Early childhood factors, and health and Human capital Development*

Lakshmi K. Raut

2024-12-14

Abstract

In this paper I survey the microbiology, psychology and Economics literatures on human development, focusing on the role of early childhood factors. The paper focuses on two aspects of Human developments. First, it focuses on the process of human capital developments and its effects on labor market outcomes, earnings inequality and social mobility. Second, it focuses on the process of aging such as development of chronic diseases, disability and mortality. Human capital developments include cognitive and non-cognitive skill formations such as social, motivational and self-control skills. I draw from the microbiology literature on developmental programming and the roles played by genetic and epigenetic factors, which is also known as the nature–nurture controversy, and from the theory of mind from the psychology literature. I also present some of the empirical findings from my own research and joint research with Professor James Heckman (the Nobel Laureate in Economics) using the HRS (Health and Retirement Surveys) and the NLSY (National Longitudinal Surveys) 1979 datasets.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Starting from the start	3
3	Human Capital Accumulation	4
4	Health Developments 4.1 Genetics of Health Development	8 1(

^{*}Comments from the seminar participants are gratefully acknowleged.

5	Em: 5.1 5.2	pirical Findings from my own and joint research Skill formation	
6	Con	nclusion	17
${f L}$	\mathbf{ist}	of Figures	
	1	Life-cycle of a female starting from conception	4
${f L}$	\mathbf{ist}	of Tables	
\mathbf{L}	ist 1	of Tables Determinants of earnings—role of cognitive and non-cognitive skills (from the parent sample)	13
\mathbf{L}		Determinants of earnings—role of cognitive and non-cognitive skills (from the parent sample)	
\mathbf{L}	1	Determinants of earnings—role of cognitive and non-cognitive skills (from the parent sample)	14

1 Introduction

The growing income inequality has been a big concern for economists and policy makers around the world. Many factors are responsible for the observed burgeoning income inequality, such as capital outflow, relocation of jobs, declining labor union, i.e., declining bargaining power of the labor, poor regulation of financial institutions, corruption, crony capitalism, and all-encompassing globalization (Piketty, 2014; Stiglitz, 2015; Bourguignon, 2015). In most economies, incomes of the bottom 99 percent come mainly from earnings and much of the inequality in earnings results from the inequality of skill formations (Autor, 2014).

A growing consensus reached among educators, media writers (see for instance, Traub, 2000), researchers in sociology, psychology and education [for instance, see (Barnett, 1995; Entwisle, 1995; McCormick, 1989; Reynolds, Temple, et al., 2001; Reynolds, Ou, et al., 2018; Schweinhart et al., 1993) and researchers in economics, (see for instance, Currie, 2001; Currie and Almond, 2011; Currie, 2011; Duncan et al., 2010; Heckman, 2000; Heckman, Moon, et al., 2010; Heckman and Raut, 2013; Heckman and Raut, 2016; Keane and Wolpin, 1997; Garcia et al., 2016; Raut, 2018; Raut, 2003; Maluccio et al., 2009) is that the children of poor SES are not prepared for college because they were not prepared for school to begin with. The summarized literature below in psychology, economics and the recently emerging

genetics and epigenetics of health, cognitive and noncognitive developments of children show that the most effective intervention for the children of poor SES should be introduced at the preschool stage so that these children are prepared for schools and colleges and better health.

Children of poor SES perform poorly in skill acquisition and in the labor market. Many children of poor SES do not complete high school and many of them perform poorly in schools. Gaps in test scores between the rich and poor children are substantial, and unequal schooling contributes to widening the gaps.

Section 2 describes four important stages of human development from biological viewpoint starting from the conception, and the roles played by genetics and epigenetics in each stage. Section 3 describes the genetic and epigenetic basis of human capital development process, including development of cognitive, non-cognitive skills and personality traits; and also provides empirical evidence for presumed genetic and epigenetic factors in human capital developments. Section 4 describes biological aging process culminating in chronic diseases, disability and death and empirical evidence for the presumed relationships. Section 5 provides regression results on the effects of early childhood factors on human capital development and the incidence of diseases, disability and death. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2 Starting from the start

Let us examine the chronology of epigenetics during the life of a human female. This figure is a summary of the most important events in the lifetime of a human female, which contribute toward her physical and mental development, and the genes responsible for such changes are listed. (We chose a female because the lifetime of a female has more descriptive events compared to a male).

The life of a female can be divided into four stages:

- (A) Development (0–9 months),
- (B) Childhood (0–7 years) and Adolescence (7–17 years),
- (C) Adulthood (18–50 years) and Menopause (50–65 years), and
- (D) Old Age and Death (65–90 years).

Genes in green represent those, which are expressed/induced, and the ones in red represent the genes repressed/silenced during a particular stage of life, and contributing to a specific phenotype. The symbol denotes hypermethylation and denotes hypomethylation, usually of the promoter of the gene. For example, puberty is initiated when genes like KISS-1 (shown in green) is induced. Aging is associated with silencing or down-regulation of SIRT1 gene (represented in red). [PCG, primordial germ cells; FGF21, Fibroblast growth factor 21; ARC, Age-related cataract; CRYAA, chaperone-like activity of Acrystalline; AMD, Age-related macular degeneration; GSTM, Glutathione S-transferase isoform mu1 (GSTM1) and mu5 (GSTM5)].

B. Childhood (0-7 A. Development yrs.) and Menopause (50-65 yrs.) Fertilization & Gastrulation Gestation Birth Childhood & Adolescence Childhood Abuse- (He) NR Maternal Care- NWDAR, BDNF, Grm1 Maternal Separation- CRF Breast Feeding Infancy Childhood 17 Years Adulthood and Menopause Effects on Offspring IVF- KVDMR1, LIT1 ICSI- (10) SNRNP C-Section (10) In L Adulthood Pregnancy Menopause Old Age and Death Old Age 65 Years

Figure 1: Life-cycle of a female starting from conception

3 Human Capital Accumulation

Most earlier research in the last century focused on cognitive skills as the main determinant of socioeconomic behaviors, school performances and labor market outcomes. One line of influential but controversial research argues that poor parents have poor cognitive abilities and that is why they are poor; children of poor SES inherit poor cognitive abilities from their parents; thus very little can be done to improve the cognitive skills of the disadvantaged children, and hence their school performance and labor market outcomes, see Herrnstein and Murray (1994) and other references in Plomin and Deary (2015). This view has been refuted using more appropriate data and statistical techniques. More recent research in

neuroscience, psychology, economics and experimental game theory emphasize that it is the interplay of emotions and cognition that determine most social and economic behaviors (Camerer et al., 2005; Kahneman, 2013; Winter, 2014).

In psychology literature, especially in the work of Bowlby (Bowlby, 1982), it has been argued that affect (emotion) dysregulation which begins to form immediately after birth, especially during the first two years of age, from low quality interaction of the primary care-taker (generally the mother) with the baby can have long lasting effects on emotional development of the child in later ages. NETWORK (2004) carried a longitudinal study and found evidence for such affect dysregulation mechanisms. The emotional dysregulation also conditions cognitive developments of children. More recent neurological research on this phenomena confirms this, see for instance, A. N. Schore (2005).

