

# Homogeneous distributions and the Mellin transform

## Contents

A. Polar distributions

B. Homogeneous distributions

C. The Radon transform

D. The Mellin transform

E. The family  $P_+(x, y)^\lambda$

**Introduction.** We establish some results where analytic function theory is used in connection with distributions and asymptotic expansions. Special attention in the first sections is given to homogeneous distributions in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . while the last section exposes a famous result due to Mellin in [Mellin] which has a wide range of applications. A separate section is devoted to the Radon transform where the inversion formula has a wide range of applications but we shall not pursue the discussion any further. The reader may consult Helgason's text-book [Helgason] for the theory about radon transforms which include the case of higher dimension. In § 4 we establish an important result due to Mellin in [Mellin] and § 5 contains more advanced material which relies upon  $\mathcal{D}$ -module theory. So the presentation is expository in this section.

## A. Polar distributions

In the  $(x, y)$ -plane we can take polar coordinates where  $x = r \cdot \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \cdot \sin \theta$ . If  $\phi(x, y)$  belongs to the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  of rapidly decreasing  $C^\infty$ -functions we restrict  $\phi$  to the circle of radius  $r$  which after a dilation is identified with unit circle  $T$  and obtain the  $\theta$ -periodic function

$$(0.1) \quad \theta \mapsto \phi_r(\theta) = \phi(r \cdot \cos \theta, r \cdot \sin \theta)$$

Let  $\nu$  be a distribution on  $T$ . For each  $r > 0$  we can evaluate  $\nu$  on the  $C^\infty(T)$ -function  $\phi_r$  which yields a function:

$$(0.2) \quad r \mapsto \nu(\phi_r) \quad : \quad r > 0$$

**A.0 Exercise.** Show that (2) gives a  $C^\infty$ -function defined on  $\{r > 0\}$ . More precisely, verify that the first order derivative becomes:

$$\frac{d}{dr}(\nu(\phi_r)) = \nu(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_x(\phi)(r \cdot \cos \theta, r \cdot \sin \theta) + \sin \theta \cdot \partial_y(\phi)(r \cdot \cos \theta, r \cdot \sin \theta))$$

More generally, show that for each  $m \geq 2$  the derivative of order  $m$  becomes:

$$(*) \quad \frac{d^m}{dr^m}(\nu(\phi_r)) = \sum_{j=0}^{j=m} \binom{m}{j} \nu(\cos^j \theta \cdot \sin^{m-j} \theta \cdot \partial_x^j \partial_y^{m-j}(\phi)_r)$$

Show also that the function in (0.2) decreases rapidly as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . More precisely, for each positive integer  $N$  one has

$$(**) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^N \cdot \nu(\phi_r) = 0$$

**A.1 The function  $V_\lambda$ .** Using (\*\*) above it follows that if  $\lambda$  is a complex number with  $\Re(\lambda) > -2$ , then there exists the absolutely convergent integral

$$(1) \quad V_\lambda(\phi) = \int_0^\infty r^{\lambda+1} \cdot \nu(\phi_r) \cdot dr$$

**A.2 Exercise** Show that  $V_\lambda$  is an analytic function of  $\lambda$  in the open half-plane  $\Re(\lambda) > -2$  and use a partial integration with respect to  $r$  to show that:

$$(\lambda + 2)V_\lambda(\phi) = - \int_0^\infty r^{\lambda+2} \cdot \frac{d}{dr}[\nu(\phi_r)] \cdot dr$$

Continue this procedure and show that for every  $N \geq 1$  one has:

$$(*) \quad (\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + 2 + N) \cdot V_\lambda(\phi) = (-1)^{N+1} \int_0^\infty r^{\lambda+2+N} \cdot \frac{d^N}{dr^N}[\nu(\phi_r)] \cdot dr$$

Together with (\*) in Exercis A.0 we can conclude that following:

**A.3 Proposition.** *For each  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  it follows that  $V_\lambda(\phi)$  extends to a meromorphic function in the whole complex  $\lambda$ -plane with at most simple poles at the integers  $-2, -3, \dots$*

