

Problem 1

Assume the sorted array is in decreasing order, if not use $n - i$ to access element i . Let $s = 1, e = n, mid = \lfloor (s + e)/2 \rfloor$, then compare $A[mid]$ with mid^2 . If $A[mid] > mid^2$, let $s = mid + 1, mid = \lfloor (s + e)/2 \rfloor$; if $A[mid] < mid^2$, let $e = mid - 1, mid = \lfloor (s + e)/2 \rfloor$; then continue to compare $A[mid]$ and mid^2 recursively. If $A[mid] = mid^2$, we just find that wanted index, but until $s > e$, we still cannot find $A[mid] = mid^2$, we can output "does not exist".

Proof. Every time we look at the number $A[mid]$ in the middle of the array, there are three possibilities:

- If $A[mid] > mid^2$, and because the array is in decreasing order, we have $A[mid - 1] > A[mid] > mid^2 > (mid - 1)^2$, therefore each element before $A[mid]$ will always be greater than the square of its index. Consequently, we only need to look at the right part.
- If $A[mid] < mid^2$, we have $A[mid + 1] < A[mid] < mid^2 < (mid + 1)^2$, then all elements after $A[mid]$ will be less than their indices respectively. Consequently, we only need to look at the left part.
- If $A[mid] = mid^2$, we just find what we need.

In all these cases, we perform one probes of the array A and reduce the problem to one of at most half the size. Thus the runtime will be $T(n) = T(n/2) + c$, namely $T(n) = O(\log n)$. \square

Problem 2

The algorithm is:

- 1) divide the array into two groups recursively.
- 2) find the largest-sum subinterval in each group.
- 3) while merging, first we should find the largest-sum subinterval in the merged group, starting from the middle mid of the group. From middle to the left of the group, we find a index a , where the sum of interval $[a, mid]$ is the largest one in the left half, only if not negative. Similarly, we can find a index b which makes $[mid, b]$ the interval with largest non-negative sum. Finally, we will get the largest-sum interval $[a, b]$ by concatenating $[a, mid]$ and $[mid, b]$.
- 4) then we choose the largest sum subinterval from those three, pushing it to the upper level of merging.

Proof. The step 1 will take constant time, and the step 2 will take $T(n/2)$ time, so the crucial part is the step 3, the merging step. Once we prove that in step 3, we can get the required subinterval, then we are done.

The algorithm maintains a property that every merging will provide the largest sum of subintervals in the merged group.

Base case: at the bottom of the recurrence, each group will have only one number, therefore the only number is the largest-sum subinterval.

Inductive step: assume at level i , the merging result of each merged group is the largest-sum subinterval in it. Then we step into level $i + 1$. While merging two groups g_L and g_R , we know from level i that intervals $[a_L, b_L], [a_R, b_R]$ are the largest-sum subintervals in g_L and g_R respectively. Besides these two intervals, we should also consider the intervals which cross both groups, because at level i , we only have the largest-sum interval from each of g_L and g_R , not both.

By combining the largest-sum interval ending in the last element of g_L with the one starting at the first element of g_R , we can get the largest-sum interval across two group. Suppose not. Assume the combined interval is $[a, b]$, then there must be another interval $[a', b']$ which also cross two groups has larger sum than $[a, b]$. In accordance with the algorithm, assuming the last element of g_L is x , the first element of g_R is y , the sum of $[a, x]$ is greater than that of $[a', x]$, and the sum of $[y, b]$ is greater than that of $[y, b']$, so the sum of $[a, b]$ is greater than $[a', b']$. It contradicts with the assumption. So $[a, b]$ is the interval with largest sum. And the way of finding $[a, b]$ is simply picking out the largest sum of intervals from the middle element to left end and right end, which should take $O(n)$ time.

Consequently, after choosing the largest one from $[a_L, b_L], [a_R, b_R]$ and $[a, b]$, we can get the largest-sum interval at level $i + 1$. \square

The runtime is $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$, namely $T(n) = O(n \log n)$

Problem 3

We can use convolution to solve this problem.

First, we build a vector for the coefficients.

Let $w = (\frac{c}{(n-1)^2}, \frac{c}{(n-2)^2}, \dots, \frac{c}{2^2}, \frac{c}{1^2}, 0, -\frac{c}{1^2}, -\frac{c}{2^2}, \dots, -\frac{c}{(n-2)^2}, -\frac{c}{(n-1)^2})$ and $b = (q_n, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_2, q_1)$. Then we can use FFT to calculate the convolution $S_j = \sum_{(k,l): k+l=2n-1+j} w_k b_l$. And $F_j = S_j \times q_j$.

The FFT way of the convolution will take $O(n \log n)$, and the multiplication will take $O(n)$. So total runtime is $O(n \log n)$.

Problem 4