

# Manual CIRF Analysis - Batch Processing System

## INITIAL PROMPT FOR FIRST 20 CASES

Prompt for New Claude Conversation:

---

## Cultural Entrepreneurship CIRF Analysis - Batch 1 (Cases 1-20)

### PROJECT CONTEXT

I'm conducting doctoral research validating the Cultural Innovation Resilience Framework (CIRF) for cultural entrepreneurship. I need systematic analysis of cultural entrepreneurship cases to test whether CIRF components predict success/failure outcomes.

### CIRF FRAMEWORK (13 Components)

**Operational Pillars (1-4):**

1. **Economic Value Creation** - Sustainable revenue, financial viability, job creation
2. **Cultural Integrity** - Authentic cultural preservation, respectful representation
3. **Adaptability** - Response to changing conditions while maintaining core identity
4. **Social Empowerment** - Community capacity building, leadership development

**Community Control Filters (5-9):** 5. **Community Benefit** - Positive community impact and development 6.

**Cultural Protection** - Active heritage safeguarding and preservation 7. **Community Relevance** - Alignment with community needs and priorities 8. **Sustainable Development** - Long-term environmental, economic, social viability 9. **Dignity & Empowerment** - Community self-determination and respectful treatment

**Resilience Capacities (10-13):** 10. **Protective Capacity** - Ability to safeguard cultural assets from threats 11. **Adaptive Capacity** - Flexibility while maintaining cultural identity 12. **Transformative Capacity** - Innovation while preserving essential culture 13. **Generative Capacity** - Creation of new opportunities from cultural assets

### TASK REQUIREMENTS

#### Step 1: Find 20 Cultural Entrepreneurship Cases

Search for documented cases using these criteria:

**Search Terms:**

- "cultural entrepreneurship" + "case study"
- "indigenous business" + "success" OR "failure"

- "traditional craft cooperative" + "evaluation"
- "cultural tourism enterprise" + "analysis"
- "ethnic minority business" + "study"
- "social enterprise cultural" + "impact"
- "community cultural enterprise" + "assessment"

### **Inclusion Criteria:**

- Documented cultural entrepreneurship initiative
- Clear outcome information (success/failure/mixed)
- Sufficient detail to assess CIRF components
- Credible source (academic, government, reputable organization)
- English language or reliable translation

### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Purely theoretical cases
- Insufficient detail for CIRF assessment
- Unclear outcomes
- Non-credible sources

### **Step 2: Create Analysis Table**

For each case, provide this information:

Case#	Enterprise Name	Source	Location	Sector	Outcome	Brief Description	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
-------	--------------------	--------	----------	--------	---------	----------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

### **CIRF Scoring:**

- **1** = Component clearly present/satisfied
- **0** = Component clearly absent/violated
- **0.5** = Component partially present or unclear evidence

### **Outcome Classification:**

- **Success** = Clearly successful, thriving, sustainable
- **Failure** = Clearly failed, closed, discontinued

- **Mixed** = Some success, some challenges
- **Unclear** = Insufficient information to determine

### **Step 3: Provide Detailed Analysis**

For each case, explain:

- Why you scored each CIRF component as you did
- Key evidence supporting the scores
- Overall assessment of the case
- Notable patterns or insights

### **Step 4: Batch Summary**

Provide summary analysis:

- Most commonly present CIRF components
- Most commonly absent CIRF components
- Success vs. failure patterns
- Geographic/sector patterns
- Preliminary insights about CIRF validity

## **OUTPUT FORMAT**

Please provide:

1. **Complete table** with all 20 cases
2. **Detailed scoring justification** for each case
3. **Batch summary analysis**
4. **Quality assessment** of sources found
5. **Recommendations** for next batch focus

## **ACADEMIC RIGOR REQUIREMENTS**

- Use only credible, documented sources
- Provide full citations for each case
- Be conservative in scoring (if unclear, score as absent)
- Note limitations and uncertainties
- Maintain consistent scoring criteria across all cases

Begin the analysis now.

---

## **CONTINUATION PROMPT TEMPLATE (For Batches 2, 3, 4, etc.)**

### **Prompt for Each Subsequent Batch:**

---

## **Cultural Entrepreneurship CIRF Analysis - Batch [X] (Cases [X1-X20])**

### **CONTINUATION OF SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS**

This is Batch [X] of my ongoing doctoral research validating the CIRF framework. I need 20 more cultural entrepreneurship cases analyzed using the same methodology.

### **PREVIOUS BATCH INSIGHTS**

[Insert key findings from previous batch - most violated components, success patterns, etc.]

### **SEARCH FOCUS FOR THIS BATCH**

Based on previous analysis, prioritize finding cases in:

- **Geographic regions:** [Specify regions needing more coverage]
- **Sectors:** [Specify sectors needing more coverage]
- **Outcome types:** [Specify if need more successes/failures]
- **Cultural contexts:** [Specify cultural contexts needing coverage]

### **SAME METHODOLOGY AS BATCH 1**

Use identical CIRF scoring criteria and table format as established in Batch 1.