**A.4 Polar distributions.** As  $\phi$  varies in  $\mathcal{S}$  we obtain a distribution-valued function  $V_\lambda$ . If  $\delta > 0$  and  $\phi(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}$  is identically zero in the disc  $\{x^2 + y^2 < \delta^2\}$ , then we only integrate (1) in A.1 when  $r \geq \delta$  and we notice that the function

$$\lambda \mapsto \int_\delta^\infty r^{\lambda+1} \cdot \nu(\phi_r) \cdot dr$$

is an entire function of  $\lambda$  whose complex derivative is given by

$$\lambda \mapsto \int_\delta^\infty \log r \cdot r^{\lambda+1} \cdot \nu(\phi_r) \cdot dr$$

Regarding the distribution-valued function  $V_\lambda$  this means that eventual poles consist of Dirac distributions at the origin. Let us first study if a pole can occur at  $-2$ . With  $\lambda = -2 + \zeta$  we have

$$(i) \quad \zeta \cdot V_{-2+\zeta}(\phi) = - \int_0^\infty r^\zeta \cdot \frac{d}{dr}[\nu(\phi_r)] \cdot dr$$

Since  $r^\zeta \rightarrow 1$  holds for each  $r > 0$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ , the right hand side has the limit

$$(ii) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr}[\nu(\phi_r)] \cdot dr = \nu(\phi_0) = \nu(1_T) \cdot \phi(0)$$

where  $1_T$  is the identity function on  $T$  on which  $\nu$  is evaluated. Hence  $V_\lambda$  has a pole at  $\lambda = -2$  if and only if  $\nu(1_T) \neq 0$  and in this case the polar distribution is  $\nu(1_T)$  times the Dirac distribution  $\delta_0$ .

**A.5 Exercise** Use the functional equation formula (\*) in A.2 to show the following:

**A.6 Proposition** *For each  $N \geq 1$  the polar distribution at  $\lambda = -N - 2$  is zero if and only if*

$$\nu(\cos^j \theta \cdot \sin^k \theta) = 0$$

*hold for all pairs of non-negative integers  $j, k$  with  $j + k = N$ .*

**Remark.** Thus, no pole occurs at  $\lambda = -N - 2$  if and only if  $\nu$  vanishes on the  $N + 1$ -dimensional subspace of  $C^\infty(T)$  spanned by  $\{\cos^j \theta \cdot \sin^{N-j} \theta \quad : 0 \leq j \leq N\}$ . Next, if a pole occurs we have a Laurent series:

$$V_{-N-2+z} = \frac{\gamma_N}{\zeta} + V_{-N-2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \rho_j \cdot \zeta^n$$

where  $\gamma_N$  is the polar distribution.

**A.7 Exercise,** Show that if a pole occurs then  $\gamma_N$  is the Dirac distribution given by:

$$(*) \quad \gamma_N(\phi) = \frac{1}{N!} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^N \nu((\cos^j \theta \cdot \sin^{N-j} \theta) \cdot \partial_x^j \partial_y^{N-j}(\phi)(0))$$

### B. Homogeneous distributions.

A distribution  $\mu$  defined outside the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is homogeneous of degree  $\lambda$  if

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mu) = \lambda \cdot \mu$$

where  $\mathcal{E} = x\partial_x + y\partial_y$  is the radial vector field. Denote by  $\mathcal{S}^*(\lambda)$  the family of all  $\lambda$ -homogeneous distribution in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

**B.1 Proposition.**  $\mathcal{S}^*(\lambda)$  is in a 1-1 correspondence with  $\mathfrak{Db}(T)$  when we for every distribution  $\nu$  on  $T$  consider the restriction of  $V_\lambda$  to  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

**B.2 Exercise.** Prove this result. The hint is to verify that one has the equality

$$\mathcal{E}(V_\lambda) = \lambda \cdot V_\lambda$$

when one starts from an arbitrary distribution  $\nu$  on  $T$ .

**B.3 The space  $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda]$ .** This the space of tempered distributions on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which are everywhere homogeneous. So a tempered distribution  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda]$  if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \lambda \cdot \mu$$

where the equality holds in  $\mathcal{S}^*$ .

