## Hardy-Littlewood's maximal function

Contents

- 1. The weak type estimate
- 2. An  $L^2$ -inequality
- 3 Harmonic functions and Fatou sectors
- 4. Application to analytic functions
- 5. Conformal maps and the Hardy space  $H^1(T)$

Introduction. The results below are due to Hardy, Littlewood and Fatou. More recent work which foremost is due to Feffermann and Stein study the interplay between Hardy spaces and functions with a bounded mean oscillation. So let us first expose some results of this more advanced naure where details of proofs can be studied from the last chapter in the book [Koosis]. Let D be the unit disc. An  $L^1$ -function u(z) in D is radially bounded if there exists a constant C such that

(\*) 
$$\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \iint_{S_h} |u(z)| \cdot dx dy \le C \cdot h$$

for each sector

$$S_h = \{z \colon \theta - h/2 < \arg z\theta + h/2\}$$

and every h > 0. The least constant C for which (\*) holds is denoted by  $|u|^*$ . Notice that  $|u|^*$  in general is strictly larger than the  $L^1$ -norm over D which occurs when we take  $h = \pi$  above. If u satisfies (\*) we define a function  $P_u$  on the unit circle by

$$P_u(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \iint_D \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \cdot u(z) \cdot dx dx y$$

With these notations one has

**0.1 Theorem** There exists an absolute constant C such that

$$|P_u|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C|u|^*$$

Thus,  $u \mapsto P_u$  sends radially bounded  $L^1(D)$ -functions to BMO(T). The proof of Theorem 0.1 is relatively easy and relies upon the following:

**Exercise.** Show that when u is radially bounded and H(z) is a harmonic function in D with continuous boundary values on T then

$$\iint_D H(z) \cdot u(z) \cdot dxdy = \int_0^{2\pi} H(e^{i\theta}) \cdot P_u(\theta) \cdot d\theta$$

A result by Fefferman. Using the duality between the Hardy space  $H^1(T)$  and BMO(T) the following converse result was proved by Fefferman:

**0.2 Theorem.** Let  $F(\theta) \in BMO(T)$ . Then there exists a radially bounded  $L^1(D)$ -function u and some  $s(\theta) \in H^{\infty}(T)$  such that

$$F(\theta) = s(\theta) + P_u(\theta)$$

Now we turn to classic results where details of proofs are supplied.

#### 1. The weak type estimate

Let f(x) be a non-negative function on the real x-line with support in a finite interval [0, A] for some A > 0. We assume that f is integrable, i.e.

$$\int_0^A f(x) \cdot dx < \infty$$

The forward maximal function of f is defined by

$$f^*(x) = \max_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) \cdot dt$$

It is clear that  $f^*$  is non-negative and supported by [0, A]. To each  $\lambda > 0$  we get the set  $\{f^* > \lambda\}$ . We shall prove an upper bound for its measure.

**1. Theorem** For each  $\lambda > 0$  one has the inequality

$$\mathbf{m}(\{f^* > \lambda\}) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \int_{\{f^* > \lambda\}} f(x) \cdot dx$$

*Proof.* Introduce the primitive function

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) \cdot dt$$

With  $\lambda > 0$  we have the continuous function  $F(x) - \lambda$  and define the forward Riesz set by:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = \{x : \exists y > x \text{ and } F(y) - \lambda y > F(x) - \lambda y\}$$

**Exercise.** Show the equality

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = \{f^* > \lambda\}$$

Now  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$  is an open set and hence a disjoint union of intervals  $\{(a_k, b_k)\}$ . With these notations one has

**Exercise.** Show the following for each interval  $(a_k, b_k)$ :

$$F(b_k) - \lambda \cdot b_k = \max_{a_k \le x \le b_k} F(x) - \lambda$$

In particular one has

$$\lambda(b_k - a_k) \le F(b_k) - F(a_k)$$

This holds for each k and after a summation over the forward Riesz intervals the requested inequality in Theorem 1 follows.

