance since it forms the theoretical background for a number of **sight reduction tables**, e.g., the Ageton Tables (see below). It is also used for **great circle navigation** (chapter 12).

Using the secant and cosecant function ( $\sec x = 1/\cos x$ ,  $\csc x = 1/\sin x$ ), we can write the equations for the divided navigational triangle in the following form:

$$\csc R = \csc t \cdot \sec Dec$$

$$\csc K = \frac{\csc Dec}{\sec R}$$

Substitute  $180^\circ - K$  for  $K$  in the following equation if  $|t| > 90^\circ$ :

$$\csc Hc = \sec R \cdot \sec(K - Lat)$$

$$\csc Az = \frac{\csc R}{\sec Hc}$$

Substitute  $180^\circ - Az$  for  $Az$  if  $K$  and  $Lat$  have opposite signs or if  $|K| < |Lat|$ .

In logarithmic form, these equations are stated as:

$$\log \csc R = \log \csc t + \log \sec Dec$$

$$\log \csc K = \log \csc Dec - \log \sec R$$

$$\log \csc Hc = \log \sec R + \log \sec(K - Lat)$$

$$\log \csc Az = \log \csc R - \log \sec Hc$$

With the logarithms of the secants and cosecants of angles arranged in the form of a suitable table, we can solve a sight by a sequence of simple additions and subtractions. Apart from the table itself, the only tools required are a sheet of paper and a pencil.

The Ageton Tables (H.O. 211), first published in 1931, are based upon the above formulas and provide a very efficient arrangement of angles and their log secants and log cosecants on 36 pages. Since all calculations are based on absolute values, certain rules included in the instructions have to be observed.

Sight reduction tables were developed many years before electronic calculators became available in order to simplify calculations necessary to reduce a sight. Still today, sight reduction tables are preferred by people who do not want to deal with the formulas of spherical trigonometry. Moreover, they provide a valuable backup method if electronic devices fail.

Two modified versions of the Ageton Tables are available at: <http://www.celnav.de/page3.htm>


# Chapter 12

## General Formulas for Navigation

Although the following formulas are not part of celestial navigation, they are indispensable because they are necessary to calculate distance and direction (course) from the **point of departure**, A, to the **point of arrival**, B, as well as to calculate the position of B from the position of A if course and distance are known. The **true course**, C, is the angle made by the vector of motion and the local meridian. It is measured from true north (clockwise through 360°). Knowing the coordinates of A,  $\text{Lat}_A$  and  $\text{Lon}_A$ , and the coordinates of B,  $\text{Lat}_B$  and  $\text{Lon}_B$ , the navigator has the principal choice between **rhumb line navigation** (simple procedure but longer distance) and **great circle navigation** (shortest possible distance on a sphere). Combinations of both methods are possible.

### Rhumb Line Navigation

A **rhumb line**, also called **loxodrome**, is a line on the surface of the earth intersecting all meridians at a constant angle, C. Thus, a rhumb line is represented by a straight line on a Mercator chart (see chapter 13) which makes **voyage planning** quite simple. On a globe, a rhumb line forms a spherical spiral extending from pole to pole unless it is identical with a meridian ( $C = 0^\circ$  or  $180^\circ$ ) or a parallel of latitude ( $C = 90^\circ$  or  $270^\circ$ ). A vessel steering a constant course travels along a rhumb line, provided there is no drift. Rhumb line course, C, and distance, d, are calculated as shown below. First, we imagine traveling the infinitesimal distance  $dx$  from the point of departure, A, to the point of arrival, B (Fig. 12-1):



The distance,  $dx$ , is the vector sum of a north-south component,  $d\text{Lat}$ , and a west-east component,  $d\text{Lon} \cdot \cos \text{Lat}$ . The factor  $\cos \text{Lat}$  is the relative circumference of the respective parallel of latitude (equator = 1):

$$\tan C = \frac{d \text{Lon} \cdot \cos \text{Lat}}{d \text{Lat}}$$

$$\frac{d \text{Lat}}{\cos \text{Lat}} = \frac{1}{\tan C} \cdot d \text{Lon}$$

If the distance between A (defined by  $\text{Lat}_A$  and  $\text{Lon}_A$ ) and B (defined by  $\text{Lat}_B$  and  $\text{Lon}_B$ ) is a measurable quantity, we have to integrate:

$$\int_{\text{Lat}_A}^{\text{Lat}_B} \frac{d \text{Lat}}{\cos \text{Lat}} = \frac{1}{\tan C} \cdot \int_{\text{Lon}_A}^{\text{Lon}_B} d \text{Lon}$$

$$\ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\text{Lat}_B}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right] - \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\text{Lat}_A}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right] = \frac{\text{Lon}_B - \text{Lon}_A}{\tan C}$$

$$\tan C = \frac{\tan\left(\frac{Lat_B}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{\tan\left(\frac{Lat_A}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}$$

Measuring angles in degrees and solving for C, we get:

$$C = \arctan \frac{\frac{Lon_B - Lon_A}{\tan\left(\frac{Lat_B}{2} + 45^\circ\right)}}{\ln \frac{\tan\left(\frac{Lat_A}{2} + 45^\circ\right)}{\tan\left(\frac{Lat_B}{2} + 45^\circ\right)}}$$

**The term  $Lon_B - Lon_A$  has to be in the range between  $-180^\circ$  and  $+180^\circ$ . If it is outside this range, we have to add or subtract  $360^\circ$  before entering the rhumb line course formula.**

**The arctan function returns values between  $-90^\circ$  and  $+90^\circ$ . To obtain the true course ( $0^\circ \dots 360^\circ$ ), we apply the following rules:**

$$C \rightarrow \begin{cases} C & \text{if } Lat_B > Lat_A \text{ AND } Lon_B > Lon_A \\ 360^\circ + C & \text{if } Lat_B > Lat_A \text{ AND } Lon_B < Lon_A \\ 180^\circ + C & \text{if } Lat_B < Lat_A \end{cases}$$

To find the total length of the rhumb line track, we calculate the infinitesimal distance  $dx$ :

$$dx = \frac{d \, Lat}{\cos C}$$

The total length  $d$  is found through integration:

$$d = \frac{1}{\cos C} \cdot \int_{Lat_A}^{Lat_B} d \, Lat = \frac{Lat_B - Lat_A}{\cos C}$$

Finally, we get:

$$d [km] = \frac{40031.6}{360} \cdot \frac{Lat_B - Lat_A}{\cos C} \quad d [nm] = 60 \cdot \frac{Lat_B - Lat_A}{\cos C}$$

If both positions have the same latitude, the distance can **not** be calculated using the above formulas. In this case, the following formulas apply (C is either  $90^\circ$  or  $270^\circ$ ):

$$d [km] = \frac{40031.6}{360} \cdot (Lon_B - Lon_A) \cdot \cos Lat \quad d [nm] = 60 \cdot (Lon_B - Lon_A) \cdot \cos Lat$$

## Great Circle Navigation

**Great circle** distance,  $d_{AB}$ , and course,  $C_A$ , are calculated on the analogy of zenith distance and azimuth. For this purpose, we consider the navigational triangle (see chapter 11) and substitute A for GP, B for AP,  $d_{AB}$  for z, and  $\Delta\text{Lon}_{AB}$  (difference of longitude) for LHA (*Fig. 12-2*):




Fig. 12-2

$$d_{AB} = \arccos (\sin Lat_A \cdot \sin Lat_B + \cos Lat_A \cdot \cos Lat_B \cdot \cos \Delta Lon_{AB})$$

$$\Delta Lon_{AB} = Lon_B - Lon_A$$

Northern latitude and eastern longitude are positive, southern latitude and western longitude negative. A great circle distance has the dimension of an angle. To measure it in distance units, we multiply it by 40031.6/360 (distance in km) or by 60 (distance in nm).

$$C_A = \arccos \frac{\sin Lat_B - \sin Lat_A \cdot \cos d_{AB}}{\cos Lat_A \cdot \sin d_{AB}}$$

If the term  $\sin (Lon_B - Lon_A)$  is negative, we replace  $C_A$  with  $360^\circ - C_A$  in order to obtain the true course ( $0^\circ \dots 360^\circ$  clockwise from true north).

