Results

Demographic

Descriptive Statistics

	Age
Valid	200
Missing	0
Median	35.000
Mean	36.040
Std. Deviation	10.762
Minimum	18.000
Maximum	62.000

Boxplots

Age



Job Performance - Descriptive

Descriptive Statistics

	z_Job performance rating	Age
Valid	179	200
Missing	21	0
Median	0.033	35.000
Mean	1.653×10^{-16}	36.040
Std. Deviation	1.000	10.762
Minimum	−2.774	18.000
Maximum	2.679	62.000

Boxplots

z_Job performance rating



Age



Job Performance (Gender) - ANOVA

ANOVA - z_Job performance rating

Cases	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	ω^2_p
Gender	2.732	2	1.366	1.372	0.256	0.004
Residuals	175.268	176	0.996			

Note. Type III Sum of Squares

Descriptives

Descriptives - z_Job performance rating

Gender	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
female	96	0.005	0.950	0.097	203.588
male	75	−0.065	1.078	0.125	−16.695
non-binary	8	0.550	0.709	0.251	1.289

Assumption Checks

Test for Equality of Variances (Levene's)

F	df1	df2	p
0.605	2.000	176.000	0.547

Q-Q Plot



Post Hoc Tests

Standard (LSD)

Post Hoc Comparisons - Gender

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}
female	male	0.069	0.154	0.450	0.069	0.894
	(non-binary)	−0.545	0.367	−1.484	−0.546	0.301
male	(non-binary)	−0.614	0.371	−1.655	−0.616	0.226

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 3

Job Performance - Linear Regression

Model Summary - z_Job performance rating

									Durbin-Watson		
Model	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	RMSE	R^2 Change	df1	df2	p	Autocorrelation	Statistic	p
M_0	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.017	0.000	0	143		−0.124	2.242	0.143
M_1	0.591	0.349	0.325	0.836	0.349	5	138	< .001	−0.086	2.170	0.293
M_2	0.619	0.383	0.356	0.816	0.034	1	137	0.007	−0.085	2.169	0.302
M_3	0.652	0.426	0.392	0.794	0.043	2	135	0.008	−0.061	2.121	0.448
M_4	0.654	0.428	0.385	0.798	0.003	2	133	0.739	−0.069	2.137	0.397

Note. M_1 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender

Note. M_2 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender, z_income:z_education attainment

Note. M_3 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender, z_income:z_education attainment, z_education attainment:z_age, z_income:z_age

Note. M_4 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender, z_income:z_education attainment, z_education attainment:z_age, z_income:z_age, z_income:Gender

ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p
M_1	Regression	51.629	5	10.326	14.785	< .001
	Residual	96.376	138	0.698		
	Total	148.005	143			
M_2	Regression	56.679	6	9.446	14.171	< .001
	Residual	91.326	137	0.667		
	Total	148.005	143			
M_3	Regression	63.000	8	7.875	12.507	< .001
	Residual	85.005	135	0.630		
	Total	148.005	143			
M_4	Regression	63.385	10	6.338	9.962	< .001
	Residual	84.620	133	0.636		
	Total	148.005	143			

Note. M_1 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender

Note. M_2 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender, z_income:z_education attainment

Note. M_3 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender, z_income:z_education attainment, z_education attainment:z_age, z_income:z_age

Note. M_4 includes z_education attainment, z_income, z_age, Gender, z_income:z_education attainment, z_education attainment:z_age, z_income:z_age, z_income:Gender

Note. The intercept model is omitted, as no meaningful information can be shown.

Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized	Standard Error	Standardized[a]	t	p	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	Collinearity Statistics Tolerance	Collinearity Statistics VIF
M_0	(Intercept)	−0.003	0.085		−0.041	0.968	−0.171	0.164		
M_1	(Intercept)	0.070	0.097		0.723	0.471	−0.122	0.262		
	z_education attainment	0.372	0.078	0.377	4.762	< .001	0.217	0.526	0.868	1.152
	z_income	0.292	0.079	0.298	3.703	< .001	0.136	0.448	0.854	1.171
	z_age	−0.011	0.070	−0.011	−0.163	0.871	−0.150	0.127	0.991	1.009
	Gender (male)	−0.223	0.147		−1.519	0.131	−0.514	0.067	0.986	1.014
	Gender (non-binary)	0.734	0.354		2.072	0.040	0.034	1.435		
M_2	(Intercept)	0.139	0.098		1.418	0.159	−0.055	0.333		
	z_education attainment	0.360	0.076	0.365	4.714	< .001	0.209	0.511	0.866	1.154
	z_income	0.274	0.077	0.280	3.545	< .001	0.121	0.427	0.851	1.175
	z_age	−0.027	0.069	−0.027	−0.399	0.691	−0.164	0.109	0.987	1.013
	Gender (male)	−0.179	0.145		−1.240	0.217	−0.465	0.107	0.982	1.019
	Gender (non-binary)	0.822	0.348		2.364	0.019	0.134	1.509		
	z_education attainment ✻ z_income	−0.178	0.065	−0.189	−2.752	0.007	−0.305	−0.050	0.980	1.021
M_3	(Intercept)	0.167	0.096		1.744	0.083	−0.022	0.356		
	z_education attainment	0.368	0.076	0.373	4.861	< .001	0.218	0.518	0.850	1.176
	z_income	0.255	0.075	0.260	3.383	< .001	0.106	0.404	0.848	1.179
	z_age	−0.065	0.068	−0.064	−0.955	0.341	−0.199	0.070	0.972	1.029
	Gender (male)	−0.182	0.140		−1.299	0.196	−0.460	0.095	0.977	1.023
	Gender (non-binary)	0.930	0.341		2.730	0.007	0.256	1.603		
	z_education attainment ✻ z_income	−0.201	0.063	−0.214	−3.184	0.002	−0.326	−0.076	0.972	1.029
	z_education attainment ✻ z_age	0.146	0.081	0.143	1.794	0.075	−0.015	0.307	0.816	1.225
	z_income ✻ z_age	−0.253	0.080	−0.253	−3.167	0.002	−0.411	−0.095	0.815	1.227
M_4	(Intercept)	0.175	0.097		1.799	0.074	−0.017	0.367		
	z_education attainment	0.374	0.077	0.379	4.881	< .001	0.222	0.525	0.844	1.184
	z_income	0.281	0.096	0.286	2.935	0.004	0.091	0.470	0.673	1.486
	z_age	−0.061	0.069	−0.060	−0.886	0.377	−0.197	0.075	0.967	1.034
	Gender (male)	−0.185	0.141		−1.313	0.192	−0.465	0.094	0.969	1.032
	Gender (non-binary)	0.881	0.349		2.526	0.013	0.191	1.570		
	z_education attainment ✻ z_income	−0.203	0.064	−0.216	−3.169	0.002	−0.330	−0.076	0.963	1.039
	z_education attainment	0.146	0.082	0.143	1.777	0.078	−0.017	0.309	0.813	1.230

[a] Standardized coefficients can only be computed for continuous predictors.

Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized	Standard Error	Standardized[a]	t	p	95% CI		Collinearity Statistics	
							Lower	Upper	Tolerance	VIF
	z_income * z_age	−0.260	0.081	−0.260	−3.205	0.002	−0.420	−0.099	0.807	1.239
	z_income * Gender (male)	−0.043	0.141		−0.308	0.758	−0.322	0.235	0.821	1.219
	z_income * Gender (non-binary)	−0.241	0.316		−0.761	0.448	−0.865	0.384		

[a] Standardized coefficients can only be computed for continuous predictors.

Influential Cases

Case Number	Std. Residual	z_Job performance rating	Predicted Value	Residual	Cook's Distance
.	.	.	.	.	.

Note. No influential cases found.