Using the fMRI images of brain areas a number of neurological studies found that poverty has significant negative effects on development a child's certain areas of brain that are responsible for cognition, executive functions and emotions. For instance, a large scale neurological study by Noble, Houston, Brito, et al. (2015) found that family income significantly affects children's brain size, particularly in the surface area of the cerebral cortex that does most of the cognitive processing. See also their earlier study, Noble, Houston, Kan, et al. (2012) and the commentary in Balter (2015). Hair et al. (2015) conducted a large longitudinal neurological studies on children starting at an early age, and followed them up into their school years. They measured their scores on cognitive and academic achievements, and development of brain tissue, including gray matter of the total brain, frontal lobe, temporal lobe, and hippocampus. They found significant negative effects of poverty on developments of these areas and on their academic achievements.

It is the interplay of personality, emotion and cognition that determines most socioeconomic behaviors. Recent research in psychology, neurobiology, experimental game theory, and economics emphasize this. A branch of the psychology literature argues and empirically validates that the emotional intelligence is an important factor in socioeconomic decisions and behaviors—not the cognitive intelligence alone. Many definitions and measurements for emotional intelligence exit in the literature, however, the concept more relevant to our context is quoted from Mayer et al. (2004), "[Emotional Intelligence is the] capacity to reason about emotions, and of emotions to enhance thinking. It includes the abilities to accurately perceive emotions, to access and generate emotions so as to assist thought, to understand emotions and emotional knowledge, and to reflectively regulate emotions so as to promote emotional and intellectual growth". Bar-On (2000) and Goleman (2009) use somewhat broader definitions of emotional intelligence by including other personality traits in their definitions. It has been found that measures of emotional intelligence based on all these different definitions are highly correlated with each other and each explains strongly many socioeconomic behaviors independent of cognitive skills, (see Chakrabarti and Chatterjea (2017) for some of these results in psychology and for a synthesis of various definitions, and Raut (2003), Heckman and Raut (2016), and Almlund et al. (2011) for significant positive effects of non-cognitive skills on labor market earnings, independent of the effects of cognitive skills).

Group outcomes are generally more efficient than what individuals could do by themselves. Group activities to attain some common goals, however, require each member of the group to perform constant mind reading of the other members and evaluate how others may react to one's action. The mechanism by which one reads other's mind in a conflicting or cooperative situation is known in the psychology literature as the theory of mind, a term introduced by Premack and Woodruff (1978). Doherty (2008) describes various mechanisms for the theory of mind. One who has better emotional intelligence and a better theory of mind can be more effective in a group, and can become the leader of the group. A group can have a higher level of group emotional intelligence and cognitive intelligence than another group, and can be more efficient and more productive as a result for many activities, see Woolley et al. (2010). In experimental game theory non-cognitive skills such as emotional intelligence and theory of mind play important role (Camerer et al., 2005; Kahneman, 2013; Winter, 2014). The recent economics literature shows that non-cognitive skills such as socialization and motivation are also important for positive labor market outcomes (Deming, 2017; Heckman and Raut, 2016; Raut, 2003; Maluccio et al., 2009).

Where are these emotional intelligence or non-cognitive skills and the cognitive skills produced? For the effect of early childhood experiences—especially mother-child interactions—on the development of the theory of mind of the child, (see, Doherty, 2008; Ruffman et al., 2002). Another branch of the psychology literature, e.g. the work of Bowlby (1982), argues that affect (emotion) dysregulation which begins to form immediately after birth, especially during the first two years of age, from low quality interaction of the primary care-taker (generally the mother) with the baby can have long lasting effects on emotional development of the child in later ages. NETWORK (2004) carried a longitudinal study and found evidence for such affect dysregulation mechanisms. The emotional dysregulation also conditions cognitive developments of children. More recent neurobiology research on this phenomena confirms this, see for instance, A. N. Schore (2005) and see J. R. Schore and A. N. Schore (2008) for a survey of this line of research. When parents are incapable of producing these skills, a good preschool program can be a good substitute for it.

Around the turn of the twenty-first century, a rapidly growing microbiology literature emerged, focusing on the genetic and epigenetic mechanisms of personality, emotion and cognitive developments of individuals. The twenty-century microbiology research presumed that the full DNA mapping of human genome will be able to uncover fully the mechanism of human development. But the research in this area fell short of explaining why identical twins diverge so much in their gene expressions or phenotypes as they progress through their lives. All cells in a body starting with the single fertilized egg have the same genetic mapping (i.e.,the same DNA sequence) throughout life. It is the epigenetic (literally means on top of genetic) codes, which are influenced by the internal and external environments of the body cells, indeed determine which genes are expressed, silenced, or mutated during

cell divisions, and hence determine the development of the mind and body and their health status. For instance, stress of various kinds can have effects on epigenetic reprogramming of the plasticity of various parts of the brain that perform cognitive processing, language processing, emotion or affect regulations, the size and efficiency of the working memory and the long-term memory (see McEwen and Gianaros (2011) for the effects of stress in general, Champagne et al. (2008), Hellstrom et al. (2012) for the effects of parenting practices, and Gluckman et al. (2008) for the effects of in utero environmental factors on cognitive and non-cognitive health developments). Other environmental factors such as the quality of language exposure, the presence of books, computers, musical instruments at home, the speech pattern, cognitive skills of mother and other care givers have also significant effects on the development of the neural network of the brain (i.e.,the network of dendrites, axons and synapses) specialized for language processing, creative writing or musical talents (Mezzacappa, 2017; Murgatroyd and Spengler, 2011).

To look for microbiological evidence for the above, a number of recent neurological studies used fMRI images of brain areas for many individuals. They found that poverty has significant negative effects on the development of a child's certain brain areas that are responsible for personality, emotion and executive functions. For instance, a large scale neurological study by Noble, Houston, Brito, et al. (2015) found that family income significantly affects children's brain size, particularly in the surface area of the cerebral cortex that does most of the cognitive processing. See also their earlier study, Noble, Houston, Kan, et al. (2012) and the commentary in Balter (2015). In another large longitudinal neurological study, Hair et al. (2015) followed children starting at an early age up into their school years. They measured their scores on cognitive and academic achievements, and development of brain tissue, including gray matter of the total brain, frontal lobe, temporal lobe, and hippocampus. They found significant negative effects of poverty on developments of these brain areas and on their academic achievements.