**B.4 Example of distributions in  $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda]$ .** Let  $\nu$  be a distribution on  $T$  and construct the meromorphic function  $V_\lambda$ . It is clear that

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{E}(V_\lambda) = \lambda \cdot V_\lambda \quad \text{holds when} \quad \Re(\lambda) > -2$$

Let  $\lambda_*$  be a complex number such that  $V_\lambda$  has no pole at  $\lambda_*$ . By analyticity it follows from (i) that the constant term  $V_{\lambda_*}$  satisfies

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{E}(V_{\lambda_*}) = \lambda_* \cdot V_{\lambda_*}$$

Hence  $V_{\lambda_*}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda_*]$ . By Proposition A.3 no poles occur when  $\lambda_*$  is outside the set  $\{-2, -3, \dots\}$  which gives the following:

**B.5 Proposition.** For each  $\lambda_*$  outside the set  $\{-2, -3, \dots\}$  there exists a bijective map

$$\nu \mapsto V_{\lambda_*}$$

from  $\mathfrak{Db}(T)$  into  $\mathcal{S}^*[\lambda_*]$ .

**B.6 The action by  $\mathcal{E}$  on Dirac distributions.** Using Dirac distributions at the origin we shall construct homogeneous distributions which do not arise via distributions from  $T$  as above. To begin with the complex vector space of all Dirac distributions is a direct sum of the subspaces

$$(1) \quad \text{Dirac}[m] = \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \partial_x^k \partial_y^j(\delta_0) \quad : \quad j + k = m$$

where  $m$  are non-negative integers. Next, in the ring  $\mathcal{D}$  of differential operators we have the identity

$$\mathcal{E} = \partial_x \cdot x + \partial_y \cdot y - 2$$

Since  $x \cdot \delta_0 = y \cdot \delta_0 = 0$  it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\delta_0) = -2 \cdot \delta_0$$

In general the reader may verify by an induction over  $m$  that

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}(\gamma) = -(m+2) \cdot \gamma \quad \text{hold for all} \quad \gamma \in \text{Dirac}[m]$$

Hence  $\text{Dirac}[-m-2]$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{S}^*[-m-2]$  which has dimension  $m+1$ . In particular  $\mathcal{S}^*[-2]$  contains the 1-dimensional vector space generated by  $\delta_0$ .

### B.7 The description of $\mathcal{S}^*[-2-N]$

Let  $m$  be a non-negative integer. Denote by  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)[m+2]$  the set of distributions  $\nu$  on  $T$  such that  $V_\lambda$  has no pole at  $-2-m$ . From B.4 it follows that one has an injective map

$$(*) \quad \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)[m+2] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^*[-2-m]$$

**B.8 Exercise.** Show that

$$\mathcal{S}^*[-2-m] = \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)[m+2] \oplus \text{Dirac}[m]$$

hold for every integer  $m \geq 0$ .

**B.9 A converse to (\*).** Let  $m$  be a non-negative integer and consider a distribution  $\nu$  on  $T$ . At  $\lambda = -m-2$  we have the constant term  $V_{-m-2}$  of the Laurent expansion of  $V_\lambda$ . We have seen that the distribution  $V_{-m-2}$  is homogeneous of order  $-m-2$  if no pole occurs. It turns out that the absence of a pole also is necessary in order that  $V_{-2-m}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^*[-2-m]$ . To show this we suppose that a pole is present which gives the Laurent series

$$V_{-2-m+\zeta} = \frac{\gamma}{\zeta} + V_{-2-m} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \cdot \zeta^j$$

where  $\gamma$  now is a non-zero Dirac distribution which belongs to  $\text{Dirac}[-2-m]$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\zeta} + V_{-m-2} + \sum \rho_j \zeta^j\right) &= (-m-2+\zeta) \cdot V_{-m-2+\zeta} = \\ &= (-m-2) \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\zeta} + \gamma + (-m-2)V_{-m-2} + \zeta \cdot V_{-2-m} + (-m-2+\zeta) \cdot \sum \rho_j \zeta^j \end{aligned}$$

Identifying the constant term we get

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}(V_{-m-2}) = \gamma - (m+2)V_{-2-m}$$

Hence the distribution  $V_{-m-2}$  fails to be homogeneous.