Standardized Residuals Histogram



Job Performance - Mediation Analysis

Parameter estimates

Direct effects

							95% Confidence Interval	
			Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_education attainment	→	z_Job performance rating	0.370	0.076	4.878	< .001	0.221	0.519

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

Indirect effects

								95% Confidence Interval	
				Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_education attainment	→	z_income	→	z_Job performance rating	0.127	0.042	3.060	0.002	0.046	0.209

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

Total effects

							95% Confidence Interval	
			Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_education attainment	→	z_Job performance rating	0.498	0.068	7.334	< .001	0.365	0.630

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

Path coefficients

							95% Confidence Interval	
			Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_income	→	z_Job performance rating	0.264	0.077	3.431	< .001	0.113	0.415
z_education attainment	→	z_Job performance rating	0.370	0.076	4.878	< .001	0.221	0.519
z_education attainment	→	z_income	0.482	0.071	6.804	< .001	0.343	0.621

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

R-Squared

	R^2
z_Job performance rating	0.301
z_income	0.222

Job Performance - Flexplot

Flexplot



One-rep max - Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics

	z_One-rep max		
	female	male	non-binary
Valid	104	69	9
Missing	8	10	0
Median	−0.009	−0.032	−0.239
Mean	0.037	−0.044	−0.089
Std. Deviation	0.982	1.078	0.527
Minimum	−2.295	−2.135	−0.721
Maximum	2.720	3.286	0.887

Boxplots

z_One-rep max



One-rep max gender ANOVA

ANOVA - z_One-rep max

Cases	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	ω²	ω^2_p
Gender	0.341	2	0.171	0.169	0.845	0.000	0.000
Residuals	180.659	179	1.009				

Note. Type III Sum of Squares

Assumption Checks

Test for Equality of Variances (Levene's)

F	df1	df2	p
1.452	2.000	179.000	0.237

Q-Q Plot



Post Hoc Tests

Standard (LSD)

Post Hoc Comparisons - Gender

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}
female	male	0.080	0.156	0.514	0.080	0.865
	(non-binary)	0.125	0.349	0.359	0.125	0.931
male	(non-binary)	0.045	0.356	0.127	0.045	0.991

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 3

One-rep max - Correlation

Pearson's Correlations

Variable		z_Self-efficacy rating	z_Hours of strength training	z_One-rep max
1. z_Self-efficacy rating	Pearson's r	—		
	p-value	—		
2. z_Hours of strength training	Pearson's r	−0.303	—	
	p-value	< .001	—	
3. z_One-rep max	Pearson's r	0.665	0.433	—
	p-value	< .001	< .001	—

One-rep max - Linear Regression

Model Summary - z_One-rep max

Model	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	RMSE	R^2 Change	df1	df2	p	Durbin-Watson Autocorrelation	Durbin-Watson Statistic	Durbin-Watson p
M_0	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.003	0.000	0	144		0.032	1.933	0.683
M_1	0.926	0.857	0.855	0.382	0.857	2	142	< .001	−0.029	2.051	0.764
M_2	0.933	0.871	0.868	0.364	0.014	1	141	< .001	−0.028	2.053	0.747

Note. M_1 includes z_Hours of strength training, z_Self-efficacy rating

Note. M_2 includes z_Hours of strength training, z_Self-efficacy rating, z_Self-efficacy rating:z_Hours of strength training

ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p
M_1	Regression	124.053	2	62.026	424.996	< .001
	Residual	20.724	142	0.146		
	Total	144.777	144			
M_2	Regression	126.060	3	42.020	316.549	< .001
	Residual	18.717	141	0.133		
	Total	144.777	144			

Note. M_1 includes z_Hours of strength training, z_Self-efficacy rating

Note. M_2 includes z_Hours of strength training, z_Self-efficacy rating, z_Self-efficacy rating:z_Hours of strength training

Note. The intercept model is omitted, as no meaningful information can be shown.

Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized	Standard Error	Standardized	t	p	Collinearity Statistics	
							Tolerance	VIF
M_0	(Intercept)	−0.035	0.083		−0.420	0.675		
M_1	(Intercept)	0.009	0.032		0.298	0.766		
	z_Hours of strength training	0.660	0.033	0.666	20.006	< .001	0.911	1.098
	z_Self-efficacy rating	0.865	0.033	0.872	26.219	< .001	0.911	1.098
M_2	(Intercept)	0.049	0.032		1.531	0.128		
	z_Hours of strength training	0.656	0.032	0.661	20.810	< .001	0.909	1.100
	z_Self-efficacy rating	0.861	0.031	0.868	27.348	< .001	0.910	1.099
	z_Hours of strength training ✻ z_Self-efficacy rating	0.131	0.034	0.118	3.889	< .001	0.998	1.002

Coefficients

Model	Unstandardized	Standard Error	Standardized	t	p	Collinearity Statistics	
						Tolerance	VIF

Influential Cases

Case Number	Std. Residual	z_One-rep max	Predicted Value	Residual	Cook's Distance
.	.	.	.	.	.