The vast literature above suggest that early age events have many lasting effects, as I mentioned earlier. In modern technology-rich economies, providing high quality education to the talented children and matching their jobs with the highly productive technical sector is crucial for economic growth, social mobility and earnings inequality. Individuals know their own abilities but the employers do not observe them. Employers use education as a predictor of a worker's level of unobserved cognitive abilities. Because education acts as an imperfect predictor of one's cognitive abilities, and children of poor SES have disadvantages of the type mentioned above in acquiring education, the individual investment in education in the economy distorts productive efficiency, lowers social mobility and increases earnings inequality. Raut (1995) addresses these issues in a signaling model, adapting the asymmetric information frameworks of Stiglitz (1975) and Spence (1974).

4 Health Developments

I will not get into the details of the biomedical literature on these issues. Similar to the literature of behavioral genetics of personality and intelligence, the *nature-nurture* controversy exists in the health literature: Is it all nature (i.e., all genetics or genome) or is it all nurture (i.e., all epigenetics or epigenome modulated by the environment and health related individual behaviors) that determines the progression of health over the life span of an individual? The consensus so far is that it is neither the nature nor the nurture; it is a combination of the two that determines health developments over one's life span. The research so far found that certain genetic make-ups (i.e., certain sequences of DNA) predispose one to certain diseases, (see, for instance, Barondes, 1999; Khoury et al., 2009; Bookman et al., 2011), but the epigenetic inputs—especially at the very early stage of life, i.e. in the womb, but not the least at later stages of life—are also very important determinants of life expectancy and quality of life. The biomedical research so far has not found genes that are responsible for aging and age related diseases, leading to early disability and mortality. The twenty-first century biomedical research emphasizes more on the epigenetic factors than the genetic factors to explain the pattern of health developments over the life-span.

At the cellular level, aging means cellular senescence—i.e., after a certain number of cell divisions, it stops dividing or have defective replications, causing tissues or organs to increasingly deteriorate over time. Senescence leads to incidence of degenerative diseases. It is generally observed that women live longer than men and those with better life styles in terms of smoking, exercising and diets delay the aging process (for evidence, see Blair et al., 1989; Vaupel, 2010; Austad and Fischer, 2016; Zarulli et al., 2018). This line of biological inquiry led to explore the (cellular, i.e.,) molecular mechanism of aging process and to find biomarkers of aging that can be used to diagnose, monitor, and improve the age related physiological declines and diseases. A good indicator of the aging process at the cellular level is the rate of decay in the telomere length. Telomeres are the caps at the end of chromosomes in a DNA sequence. They look like the plastic caps at the end of shoelaces. The main function of telomeres is to protect cells, preserving the genetic content within each chromosome during cell divisions. Unfortunately, the telomere length shortens in the course of each cycle of chromosomal replication during cell division, reaching the Hayflick limit (about 40 to 60 cell divisions, Hayflick, 1965) with a critically short telomere length, after which the cells stop dividing or divide with chromosomal abnormalities. The rate of shortening of the telomere length is modulated by telomerase enzyme. Why the rate of decay in telomere length varies for individuals is an active area of biomedical research and the mechanism for it is not vet fully understood. Many studies find that higher stress of any kind—psychological, financial, social and chemical—is strongly associated with higher oxidative stress, lower level of telomerase enzyme, and shorter telomere length. Furthermore, shorter telomere length is associated with health related phenotypes of poorer health and higher risks for cardiovascular and immune diseases (see, Epel et al., 2004; Shaley, Entringer, et al., 2013; DiLoreto and Murphy, 2015; Shalev and Belsky, 2016; Simons et al., 2016).

More recently emerged second line of biomedical research on aging and aging related diseases explores the epigenetic (which literally means on top of genetic) mechanism for these lifecycle processes (see for instance, Alisch et al., 2012; Barres and Zierath, 2011; Boks et al., 2009; Esteller, 2008; Hannum et al., 2013; Horvath, 2013).

The above literature emphasizes that aging and age related diseases are associated with shortening of telomere length and changes in global methylation, and that stress, smoking, drinking, chemical misuse, and diet are important modulators for these changes. The question remains, what are the critical periods or the developmental milestones in life cycle that program the motions of health developments over the life span of an individual?

Research along this line began with the striking findings of Barker (Barker, 1990; Barker, 1998; Barker, 2007) and later of Gluckman (Gluckman et al., 2008). They found strong associations between birth weight and many later life chronic diseases, including hypertension, coronary artery diseases, type 2 diabetes, and osteoporosis. Many other studies find that much of health developments in later life is determined very early in life—specifically during the prenatal period, right after conception, i.e. in the womb. Sometimes it is said in social sciences that inequality begins in the womb. The effect of an environmental stress in the womb on later life diseases and developmental outcomes is known as developmental programming. Gluckman et al. (2008) observes that "like the long latency period between an environmental trigger and the onset of certain cancers, the etiology of many later life diseases such as cardiovascular disease, metabolic disease, or osteoporosis originate as early as in the intrauterine development and the influence of environments that created by the mother." For more empirical evidence on the developmental origin of later life diseases, see (Barker, 2007; Thornburg et al., 2010). The papers by (Kanherkar et al., 2014; Barbara et al., 2017) provide detailed descriptions of the biological process of development of life and health, starting from the conception. They explain how the global DNA demethylation of the fertilized egg right after conception creates an epigenetic "clean slate" to start a new life, followed by rapid remythylation to reprogram the maternal and paternal genomes to create epigenetic configurations in the fetus which rapidly produce specialized cells of the body with cell divisions. The environment provided in mother's womb during those times has long-term effects on the child's later cognitive and other health developments. While inputs at early milestone ages are important for later age health, healthy living and good healthcare are still important for maintaining health in mid ages.

Studies in social sciences find that low socio-economic status (SES) is associated with inflammation, metabolic dysregulation, and various chronic and age-related diseases such as type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke, and dementia, and that low SES create epigenetic changes in individuals that lead to faster biological aging even after controlling for health-related behaviors such as diet, exercise, smoking, drugs & alcohol, or having health insurance, see for evidence, Simons et al. (2016). The study by Karakus and Patton (2011) uses the Health and Retirement Studies data and after controlling for education, race, income, health risk indicators like BMI and smoking, functional limitations like gross

motor index, health limitations for work, and income, they find depression at baseline leads to significantly higher risk for developing diabetes, heart problems, and arthritis and no significant effect on developing cancer during the 12 years follow-up period. Renna (2008) uses National Longitudinal Survey of Youth data to find no significant effect of alchohol use on labor market outcomes such as on earnings or hours of work. Seib et al. (2014) collected data on a sample of older women in Australia and found that severe traumatic life events create strong stress levels that influence them to have unhealthy living and diet measured by BMI and develop stronger and earlier health problems. Conti et al. (2009) utilize the CES-D data in the Health and Retirement Survey dataset to construct a measure of depression, and find that depression of men and women have significant negative effect on employment status, early retirement, and application for DI/SSI benefits. More recently, Case and Deaton (2015) found a racial reversal in the mortality rates of the US mid-age population between 1993 and 2013. They found that all-cause mortality and morbidity of non-Hispanic white men and women of ages 45-55 have been increasing during the period, mainly due to increases in their incidence rates of drug and alcohol poisoning, suicide, chronic liver diseases and cirrhosis. Morbidity of the group culminate into serious disabilities and crowding into DI and SSI rolls and to lower labor force participation rates, especially among women. Such time reversals are confined to that age and racial group only, and the rates are higher for less educated than educated groups. They attribute such behavioral changes to increased (within and inter-generational) income inequality and rises in prescription of pain killer drugs and opioid, and falling price and easier availability of heroin.