### **REQUIRED CONSISTENCY CHECKS**

- Maintain same scoring standards as previous batches
- Note any cases that seem to contradict previous patterns
- Flag any scoring uncertainties for discussion
- Cross-reference with similar cases from previous batches

## CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS REQUEST

In addition to the 20 new cases, provide:

1. **Running totals** across all batches analyzed so far
2. **Emerging patterns** from cumulative dataset
3. **Statistical trends** in CIRF component presence/absence
4. **Success/failure correlation** patterns emerging
5. **Geographic/sector pattern** development

## OUTPUT REQUIREMENTS

1. **Table with 20 new cases** (same format as Batch 1)
2. **Individual case justifications**
3. **Batch [X] summary**
4. **Cumulative analysis** across all batches
5. **Recommendations** for Batch [X+1] focus

Begin Batch [X] analysis now, maintaining consistency with previous methodology.

---

## PROGRESS TRACKING TEMPLATE

**Keep This Record Between Batches:**

### CIRF ANALYSIS PROGRESS LOG

#### Batch 1: Cases 1-20

- Date completed: [Date]
- Success cases: [#]
- Failure cases: [#]
- Mixed cases: [#]
- Average CIRF score: [#,/#/13]
- Most violated components: [List]
- Key insights: [Notes]

#### Batch 2: Cases 21-40

- Date completed: [Date]
- Success cases: [#]
- Failure cases: [#]
- Mixed cases: [#]

- Average CIRF score: [#./13]
- Most violated components: [List]
- Key insights: [Notes]

[Continue for each batch...]

#### CUMULATIVE TOTALS:

- Total cases analyzed: [#]
- Total success cases: [#] ([%])
- Total failure cases: [#] ([%])
- Overall average CIRF score: [#./13]
- Most consistently violated components: [List]
- Strongest success predictors: [List]

## QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLIST

### After Each Batch, Verify:

- All 20 cases have complete CIRF scoring
- All sources are credible and properly cited
- Scoring is consistent with previous batches
- Outcome classifications are clear and justified
- Table format is consistent
- Cumulative analysis is updated
- Progress log is updated

## STRATEGIC BATCH PLANNING

### Recommended Batch Sequence:

**Batch 1-2:** Mixed search for baseline establishment **Batch 3-4:** Focus on clear success cases **Batch 5-6:** Focus on clear failure cases **Batch 7-8:** Focus on underrepresented geographic regions **Batch 9-10:** Focus on underrepresented sectors **Batch 11-12:** Focus on mixed/unclear outcomes **Batch 13+:** Fill gaps identified in cumulative analysis

### Target Distribution (Across All Batches):

- **40% Success cases** (for positive validation)
- **40% Failure cases** (for negative validation)
- **20% Mixed/Unclear cases** (for boundary testing)

### Geographic Distribution Target:

- **25% North America** (Indigenous, ethnic minorities)

- **25% Europe** (Traditional crafts, cultural tourism)
- **25% Asia-Pacific** (Cultural heritage, community enterprises)
- **15% Africa** (Community-based cultural initiatives)
- **10% Latin America** (Indigenous, cultural cooperatives)

#### **Sector Distribution Target:**

- **30% Cultural Tourism** (most documented sector)
- **25% Traditional Crafts/Artisan** (clear cultural component)
- **20% Social Enterprises** (cultural focus)
- **15% Heritage/Museum** (institutional cultural enterprises)
- **10% Other** (festivals, creative industries, etc.)

## **FINAL COMPILATION PROMPT**

#### **After Collecting Desired Number of Cases:**

---

## **CIRF Framework Validation - Final Comprehensive Analysis**

### **COMPLETE DATASET ANALYSIS**

I have completed [X] batches totaling [#] cultural entrepreneurship cases. Please provide comprehensive analysis:

#### **1. Statistical Validation**

- Correlation between CIRF scores and success outcomes
- Component importance ranking
- Success/failure threshold identification
- Geographic and sector pattern analysis

#### **2. Framework Validation**

- Evidence for/against CIRF as predictive framework
- Most critical components for success
- Component interactions and synergies
- Framework completeness assessment

### **3. Practical Applications**

- CIRF-based assessment tool recommendations
- Policy implications for cultural enterprise support
- Best practices for cultural entrepreneurs
- Risk factors and warning signs

### **4. Academic Contributions**

- Novel insights about cultural entrepreneurship
- Theoretical implications for cultural innovation
- Methodological contributions to the field
- Future research recommendations

Provide doctoral-level comprehensive analysis suitable for thesis integration.

---

This systematic batch approach gives you complete control while maintaining academic rigor. You can adapt the focus of each batch based on what you discover, ensuring comprehensive coverage of your research domain.