Note. No influential cases found.

Standardized Residuals Histogram



One-rep max - Mediation Analysis

Parameter estimates

Direct effects

							95% Confidence Interval	
			Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_Hours of strength training	→	z_One-rep max	0.664	0.032	20.617	< .001	0.601	0.728

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

Indirect effects

								95% Confidence Interval	
					Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_Hours of strength training	→	z_Self-efficacy rating	→	z_One-rep max	−0.243	0.063	−3.828	< .001	−0.367	−0.119

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

Total effects

							95% Confidence Interval	
			Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_Hours of strength training	→	z_One-rep max	0.422	0.069	6.136	< .001	0.287	0.556

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

Path coefficients

							95% Confidence Interval	
			Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	p	Lower	Upper
z_Self-efficacy rating	→	z_One-rep max	0.862	0.032	26.746	< .001	0.799	0.925
z_Hours of strength training	→	z_One-rep max	0.664	0.032	20.617	< .001	0.601	0.728
z_Hours of strength training	→	z_Self-efficacy rating	−0.282	0.073	−3.873	< .001	−0.424	−0.139

Note. Delta method standard errors, normal theory confidence intervals, ML estimator.

R-Squared

	R^2
z_One-rep max	0.858
z_Self-efficacy rating	0.079

One-rep - Flexplot

Flexplot



Loneliness - Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics

	Loneliness 1 month prior		Loneliness at the time		Loneliness 1 month after		Loneliness 2 months after	
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Valid	85	82	86	77	84	79	83	80
Missing	8	4	7	9	9	7	10	6
Mean	31.605	30.745	29.418	32.161	30.511	25.866	29.046	18.914
Std. Deviation	9.898	9.345	9.718	9.775	9.760	10.209	10.906	9.473
Minimum	8.969	12.301	6.914	13.126	6.025	2.150	1.570	−9.148
Maximum	51.247	49.406	60.866	49.508	55.396	50.367	50.608	41.296

Note. Excluded 21 rows from the analysis that correspond to the missing values of the split-by variable Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

Boxplots

Loneliness 1 month prior



Loneliness at the time



Loneliness 1 month after



Loneliness 2 months after



Loneliness - Independent Samples T-Test

Independent Samples T-Test

							95% CI for Cohen's d	
	t	df	p	VS-MPR*	Cohen's d	SE Cohen's d	Lower	Upper
Loneliness 1 month prior	0.577	165	0.565	1.000	0.089	0.155	−0.214	0.393
Loneliness at the time	−1.794	161	0.075	1.899	−0.281	0.158	−0.590	0.028
Loneliness 1 month after	2.970	161	0.003	18.870	0.465	0.161	0.153	0.776
Loneliness 2 months after	6.323	161	< .001	$7.648 \times 10^{+6}$	0.991	0.175	0.664	1.315

Note. Student's t-test.

* Vovk-Sellke Maximum *p*-Ratio: Based on a two-sided *p*-value,the maximum possible odds in favor of H_1 over H_0 equals 1/(-e *p* log(*p*)) for $p \leq .37$ (Sellke, Bayarri, & Berger, 2001).

Assumption Checks

Test of Normality (Shapiro-Wilk)

		W	p
Loneliness 1 month prior	1	0.984	0.358
	2	0.967	0.032
Loneliness at the time	1	0.982	0.261
	2	0.966	0.037
Loneliness 1 month after	1	0.986	0.511
	2	0.991	0.869
Loneliness 2 months after	1	0.984	0.412
	2	0.990	0.820

Note. Significant results suggest a deviation from normality.