**B.10 Example.** Let  $\nu = 1_T$  be the identity density on  $T$ . So here

$$V_\lambda(\phi) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(r, \theta) d\theta \right] r^{\lambda+1} dr$$

Outside the origin we see that the distribution  $V_{-2}$  is given by the density function

$$f = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}$$

Moreover, it is clear that  $V_\lambda$  has a pole when  $\lambda = -2$  and whose polar distribution is  $\delta_0$ . The conclusion is that the distribution  $V_{-2}$  is not homogeneous. Notice that we encounter another obstacle since the function  $f$  above is not locally integrable at the origin so it is not clear how to define the distribution  $V_{-2}$ . If a test-function  $\phi$  is zero at the origin, then the integral

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{\phi(x, y)}{x^2 + y^2} \cdot dx dy$$

is defined. So the action by  $V_{-2}$  is determined on the hyperplane of all test-functions which are zero at the origin. There remains to evaluate  $V_{-2}(\phi)$  when  $\phi(0, 0) \neq 0$ .

### B.12 Fourier transforms.

The Fourier transform maps tempered distributions in the  $(x, y)$ -space to tempered distribution in the  $(\xi, \eta)$ -space. By the laws from XX we the radial field  $\mathcal{E} = x\partial_x + y\partial_y$  is sent into the first order differential operator

$$(i\partial_\xi) \cdot i\xi + (i\partial_\eta) \cdot i\eta = -\partial_\xi \cdot \xi - \partial_\eta \cdot \eta = -\xi\partial_\xi - 1 - \eta\partial_\eta - 1 = -\mathcal{E}^* - 2$$

where  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is the Euler field in the  $(\xi, \eta)$ -space. So if  $\mu \in S^*[\lambda]$  is a homogeneous distribution in the  $(x, y)$ -space the equality  $\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \lambda \cdot \mu$  entails that

$$-(\mathcal{E}^* + 2)(\hat{\mu}) = \lambda \cdot \hat{\mu} \implies \mathcal{E}(\hat{\mu}) = -(2 + \lambda)\hat{\mu}$$

Hence the Fourier transform gives a bijective map between  $S^*[\lambda]$  and the space of homogeneous distributions in the  $(\xi, \eta)$ -space of degree  $-2 - \lambda$ .

**Example.** The Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  is homogeneous of degree  $-2$  so here  $\hat{\delta}_0$  should be in  $S^*[0]$  and this is indeed the fact since it is given by the constant density in the  $(x, \xi)$ -space. Notice also that the Fourier transform sends  $S^*[-1]$  into itself.

**B.13 The  $\lambda$ -maps on  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)$ .** Let  $\lambda$  be a complex number outside the set  $\{-2, -3, \dots\}$ . To each  $\nu \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)$  we get the distribution  $V_\lambda$  which belongs to  $S^*[\lambda]$ . It follows that the Fourier transform  $\hat{V}_\lambda$  belongs to  $S^*[-\lambda - 2]$  and this gives a unique distribution  $\nu^*$  on  $T$  such that

$$(*) \quad \hat{V}_\lambda = V_{-\lambda-2}^*$$

Keeping  $\lambda$  fixed this means that we get a bijective map from  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)$  to itself defined by

$$\nu \mapsto \nu^*$$

where the rule is that  $(*)$  holds. We denote this map by  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  and refer to this as Fourier's  $\lambda$ -map on  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}(T)$ .

**Exercise.** Assume that  $\lambda$  is outside  $\{-2, -3, \dots\}$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $P_m(\xi, \eta)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$ . Now we get a homogeneous distribution in the  $(x, \xi)$ -space of degree  $m + \lambda$  defined outside the origin by the density

$$(1) \quad P_m(\xi, \eta) \cdot (\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$$

We seek a homogeneous distribution  $\mu_\lambda$  in the  $(x, y)$ -space such that  $\hat{\mu}_\lambda$  is equal to (1). In the  $(x, y)$ -space we first consider the distribution

$$\gamma = (x^2 + y^2)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}$$

and notice that  $\hat{\gamma}$  is equal to the distribution  $(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ . Fourier's inversion formula entails that (1) is equal to

$$(2) \quad i^{-m} \cdot P_m(\partial_x, \partial_y)(\gamma)$$

**Example.** Take  $m = 1$  and  $P_1(\xi, \eta) = \xi$ .

### C. The Radon transform

In the article [Radon] from 1917 Johann Radon established an inversion formula which recaptures a test-function  $f(x, y)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  via integrals over affine lines in the  $(x, y)$ -plane. This family is parametrized by pairs  $(p, \alpha)$ , where  $p \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$  give the line  $\ell(p, \alpha)$ :

$$t \mapsto (p \cdot \cos \alpha - t \cdot \sin \alpha, p \cdot \sin \alpha + t \cdot \cos \alpha)$$