In *Fig. 12-2*,  $C_A$  is the **initial great circle course**,  $C_B$  the **final great circle course**. Since the angle between the great circle and the respective local meridian varies as we progress along the great circle (unless the great circle coincides with the equator or a meridian), we can **not** steer a constant course as we would when following a rhumb line.

Theoretically, we have to adjust the course continually. This is possible with the aid of navigation computers and autopilots. If such means are not available, we have to calculate an updated course at certain intervals (see below).

Great circle navigation requires more careful voyage planning than rhumb line navigation. On a Mercator chart (see chapter 13), a great circle track appears as a line bent towards the equator. As a result, the navigator may need more information about the intended great circle track in order to verify if it leads through navigable areas.

With the exception of the equator, every great circle has two **vertices**, the points farthest from the equator. The vertices have the same absolute value of latitude (with opposite sign) but are  $180^\circ$  apart in longitude. At each **vertex** (also called **apex**), the great circle is tangent to a parallel of latitude, and  $C$  is either  $90^\circ$  or  $270^\circ$  ( $\cos C = 0$ ). Thus, we have a right spherical triangle formed by the north pole,  $P_N$ , the vertex, V, and the point of departure, A (*Fig. 12-3*):




Fig. 12-3

To derive the formulas needed for the following calculations, we use Napier's rules of circular parts (Fig. 12-4). The right angle is at the bottom of the circular diagram. The five parts are arranged clockwise.




Fig. 12-4

First, we need the latitude of the vertex,  $\text{Lat}_V$ :

$$\cos \text{Lat}_V = \sin C_A \cdot \cos \text{Lat}_A$$

Solving for  $\text{Lat}_V$ , we get:

$$\text{Lat}_V = \pm \arccos(|\sin C_A| \cdot \cos \text{Lat}_A)$$

The absolute value of  $\sin C_A$  is used to make sure that  $\text{Lat}_V$  does not exceed  $\pm 90^\circ$  (the  $\arccos$  function returns values between  $90^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$  for negative arguments). The equation has two solutions, according to the number of vertices. Only the vertex lying ahead of us is relevant to voyage planning. It is found using the following modified formula:

$$\text{Lat}_V = \text{sgn}(\cos C_A) \cdot \arccos(|\sin C_A| \cdot \cos \text{Lat}_A)$$

$\text{sgn}(x)$  is the **signum** function:

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ +1 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

If V is located between A and B (like shown in Fig. 12-3), our latitude passes through an extremum at the instant we reach V. This does not happen if B is between A and V.

Knowing  $\text{Lat}_V$ , we are able to calculate the longitude of V. Again, we apply Napier's rules:

$$\cos \Delta \text{Lon}_{AV} = \frac{\tan \text{Lat}_A}{\tan \text{Lat}_V} \quad \Delta \text{Lon}_{AV} = \Delta \text{Lon}_V - \Delta \text{Lon}_A$$

Solving for  $\Delta \text{Lon}_{AV}$ , we get:

$$\Delta \text{Lon}_{AV} = \arccos \frac{\tan \text{Lat}_A}{\tan \text{Lat}_V}$$

The longitude of V is

$$\text{Lon}_V = \text{Lon}_A + \text{sgn}(\sin C_A) \cdot \arccos \frac{\tan \text{Lat}_A}{\tan \text{Lat}_V}$$

(Add or subtract  $360^\circ$  if necessary.)

The term  $\text{sgn}(\sin C_A)$  in the above formula provides an automatic correction for the sign of  $\Delta \text{Lon}_{AV}$ .

Knowing the position of V (defined by  $\text{Lat}_V$  and  $\text{Lon}_V$ ), we are now able to calculate the position of any chosen point, X, on the intended great circle track (substituting X for A in the right spherical triangle). Using Napier's rules once more, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tan \text{Lat}_X &= \cos \Delta \text{Lon}_{XV} \cdot \tan \text{Lat}_V & \Delta \text{Lon}_{XV} &= \text{Lon}_V - \text{Lon}_X \\ \text{Lat}_X &= \arctan (\cos \Delta \text{Lon}_{XV} \cdot \tan \text{Lat}_V) \end{aligned}$$

Further, we can calculate the course at the point X:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos C_X &= \sin \Delta \text{Lon}_{XV} \cdot \sin \text{Lat}_V \\ C_X &= \begin{cases} \arccos (\sin \Delta \text{Lon}_{XV} \cdot \sin \text{Lat}_V) & \text{if } \sin C_A > 0 \\ \arccos (\sin \Delta \text{Lon}_{XV} \cdot \sin \text{Lat}_V) + 180^\circ & \text{if } \sin C_A < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively,  $C_X$  can be calculated from the oblique spherical triangle formed by X, P<sub>N</sub>, and B.

The above formulas enable us to establish suitably spaced **waypoints** on the great circle and connect them by straight lines on the Mercator chart. The series of **legs** thus obtained, each one being a rhumb line track, is a practical approximation of the intended great circle track. Further, we are now able to see beforehand if there are obstacles in our way.

### Mean latitude

Because of their simplicity, the **mean latitude** formulas are often used in everyday navigation. Mean latitude is a good approximation for rhumb line navigation for short and medium distances between A and B. The method is less suitable for polar regions (convergence of meridians).

Course:

$$C = \arctan \left( \cos \text{Lat}_M \cdot \frac{\text{Lon}_B - \text{Lon}_A}{\text{Lat}_B - \text{Lat}_A} \right) \quad \text{Lat}_M = \frac{\text{Lat}_A + \text{Lat}_B}{2}$$

The true course is obtained by applying the same rules to C as to the rhumb line course (see above).

Distance:

$$d [\text{km}] = \frac{40031.6}{360} \cdot \frac{\text{Lat}_B - \text{Lat}_A}{\cos C} \quad d [\text{nm}] = 60 \cdot \frac{\text{Lat}_B - \text{Lat}_A}{\cos C}$$

If  $C = 90^\circ$  or  $C = 270^\circ$ , we have use the following formulas:

$$d[\text{km}] = \frac{40031.6}{360} \cdot (\text{Lon}_B - \text{Lon}_A) \cdot \cos Lat \quad d[\text{nm}] = 60 \cdot (\text{Lon}_B - \text{Lon}_A) \cdot \cos Lat$$

### Dead Reckoning

**Dead reckoning** is the navigational term for extrapolating one's new position, B, from the previous position, A, the course C, and the distance, d (calculated from the vessel's average speed and time elapsed). The position thus obtained is called a **dead reckoning position, DRP**.

Since a DRP is only an approximate position (due to the influence of drift, etc.), the mean latitude method (see above) provides sufficient accuracy. On land, dead reckoning is of limited use since it is usually not possible to steer a constant course (apart from driving in large, entirely flat areas like, e.g., salt flats).

At sea, the DRP is needed to choose a suitable AP for the intercept method. If celestial observations are not possible and electronic navigation aids not available, dead reckoning may be the only way of keeping track of one's position. Apart from the very simple graphic solution, there are two formulas for the calculation of the DRP.

Calculation of new latitude:

$$\text{Lat}_B[\text{ }^\circ] = \text{Lat}_A[\text{ }^\circ] + \frac{360}{40031.6} \cdot d[\text{km}] \cdot \cos C \quad \text{Lat}_B[\text{ }^\circ] = \text{Lat}_A[\text{ }^\circ] + \frac{d[\text{nm}] \cdot \cos C}{60}$$

Calculation of new longitude:

$$\text{Lon}_B[\text{ }^\circ] = \text{Lon}_A[\text{ }^\circ] + \frac{360}{40031.6} \cdot \frac{d[\text{km}] \cdot \sin C}{\cos Lat_M} \quad \text{Lon}_B[\text{ }^\circ] = \text{Lon}_A[\text{ }^\circ] + \frac{d[\text{nm}] \cdot \sin C}{60 \cdot \cos Lat_M}$$

If the resulting longitude is greater than  $+180^\circ$ , we subtract  $360^\circ$ . If it is smaller than  $-180^\circ$ , we add  $360^\circ$ .

