EECE 5550 Mobile Robotics Lab #5

David M. Rosen

Due: Dec 9, 2022

Problem 1: Camera pose estimation

As we mentioned in class, cameras are fundamentally bearing sensors: they measure the direction of an incoming ray of light connecting an imaged point with the camera's optical center. Thus, a simple (but very general) mathematical abstraction of a camera is the mapping π that projects each 3D point onto the sphere centered at the camera's optical center. We can write this map more concretely as:

$$\pi \colon \mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\} \to S^2$$

$$\pi(Cp) = \frac{Cp}{\|Cp\|} \tag{1}$$

where $CP \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are the coordinates of the 3D point measured in the camera's body-centric frame. In this exercise, you will apply the camera model (1) together with some simple manifold geometry and optimization to perform *camera localization*: estimating the pose of a camera from observations of a set of known landmarks.

- (a) Let $CP \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the coordinates of a point p as measured in the camera's body-centric coordinate frame. The *derivative* $d\pi : T_{CP}(\mathbb{R}^3 \{0\}) \to T_{\pi(CP)}(S^2)$ of the camera projection map (1) describes (to first order) how the image $\pi(CP) \in S^2$ of this point varies as a function of the camera pose. Calculate this derivative.
- (b) Now suppose that we are given the coordinates $wp \in \mathbb{R}^3$ of point p expressed in the world coordinate frame, and the pose $X_{CW} = (t_{CW}, R_{CW}) \in SE(3)$ of the camera in the world frame. Derive an expression for Cp, the coordinates of point p expressed in the camera's body-centric coordinate frame.
- (c) Using the result of part (b), compute $\frac{\partial_C p}{\partial X_{CW}}$, the derivative of point p's coordinates Cp in the camera frame as a function of the camera pose X_{CW} . You may express your answer in terms of $\frac{\partial_C p}{\partial t_{CW}}$ and $\frac{\partial_C p}{\partial R_{CW}}$, the derivatives of Cp with respect to the position and orientation of the camera (respectively).

(Hint: For computing the derivative with respect to the camera orientation R_{CW} , you may find it helpful to take advantage of the identity:

$$\operatorname{vec}(AB) = (B^{\mathsf{T}} \otimes I) \operatorname{vec}(A), \tag{2}$$

to express the derivative in terms of the vectorization $r_{CW} \triangleq \text{vec}(R_{CW})$ of R_{CW} . This will let you write the camera orientation as a *vector*, rather than a *matrix*.)

(d) Define:

$$\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathrm{SE}(3) \to S^2 \tag{3}$$

to be the mapping that accepts as input (i) the coordinates $wp \in \mathbb{R}^3$ of a point p expressed in the world frame, and (ii) the pose $X_{CW} \in SE(3)$ of the camera, and returns the image $u \in S^2$ of point p in the camera. Write down an expression for ψ (you may leave your answer in terms of the camera projection map π .)

Now suppose that there are m landmarks in the environment, at known positions $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in the world frame, and that after taking an image with the camera (at an unknown pose $X_{CW} \in SE(3)$), these landmarks appear at the points $\tilde{u}_1, \ldots, \tilde{u}_m \in S^2$ in the image. Our goal is to determine the camera pose $X_{CW} \in SE(3)$, given the landmark observations $\tilde{u}_1, \ldots, \tilde{u}_m$.

Given a guess $X_{CW} \in SE(3)$ for the camera pose, a natural way to measure the quality of this guess is to calculate the sum of squared reprojection errors:

$$L \colon \operatorname{SE}(3) \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$L(X_{CW}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} d_{S^2} \left(\psi(_{W} p_k, X_{CW}), \ \tilde{u}_k \right)^2.$$

$$(4)$$

In words: the function $L(X_{CW})$ reports the sum of the squared distances $d_{S^2}(u_k, \tilde{u}_k)$ (measured on S^2) between the *predicted* projection:

$$u_k \triangleq \psi\left(_W p_k, X_{CW}\right) \tag{5}$$

of the kth landmark into a camera at pose X_{CW} , and the actual observed location \tilde{u}_k of the kth landmark in the image. The best estimate \hat{X}_{CW} for the camera pose is then the one that makes the sum of squared reprojection errors as small as possible:

$$\hat{X}_{CW} = \underset{X_{CW} \in SE(3)}{\operatorname{argmin}} L(X_{CW}). \tag{6}$$

(e) The distance function on the sphere S^2 is:

$$d_{S^2} \colon S^2 \times S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$d_{S^2}(x, y) = \arccos(x^{\mathsf{T}} y).$$
 (7)

Let

$$\ell_k \colon S^2 \times S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\ell_k(u) \triangleq d_{S^2}(u, \tilde{u}_k)^2$$
(8)

be the function that returns the squared distance from the point $u \in S^2$ to the observed location of the kth landmark $\tilde{u}_k \in S^2$ in the image. What is the derivative of ℓ_k with respect to u?

(f) What is the derivative of L with respect to X_{CW} ? You may express your result in terms of $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{CW}}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{CW}}$, the derivatives of L with respect to the position and orientation of the camera (respectively), and leave you answer in terms of the derivatives $\frac{\partial \ell_k}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial CP}$ you calculated in parts (a) and (e).

[Hint: You may find it convenient to start by rewriting (4) as:

$$L(X_{CW}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \ell_k(u_k),$$
 (9)

with u_k as defined in (5), and then calculate derivatives of L with respect to t_{CW} and R_{CW} via repeated application of the Chain Rule, using your results from (a), (c), and (e).

(g) Now let us consider the specific example in which we have 4 landmarks, located at the vertices of the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$wp_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad wp_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad wp_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad wp_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (10)

Suppose that after taking an image of these points with our camera, these landmarks appear at the following locations in the image:

$$\tilde{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} .866 \\ .289 \\ -.408 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -.945 \\ .189 \\ -.267 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{u}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -.189 \\ -.567 \\ .802 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{u}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -.289 \\ -.866 \\ -.408 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (11)

Use the Manopt library to construct a model of the optimization problem (6), and solve it using gradient descent. Report the estimated camera pose $\hat{X}_{CW} = (\hat{t}_{CW}, \hat{R}_{CW}) \in SE(3)$ obtained from (6), and submit the code that you wrote to implement the optimization.