The Radon transform of  $f$  is a function of the pairs  $(\alpha, p)$  defined by:

$$(*) \quad R_\alpha(p) = \int_{\ell(p, \alpha)} f \cdot dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(p \cdot \cos \alpha - t \cdot \sin \alpha, p \cdot \sin \alpha + t \cdot \cos \alpha) \cdot dt$$

Thus, for a given  $\alpha$  we take the mean value of  $f$  over an affine line which is  $\perp$  to the vector  $(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$  and whose distance to the origin is  $|p|$ . We give an inversion formula which recaptures  $f$  from the Radon transform. To achieve this we construct the partial Fourier transform of  $R$  with respect to  $p$ , i.e. set

$$(1) \quad \widehat{R}_\alpha(\tau) = \int e^{-i\tau p} \cdot R_\alpha(p) \cdot dp$$

Consider the linear map  $(p, \tau) \mapsto (x, y)$  where

$$x = p \cdot \cos \alpha - t \cdot \sin \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad y = p \cdot \sin \alpha + t \cdot \cos \alpha \implies$$

$$(2) \quad p = \cos(\alpha) \cdot x + \sin(\alpha) \cdot y$$

Since  $\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha = 1$  this substitution gives  $dpdt = dx dy$  and hence (2) entails that

$$(3) \quad \widehat{R}_\alpha(\tau) = \int e^{-i\tau(x \cdot \cos \alpha + y \cdot \sin \alpha)} \cdot f(x, y) \cdot dx dy = \widehat{f}(\tau \cdot \cos \alpha, \tau \cdot \sin \alpha)$$

Next, Fourier's inversion formula applied to  $f$  gives:

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \cdot \int e^{i(x\xi + y\eta)} \cdot \widehat{f}(\xi, \eta) \cdot d\xi d\eta$$

Now we use the substitution  $(\tau, \alpha) \mapsto (\xi, \eta)$  where

$$\xi = \cos(\alpha) \cdot \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \sin(\alpha) \cdot \tau$$

Here  $d\xi d\eta = |\tau| \cdot d\tau d\alpha$  and then (3) gives the equality

$$(*) \quad f(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\pi \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\tau(x \cdot \cos \alpha + y \cdot \sin \alpha)} \cdot \widehat{R}_\alpha(\tau) \cdot |\tau| \cdot d\tau \right] \cdot d\alpha$$

To get an inversion formula where the partial Fourier transform  $\widehat{R}_f(\alpha, \tau)$  does not appear we apply the Fourier's inversion formula in dimension one. Namely, for each  $A > 0$  we set

$$(4) \quad K_A(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-A}^A e^{i\tau u} \cdot |\tau| \cdot d\tau$$

This function admits a alternative description since we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_A(u) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^A \tau \cdot \cos(\tau u) \cdot d\tau = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{d}{du} \left( \int_0^A \sin(\tau u) \cdot d\tau \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{1 - \cos(Au)}{u} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \left[ A \cdot \frac{\sin Au}{u} - \frac{1 - \cos(Au)}{u^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Next, by the convolution formula for Fourier transforms the right hand side in (\*) becomes

$$(6) \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_\alpha(x \cdot \cos \alpha + y \cdot \sin \alpha - u) \cdot K_A(u) du \right] \cdot d\alpha$$

After the substitution  $u \rightarrow \frac{s}{A}$  and applying (5) the limit in (\*) becomes

$$(**) \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_\alpha(x \cdot \cos \alpha + y \cdot \sin \alpha - \frac{s}{A}) \cdot \left( A \cdot \frac{\sin s}{s} - A \cdot \frac{1 - \cos s}{s^2} \right) \cdot ds \right] \cdot d\alpha$$