**2.** An  $L^2$ -inequality. Using Theorem 1 we shall prove that

(\*) 
$$\int_{0}^{A} f^{*}(x)^{2} \cdot dx \le \int_{0}^{A} f(x)^{2} \cdot dx$$

We use general formulas for distribution functions which in particular give:

$$\int_0^A f^*(x)^2 \cdot dx = \int_0^\infty \lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}(\{f^* > \lambda\}) \cdot d\lambda$$

By Theorem 1 the last integral is majorised by

$$\int_0^\infty \left[ \int_{\mathbf{m}(\{f^* > \lambda\}} f(x) \cdot dx \right] \cdot d\lambda \right] = \iint_{\{f^*(x) > \lambda\}} f(x) \cdot dx d\lambda = \int_0^A \left[ \int_0^{f^*(x)} d\lambda \right] \cdot f(x) \cdot dx = \int_0^A f^*(x) \cdot f(x) \cdot dx$$

Finally, by the Cauchy-Schwarts in equality the last integral is majorised by the product of  $L^2$ -norms

$$||f^*||_2 \cdot |f||_2$$

Hence

$$||f^*||_2^2 = \int_0^A f^*(x)^2 \cdot dx \le ||f^*||_2 \cdot |f||_2$$

and after a division with  $||f^*||_2$  we get

**Theorem 2.** One has the inequality

$$||f^*||_2 \le |f||_2$$

**Remark.** In a similar way we get an  $L^2$ -inequality using the backward maximal function

$$f_*(x) = \max_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x f(t) \cdot dt$$

In general we define the full maximal function

$$f^{**}(x) = \max_{a,b} \frac{1}{a+b} \int_{x-a}^{x+b} |f(t)| \cdot dt$$

with the maximum taken over pairs a, b > 0. Then we get the  $L^2$ -inequality

$$||f^{**}||_2 \le |f||_2$$

### 3. A study of harmonic functions.

Let f(t) be complex-valued function on the real t-line such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f(t)|}{1+t^2} \cdot dt < \infty$$

We also assume that

$$f^{**}(0) = \max \frac{1}{b+a} |\cdot \int_{-a}^{b} |f(t)| \cdot dt < \infty$$

where the maximum is taken over all pairs a, b > 0. Define the function V(z) = V(x + iy) in the upper half-plane y > 0 by

$$V(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} \cdot f(t) \cdot dt$$

Exercise. Prove the inequality

(1) 
$$|V(x+iy)| \le \left(\frac{|x|}{y} + 2\right)\dot{f}^{**}(0)$$

Next, define Fatou's maximal function on the real x-line by

(3) 
$$V^*(x) = \max_{y \le |s|} |V(x+s+iy)|$$

Deduce via translations of x that (1) gives the inequality

$$V^*(x) \le 3 \cdot f^*(x)$$

for all x where the maximal function  $f^{**}(x)$  is defined for every x by

$$f^{**}(0) = \max \frac{1}{b-a} | \cdot \int_{x-a}^{x+b} |f(t) \cdot dt|$$

Next, apply the Remark after Theorem 2 and conclude that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^*(x)^2 \cdot dx \le 18 \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^2 \cdot dx$$

Finally, by the construction V(x) = f(x) it follows that

(\*) 
$$||V^*||_2 \le 3\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x)^2 \cdot dx}$$

## 4. Application to analytic functions.

Let F(z) be analytic in  $\mathfrak{Im}(z) > 0$  and assume that there is a constant C such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|F(x+iy)|}{1+x^2} \cdot dx \le C \quad \text{for all} \quad y > 0$$