Test of Equality of Variances (Levene's)

	F	df_1	df_2	p
Loneliness 1 month prior	0.003	1	165	0.958
Loneliness at the time	1.151	1	161	0.285
Loneliness 1 month after	0.081	1	161	0.777
Loneliness 2 months after	1.709	1	161	0.193

Descriptives

Raincloud Plots

Loneliness 1 month prior



Loneliness at the time



Loneliness 1 month after



Loneliness 2 months after



Loneliness- Repeated Measures ANOVA

Within Subjects Effects

Cases	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	VS-MPR*	ω^2_p
intervention/ placebo	3281.185	3	1093.728	20.235	< .001	$4.219\times10^{+9}$	0.055
intervention/ placebo ✻ Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)	2587.926	3	862.642	15.960	< .001	$2.116\times10^{+7}$	0.044
Residuals	21079.662	390	54.050				

Note. Type III Sum of Squares

* Vovk-Sellke Maximum *p*-Ratio: Based on the *p*-value, the maximum possible odds in favor of H_1 over H_0 equals 1/(-e *p* log(*p*)) for *p* ≤ .37 (Sellke, Bayarri, & Berger, 2001).

Between Subjects Effects

Cases	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	VS-MPR*	ω^2_p
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)	1612.195	1	1612.195	6.591	0.011	7.222	0.041
Residuals	31798.157	130	244.601				

Note. Type III Sum of Squares

* Vovk-Sellke Maximum *p*-Ratio: Based on the *p*-value, the maximum possible odds in favor of H_1 over H_0 equals 1/(-e *p* log(*p*)) for *p* ≤ .37 (Sellke, Bayarri, & Berger, 2001).

Descriptives

Descriptives

intervention/ placebo	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
1 mounth prior	1	67	31.929	10.670	1.304	0.334
	2	65	30.238	9.778	1.213	0.323
at the time	1	67	29.336	10.389	1.269	0.354
	2	65	31.561	9.912	1.229	0.314
1 mounth after	1	67	30.300	9.206	1.125	0.304
	2	65	25.702	10.854	1.346	0.422
2 month after	1	67	29.695	10.641	1.300	0.358
	2	65	19.778	9.038	1.121	0.457

Descriptives plots



Raincloud plots

Dependent: intervention/ placebo: 1 mounth prior



Dependent: intervention/ placebo: at the time



Dependent: intervention/ placebo: 1 mounth after



Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

Dependent: intervention/ placebo: 2 month after



Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

Assumption Checks

Test for Equality of Variances (Levene's)

	F	df1	df2	p	VS-MPR*
Loneliness 1 month prior	0.203	1	130	0.653	1.000
Loneliness at the time	0.270	1	130	0.604	1.000
Loneliness 1 month after	1.544	1	130	0.216	1.111
Loneliness 2 months after	2.080	1	130	0.152	1.286

* Vovk-Sellke Maximum *p*-Ratio: Based on the *p*-value, the maximum possible odds in favor of H_1 over H_0 equals $1/(-e\ p \log(p))$ for $p \leq .37$ (Sellke, Bayarri, & Berger, 2001).

Test for Equality of Variances (Levene's)

	F	df1	df2	p	VS-MPR*

* Vovk-Sellke Maximum *p*-Ratio: Based on the *p*-value, the maximum possible odds in favor of H_1 over H_0 equals 1/(-e *p* log(*p*)) for $p \leq .37$ (Sellke, Bayarri, & Berger, 2001).

Test of Sphericity

	Mauchly's W	Approx. X^2	df	p-value	Greenhouse-Geisser ε	Huynh-Feldt ε	Lower Bound ε
intervention/ placebo	0.981	2.416	5	0.789	0.987	1.000	0.333

Q-Q Plot



Post Hoc Tests

Post Hoc Comparisons - intervention/ placebo

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}
1 mounth prior	at the time	0.635	0.904	0.703	0.063		1.000	0.483
	1 mounth after	3.082	0.951	3.242	0.306		0.009	0.005
	2 month after	6.347	0.939	6.759	0.629		< .001	< .001
at the time	1 mounth after	2.447	0.903	2.710	0.243		0.046	0.015
	2 month after	5.712	0.865	6.604	0.566		< .001	< .001
1 mounth after	2 month after	3.264	0.865	3.773	0.324		0.001	< .001

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 6

Note. Results are averaged over the levels of: Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

Note. Tukey corrected p-values are not appropriate for repeated measures post-hoc tests (Maxwell, 1980; Field, 2012).