In Raut (2019), and Raut (2024), I introduced a statistical multi-state time-to-event life history model of incidence of chronic diseases, disability and death at mid-ages, incorporating childhood factors and health behaviors. Many factors at the cellular level are unobserved or imperfectly instrumented with observed data which causes biases in the parameter estimates of included regressors. I correct for unobserved heterogeneity biases extending some of the statistical techniques from the literature on generally studied two-state models to the multi-state model of this paper.

4.1 Genetics of Health Development

Quoting from U.S. Department of Energy (2008), p.5, "DNA underlies almost every aspect of human health, both in function and dysfunction. Obtaining a detailed picture of how genes and other DNA sequences work together and interact with environmental factors ultimately will lead to the discovery of pathways involved in normal processes and in disease pathogenesis. Such knowledge will have a profound impact on the way disorders are diagnosed, treated, and prevented and will bring about revolutionary changes in clinical and public health practice. Some of these transformative developments are described below.

Gene Testing:

DNA-based tests are among the first commercial medical applications of the new genetic

discoveries. Gene tests can be used to diagnose and confirm disease, even in asymptomatic individuals; provide prognostic information about the course of disease; and, with varying degrees of accuracy, predict the risk of future disease in healthy individuals or their progeny. Currently, several hundred genetic tests are in clinical use, with many more under development, and their numbers and varieties are expected to increase rapidly over the next decade. Most current tests detect mutations associated with rare genetic disorders that follow Mendelian inheritance patterns. These include myotonic and Duchenne muscular dystrophies, cystic fibrosis, neurofibromatosis type 1, sickle cell anemia, and Huntington's disease. Recently, tests have been developed to detect mutations for a handful of more complex conditions such as breast, ovarian, and colon cancers. Although they have limitations, these tests sometimes are used to make risk estimates in presymptomatic individuals with a family history of the disorder. One potential benefit to these gene tests is that they could provide information to help physicians and patients manage the disease or condition more effectively. Regular colonoscopies for those having mutations associated with colon cancer, for instance, could prevent thousands of deaths each year."

5 Empirical Findings from my own and joint research

5.1 Skill formation

Using the NLSY 79 data, this section explores if early childhood factors affect earnings (see Table 1) and schooling attainments (see Table 2), which in turn affects earnings.

5.2 Health Outcomes

5.2.1 Models of childhood socioeconomic status, childhood health and initial middle age health

In this subsection, I estimate three sets of Logistic regression models for cHLTH, College+ and Init.HLTH. In each set, I have two specifications of Logistic regression models: in one, I include the cSES measure that I created in this paper, and in the second, I include in its palce three family background variables used in Luo and Waite (2005) — Father's Education, Mother's Education and Father's job situation during the respondent's childhood, controlling for other common regressors in both models, as can be seen in Table 3. I then examine if the coefficient estimates and their significance levels of the common covariates of the models are similar. If they are similar, then the single measure cSES of the paper is validated as a single measure of cSES. I have also calculated the pseudo R^2 defined as $R^2 = (1 - deviance/nulldeviance)$. It turns out to be the case that the parameter estimates of the common regressors mostly do not differ in statistical significance levels and numerical magnitudes. The R^2 for the models with the regressor cSES is slightly higher or close to the R^2 of the competing models. Therefore, the measure cSES constructed in the paper is validated with respect to these three Logistic regression models and will be used as a childhood socioeconomic status variable.

5.2.2	Childhood pathways	factors,	health	behaviors,	biomarkers	and m	iddle ag	e health	

Table 1: Determinants of earnings—role of cognitive and non-cognitive skills (from the parent sample).

	Ba	sic	Exte	nded	Augn	nented
Intercept	1.714 ***		2.344 ***		1.698 ***	
	(0.061)		(0.064)		(0.068)	
Grade	0.111 ***		0.069 ***		0.059 ***	
	(0.001)		(0.002)		(0.002)	
Age	0.336 ***		0.328 ***		0.328 ***	
	(0.004)		(0.004)		(0.004)	
Age square	-0.004 ***		-0.004 ***		-0.004 ***	
	(0.000)		(0.000)		(0.000)	
Mother's grade			-0.002		-0.005 ***	
			(0.001)		(0.001)	
Father's grade			0.008 ***		0.006 ***	
			(0.001)		(0.001)	
Dummy variable					,	
for female			-0.519 ***		-0.514 ***	
			(0.006)		(0.006)	
Dummy Variable			,		,	
for non-Black			0.054 ***		0.079 ***	
and non-Hispanic			(0.008)		(0.008)	
: AFQT score			0.006 ***		0.005 ***	
			(0.000)		(0.000)	
: Socialization					0.011	
					(0.007)	
μ:						
Motivation—Job						
aspiration					0.026 ***	
					(0.007)	
: Self-esteem						
(Rosenberg scale)					0.019 ***	
					(0.001)	
: Internal						
self-control						
(Pearlin scale)					0.025 ***	
					(0.001)	
N. obs.		118477		95253		93166
R squared		0.308	3	0.37	5	0.384

^{***} p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

Table 2: Determinants of grade and College completion—role of cognitive and non-cognitive skills (from the parent sample).

Variables	OLS model of years of completed schooling	Logit model of completing college
Intercept	9.157	-7.930
	(421.5)	(117.5)
Mother's grade	0.082	0.114
	(35.8)	(23.8)
Father's grade	0.043	0.071
	(22.8)	(19.6)
Preschool	0.500	0.580
	(35.9)	(24.7)
: AFQT score	0.038	0.047
	(169.0)	(104.2)
: Socialization	0.078	0.133
	(7.0)	(6.8)
μ: Motivation—Job aspiration	0.489	0.945
	(40.7)	(34.1)
: Self-esteem (Rosenberg scale)	0.355	0.378
	(21.4)	(14.7)
: Internal self-control (Pearlin scale)	0.440	0.730
	(31.3)	(20.6)
n	108565	108636
R-squared / McFadden's R-squared	0.426	0.344

Source: Heckman and Raut [2016], J. Econometrics, Table 3. The number below a parameter estimate is the absolute value of its t-statistics.

Table 3: Effects of childhood factors, race and sex on childhood health, college education and initial health in early 50s.