If our movement is composed of several components (including drift, etc.), we have to replace the terms  $d \cdot \cos C$  and  $d \cdot \sin C$  with

$$\sum d_i \cdot \cos C_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum d_i \cdot \sin C_i, \text{ respectively.}$$

# Chapter 13

## Charts and Plotting Sheets

### Mercator Charts

Sophisticated navigation is not possible without the use of a map (chart), a projection of a certain area of the earth's surface with its geographic features on a plane. Among the numerous types of map projection, the **Mercator projection**, named after the Flemish-German cartographer Gerhard Kramer (Latin: Gerardus Mercator), is mostly used in navigation because it produces charts with an orthogonal grid which is most convenient for measuring directions and plotting lines of position. Further, rhumb lines appear as straight lines on a Mercator chart. Great circles do not, apart from meridians and the equator which are also rhumb lines.

In order to construct a Mercator chart, we have to remember how the grid printed on a globe looks. At the equator, an area of, e. g., 2 by 2 degrees looks almost like a square, but it appears as a narrow trapezoid when we place it near one of the poles. While the distance between two adjacent parallels of latitude is constant, the distance between two meridians becomes progressively smaller as the latitude increases because the meridians converge to the poles. An area with the infinitesimal dimensions  $d\text{Lat}$  and  $d\text{Lon}$  would appear as an oblong with the dimensions  $dx$  and  $dy$  on our globe (*Fig. 13-1*):




Fig. 13-1

$$dx = c' \cdot d\text{Lon} \cdot \cos \text{Lat}$$

$$dy = c' \cdot d\text{Lat}$$

$dx$  contains the factor  $\cos \text{Lat}$  since the circumference of a parallel of latitude is proportional to  $\cos \text{Lat}$ . The constant  $c'$  is the scale factor of the globe (measured in, e. g., mm/ $^\circ$ ).

Since we require **any** rhumb line to appear as a **straight** line intersecting all meridians at a constant angle, meridians have to be equally spaced vertical lines on our chart, and any infinitesimal oblong defined by  $d\text{Lat}$  and  $d\text{Lon}$  must have the same aspect ratio as on the globe ( $dy/dx = \text{const.}$ ) at a given latitude (**conformality**). Therefore, if we transfer the oblong defined by  $d\text{Lat}$  and  $d\text{Lon}$  from the globe to our chart, we get the dimensions:

$$dx = c \cdot d\text{Lon}$$

$$dy = c \cdot \frac{d\text{Lat}}{\cos \text{Lat}}$$

The new constant  $c$  is the scale factor of the chart. Now,  $dx$  remains constant (parallel meridians), but  $dy$  is a function of the latitude at which our small oblong is located. To obtain the smallest distance from any point with the latitude  $\text{Lat}_p$  to the equator, we integrate:

$$Y = \int_0^Y dy = c \cdot \int_0^{\text{Lat}_p} \frac{d\text{Lat}}{\cos \text{Lat}} = c \cdot \ln \tan \left( \frac{\text{Lat}_p}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$


$Y$  is the distance of the respective parallel of latitude from the equator. In the above equation, angles are given in circular measure (radians). If we measure angles in degrees, the equation is stated as:

$$Y = c \cdot \ln \tan \left( \frac{\text{Lat}_p [^\circ]}{2} + 45^\circ \right)$$

The distance of any point from the Greenwich meridian ( $\text{Lon} = 0^\circ$ ) varies proportionally with the longitude of the point,  $\text{Lon}_P$ .  $X$  is the distance of the respective meridian from the Greenwich meridian:

$$X = \int_0^{\text{Lon}_P} dx = c \cdot \text{Lon}_P$$

*Fig. 13-2* shows an example of the resulting **graticule** ( $10^\circ$  spacing). While meridians of longitude appear as equally spaced vertical lines, parallels of latitude are horizontal lines drawn farther apart as the latitude increases.  $Y$  would be infinite at  $90^\circ$  latitude.



Mercator charts have the disadvantage that geometric distortions increase as the distance from the equator increases. The Mercator projection is therefore not suitable for polar regions. A circle of equal altitude, for example, would appear as a distorted ellipse at higher latitudes. Areas near the poles, e. g., Greenland, appear much greater on a Mercator map than on a globe.

It is often said that a Mercator chart is obtained by projecting each point of the surface of a globe from the center of the globe to the inner surface of a hollow cylinder tangent to the globe at the equator. This is only a rough approximation. As a result of such a (purely geometrical) projection,  $Y$  would be proportional to  $\tan \text{Lat}$ , and conformality would not be achieved.

### Plotting Sheets

If we magnify a small part of a Mercator chart, e. g., an area of  $30'$  latitude by  $40'$  longitude, we will notice that the spacing between the parallels of latitude now seems to be almost constant. An approximated Mercator grid of such a small area can be constructed by drawing equally spaced horizontal lines, representing the parallels of latitude, and equally spaced vertical lines, representing the meridians.

The spacing of the parallels of latitude,  $\Delta y$ , defines the scale of our chart, e. g.,  $5\text{mm}/\text{nm}$ . The spacing of the meridians,  $\Delta x$ , is a function of the mean latitude,  $\text{Lat}_M$ :

$$\Delta x = \Delta y \cdot \cos \text{Lat}_M \quad \text{Lat}_M = \frac{\text{Lat}_{\min} + \text{Lat}_{\max}}{2}$$

A sheet of otherwise blank paper with such a simplified Mercator grid is called a **small area plotting sheet** and is a very useful tool for plotting lines of position.

If a calculator or trigonometric table is not available, the meridian lines can be constructed with the graphic method shown in *Fig. 13-3*:




Fig. 13-3

We take a sheet of blank paper and draw the required number of equally spaced horizontal lines (parallels of latitude). A spacing of 3 - 10 mm per nautical mile is recommended for most applications.

We draw an auxiliary line intersecting the parallels of latitude at an angle numerically equal to the mean latitude. Then we mark the map scale (defined by the spacing of the parallels) periodically on this line, and draw the meridian lines through the points thus located. Compasses can be used to transfer the map scale from the chosen meridian to the auxiliary line.

Small area plotting sheets are available at nautical book stores.

### Gnomonic Charts

For great circle navigation, the **gnomonic projection** offers the advantage that **any** great circle appears as a straight line. Rhumb lines, however, are curved. A gnomonic chart is obtained by projecting each point on the earth's surface from the earth's center to a plane tangent to the surface. Since the distance of a projected point from the point of tangency varies in proportion with the tangent of the angular distance of the original point from the point of tangency, a gnomonic chart covers less than a hemisphere, and distortions increase rapidly with increasing distance from the point of tangency. In contrast to the Mercator projection, the gnomonic projection is non-conformal (not angle-preserving).

There are three types of gnomonic projection:

If the plane of projection is tangent to the earth at one of the poles (**polar gnomonic chart**), the meridians appear as straight lines radiating from the pole. The parallels of latitude appear as concentric circles. The spacing of the latter increases rapidly as the polar distance increases.


If the point of tangency is on the equator (**equatorial gnomonic chart**), the meridians appear as straight lines parallel to each other. Their spacing increases rapidly as their distance from the point of tangency increases. The equator appears as a straight line perpendicular to the meridians. All other parallels of latitude (small circles) are lines curved toward the respective pole. Their curvature increases with increasing latitude.

In all other cases (**oblique gnomonic chart**), the meridians appear as straight lines converging at the **elevated pole**.

The equator appears as a straight line perpendicular to the central meridian (the meridian going through the point of tangency). Parallels of latitude are lines curved toward the poles.

*Fig. 13-4* shows an example of an oblique gnomonic chart.

*Fig. 13-4*



A gnomonic chart is a useful graphic tool for long-distance voyage planning. The intended great circle track is plotted as a straight line from A to B. Obstacles, if existing, become visible at once. The coordinates of the chosen waypoints (preferably those lying on meridian lines) are then read from the graticule and transferred to a Mercator chart, where the waypoints are connected by rhumb line tracks.

