

ReproCheck for Rucha

Reproducibility Check Report

Title of Repository:

[r-deshpande data]

Author:

[Rucha]

Date of Check:

[2025 9 May]

Checker:

[Sanjana Sasmal]

Note: save this qmd in local, complete it and print it as a pdf to submit it on Canvas.

1. Materials and Setup

- **Repository Access:** Yes
- **Environment Setup:**
 - R tidyverse
 - None other specified

2. Reproduction Attempt

- **Run Success:** Yes
 - **Steps Followed:**
[Cloned repo, ran `main.py`, used `data.csv`...]
 - **Output Comparison:**
[Describe differences/similarities in key outputs or results]
-

4. Observations & Issues

- ☐ Missing files or data
 - ☐ Absolute paths
 - ☐ Unspecified random seed
 - ☒ Incomplete README
 - ☒ I think there was only Project 1 with `readme.qmd` incomplete I'm not sure if I just missed something though.
 - [Add other issues here]
-

5. Recommendations

- ☐ Use relative paths
 - ☐ Specify random seed
 - ☒ Improve README with clearer instructions
 - [Add others as needed]
 - I am not sure if I missed something but it seemed like the readme was incomplete.
-

6. Summary Rating (submit in Google Sheet)

Category	Rating (1–5)
Documentation Quality	4
Code Executability	4
Output Reproducibility	4

Category	Rating (1–5)
Overall	12