### D. The Mellin transform

In many situations one encounters a function  $J(\epsilon)$  which is defined for  $\epsilon > 0$  and has an asymptotic expansion as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  by fractional powers which means that there exists a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers  $0 < q_1 < q_2 \dots$  with  $q_N \rightarrow +\infty$  and constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  such that for every  $N$  there exists some  $\delta > 0$  which in general depends upon  $N$  and:

$$(*) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\epsilon) - (c_1 \epsilon^{q_1} + \dots + c_N \epsilon^{q_N})}{\epsilon^{q_N + \delta}} = 0$$

It is clear that the constants  $\{c_k\}$  are uniquely determined by  $J$  and the  $q$ -numbers if  $(*)$  holds. We are only concerned with the behavior of  $J$  for small  $\epsilon$  and may therefore assume that  $J(\epsilon) = 0$  when  $\epsilon > 1$ .

**The Mellin transform.** Let  $J(\epsilon)$  be some bounded and continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  and zero if  $\epsilon \geq 1$  and the integral

$$(1) \quad \int_0^1 |J(\epsilon)| \cdot \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon} < \infty$$

When  $\Re(\lambda) > 0$  we set

$$(2) \quad M(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot \int_0^1 J(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\lambda-1} \cdot d\epsilon$$

It is clear that  $M(\lambda)$  is an analytic function in the right half-plane  $\Re(\lambda) > 0$  which by (1) extends to a continuous function on the closed half-plane. Moreover, if we assume that  $J$  has an asymptotic expansion  $(*)$  it follows that  $M(\lambda)$  extends to a meromorphic function in the whole complex  $\lambda$ -plane whose poles are contained in the set  $\{-q_k\}$ . In fact, this follows easily via  $(*)$  since

$$\lambda \int_0^1 \epsilon^q \cdot \epsilon^{\lambda-1} d\epsilon = \frac{1}{\lambda + q} \quad \text{for every } q > 0$$

Along the imaginary axis we have

$$(3) \quad M(is) = is \int_0^1 J(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{is} \cdot \frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon}$$

Apart from the factor  $i$  this is the Fourier transform of  $J$  on the multiplicative line  $\mathbf{R}^+$ . So by XXX one has the inversion formula

$$(4) \quad J(\epsilon) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} J_R(\epsilon) = \int_R^R \epsilon^{-is} \cdot \frac{M(is)}{is} \cdot ds$$

Mellin discovered a reverse process where one from the start only assumes that (1) holds after an asymptotic expansion  $(*)$  is derived when  $M(\lambda)$  extends to a meromorphic function with simple poles confined to a set  $\{-q_k\}$  of strictly negative real numbers which in addition satisfies certain growth conditions which we give below.

**The Mellin conditions.** Let  $M(\lambda)$  be a meromorphic function in the complex  $\lambda$ -plane with simple poles at a strictly decreasing sequence of negative numbers  $\{-q_\nu\}$  where  $0 < q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ . We say that the meromorphic function satisfies the Mellin conditions when the following hold:

*For every positive integer  $N$  there exists some  $q_N < A < q_{N+1}$  such that the following two limit formulas hold:*

$$(i) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \cdot \int_{-R}^R |M(-A + is)| \cdot ds = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{-A} [\epsilon^{-t-iR} \cdot \frac{M(t+iR)}{t+iR} - [\epsilon^{-t+iR} \cdot \frac{M(t-iR)}{t-iR}]] \cdot dt = 0$$

**3. Theorem.** *If the meromorphic function  $M(\lambda)$  satisfies the Mellin conditions it follows that the  $J$ -function defined by*

$$J(\epsilon) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \epsilon^{-is} \cdot \frac{M(is)}{is} ds$$

has an asymptotic expansion where the constants  $\{c_k\}$  which appear in (\*) are given by:

$$c_k = \text{res}(M : q_k) \quad : \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* With  $\lambda = t + is$  we consider line integrals over rectangles

$$(5) \quad \square_{R,A} = \{-A < t < 0\} \cap \{-R < s < R\}$$

where one for an arbitrary positive integer  $N$  choose  $A$  so that

$$(6) \quad q_N < A < q_{N+1}$$

With  $\epsilon > 0$  kept fixed we have the analytic function in  $\square_{R,A}$  defined by

$$(6) \quad \lambda \mapsto \epsilon^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{M(\lambda)}{\lambda}$$

