Chapter 1 Section 2 Exercise Solutions

Samuel Lair

September 2022

Contents

1	Exercise 1	3
2	Exercise 2	4
3	Exercise 3	5
4	Exercise 4	6
5	Exercise 5	7
6	Exercise 6	8
7	Exercise 7	9
	7.1 (a)	9
	7.2 (b)	9
	7.3 (c)	9
	7.4 (d)	9
8	Exercise 8	10
	8.1 (a)	10
	8.2 (b)	10
	8.3 (c)	10
		10
9	Exercise 9	11
10	Exercise 10	12
11	Exercise 11	13
12	Exercise 12	14
13	Exercise 13	15
	13.1 (a)	15
		15

14	Exercise 14	16
	14.1 (a)	
	14.2 (b)	16
15	Exercise 15	17
16	Exercise 16	18
17	Exercise 17	19
	17.1 (a)	19
	17.2 (b)	19
	17.3 (b)	
	17.4. (d)	19

 $\neg a \implies \neg e$

 $m \implies e \lor p$

 $g \implies r \wedge \neg m \wedge \neg b$

$$\neg s \implies (d \implies w)$$

$$e \implies a \wedge (b \vee p) \wedge r$$

$$u \implies (b_{32} \wedge g_1 \wedge r_1 \wedge h_{16}) \vee (b_{64} \wedge g_2 \wedge r_2 \wedge h_{32})$$

7.1 (a)

 $q \implies p$

7.2 (b)

 $q \wedge \neg p$

7.3 (c)

 $q \implies p$

7.4 (d)

 $\neg q \implies \neg p$

8.1 (a)

 $r \wedge \neg p$

8.2 (b)

 $r \wedge p \implies q$

8.3 (c)

 $\neg r \implies \neg q$

8.4 (d)

 $\neg p \wedge r \implies q$

Let

p := "The system is in multiuser state." q := "The system is operating normally." r := "The kernel is functioning." s := "The system is in interrupt mode"

Then our system specifications can be expressed as the following system of logical expressions:

$$p \iff q$$
 (1)

$$q \implies r$$
 (2)

$$\neg r \lor s$$
 (3)

$$\neg p \implies s$$
 (4)

$$\neg s$$
 (5)

In order for (5) to be true, s must be false. Since s is false, p must be true in order for (4) to be true. Since p is true, q must be true in order for (1) to be true. Since q is true, r must be true in order in order for (2) to be true. However, we must conclude that (3) is false since r is true and s is false.

Therefore, there is no assignment of truth values such that all of our logical expressions are true. Hence, our system specifications are inconsistent.

Let

p ::= "The system software is being upgraded."

q ::= "Users can access the file system."

r := "Users can save new files"

Then our system specifications can be expressed as the following system of logical expressions:

$$p \implies \neg q$$
 (6)

$$q \implies r$$
 (7)

$$\neg r \implies \neg p$$
 (8)

All of our logical expressions are true if we take $p=true,\ q=false$ and r=true. Hence, our system specifications are consistent.

Let

p := "The router can send packets to the edge system."

q := "The router supports the new address space."

 $r \coloneqq$ "The latest software release is installed."

Then our system specifications can be expressed as the following system of logical expressions:

$$p \implies q$$
 (9)

$$q \implies r$$
 (10)

$$r \implies p$$
 (11)

$$\neg q$$
 (12)

All of our logical expressions are true if we take $p=false,\ q=false,$ and r=false. Hence, our system specifications are consistent.

Let

$$\begin{split} p &::= \text{"The file system is locked."} \\ q &::= \text{"New messages will be queued."} \\ r &::= \text{"The system is functioning normally."} \\ s &::= \text{"New messages will be sent to the message buffer."} \end{split}$$

Then our system specifications can be expressed as the following system of logical expressions:

$$\neg p \implies q \tag{13}$$

$$\neg p \iff r$$
 (14)

$$\neg q \implies s \tag{15}$$

$$\neg p \implies s \tag{16}$$

$$\neg s$$
 (17)

In order for (17) to be true, s must be false. Since s is false, p must be true in order for (16) to be true. Since s is false, q must be true in order for (15) to be true. However, since p is false and q is false, we must conclude that (13) is false.

All of our logical expressions are true if we take $p=true,\ q=true,\ r=false,$ and s=false. Hence, our system specifications are consistent.

13.1 (a)

beaches AND New AND Jersey

13.2 (b)

(beaches AND Jersey) NOT New

14.1 (a)

hiking AND West AND Virginia

14.2 (b)

(hiking AND Virginia) NOT West

Ethiopian AND restaurant AND New AND (York OR Jersey)

(men AND (shoes or boots)) NOT work

17.1 (a)

The statement that "All of the inscriptions are false" is equivalent to the propositional expression:

$$\neg p_3 \land \neg p_1 \land \neg (\neg p_3) \equiv \neg p_1 \land \neg p_3 \land p_3 \equiv \neg p_1 \land F \equiv F$$

Therefore, the Queen who never lies cannot make this statement.

17.2 (b)

The statement that "Exactly one of the inscriptions is true" is equivalent to the propositional expression:

$$(p_3 \wedge \neg p_1 \wedge \neg (\neg p_3)) \vee (\neg p_3 \wedge p_1 \wedge \neg (\neg p_3)) \vee (\neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_3) \equiv (p_3 \wedge \neg p_1) \vee (\neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_1)$$

The Queen who never lies could make this statement if the treasure is in either Trunk 3 or Trunk 2.

17.3 (b)

The statement that "Exactly two of the inscriptions are true" is equivalent to the propositional expression:

$$(p_3 \wedge p_1 \wedge \neg(\neg p_3)) \vee (p_3 \wedge \neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_3) \vee (\neg p_3 \wedge p_1 \wedge \neg p_3) = (p_3 \wedge p_1) \vee (\neg p_3 \wedge p_1)$$

The Queen who never lies could make this statement. If we assume that the treasure cannot be in multiple trunks, we can conclude that the treasure is in Trunk 1.

17.4 (d)

The statement that "All three inscriptions are true" is equivalent to the propositional expression:

$$p_3 \wedge p_1 \wedge \neg p_3 \equiv p_1 \wedge p_3 \wedge \neg p_3 \equiv p_1 \wedge F \equiv F$$

The Queen who never lies cannot make this statement.