	Childhood Health		Col	lege+	Init.HLTH	
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Intercept	0.236 ***	-1.303 ***	-0.030	0.013	-1.098 ***	-1.129 ***
	(0.053)	(0.072)	(0.062)	(0.071)	(0.070)	(0.077)
\mathbf{W} hite	0.293 ***	0.252 ***	0.809 ***	0.709 ***	0.201 ***	0.192 ***
	(0.053)	(0.059)	(0.056)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.058)
Female	-0.021	-0.111 *	-0.080	-0.048	-0.212 ***	-0.213 ***
	(0.044)	(0.049)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.044)	(0.044)
childhood SES	0.820 ***		1.506 ***		0.204 ***	
	(0.056)		(0.081)		(0.052)	
Father High School		0.378 ***		0.791 ***		0.071
or some college		(0.072)		(0.089)		(0.062)
Father college+		0.148		1.411 ***		0.186
		(0.134)		(0.255)		(0.111)
Mother High School		0.327 ***		1.069 ***		0.135 *
or some college		(0.067)		(0.082)		(0.059)
Mother college+		0.124		2.146 ***		0.150
		(0.151)		(0.368)		(0.127)
Family moved due to		0.751 ***		0.109		0.036
financial difficulties		(0.064)		(0.074)		(0.066)
Father unemployed		0.505 ***		-0.013		0.032
during childhood		(0.057)		(0.064)		(0.056)
Family got financial		1.081 ***		-0.346 ***		0.009
help in childhood		(0.067)		(0.081)		(0.070)
Father's Occupation		0.291 **		0.718 ***		-0.011
		(0.101)		(0.138)		(0.080)
Childhood Health			0.461 ***	0.462 ***	0.193 ***	0.164 **
			(0.050)	(0.057)	(0.048)	(0.053)
High School or					0.160 **	0.146 **
some college					(0.056)	(0.056)
College+					0.266 ***	0.234 **
					(0.073)	(0.075)
N	9511	9511	9511	9511	9511	9511
$\log ext{Lik}$	-5984.12	2 -5167.5	7 -4906.97	-4712.32	-5948.69	-5946.09
AIC	11976.24	4 10357.1	4 9823.94	9448.64	11911.38	11920.17
Pseudo R-squared	0.02	24 0.1	57 0.08	35 0.12	1 0.010	0.01

^{***} p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

Table 4: Unobserved heterogeneity bias corrected estimates of Cox regression models with childhood factors, biomarkers and health behaviors.

	1->2	1->3	1->4	2->1	2->3	2- >4
White	0.080	0.426	-0.821 *	0.234 *	-0.156	-0.302 *
	(0.066)	(0.320)	(0.404)	(0.114)	(0.114)	(0.146)
Female	0.075	-0.339	-0.508	-0.486 ***	-0.140	-0.413 **
	(0.050)	(0.245)	(0.413)	(0.085)	(0.104)	(0.130)
Childhood SES	-0.117 *	0.069	0.267	-0.141	-0.071	-0.084
	(0.054)	(0.268)	(0.423)	(0.094)	(0.129)	(0.158)
Childhood Health	0.025	-0.016	-1.660 ***	0.149	-0.045	-0.705 ***
	(0.056)	(0.253)	(0.375)	(0.094)	(0.105)	(0.126)
High School or some						
college	-0.090	0.231	0.244	-0.109	-0.272 *	0.149
	(0.066)	(0.289)	(0.470)	(0.109)	(0.117)	(0.154)
College+	-0.096	-0.348	-1.071	-0.079	-0.924 ***	-0.430
	(0.086)	(0.473)	(0.862)	(0.143)	(0.224)	(0.264)
CES-D	0.736 ***	2.336 ***	-0.827	-0.730 ***	1.073 ***	0.593 **
	(0.117)	(0.397)	(1.179)	(0.209)	(0.168)	(0.228)
Total cognitive scores	0.007	-0.087 ***	-0.008	0.012	-0.017	0.001
	(0.006)	(0.026)	(0.042)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.014)
BMI: Under-weight	-0.728 *	0.186	1.489	-0.303	0.507	0.698
	(0.292)	(1.023)	(1.070)	(0.505)	(0.421)	(0.463)
BMI: Overweight	0.174 **	0.047	0.282	-0.385 ***	-0.044	-0.214
	(0.053)	(0.254)	(0.410)	(0.090)	(0.127)	(0.148)
BMI: Obese	0.487 ***	0.308	0.357	-0.701 ***	0.284 *	-0.229
	(0.067)	(0.308)	(0.554)	(0.114)	(0.128)	(0.163)
Behavior: Smoking	0.104 *	0.222	2.237 **	0.047	0.298 **	0.844 ***
	(0.049)	(0.244)	(0.739)	(0.086)	(0.110)	(0.161)
Behavior: Exercising	-0.053	-0.692 *	-0.850 *	0.674 ***	-0.539 ***	-0.999 ***
	(0.071)	(0.272)	(0.418)	(0.139)	(0.107)	(0.129)
#obs	3191	3191	3191	7079	7079	7079
#events	1824	81	31	639	420	266
theta	0.1286	0.5474	0.0004	0.5236	0.0965	0.0004
Chisq	230.94	1.16	0.25	18.26	5.90	3.20
Chisq-pvalue	0.00	0.28	0.62	0.00	0.02	0.07
R squared	0.103	0.034	0.018	0.066	0.030	0.026
logLik	-13064.626	-540.293	-201.946	-5109.364	-3426.463	-2192.774
AIC	26155.251	1106.587	429.891	10244.727	6878.926	4411.548

^{***} p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

6 Conclusion

The paper surveyes the Microbiology, Psychology and Economics literature on human development, and the Microbiology literature on the process of ageing, including incidence of diseases, disability and death. The main focus is to find out the microbiological basis and empirical evidences in these literature for the roles of early childhood factors.

Two aspects of human developments considered are first, the process of human capital developments and its effects on labor market outcomes, earnings inequality and social mobility; human capital developments include cognitive and non-cognitive skill formations such as social, motivational and self-control skills; second, is the process of aging such as development of chronic diseases, disability and mortality. In both areas, the paper examines the Microbiology literature on developmental programming and the roles played by genetic and epigenetic factors, which is also known as the nature–nurture controversy, and for the human capital development it also examines the Psychology literature on the theory of mind. For both aspects of human development, the paper finds that early childhood factors starting from the prenatal stage, and continuing to early childhood and later life stages are all important but in decreasing order.

The empirical findings from my own research and joint research with Professor James Heckman (the Nobel Laureate in Economics) using the HRS (Health and Retirement Surveys) and the NLSY (National Longitudinal Surveys) 1979 datasets also corroborates the above theories.