Cauchy's residue formula gives the equality:

$$(i) \quad 2\pi i \cdot J_R(\epsilon) = 2\pi i \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{k=N} q_k^{-1} \cdot \text{res}(M(\lambda) : q_k) \cdot \epsilon^{q_k} + I_1(R, A) + I_2(R, A)$$

where

$$(ii) \quad I_1(R) = \int_0^{-A} [\epsilon^{-t-iR} \cdot \frac{M(t+iR)}{t+iR} - [\epsilon^{-t+iR} \cdot \frac{M(t-iR)}{t-iR}]] \cdot dt$$

$$(iii) \quad I_2(R) = - \int_{-R}^R \epsilon^{A-is} \cdot \frac{M(-A+is)}{-A+is} \cdot ds$$

The triangle inequality gives

$$(3.1) \quad |I_2(R)| \leq \epsilon^A \cdot \int_{-R}^R \left| \frac{M(-A+is)}{-A+is} \right| \cdot ds \leq \epsilon^A \cdot \frac{1}{R} \cdot \int_{-R}^R |M(-A+is)| \cdot ds$$

Above we have  $A > q_N$  and hence  $\epsilon^A$  gives an admissible error for an asymptotic expansion up to order  $N$  and Theorem § XX follows.

**4. The case of multiple roots.** Keeping Meelin's conditions one can relax the hypothesis that the poles of  $M(\lambda)$  are simple and obtain an asymptotic expansion of the  $J$ -function where the terms  $\{c_k \epsilon^{q_k}\}$  in (\*) are replaced by finite sums of the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_k-1} c_{k,\nu} \cdot (\log \epsilon)^\nu \cdot \epsilon^{q_k}$$

where  $m_k$  is the multiplicity of the pole of  $M(\lambda)$  at  $q_k$ . To see this we notice that while Cauchy's residue formula was applied during the proof above the terms  $2\pi i \cdot q_k^{-1} \text{res}(M(\lambda) : q_k)$  are replaced by

$$(2) \quad 2\pi i \cdot \text{res}(\epsilon^{-\lambda} M(\lambda) : q_k)$$

For a given  $k$  we set  $\lambda = -q_k + \zeta$  and here the residue is found via the expansion

$$\epsilon^{q_k+\zeta} = \epsilon^{q_k} \cdot \left[ 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\log \epsilon)^\nu \cdot \zeta^\nu \right]$$



**Example.** Suppose that  $M(\lambda)$  has a double pole at  $-q_k$  with a local Laurent expansion

$$M(-q_k + \zeta) = \frac{c_k}{\zeta^2} + a_0 + a_1\zeta + \dots$$

In this case the residue in (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad \text{res}(\epsilon^{-\lambda} M(\lambda) : q_k) = c_k \cdot \epsilon^{q_k} \cdot \log \epsilon$$

**Remark.** To appreciate Mellin's result one should consider various examples where the point is that other kind of methods to begin with prove that the  $M$ -function has a "nice" meromorphic extension as above. Quite extensive classes of situations where this applies are derived via  $\mathcal{D}$ -module theory. The reader may also consult the article [Barlet-Maire] where Mellin's result is extended to give complex expansions, i.e here a  $J$ -function is defined in a punctured complex disc where asymptotic expansions appear when a complex variable  $\zeta$  tends to zero instead of taking limits as in (\*) over positive real  $\epsilon$ .

Let us also point out that one can consider asymptotic expansions of distribution-valued functions. Consider for example a real-valued polynomial  $P(x, y)$  of two variables. By Sard's Lemma there exists some  $s_* > 0$  such that  $P$  has non-critical values in  $(0, s_*)$ , i.e. for every  $0 < s < s_*$  the real hypersurface  $\{P = s\}$  is a non-singular. It consists in general of a union of a (possibly empty) finite family of closed bounded curves and some union of simple curves which are unbounded. The reader should contemplate upon examples such as  $P(y, x) = y^2 - x^3 - 2x$ . In addition to "naive geometric pictures" one can study distribution-valued functions. Namely, for each  $\phi(x, y)$  in the Schwartz class we set