# Chapter 14

## Magnetic Declination

Since the magnetic poles of the earth do not coincide with the geographic poles and due to other irregularities of the earth's magnetic field, the horizontal component of the magnetic field at a given position, called **magnetic meridian**, usually forms an angle with the local geographic meridian. This angle is called **magnetic declination** or, in mariner's language, **magnetic variation**. Accordingly, the needle of a magnetic compass, aligning itself with the local magnetic meridian, does not exactly indicate the direction of true north (*Fig. 14-1*).




Fig. 14-1

Magnetic declination varies with the observer's geographic position and can exceed  $\pm 30^\circ$  or even more in some areas. Knowledge of the local magnetic declination is therefore necessary to avoid dangerous navigation errors. Although magnetic declination is often given in the legend of topographic maps, the information may be outdated because magnetic declination varies with time (up to several degrees per decade). In some places, it may even differ from official statements due to local distortions of the magnetic field caused by deposits of lava, ferromagnetic ores, etc.

The time azimuth formula described in chapter 4 is a very useful tool to determine the magnetic declination at a given position. If the observer does not know his exact position, an estimate will suffice in most cases. A sextant is not required for the simple procedure:

1. We choose a celestial body being low in the sky or on the visible horizon, preferably sun or moon. We measure the magnetic compass bearing,  $B$ , of the center of the body and note the time. The vicinity of cars, steel objects, magnets, DC power cables, etc. has to be avoided since they distort the magnetic field locally.
2. We extract GHA and Dec of the body from the Nautical Almanac or calculate these quantities with a computer almanac.
3. We calculate the meridian angle,  $t$  (or the local hour angle, LHA), from GHA and our longitude (see chapter 4).
4. We calculate the true azimuth,  $Az_N$ , of the body from Lat, Dec, and  $t$ . The time azimuth formula (chapter 4) with its accompanying rules is particularly suitable for this purpose since it does not require an observed or computed altitude.
5. Magnetic declination, MD, is obtained by subtracting  $Az_N$  from the compass bearing,  $B$ .

$$MD = B - Az_N$$

(Add  $360^\circ$  if the angle thus obtained is smaller than  $-180^\circ$ . Subtract  $360^\circ$  if the angle is greater than  $+180^\circ$ .)

Eastern declination (shown in *Fig. 14-1*) is positive ( $0^\circ \dots +180^\circ$ ), western declination negative ( $0^\circ \dots -180^\circ$ ).

# Chapter 15

## Ephemerides of the Sun

The sun is probably the most frequently observed body in celestial navigation. Greenwich hour angle and declination of the sun as well as  $GHA_{Aries}$  and EoT can be calculated using the algorithms listed below [8,17]. The formulas are relatively simple and useful for navigational calculations with programmable pocket calculators (10 digits recommended).

First, the time variable, T, has to be calculated from year, month, and day. T is the time, measured in days and fractions of a day, before or after Jan 1, 2000, 12:00:00 UT:

$$T = 367 \cdot y - \text{floor} \left\{ 1.75 \cdot \left[ y + \text{floor} \left( \frac{m+9}{12} \right) \right] \right\} + \text{floor} \left( 275 \cdot \frac{m}{9} \right) + d + \frac{UT[h]}{24} - 730531.5$$

y is the number of the year (4 digits), m is the number of the month, and d the number of the day in the respective month. UT is Universal Time in decimal format (e.g., 12h 30m 45s = 12.5125). For May 17, 1999, 12:30:45 UT, for example, T is -228.978646. The equation is valid from March 1, 1900 through February 28, 2100.

$\text{floor}(x)$  is the greatest integer smaller than x. For example,  $\text{floor}(3.8) = 3$ ,  $\text{floor}(-2.2) = -3$ . The *floor* function is part of many programming languages, e.g., JavaScript. In general, it is identical with the *int* function used in other languages. However, there seem to be different definitions for the *int* function. This should be checked before programming the above formula.

Mean anomaly of the sun<sup>\*</sup>:

$$g[\text{°}] = 0.9856003 \cdot T - 2.472$$

Mean longitude of the sun<sup>\*</sup>:

$$L_M[\text{°}] = 0.9856474 \cdot T - 79.53938$$

True longitude of the sun<sup>\*</sup>:

$$L_T[\text{°}] = L_M[\text{°}] + 1.915 \cdot \sin g + 0.02 \cdot \sin(2 \cdot g)$$

Obliquity of the ecliptic:

$$\epsilon[\text{°}] = 23.439 - 4 \cdot T \cdot 10^{-7}$$

**Declination of the sun:**

$$Dec[\text{°}] = \arcsin(\sin L_T \cdot \sin \epsilon)$$

Right ascension of the sun (in degrees)<sup>\*</sup>:

$$RA[\text{°}] = 2 \cdot \arctan \frac{\cos \epsilon \cdot \sin L_T}{\cos Dec + \cos L_T}$$

**GHA<sub>Aries</sub>**\*:

$$GHA_{Aries} [^\circ] = 0.9856474 \cdot T + 15 \cdot UT[h] + 100.46062$$

**Greenwich hour angle of the sun**\*:

$$GHA [^\circ] = GHA_{Aries} [^\circ] - RA [^\circ]$$

\*These quantities have to be within the range between 0° and 360°. If necessary, add or subtract 360° or multiples thereof. This can be achieved using the following algorithm which is particularly useful for programmable calculators:

$$y = 360 \cdot \left[ \frac{x}{360} - \text{floor}\left(\frac{x}{360}\right) \right]$$

**Equation of Time:**

$$GAT[h] = \frac{GHA [^\circ]}{15} + 12 h$$

(If GAT > 24h, subtract 24h.)

$$EoT[h] = GAT[h] - UT[h]$$

(If EoT > +0.3h, subtract 24h. If EoT < -0.3h, add 24h.)

### Semidiameter and Horizontal Parallax

Due to the eccentricity of the earth's orbit, semidiameter and horizontal parallax of the sun change periodically during the course of a year. The SD of the sun varies inversely with the distance earth-sun, R:

$$R[AU] = 1.00014 - 0.01671 \cdot \cos g - 0.00014 \cdot \cos(2 \cdot g)$$

(1 AU =  $149.6 \cdot 10^6$  km)

$$SD['] = \frac{16.0}{R[AU]}$$

The mean horizontal parallax of the sun is approx. 0.15'. The periodic variation of HP is too small to be of practical significance.

### Accuracy

The maximum error of GHA and Dec is about ±0.6'. Results have been cross-checked with *Interactive Computer Ephemeris 0.51* (accurate to approx. 0.1'). Between the years 1900 and 2049, the error was smaller than ±0.3' in most cases (100 dates chosen at random). EoT was accurate to approx. ±2s. In comparison, the maximum error of GHA and Dec extracted from the Nautical Almanac is approx. ±0.25' (for the sun) when using the interpolation tables. The error of SD is smaller than ±0.1'.

# Chapter 16


## Navigational Errors

### Altitude errors

Apart from systematic errors which can be corrected to a large extent (see chapter 2), observed altitudes always contain random errors caused by, e.g., heavy seas, abnormal atmospheric refraction, and the limited optical resolution of the human eye. Although good sextants are manufactured to a mechanical precision of ca.  $0.1' - 0.3'$ , the **standard deviation** of an altitude measured with a marine sextant is approximately  $1'$  under fair working conditions. The standard deviation may increase to several arcminutes due to disturbing factors or if a bubble sextant or a plastic sextant is used. Altitudes measured with a theodolite are considerably more accurate ( $0.1' - 0.2'$ ).

Due to the influence of random observation errors, lines of position are more or less indistinct and are better considered as **bands of position**.

Two intersecting bands of position define an **area of position** (ellipse of uncertainty). Fig. 16-1 illustrates the approximate size and shape of the ellipse of uncertainty for a given pair of position lines. The standard deviations ( $\pm x$  for the first altitude,  $\pm y$  for the second altitude) are indicated by grey lines.