References

- [1] R. S. Alisch et al. "Age-associated DNA methylation in pediatric populations". In: Genome Research 22.4 (Feb. 2012), pp. 623–632. DOI: 10.1101/gr.125187.111 (cit. on p. 9).
- [2] M. Almlund et al. "Personality Psychology and Economics". In: *Handbook of the Economics of Education*. Ed. by E. A. Hanushek et al. Vol. 4. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2011, pp. 1–181. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53444-6.00001-8 (cit. on p. 5).
- [3] S. N. Austad and K. E. Fischer. "Sex Differences in Lifespan". In: *Cell Metabolism* 23.6 (2016), pp. 1022–1033. DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.05.019 (cit. on p. 8).
- [4] D. H. Autor. "Skills, education, and the rise of earnings inequality among the "other 99 percent". In: *Science* 344.6186 (May 2014), pp. 843–851. DOI: 10.1126/science.12 51868 (cit. on p. 2).
- [5] M. Balter. "Poverty may affect the growth of children's brains". In: Science (Mar. 2015). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aab0395. DOI: 10.1126/science.aab0395 (cit. on pp. 5, 7).
- [6] R. Bar-On. "Emotional and social intelligence: Insights from the Emotional Intelligence Inventory (EQ-I)". In: *Handbook of emotional intelligence. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass* (2000) (cit. on p. 5).
- [7] M. A. Barbara et al. "An Introduction to Epigenetics". In: *Neonatal Network* 36.3 (May 2017), pp. 124–128. DOI: 10.1891/0730-0832.36.3.124 (cit. on p. 9).
- [8] D. J. P. Barker. "In utero programming of chronic disease". In: *Clinical Science* 95.2 (1998), pp. 115–128. DOI: 10.1042/cs0950115 (cit. on p. 9).
- [9] D. J. P. Barker. "The fetal and infant origins of adult disease." In: *BMJ: British Medical Journal* 301.6761 (1990), p. 1111 (cit. on p. 9).
- [10] D. J. P. Barker. "The origins of the developmental origins theory". In: *Journal of Internal Medicine* 261.5 (May 2007), pp. 412–417. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2007.018 09.x (cit. on p. 9).
- [11] W. S. Barnett. "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Cognitive and School Outcomes". In: *The Future of Children* 5.3 (1995). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2 307/1602366, pp. 25–50. DOI: 10.2307/1602366 (cit. on p. 2).
- [12] S. Barondes. *Molecules and Mental Illness*. Scientific American Library, 1999 (cit. on p. 8).
- [13] R. Barres and J. R. Zierath. "DNA methylation in metabolic disorders". In: *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 93.4 (Apr. 2011), 897S–900S. DOI: 10.3945/aj cn.110.001933 (cit. on p. 9).
- [14] S. N. Blair et al. "Physical Fitness and All-Cause Mortality: A Prospective Study of Healthy Men and Women". In: *JAMA* 262.17 (Nov. 1989), pp. 2395–2401. DOI: 10.1001/jama.1989.03430170057028 (cit. on p. 8).
- [15] M. P. Boks et al. "The Relationship of DNA Methylation with Age, Gender and Genotype in Twins and Healthy Controls". In: *PLoS ONE* 4.8 (Aug. 2009). Ed. by J. Najbauer, e6767. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006767 (cit. on p. 9).

- [16] E. B. Bookman et al. "Gene-environment interplay in common complex diseases: forging an integrative model-recommendations from an NIH workshop". In: Genetic Epidemiology (2011), n/aââ,¬â€œn/a. DOI: 10.1002/gepi.20571 (cit. on p. 8).
- [17] F. Bourguignon. The Globalization of Inequality. Princeton University Press, 2015 (cit. on p. 2).
- [18] J. Bowlby. "Attachment and loss: Retrospect and prospect." In: American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 52.4 (1982). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.1982.tb0145 6.x, pp. 664-678. DOI: 10.1111/j.1939-0025.1982.tb01456.x (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [19] C. Camerer et al. "Neuroeconomics: How Neuroscience Can Inform Economics". English. In: *Journal of Economic Literature* 43.1 (2005). doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1257/0022051053737843, pp. 9-64. DOI: 10.1257/0022051053737843 (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [20] A. Case and A. Deaton. "Rising morbidity and mortality in midlife among white non-Hispanic Americans in the 21st century". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112.49 (Nov. 2015), pp. 15078–15083. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1518393112 (cit. on p. 10).
- [21] G. Chakrabarti and T. Chatterjea. "Intelligence?... Emotions?... or, the Emotional Intelligence: Theories and Evidence in Global Context". In: *Employees' Emotional Intelligence, Motivation & Productivity, and Organizational Excellence*. Springer Singapore, Aug. 2017, pp. 11–49. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-10-5759-5_2 (cit. on p. 5).
- [22] D. L. Champagne et al. "Maternal Care and Hippocampal Plasticity: Evidence for Experience-Dependent Structural Plasticity, Altered Synaptic Functioning, and Differential Responsiveness to Glucocorticoids and Stress". In: *Journal of Neuroscience* 28.23 (2008). doi: https://10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0526-08.2008, pp. 6037-6045. DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0526-08.2008 (cit. on p. 7).
- [23] R. M. Conti et al. "Early Retirement and DI/SSI Applications: Exploring the Impact of Depression". In: *Health at Older Ages: The Causes and Consequences of Declining Disability among the Elderly.* NBER Chapters. National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, July 2009, pp. 381–408 (cit. on p. 10).
- [24] J. Currie. "Early Childhood Education Programs". In: Journal of Economic Perspectives 15(2) (2001). url: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2696599, pp. 213–238 (cit. on p. 2).
- [25] J. Currie. "Inequality at Birth: Some Causes and Consequences". English. In: *The American Economic Review* 101.3 (2011). url: http://www.jstor.org/stable/29783707, pp. 1–22 (cit. on p. 2).
- [26] J. Currie and D. Almond. "Human capital development before age five". In: Handbook of $Labor\ Economics\ (2011)$. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7218(11)02413-0, pp. 1315–1486. DOI: 10.1016/s0169-7218(11)02413-0 (cit. on p. 2).
- [27] D. J. Deming. "The Growing Importance of Social Skills in the Labor Market". In: The Quarterly Journal of Economics 132.4 (2017). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjx022, pp. 1593–1640. DOI: 10.1093/qje/qjx022 (cit. on p. 6).
- [28] R. DiLoreto and C. T. Murphy. "The cell biology of aging". In: *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 26.25 (Dec. 2015). Ed. by W. Bement, pp. 4524–4531. DOI: 10.1091/mbc.e14-06-1084 (cit. on p. 8).