Post Hoc Comparisons - intervention/ placebo

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 6
Note. Results are averaged over the levels of: Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)
Note. Tukey corrected p-values are not appropriate for repeated measures post-hoc tests (Maxwell, 1980; Field, 2012).

Post Hoc Comparisons - Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2	3.495	1.361	2.567	0.347	0.011	0.011	0.011

Note. Results are averaged over the levels of: intervention/ placebo

Post Hoc Comparisons - Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment) ✻ intervention/ placebo

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 1 mounth prior	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth prior	1.691	1.783	0.948	0.168		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, at the time	2.593	1.269	2.044	0.257		1.000	0.653
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, at the time	0.368	1.775	0.207	0.036		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 1 mounth after	1.629	1.335	1.220	0.162		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth after	6.227	1.766	3.526	0.617		0.016	0.012
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	2.233	1.318	1.695	0.221		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 2 month after	12.151	1.752	6.937	1.205		< .001	< .001
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth prior	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, at the time	0.903	1.776	0.508	0.090		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2,	−1.323	1.288	−1.027	−0.131		1.000	1.000

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 28

Note. Tukey corrected p-values are not appropriate for repeated measures post-hoc tests (Maxwell, 1980; Field, 2012).

Post Hoc Comparisons - Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment) ✻ intervention/ placebo

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}
	at the time							
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 1 mounth after	−0.062	1.767	−0.035	−0.006		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth after	4.536	1.355	3.348	0.450		0.030	0.020
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	0.543	1.753	0.310	0.054		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 2 month after	10.460	1.338	7.818	1.037		< .001	< .001
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, at the time	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, at the time	−2.225	1.768	−1.258	−0.221		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 1 mounth after	−0.965	1.267	−0.761	−0.096		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth after	3.634	1.759	2.066	0.360		1.000	0.653
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	−0.360	1.214	−0.296	−0.036		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo,	9.557	1.744	5.479	0.948		< .001	< .001

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 28

Note. Tukey corrected p-values are not appropriate for repeated measures post-hoc tests (Maxwell, 1980; Field, 2012).

Post Hoc Comparisons - Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment) ✻ intervention/ placebo

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}
	2 = treatment)2, 2 month after							
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, at the time	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 1 mounth after	1.261	1.759	0.717	0.125		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth after	5.859	1.287	4.554	0.581		< .001	< .001
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	1.866	1.745	1.069	0.185		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 2 month after	11.783	1.232	9.561	1.168		< .001	< .001
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 1 mounth after	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth after	4.598	1.750	2.628	0.456		0.270	0.173
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	0.605	1.214	0.498	0.060		1.000	1.000
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 2 month after	10.522	1.735	6.064	1.043		< .001	< .001
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 1 mounth after	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	−3.993	1.736	−2.301	−0.396		0.644	0.391

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 28

Note. Tukey corrected p-values are not appropriate for repeated measures post-hoc tests (Maxwell, 1980; Field, 2012).

Post Hoc Comparisons - Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment) ✻ intervention/ placebo

		Mean Difference	SE	t	Cohen's d	p_{tukey}	p_{bonf}	p_{holm}
	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 2 month after	5.924	1.233	4.805	0.587		< .001	< .001
Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)1, 2 month after	Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)2, 2 month after	9.917	1.721	5.763	0.983		< .001	< .001

Note. P-value adjusted for comparing a family of 28
Note. Tukey corrected p-values are not appropriate for repeated measures post-hoc tests (Maxwell, 1980; Field, 2012).

Contrast Tables

Simple Contrast - intervention/ placebo

Comparison	Estimate	SE	df	t	p	Cohen's d
at the time - 1 mounth prior	−0.635	0.904	130	−0.703	0.483	−0.063
1 mounth after - 1 mounth prior	−3.082	0.951	130	−3.242	0.002	−0.306
2 month after - 1 mounth prior	−6.347	0.939	130	−6.759	< .001	−0.629

Note. Results are averaged over the levels of: Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

Simple Contrast - Intervention (1 = placebo, 2 = treatment)

Comparison	Estimate	SE	df	t	p	Cohen's d
2 - 1	−3.495	1.361	130	−2.567	0.011	−0.347

Note. Results are averaged over the levels of: intervention/ placebo