The area of position is smallest if the angle between the bands is  $90^\circ$ . The most probable position is at the center of the area, provided the error distribution is symmetrical. Since position lines are perpendicular to their corresponding azimuth lines, objects should be chosen whose azimuths differ by approx.  $90^\circ$  for best accuracy. An angle between  $30^\circ$  and  $150^\circ$ , however, is tolerable in most cases.

When observing more than two bodies, the azimuths should have a roughly symmetrical distribution (**bearing spread**). With multiple observations, the optimum horizontal angle between two adjacent bodies is obtained by dividing  $360^\circ$  by the number of observed bodies (3 bodies:  $120^\circ$ , 4 bodies:  $90^\circ$ , 5 bodies:  $72^\circ$ , 6 bodies:  $60^\circ$ , etc.).

A symmetrical bearing spread not only improves geometry but also compensates for systematic errors like, e.g., index error.

Moreover, there is an optimum range of altitudes the navigator should choose to obtain reliable results. Low altitudes increase the influence of abnormal refraction (random error), whereas high altitudes, corresponding to circles of equal altitude with small diameters, increase geometric errors due to the curvature of position lines. The generally recommended range to be used is  $20^\circ - 70^\circ$ , but exceptions are possible.

## Time errors

The time error is as important as the altitude error since the navigator usually presets the instrument to a chosen altitude and records the time at the instant the image of the body coincides with the reference line visible in the telescope. The accuracy of time measurement is usually in the range between a fraction of a second and several seconds, depending on the rate of change of altitude and other factors. Time error and altitude error are closely interrelated and can be converted to each other, as shown below (Fig. 16-2):




Fig. 16-2

The GP of any celestial body travels westward with an angular velocity of approx.  $0.25'$  per second. This is the rate of change of the local hour angle of the observed body caused by the earth's rotation. The same applies to each circle of equal altitude surrounding GP (tangents shown in Fig. 6-2). The distance between two concentric circles of equal altitude (with the altitudes  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ) passing through AP in the time interval  $dt$ , measured along the parallel of latitude going through AP is:

$$dx [nm] = 0.25 \cdot \cos Lat_{AP} \cdot dt [s]$$

$dx$  is also the east-west displacement of a LoP caused by the time error  $dt$ . The letter  $d$  indicates a small (infinitesimal) change of a quantity (see mathematical literature). The cosine of  $Lat_{AP}$  is the ratio of the circumference of the parallel of latitude going through AP to the circumference of the equator ( $Lat = 0$ ).

The corresponding difference in altitude (the radial distance between both circles of equal altitude) is:

$$dH ['] = \sin Az_N \cdot dx [nm]$$

Thus, the rate of change of altitude is:

$$\frac{dH [']}{dt [s]} = 0.25 \cdot \sin Az_N \cdot \cos Lat_{AP}$$

$dH/dt$  is greatest when the observer is on the equator and decreases to zero as the observer approaches one of the poles. Further,  $dH/dt$  is greatest if GP is exactly east of AP ( $dH/dt$  positive) or exactly west of AP ( $dH/dt$  negative).  $dH/dt$  is zero if the azimuth is  $0^\circ$  or  $180^\circ$ . This corresponds to the fact that the altitude of the observed body passes through a minimum or maximum at the instant of meridian transit ( $dH/dt = 0$ ).

The maximum or minimum of altitude occurs exactly at meridian transit only if the declination of a body is constant. Otherwise, the highest or lowest altitude is observed shortly before or after meridian transit (chapter 6). The phenomenon is particularly obvious when observing the moon whose declination changes rapidly.

A **chronometer error** is a systematic time error (chapter 17). It influences each line of position in such a way that only the longitude of a fix is affected whereas the latitude remains unchanged, provided the declination does not change significantly (moon!).

A chronometer being 1 s fast, for example, displaces a fix by 0.25' to the west, a chronometer being 1 s slow displaces the fix by the same amount to the east. If we know our position, we can calculate the chronometer error from the difference between our true longitude and the longitude found by our observations. If we do not know our longitude, the approximate chronometer error can be found by lunar observations (chapter 7).

## Ambiguity

Poor geometry may not only decrease accuracy but may even result in an entirely wrong fix. As the observed horizontal angle (difference in azimuth) between two objects approaches 180°, the distance between the points of intersection of the corresponding circles of equal altitude becomes very small (at exactly 180°, both circles are tangent to each other). Circles of equal altitude with small diameters resulting from high altitudes also contribute to a short distance. A small distance between both points of intersection, however, increases the risk of ambiguity (*Fig. 16-3*).




Fig. 16-3

In cases where – due to a horizontal angle near 180° and/or very high altitudes – the distance between both points of intersection is too small, we can not be sure that the assumed position is always close enough to the actual position.

If AP is close to the actual position, the fix obtained by plotting the LoP's (tangents) will be almost identical with the actual position. The accuracy of the fix decreases as the distance of AP from the actual position becomes greater. The distance between fix and actual position increases dramatically as AP approaches the line going through GP1 and GP2 (draw the azimuth lines and tangents mentally). In the worst case, a position error of several hundred or even thousand nm may result !

If AP is exactly on the line going through GP1 and GP2, i.e., equidistant from the actual position and the second point of intersection, the horizontal angle between GP1 and GP2, as viewed from AP, will be 180°. In this case, both LoP's are parallel to each other, and no fix can be found.

As AP approaches the second point of intersection, a fix more or less close to the latter is obtained. Since the actual position and the second point of intersection are symmetrical with respect to the line going through GP1 and GP2, the intercept method can not detect which of both theoretically possible positions is the right one.

Iterative application of the intercept method can only improve the fix if the initial AP is closer to the actual position than to the second point of intersection. Otherwise, an "improved" wrong position will be obtained.

**Each navigational scenario should be evaluated critically before deciding if a fix is reliable or not. The distance from AP to the observer's actual position has to be considerably smaller than the distance between actual position and second point of intersection. This is usually the case if the above recommendations regarding altitude, horizontal angle, and distance between AP and actual position are observed.**

## A simple method to improve the reliability of a fix

Each altitude measured with a sextant, theodolite, or any other device contains systematic and random errors which influence the final result (fix). Systematic errors are more or less eliminated by careful calibration of the instrument used. The influence of random errors decreases if the number of observations is sufficiently large, provided **the error distribution** is symmetrical. Under practical conditions, the number of observations is limited, and the error distribution is more or less unsymmetrical, particularly if an **outlier**, a measurement with an abnormally large error, is present. Therefore, the average result may differ significantly from the true value. When plotting more than two lines of position, the experienced navigator may be able to identify outliers by the shape of the error polygon and remove the associated LoP's. However, the method of least squares, producing an average value, does not recognize outliers and may yield an inaccurate result.

The following simple method takes advantage of the fact that the **median** of a number of measurements is much less influenced by outliers than the **mean** value:

1. We choose a celestial body and measure a series of altitudes. We calculate azimuth and intercept for each observation of said body. The number of measurements in the series has to be odd (3, 5, 7...). The reliability of the method increases with the number of observations.
2. We sort the calculated **intercepts** by magnitude and choose the **median** (the central value in the array of intercepts thus obtained) and its associated azimuth. We discard all other observations of the series.
3. We repeat the above procedure with at least one additional body (or with the same body after its azimuth has become sufficiently different).
4. We plot the lines of position using the azimuth and intercept selected from each series, or use the selected data to calculate the fix with the method of least squares (chapter 4).

The method has been checked with excellent results on land. At sea, where the observer's position usually changes continually, the method has to be modified by advancing AP according to the path of travel between the observations of each series.

# Chapter 17

## The Marine Chronometer

A marine chronometer is a precise timepiece kept on board as a portable time standard. In former times, the chronometer time was usually checked (compared with an optical time signal) shortly before departure. During the voyage, the chronometer had to be reliable enough to avoid dangerous longitude errors (chapter 16) even after weeks or months at sea. Today, radio time signals, e. g., WWV, can be received around the world, and the chronometer can be checked as often as desired during a voyage. Therefore, a common quartz watch of reasonable quality is suitable for most navigational tasks if checked periodically, and the marine chronometer serves more or less as a back-up.