$$J_\phi(s) = \int_{\{P=s\}} \phi \cdot dx$$

where one has taken a sum of line integrals over the family of curves which constitute  $\{P = s\}$  and they have been oriented in a natural fashion. Now one attains an asymptotic expansion which gives a far more detailed description of the "naive geometric pictures". Namely, one finds that the  $\mathcal{S}^*$ -valued  $J$ -function has an asymptotic expansion (\*) whose coefficients are tempered distributions. That such asymptotic expansions exist was shown by Marcel Riesz in special cases and used to construct fundamental solutions. See also the text-book series [Gelfand-et.al]. In a more general set-up where  $P(x, y)$  is an arbitrary polynomial and even can depend upon more than two variables, the existence of an asymptotic expansion was established by Nils Nilsson in the impressive article [Nilsson]. Later work has employed Hironaka's desingularisation which and far-reaching studies of asymptotic expansions as above occur in  $\mathcal{D}$ -module theory where one foremost should mention contributions by Barlet. Here it would bring us too far to expose this theory in detail. For a general account about  $\mathcal{D}$ -module theory and how it is used to construct asymptotic expansions I refer to my article [Björk] in [Abel Legacy].

### E. The family $\int P_+^{2\lambda}$

Let  $P(x, y)$  be a real-valued polynomial in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . When  $\Re(\lambda) > 0$  it is clear that we obtain a tempered distribution defined by

$$(*) \quad \phi \mapsto \int_{\{P>0\}} P(x, y)^{2\lambda} \cdot \phi(x, y) \cdot dx dy$$

Moreover, this gives a distribution-valued holomorphic function in the right half-plane. More generally we can consider a connected component  $\Omega$  of the set  $\{P > 0\}$  and obtain the  $\mathcal{S}^*$ -valued function defined by:

$$(**) \quad \phi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} P(x, y)^{2\lambda} \cdot \phi(x, y) \cdot dx dy$$

It turns out that both (\*) and (\*\*) extend to meromorphic distribution valued functions in the whole complex  $\lambda$ -plane and there exists a finite set of positive rational numbers  $\{q_\nu\}$  such that the poles are contained in the set

$$\cup_{k=1}^m \mathcal{A}_k = \{-q_k - n \quad : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Moreover, these meromorphic extensions satisfy the Mellin conditions which can be used to recover the asymptotic expansions which were described in § XX.

**The functional equation.** Using algebraic properties of the Weyl algebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients, Joseph Bernstein gave a remarkable simple proof of the mere existence of the meromorphic extension of the distribution-valued function in (\*) above which we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_+^\lambda$ . More precisely, the meromorphic extension is achieved by a functional equation. Namely, the meromorphic extension in (\*) follows from the existence of a non-zero polynomial  $b(\lambda)$  in  $\mathbf{C}[\lambda]$  such that

$$(*) \quad b(\lambda) \cdot \int_{\{P>0\}} P(x, y)^{2\lambda} \cdot \phi(x, y) \cdot dx dy = \sum \lambda^k \cdot \int_{\{P>0\}} P(x, y)^{2\lambda+1} \cdot Q_k(\phi)(x, y) \cdot dx dy$$

hold for every  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  where  $\{Q_k\}$  is a finite set of differential operators indexed by non-negative integers which belong to the Weyl algebra  $A_2$ , i.e., they are globally defined differential operators with polynomial coefficients. Above one can choose  $b(\lambda)$  of smallest possible degree and it is then referred to as the *Bernstein-Sato polynomial* of  $P$ . We remark that the tribute to M. Sato stems from his early discoveries of functional equations as above. The fact that the roots of the  $b$ -function always are strictly negative rational numbers was established by Masaki Kashiwara in the article [Kashiwara] from 1975. In addition to (\*), Kashiwara also established a second functional equation which implies that Mellin's two conditions are valid. More precisely, given the polynomial  $P$  as above, it is proved in [loc.cit] that there exists a positive integer  $m$  and a finite set  $\{Q_0, \dots, Q_{m-1}\}$  in  $A_2$  such that

$$(**) \quad \lambda^m \cdot \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\phi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda^k \cdot \int_{\{P>0\}} P(x, y)^{2\lambda} \cdot Q_k(\phi)(x, y) \cdot dx dy$$