- [29] M. Doherty. Theory of Mind: How Children Understand Others' Thoughts and Feelings. Psychology Press, 2008 (cit. on p. 6).
- [30] G. J. Duncan et al. "Early-Childhood Poverty and Adult Attainment, Behavior, and Health". In: *Child Development* 81.1 (Jan. 2010). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01396.x, pp. 306–325. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01396.x (cit. on p. 2).
- [31] D. R. Entwisle. "The Role of Schools in Sustaining Early Childhood Program Benefits". In: *The Future of Children* 5.3 (1995). doi: https://doi.org/10.2307/1602371, pp. 133–144. DOI: 10.2307/1602371 (cit. on p. 2).
- [32] E. S. Epel et al. "Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101.49 (2004), pp. 17312–17315. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0407162101 (cit. on p. 8).
- [33] M. Esteller. "Epigenetics in Cancer". In: New England Journal of Medicine 358.11 (Mar. 2008), pp. 1148–1159. DOI: 10.1056/nejmra072067 (cit. on p. 9).
- [34] J. L. Garcia et al. "The Life-cycle Benefits of an Influential Early Childhood Program". In: (Dec. 2016). DOI: 10.3386/w22993 (cit. on p. 2).
- [35] P. D. Gluckman et al. "Effect of In Utero and Early-Life Conditions on Adult Health and Disease". In: *New England Journal of Medicine* 359.1 (July 2008), pp. 61–73. DOI: 10.1056/nejmra0708473 (cit. on pp. 7, 9).
- [36] D. Goleman. *Emotional Intelligence: Why it Can Matter More Than IQ.* London: Bloomsbury, 2009 (cit. on p. 5).
- [37] N. L. Hair et al. "Association of Child Poverty, Brain Development, and Academic Achievement". In: *JAMA Pediatrics* 169.9 (Sept. 2015). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1 001/jamapediatrics.2015.1475, pp. 822–829. DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1475 (cit. on pp. 5, 7).
- [38] G. Hannum et al. "Genome-wide Methylation Profiles Reveal Quantitative Views of Human Aging Rates". In: *Molecular Cell* 49.2 (2013), pp. 359–367. DOI: 10.1016/j.m olcel.2012.10.016 (cit. on p. 9).
- [39] L. Hayflick. "The limited in vitro lifetime of human diploid cell strains". In: *Experimental Cell Research* 37.3 (1965), pp. 614–636. DOI: 10.1016/0014-4827(65)90211-9 (cit. on p. 8).
- [40] J. J. Heckman. "Policies to Foster Human Capital". In: Research in Economics 54.1 (Mar. 2000). doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/reec.1999.0225, pp. 3–56. DOI: 10.1006/reec.1999.0225 (cit. on p. 2).
- [41] J. J. Heckman, S. H. Moon, et al. "Analyzing Social Experiments as Implemented: A Reexamination of the Evidence from the HighScope Perry Preschool Program". In: *Quantitative Economics* 1.1 (Aug. 2010). doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.3982/QE8, pp. 1–46. DOI: 10.3982/QE8 (cit. on p. 2).
- [42] J. J. Heckman and L. K. Raut. Intergenerational Long Term Effects of Preschool Structural Estimates from a Discrete Dynamic Programming Model. Published. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.3386/w19077. May 2013. DOI: 10.3386/w19077 (cit. on p. 2).
- [43] J. J. Heckman and L. K. Raut. "Intergenerational long-term effects of preschool-structural estimates from a discrete dynamic programming model". In: *Journal of*

- Econometrics 191.1 (2016). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2015.10.001, pp. 164–175. DOI: 10.1016/j.jeconom.2015.10.001 (cit. on pp. 2, 5, 6).
- [44] I. C. Hellstrom et al. "Maternal licking regulates hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor transcription through a thyroid hormone-serotonin-NGFI-A signalling cascade". In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367.1601 (July 2012). doi: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0223, pp. 2495–2510. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0223 (cit. on p. 7).
- [45] R. Herrnstein and C. Murray. The Bell Curve: Intelligence and Class Structure in American Life. 1st Free Press pbk. ed. Free Press, 1994 (cit. on p. 4).
- [46] S. Horvath. "DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types". In: *Genome Biology* 14.10 (Dec. 2013), p. 3156. DOI: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115 (cit. on p. 9).
- [47] D. Kahneman. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2013 (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [48] R. R. Kanherkar et al. "Epigenetics across the human lifespan". In: Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 2.3 (Sept. 2014), pp. 124–128. DOI: 10.3389/fcell.2014.00 049 (cit. on p. 9).
- [49] M. C. Karakus and L. C. Patton. "Depression and the Onset of Chronic Illness in Older Adults: A 12-Year Prospective Study". In: *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research* 38.3 (Feb. 2011), pp. 373–382. DOI: 10.1007/s11414-011-9234-2 (cit. on p. 9).
- [50] M. P. Keane and K. I. Wolpin. "The Career Decisions of Young Men". English. In: Journal of Political Economy 105.3 (1997). doi: https://doi.org/10.1086/262080, pp. 473-522. DOI: 10.1086/262080 (cit. on p. 2).
- [51] M. J. Khoury et al. "Genome-Wide Association Studies, Field Synopses, and the Development of the Knowledge Base on Genetic Variation and Human Diseases". In: *American Journal of Epidemiology* 170.3 (June 2009), pp. 269–279. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwp119 (cit. on p. 8).
- [52] Y. Luo and L. J. Waite. "The Impact of Childhood and Adult SES on Physical, Mental, and Cognitive Well-Being in Later Life". In: *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B* 60.2 (Mar. 2005), S93–S101. DOI: 10.1093/geronb/60.2.s93 (cit. on p. 11).
- [53] J. A. Maluccio et al. "The Impact of Improving Nutrition During Early Childhood on Education among Guatemalan Adults". In: *The Economic Journal* 119.537 (2009), pp. 734–763. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-0297.2009.02220.x (cit. on pp. 2, 6).
- [54] J. D. Mayer et al. "Emotional Intelligence: Theory, Findings, and Implications". In: *Psychological Inquiry* 15.3 (July 2004), pp. 197–215. DOI: 10.1207/s15327965pli1503 __02 (cit. on p. 5).
- [55] K. McCormick. An Equal Chance: Educating At-Risk Children to Succeed. Tech. rep. url: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED307359. Alexandria, VA., 1989 (cit. on p. 2).
- [56] B. S. McEwen and P. J. Gianaros. "Stress- and Allostasis-Induced Brain Plasticity". In: Annual Review of Medicine 62.1 (Feb. 2011). doi: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-052209-100430, pp. 431–445. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-med-052209-100430 (cit. on p. 7).