The first mechanical marine chronometer of sufficient precision was built by *Harrison* in 1736. Due to the exorbitant price of early chronometers it took decades until the marine chronometer became part of the standard navigation equipment. In the meantime, the longitude of a ship was mostly determined by lunar distance (chapter 7).

During the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century quartz chronometers replaced the mechanical ones almost entirely because they are much more accurate, cheaper to manufacture, and almost maintenance-free.

Today, mechanical chronometers are valued collector's items since there are only few manufacturers left. Fig. 7-1 shows a POLJOT (ПОЛЁТ) 6MX, a traditional chronometer made in Russia. Note that the timepiece is suspended in gimbals to reduce the influence of ship movements (torque) on the balance wheel.

Fig 7-1



### Usage

Shortly before an astronomical observation, the navigator starts a stop-watch at a chosen integer hour or minute displayed by the chronometer and makes a note of the chronometer reading. Also before the observation, the sextant or theodolite is set to a chosen altitude (unless a maximum or minimum altitude is to be observed). During the observation itself, the time is stopped at the instant the observed body makes contact with the horizontal reference line in the telescope of the instrument. This may be the sea horizon (sextant) or the cross hairs (theodolite). The sum of the previously noted chronometer time and the time measured with the stop-watch is the chronometer time of observation.

Using a chronometer, the navigator has to know a number of quantities since there is no guarantee that time signals are available at any time.

The **chronometer error**, CE, is the difference between **chronometer time** (time displayed by chronometer) and **UT** at a given instant (usually the last chronometer check).

$$CE = T_{Chrono} - UT$$

The most important individual characteristic of a chronometer is the **chronometer rate**, the change of the chronometer error during a chosen time interval. The **daily rate** is measured in seconds per 24 hours. For better accuracy, the daily rate is usually obtained by measuring the change of the chronometer error within a 10-day period and dividing the result by 10. The chronometer rate can be positive (chronometer gaining) or negative (chronometer losing).

Knowing the initial error and daily rate, we can extrapolate the current UT from the current chronometer time and the number of days and hours expired since the last chronometer check.

The correction formula is:

$$UT_P = T_{Chrono} - CE_{init} - \left(n + \frac{m}{24}\right) \cdot DR$$

$UT_P$	Predicted UT
$T_{Chrono}$	Chronometer time
$CE_{init}$	Initial chronometer error
$DR$	Daily rate
$n$	Integer number of days expired since last chronometer check
$m$	Additional number of hours expired

The daily rate of a chronometer is not constant but subject to systematic changes. Therefore it should be measured periodically. At the beginning of the service life, the daily rate of a chronometer changes and finally approaches a more or less constant value („running in“). Temperature variations also affect the daily rate. The **temperature coefficient** is the change of daily rate caused by a certain temperature variation. Chronometers are temperature-compensated. Therefore, the temperature coefficient of a mechanical marine chronometer is small, typically about  $\pm 0.1$  s/K per day.

Moreover, the daily rate exhibits random fluctuations, called **daily rate-variation**, DRV. The latter is the difference between the daily rates measured on two consecutive days (day i and day i+1, respectively).

$$DRV_i = DR_{i+1} - DR_i$$

Variations in daily rate are usually small but should be monitored regularly. A daily rate-variation outside the range specified by the manufacturer may indicate a mechanical problem, e. g., abnormal wear. In such a case, the instrument needs overhauling or repair. The standard deviation of a series of n (usually 10) consecutive daily rate-variations, may be regarded as a “quality index”,  $\epsilon$  [22].

$$\epsilon = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n DRV_i^2}$$

The following table shows a series of measurements made with a mechanical chronometer (POLJOT 6MX #22787) at room temperature. Like most mechanical marine chronometers, the 6MX has a half-second beat. The chronometer time was compared with UTC (radio-controlled watch, 1-second beat). Therefore, all values have been rounded to the next half second.

Day	CE [s]	DR [s] (1 <sup>st</sup> Diff.)	DRV[s] (2 <sup>nd</sup> Diff.)	DRV <sup>2</sup> [s <sup>2</sup> ]
0	41			
		-2		
1	39		-1	1
		-3		
2	36		0.5	0.25
		-2.5		
3	33.5		-0.5	0.25
		-3		
4	30.5		0	0
		-3		
5	27.5		0.5	0.25
		-2.5		
6	25		-0.5	0.25
		-3		
7	22		0.5	0.25
		-2.5		
8	19.5		-0.5	0.25
		-3		
9	16.5		0	0
		-3		
10	13.5		0.5	0.25
		-2.5		
11	11			Sum: 2.75

The mean daily rate of the chronometer (first 10 days) is -2.75 s (specification:  $\pm 3.5$  s). The maximum variation in daily rate is -1 s (specification:  $\pm 2.3$  s). The “quality index”  $\epsilon$  is  $\pm 0.52$  s (not specified).

# Appendix

## Literature :

- [1] Bowditch, The American Practical Navigator, Pub. No. 9, Defense Mapping Agency Hydrographic/Topographic Center, Bethesda, MD, USA
- [2] Jean Meeus, Astronomical Algorithms, Willmann-Bell, Inc., Richmond, VA, USA 1991
- [3] Bruce A. Bauer, The Sextant Handbook, International Marine, P.O. Box 220, Camden, ME 04843, USA
- [4] Charles H. Cotter, A History of Nautical Astronomy, American Elsevier Publishing Company, Inc., New York, NY, USA (This excellent book is out of print. Used examples may be available at [www.amazon.com](http://www.amazon.com).)
- [5] Charles H. Brown, Nicholl's Concise Guide to the Navigation Examinations, Vol.II, Brown, Son & Ferguson, Ltd., Glasgow, G41 2SG, UK
- [6] Helmut Knopp, Astronomische Navigation, Verlag Busse + Seewald GmbH, Herford, Germany (German)
- [7] Willi Kahl, Navigation für Expeditionen, Touren, Törns und Reisen, Schettler Travel Publikationen, Hattorf, Germany (German)
- [8] Karl-Richard Albrand and Walter Stein, Nautische Tafeln und Formeln (German), DSV-Verlag, Germany
- [9] William M. Smart, Textbook on Spherical Astronomy, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1977
- [10] P. K. Seidelman (Editor), Explanatory Supplement to the Astronomical Almanac, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA 94965, USA
- [11] Allan E. Bayless, Compact Sight Reduction Table (modified H. O. 211, Ageton's Table), 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Cornell Maritime Press, Centreville, MD 21617, USA
- [12] The Nautical Almanac (contains not only ephemerides but also formulas and tables for sight reduction), US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, USA
- [13] Nautisches Jahrbuch oder Ephemeriden und Tafeln (German), Bundesamt für Seeschiffahrt und Hydrographie, Germany
- [14] Steven Wepster's Lunar Distance Tables, <http://www.math.uu.nl/people/wepster/tables.html>
- [15] IERS Rapid Service, <http://maia.usno.navy.mil>
- [16] Hannu Karttunen et al., Fundamental Astronomy, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed., Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2003
- [17] The Astronomical Almanac for the Year 2002, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, USA
- [18] Michel Vanvaerenberg and Peter Ifland, Line of Position Navigation, Unlimited Publishing, Bloomingdale, Indiana, 2003
- [19] George H. Kaplan, The Motion of the Observer in Celestial Navigation, Astronomical Applications Department, U. S. Naval Observatory, Washington DC
- [20] Ed William's Aviation Formulary, <http://williams.best.vwh.net/avform.htm>
- [21] William Chauvenet, A Manual of Spherical and Practical Astronomy, Vol. I + II (reprint of the edition published in 1887 by J. B. Lippincot Company), Elibron Classics Series, Adamant Media Corporation, 2005
- [22] N. Liapin, A Method of Determining the Mean Accidental Variations in Daily Rates of a Number of Chronometers, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 1919, Vol.80, p.64

# **GNU Free Documentation License**

Version 1.3, 3 November 2008

Copyright © 2000, 2001, 2002, 2007, 2008 Free Software Foundation, Inc. <<http://fsf.org/>>

Everyone is permitted to copy and distribute verbatim copies of this license document, but changing it is not allowed.

## **0. PREAMBLE**

The purpose of this License is to make a manual, textbook, or other functional and useful document "free" in the sense of freedom: to assure everyone the effective freedom to copy and redistribute it, with or without modifying it, either commercially or noncommercially. Secondarily, this License preserves for the author and publisher a way to get credit for their work, while not being considered responsible for modifications made by others.

This License is a kind of "copyleft", which means that derivative works of the document must themselves be free in the same sense. It complements the GNU General Public License, which is a copyleft license designed for free software.

We have designed this License in order to use it for manuals for free software, because free software needs free documentation: a free program should come with manuals providing the same freedoms that the software does. But this License is not limited to software manuals; it can be used for any textual work, regardless of subject matter or whether it is published as a printed book. We recommend this License principally for works whose purpose is instruction or reference.

## **1. APPLICABILITY AND DEFINITIONS**

This License applies to any manual or other work, in any medium, that contains a notice placed by the copyright holder saying it can be distributed under the terms of this License. Such a notice grants a world-wide, royalty-free license, unlimited in duration, to use that work under the conditions stated herein. The "Document", below, refers to any such manual or work. Any member of the public is a licensee, and is addressed as "you". You accept the license if you copy, modify or distribute the work in a way requiring permission under copyright law.

A "Modified Version" of the Document means any work containing the Document or a portion of it, either copied verbatim, or with modifications and/or translated into another language.

A "Secondary Section" is a named appendix or a front-matter section of the Document that deals exclusively with the relationship of the publishers or authors of the Document to the Document's overall subject (or to related matters) and contains nothing that could fall directly within that overall subject. (Thus, if the Document is in part a textbook of mathematics, a Secondary Section may not explain any mathematics.) The relationship could be a matter of historical connection with the subject or with related matters, or of legal, commercial, philosophical, ethical or political position regarding them.

The "Invariant Sections" are certain Secondary Sections whose titles are designated, as being those of Invariant Sections, in the notice that says that the Document is released under this License. If a section does not fit the above definition of Secondary then it is not allowed to be designated as Invariant. The Document may contain zero Invariant Sections. If the Document does not identify any Invariant Sections then there are none.

The "Cover Texts" are certain short passages of text that are listed, as Front-Cover Texts or Back-Cover Texts, in the notice that says that the Document is released under this License. A Front-Cover Text may be at most 5 words, and a Back-Cover Text may be at most 25 words.

A "Transparent" copy of the Document means a machine-readable copy, represented in a format whose specification is available to the general public, that is suitable for revising the document straightforwardly with generic text editors or (for images composed of pixels) generic paint programs or (for drawings) some widely available drawing editor, and that is suitable for input to text formatters or for automatic translation to a variety of formats suitable for input to text formatters. A copy made in an otherwise Transparent file format whose markup, or absence of markup, has been arranged to thwart or discourage subsequent modification by readers is not Transparent. An image format is not Transparent if used for any substantial amount of text. A copy that is not "Transparent" is called "Opaque".

Examples of suitable formats for Transparent copies include plain ASCII without markup, Texinfo input format, LaTeX input format, SGML or XML using a publicly available DTD, and standard-conforming simple HTML, PostScript or PDF designed for human modification. Examples of transparent image formats include PNG, XCF and JPG. Opaque formats include proprietary formats that can be read and edited only by proprietary word processors, SGML or XML for which the DTD and/or processing tools are not generally available, and the machine-generated HTML, PostScript or PDF produced by some word processors for output purposes only.

The "Title Page" means, for a printed book, the title page itself, plus such following pages as are needed to hold, legibly, the material this License requires to appear in the title page. For works in formats which do not have any title page as such, "Title Page" means the text near the most prominent appearance of the work's title, preceding the beginning of the body of the text.

The "publisher" means any person or entity that distributes copies of the Document to the public.

A section "Entitled XYZ" means a named subunit of the Document whose title either is precisely XYZ or contains XYZ in parentheses following text that translates XYZ in another language. (Here XYZ stands for a specific section name mentioned below, such as "Acknowledgements", "Dedications", "Endorsements", or "History".) To "Preserve the Title" of such a section when you modify the Document means that it remains a section "Entitled XYZ" according to this definition.

The Document may include Warranty Disclaimers next to the notice which states that this License applies to the Document. These Warranty Disclaimers are considered to be included by reference in this License, but only as regards disclaiming warranties: any other implication that these Warranty Disclaimers may have is void and has no effect on the meaning of this License.

## **2. VERBATIM COPYING**

You may copy and distribute the Document in any medium, either commercially or noncommercially, provided that this License, the copyright notices, and the license notice saying this License applies to the Document are reproduced in all copies, and that you add no other conditions whatsoever to those of this License. You may not use technical measures to obstruct or control the reading or further copying of the copies you make or distribute. However, you may accept compensation in exchange for copies. If you distribute a large enough number of copies you must also follow the conditions in section 3.

You may also lend copies, under the same conditions stated above, and you may publicly display copies.

## **3. COPYING IN QUANTITY**

If you publish printed copies (or copies in media that commonly have printed covers) of the Document, numbering more than 100, and the Document's license notice requires Cover Texts, you must enclose the copies in covers that carry, clearly and legibly, all these Cover Texts: Front-Cover Texts on the front cover, and Back-Cover Texts on the back cover. Both covers must also clearly and legibly identify you as the publisher of these copies.

The front cover must present the full title with all words of the title equally prominent and visible. You may add other material on the covers in addition. Copying with changes limited to the covers, as long as they preserve the title of the Document and satisfy these conditions, can be treated as verbatim copying in other respects.

If the required texts for either cover are too voluminous to fit legibly, you should put the first ones listed (as many as fit reasonably) on the actual cover, and continue the rest onto adjacent pages.

If you publish or distribute Opaque copies of the Document numbering more than 100, you must either include a machine-readable Transparent copy along with each Opaque copy, or state in or with each Opaque copy a computer-network location from which the general network-using public has access to download using public-standard network protocols a complete Transparent copy of the Document, free of added material. If you use the latter option, you must take reasonably prudent steps, when you begin distribution of Opaque copies in quantity, to ensure that this Transparent copy will remain thus accessible at the stated location until at least one year after the last time you distribute an Opaque copy (directly or through your agents or retailers) of that edition to the public.

It is requested, but not required, that you contact the authors of the Document well before redistributing any large number of copies, to give them a chance to provide you with an updated version of the Document.

#### **4. MODIFICATIONS**

You may copy and distribute a Modified Version of the Document under the conditions of sections 2 and 3 above, provided that you release the Modified Version under precisely this License, with the Modified Version filling the role of the Document, thus licensing distribution and modification of the Modified Version to whoever possesses a copy of it. In addition, you must do these things in the Modified Version:

- A. Use in the Title Page (and on the covers, if any) a title distinct from that of the Document, and from those of previous versions (which should, if there were any, be listed in the History section of the Document). You may use the same title as a previous version if the original publisher of that version gives permission.
- B. List on the Title Page, as authors, one or more persons or entities responsible for authorship of the modifications in the Modified Version, together with at least five of the principal authors of the Document (all of its principal authors, if it has fewer than five), unless they release you from this requirement.
- C. State on the Title page the name of the publisher of the Modified Version, as the publisher.
- D. Preserve all the copyright notices of the Document.
- E. Add an appropriate copyright notice for your modifications adjacent to the other copyright notices.
- F. Include, immediately after the copyright notices, a license notice giving the public permission to use the Modified Version under the terms of this License, in the form shown in the Addendum below.
- G. Preserve in that license notice the full lists of Invariant Sections and required Cover Texts given in the Document's license notice.
- H. Include an unaltered copy of this License.
- I. Preserve the section Entitled "History", Preserve its Title, and add to it an item stating at least the title, year, new authors, and publisher of the Modified Version as given on the Title Page. If there is no section Entitled "History" in the Document, create one stating the title, year, authors, and publisher of the Document as given on its Title Page, then add an item describing the Modified Version as stated in the previous sentence.