- [57] E. Mezzacappa. "Executive Function". In: Reference Module in Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Psychology. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.06001-6. Elsevier, 2017, pp. 1–11. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.06001-6 (cit. on p. 7).
- [58] C. Murgatroyd and D. Spengler. "Epigenetics of Early Child Development". In: Frontiers in Psychiatry 2 (2011). doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00016, p. 16. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00016 (cit. on p. 7).
- [59] N. E. C. C. R. NETWORK. "Affect dysregulation in the mother-child relationship in the toddler years: Antecedents and consequences". In: *Development and Psychopathology* 16.01 (Mar. 2004). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0954579404044402. DOI: 10.1 017/s0954579404044402 (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [60] K. G. Noble, S. M. Houston, N. H. Brito, et al. "Family income, parental education and brain structure in children and adolescents". In: *Nature Neuroscience* 18.5 (Mar. 2015). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.3983, 773–778. DOI: 10.1038/nn.3983 (cit. on pp. 5, 7).
- [61] K. G. Noble, S. M. Houston, E. Kan, et al. "Neural correlates of socioeconomic status in the developing human brain". In: *Developmental Science* 15.4 (Mar. 2012). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2012.01147.x, pp. 516–527. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2012.01147.x (cit. on pp. 5, 7).
- [62] T. Piketty. Capital in the Twenty-First Century. Belknap Press, 2014 (cit. on p. 2).
- [63] R. Plomin and I. J. Deary. "Genetics and intelligence differences: five special findings". In: *Molecular Psychiatry* 20.1 (Sept. 2015). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2014.105, pp. 98–108. DOI: 10.1038/mp.2014.105 (cit. on p. 4).
- [64] D. Premack and G. Woodruff. "Does the chimpanzee have a theory of mind?" In: *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 1.4 (1978). doi: https//dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0140525 X00076512, pp. 515–526. DOI: 10.1017/S0140525X00076512 (cit. on p. 6).
- [65] L. K. Raut. "Early childhood factors and health pathways to disability and death in mid-ages a multi-state time-to-event model". In: Working Paper (2024) (cit. on p. 10).
- [66] L. K. Raut. Long Term Effects of Preschool Investment on school Performance and Labor Market Outcome. Published. url: https://ideas.repec.org/p/wpa/wuwpla/0307002.html. July 2003 (cit. on pp. 2, 5, 6).
- [67] L. K. Raut. "Long-term Effects of Preschool on School Performance, Earnings and Social Mobility". In: *Studies in Microeconomics* 6.1-2 (June 2018), pp. 24–49. DOI: 10.1177/2321022218802023 (cit. on p. 2).
- [68] L. K. Raut. "Pathways to disability and mortality in mid-ages: Estimates from the Health and Retirement Study Data". In: *Draft Presented at the 2019 SGE Annual Conference* (2019) (cit. on p. 10).
- [69] L. K. Raut. "Signaling Equilibrium, Intergenerational Social Mobility and Long Run Growth". In: *Draft Presented at the Seventh World Congress of Econometric Society* (1995). DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.852924 (cit. on p. 7).
- [70] F. Renna. "Alcohol Abuse, Alcoholism, and Labor Market Outcomes: Looking for the Missing Link". In: *ILR Review* 62.1 (Oct. 2008), pp. 92–103. DOI: 10.1177/001979390 806200105 (cit. on p. 10).

- [71] A. J. Reynolds, S.-R. Ou, et al. "A Multicomponent, Preschool to Third Grade Preventive Intervention and Educational Attainment at 35 Years of Age". In: *JAMA Pediatrics* (Jan. 2018). doi: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.4673. DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.4673 (cit. on p. 2).
- [72] A. J. Reynolds, J. A. Temple, et al. "Long-term Effects of an Early Childhood Intervention on Educational Achievement and Juvenile Arrest". In: *JAMA* 285.18 (May 2001), pp. 2339–2346. DOI: 10.1001/jama.285.18.2339 (cit. on p. 2).
- [73] T. Ruffman et al. "The Relation between Children's and Mothers' Mental State Language and Theory-of-Mind Understanding". In: *Child Development* 73.3 (2002), pp. 734–751. DOI: 10.1111/1467-8624.00435 (cit. on p. 6).
- [74] A. N. Schore. "Back to Basics: Attachment, Affect Regulation, and the Developing Right Brain: Linking Developmental Neuroscience to Pediatrics". In: *Pediatrics in Review* 26.6 (June 2005). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/pir.26-6-204, pp. 204-217. DOI: 10.1542/pir.26-6-204 (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [75] J. R. Schore and A. N. Schore. "Modern Attachment Theory: The Central Role of Affect Regulation in Development and Treatment". In: *Clinical Social Work Journal* 36.1 (Sept. 2008). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10615-007-0111-7, pp. 9–20. DOI: 10.1007/s10615-007-0111-7 (cit. on p. 6).
- [76] L. J. Schweinhart et al. Significant Benefits: The High-Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27. url: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED366433. Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993 (cit. on p. 2).
- [77] C. Seib et al. "Stress, Lifestyle, and Quality of Life in Midlife and Older Australian Women: Results From the Stress and the Health of Women Study". In: *Women's Health Issues* 24.1 (2014), e43–e52. DOI: 10.1016/j.whi.2013.11.004 (cit. on p. 10).
- [78] I. Shalev and J. Belsky. "Early-life stress and reproductive cost: A two-hit developmental model of accelerated aging?" In: *Medical Hypotheses* 90 (2016), pp. 41–47. DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2016.03.002 (cit. on p. 8).
- [79] I. Shalev, S. Entringer, et al. "Stress and telomere biology: A lifespan perspective". In: *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 38.9 (2013), pp. 1835–1842. DOI: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.03.010 (cit. on p. 8).
- [80] R. L. Simons et al. "Economic hardship and biological weathering: The epigenetics of aging in a U.S. sample of black women". In: *Social Science & Medicine* 150 (2016), pp. 192–200. DOI: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.12.001 (cit. on pp. 8, 9).
- [81] A. M. Spence. Market Signaling: Informational Transfer in Hiring and Related Screening Processes (Harvard Economic Studies). Harvard University Press, 1974 (cit. on p. 7).
- [82] J. E. Stiglitz. The Great Divide: Unequal Societies and What We Can Do About Them. W. W. Norton & Company, 2015 (cit. on p. 2).
- [83] J. E. Stiglitz. "The Theory of "Screening," Education, and the Distribution of Income". In: *The American Economic Review* 65.3 (1975), pp. 283–300 (cit. on p. 7).
- [84] K. L. Thornburg et al. "In Utero Life and Epigenetic Predisposition for Disease". In: Epigenetics and Cancer, Part B. Elsevier, 2010, pp. 57–78. DOI: 10.1016/b978-0-12-3 80864-6.00003-1 (cit. on p. 9).

- [85] J. Traub. "What No School Can Do". In: *The New York Times Magazine* January 16 (2000). url: https://www.nytimes.com/2000/01/16/magazine/what-no-school-can-do.html (cit. on p. 2).
- [86] U.S. Department of Energy. "Genomics and its impact on science and society: A primer". In: US Department of Energy (2008) (cit. on p. 10).
- [87] J. W. Vaupel. "Biodemography of human ageing". In: *Nature* 464.7288 (Mar. 2010), pp. 536–542. DOI: 10.1038/nature08984 (cit. on p. 8).
- [88] E. Winter. Feeling Smart: Why Our Emotions Are More Rational Than We Think. PublicAffairs, 2014 (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [89] A. W. Woolley et al. "Evidence for a Collective Intelligence Factor in the Performance of Human Groups". In: *Science* 330.6004 (Sept. 2010), pp. 686–688. DOI: 10.1126/science.1193147 (cit. on p. 6).
- [90] V. Zarulli et al. "Women live longer than men even during severe famines and epidemics". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115.4 (2018), E832–E840. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1701535115 (cit. on p. 8).