- J. Preserve the network location, if any, given in the Document for public access to a Transparent copy of the Document, and likewise the network locations given in the Document for previous versions it was based on. These may be placed in the "History" section. You may omit a network location for a work that was published at least four years before the Document itself, or if the original publisher of the version it refers to gives permission.
- K. For any section Entitled "Acknowledgements" or "Dedications", Preserve the Title of the section, and preserve in the section all the substance and tone of each of the contributor acknowledgements and/or dedications given therein.
- L. Preserve all the Invariant Sections of the Document, unaltered in their text and in their titles. Section numbers or the equivalent are not considered part of the section titles.
- M. Delete any section Entitled "Endorsements". Such a section may not be included in the Modified Version.
- N. Do not retitle any existing section to be Entitled "Endorsements" or to conflict in title with any Invariant Section.
- O. Preserve any Warranty Disclaimers.

If the Modified Version includes new front-matter sections or appendices that qualify as Secondary Sections and contain no material copied from the Document, you may at your option designate some or all of these sections as invariant. To do this, add their titles to the list of Invariant Sections in the Modified Version's license notice. These titles must be distinct from any other section titles.

You may add a section Entitled "Endorsements", provided it contains nothing but endorsements of your Modified Version by various parties—for example, statements of peer review or that the text has been approved by an organization as the authoritative definition of a standard.

You may add a passage of up to five words as a Front-Cover Text, and a passage of up to 25 words as a Back-Cover Text, to the end of the list of Cover Texts in the Modified Version. Only one passage of Front-Cover Text and one of Back-Cover Text may be added by (or through arrangements made by) any one entity. If the Document already includes a cover text for the same cover, previously added by you or by arrangement made by the same entity you are acting on behalf of, you may not add another; but you may replace the old one, on explicit permission from the previous publisher that added the old one.

The author(s) and publisher(s) of the Document do not by this License give permission to use their names for publicity for or to assert or imply endorsement of any Modified Version.

## **5. COMBINING DOCUMENTS**

You may combine the Document with other documents released under this License, under the terms defined in section 4 above for modified versions, provided that you include in the combination all of the Invariant Sections of all of the original documents, unmodified, and list them all as Invariant Sections of your combined work in its license notice, and that you preserve all their Warranty Disclaimers.

The combined work need only contain one copy of this License, and multiple identical Invariant Sections may be replaced with a single copy. If there are multiple Invariant Sections with the same name but different contents, make the title of each such section unique by adding at the end of it, in parentheses, the name of the original author or publisher of that section if known, or else a unique number. Make the same adjustment to the section titles in the list of Invariant Sections in the license notice of the combined work.

In the combination, you must combine any sections Entitled "History" in the various original documents, forming one section Entitled "History"; likewise combine any sections Entitled "Acknowledgements", and any sections Entitled "Dedications". You must delete all sections Entitled "Endorsements".

## **6. COLLECTIONS OF DOCUMENTS**

You may make a collection consisting of the Document and other documents released under this License, and replace the individual copies of this License in the various documents with a single copy that is included in the collection, provided that you follow the rules of this License for verbatim copying of each of the documents in all other respects.

You may extract a single document from such a collection, and distribute it individually under this License, provided you insert a copy of this License into the extracted document, and follow this License in all other respects regarding verbatim copying of that document.

## **7. AGGREGATION WITH INDEPENDENT WORKS**

A compilation of the Document or its derivatives with other separate and independent documents or works, in or on a volume of a storage or distribution medium, is called an "aggregate" if the copyright resulting from the compilation is not used to limit the legal rights of the compilation's users beyond what the individual works permit. When the Document is included in an aggregate, this License does not apply to the other works in the aggregate which are not themselves derivative works of the Document.

If the Cover Text requirement of section 3 is applicable to these copies of the Document, then if the Document is less than one half of the entire aggregate, the Document's Cover Texts may be placed on covers that bracket the Document within the aggregate, or the electronic equivalent of covers if the Document is in electronic form. Otherwise they must appear on printed covers that bracket the whole aggregate.

## **8. TRANSLATION**

Translation is considered a kind of modification, so you may distribute translations of the Document under the terms of section 4. Replacing Invariant Sections with translations requires special permission from their copyright holders, but you may include translations of some or all Invariant Sections in addition to the original versions of these Invariant Sections. You may include a translation of this License, and all the license notices in the Document, and any Warranty Disclaimers, provided that you also include the original English version of this License and the original versions of those notices and disclaimers. In case of a disagreement between the translation and the original version of this License or a notice or disclaimer, the original version will prevail.

If a section in the Document is Entitled "Acknowledgements", "Dedications", or "History", the requirement (section 4) to Preserve its Title (section 1) will typically require changing the actual title.

## **9. TERMINATION**

You may not copy, modify, sublicense, or distribute the Document except as expressly provided under this License. Any attempt otherwise to copy, modify, sublicense, or distribute it is void, and will automatically terminate your rights under this License.

However, if you cease all violation of this License, then your license from a particular copyright holder is reinstated (a) provisionally, unless and until the copyright holder explicitly and finally terminates your license, and (b) permanently, if the copyright holder fails to notify you of the violation by some reasonable means prior to 60 days after the cessation. Moreover, your license from a particular copyright holder is reinstated permanently if the copyright holder notifies you of the violation by some reasonable means, this is the first time you have received notice of violation of this License (for any work) from that copyright holder, and you cure the violation prior to 30 days after your receipt of the notice.

Termination of your rights under this section does not terminate the licenses of parties who have received copies or rights from you under this License. If your rights have been terminated and not permanently reinstated, receipt of a copy of some or all of the same material does not give you any rights to use it.

## 10. FUTURE REVISIONS OF THIS LICENSE

The Free Software Foundation may publish new, revised versions of the GNU Free Documentation License from time to time. Such new versions will be similar in spirit to the present version, but may differ in detail to address new problems or concerns. See <http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/>.

Each version of the License is given a distinguishing version number. If the Document specifies that a particular numbered version of this License "or any later version" applies to it, you have the option of following the terms and conditions either of that specified version or of any later version that has been published (not as a draft) by the Free Software Foundation. If the Document does not specify a version number of this License, you may choose any version ever published (not as a draft) by the Free Software Foundation. If the Document specifies that a proxy can decide which future versions of this License can be used, that proxy's public statement of acceptance of a version permanently authorizes you to choose that version for the Document.

## 11. RELICENSING

"Massive Multiauthor Collaboration Site" (or "MMC Site") means any World Wide Web server that publishes copyrightable works and also provides prominent facilities for anybody to edit those works. A public wiki that anybody can edit is an example of such a server. A "Massive Multiauthor Collaboration" (or "MMC") contained in the site means any set of copyrightable works thus published on the MMC site.

"CC-BY-SA" means the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 license published by Creative Commons Corporation, a not-for-profit corporation with a principal place of business in San Francisco, California, as well as future copyleft versions of that license published by that same organization.

"Incorporate" means to publish or republish a Document, in whole or in part, as part of another Document.

An MMC is "eligible for relicensing" if it is licensed under this License, and if all works that were first published under this License somewhere other than this MMC, and subsequently incorporated in whole or in part into the MMC, (1) had no cover texts or invariant sections, and (2) were thus incorporated prior to November 1, 2008.

The operator of an MMC Site may republish an MMC contained in the site under CC-BY-SA on the same site at any time before August 1, 2009, provided the MMC is eligible for relicensing.

## ADDENDUM: How to use this License for your documents

To use this License in a document you have written, include a copy of the License in the document and put the following copyright and license notices just after the title page:

Copyright (C) YEAR YOUR NAME.  
Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document  
under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.3  
or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation;  
with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts.  
A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU  
Free Documentation License".

If you have Invariant Sections, Front-Cover Texts and Back-Cover Texts, replace the "with ... Texts." line with this:

with the Invariant Sections being LIST THEIR TITLES, with the  
Front-Cover Texts being LIST, and with the Back-Cover Texts being LIST.

If you have Invariant Sections without Cover Texts, or some other combination of the three, merge those two alternatives to suit the situation.

If your document contains nontrivial examples of program code, we recommend releasing these examples in parallel under your choice of free software license, such as the GNU General Public License, to permit their use in free software.