It means that F belongs to the Hardy space  $H^1$  in the upper half-plane  $U_+$ . We can divide out the zeros via a Blaschke product and write

$$F = B \cdot G$$

where G again belongs to  $H^1$  and has no zeros in  $U_+$ . Then  $\sqrt{G}$  is defined which gives a complex-valued harmonic function

$$V(z) = \sqrt{G(z)}$$

Now (\*) from (3) gives the inequality

(\*\*) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F^*(x)| \cdot dx \le 3\sqrt{2} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)| \cdot dx$$

where  $F^*(x)$  is Fatou's maximal function for F defined for each real x by

$$F^*(x) = \max_{y \le |s|} |F(x + is + iy)|$$

**Exercise.** Use the conformal map from  $U_+$  to the unit disc D defined by

$$w = \frac{z - i}{z + i}$$

Explain how the previous result is translated when we start from an analytic function f in D for which the boundary value function  $f(e^{i\theta})$  is in  $L^1(T)$ .

# 5. Conformal maps and the Hardy space $H^1(T)$

Let  $g(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  be analytic in D and assume that its boundary value function is integrable, i.e. there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta \le C$$

for every r < 1. In D there exists a single-valued brach of  $\log(1-z)$  whose imaginary part stays in  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and with  $z = re^{i\theta}$  we have

$$\mathfrak{Im}(\log(1-z)) = -\frac{1}{2i} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n (e^{in\theta} - e^{-in\theta})$$

Exercise. 1 Deduce from the above that

(E.1) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \mathfrak{Im}(\log(1 - re^{i\theta})) \cdot g(re^{i\theta}) \cdot d\theta = -\pi i \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \cdot r^{2n}$$

The case when  $\{b_n\}$  are real and  $\geq 0$ . If this holds then (E.1) and the triangle inequality yield:

$$\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \cdot r^{2n} \le \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta$$

So if we introduce the  $H^1(T)$ -norm

$$||g||_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta$$

it follows after a passage to the limit when  $r \to 1$  that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \le \pi \cdot |g||_1$$

**Application to conformal mappings.** Let  $\phi: D \to \Omega$  be a conformal mapping and assume that the complex derivative  $\phi'(z)$  belongs to the Hardy space  $H^1$  as above. Since  $\phi' \neq 0$  in D there exists a single-valued analytic square-root:

$$\psi(z) = \sqrt{\phi'(z)}$$

Now  $\psi$  belongs to the Hardy space  $H^2$  so if

$$\psi(z) = \sum b_n z^n \implies \sum |b_n|^2 < \infty$$

Let us then consider the  $H^2$ -function

$$\Psi(z) = \sum |b_n| z^n$$

We get

$$\Psi^2(z) = \sum A_n z^n$$
 where  $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{k=n} |b_k| \cdot |b_{n-k}|$ 

and (\*) gives:

(1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{n} \le \pi \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} |\Psi(e^{i\theta})|^2 \cdot d\theta$$

Next, consider the Taylor series

$$\phi'(z) = \sum a_n z^n \implies a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{k=n} b_k \cdot b_{n-k}$$

The triangle inequality gives  $|a_n| \leq A_n$  for each n so (1) entails that

(2) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n} < \infty$$

Finally, consider the Taylor expansion of  $\phi(z)$ :

$$\phi(z) = \sum c_n z^n$$

Here

$$nc_n = a_{n-1} : n \ge 1$$

Then it is clear that (2) implies that the series  $\sum |c_n| < \infty$ . Hence we have proved the following result which is due to Hardy:

**5. Theorem.** Let  $\phi(z)$  be a conformal map such that  $\phi'$  belongs to  $H^1$ . Then the Taylor series of  $\phi$  is absolutely convergent.

**Exercise**. Let  $\Omega$  be a Jordan domain whose boundary curve  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$  has a finite arc-length. Let  $\phi \colon D \to \Omega$  be the conformal mapping which by results from (xx) extends to a homeomorphism

from the closed disc  $\bar{D}$  onto  $\bar{\Omega}$ .' Let  $\ell(\Gamma)$  be the arc-length of  $\Gamma$ . Show that the derivative  $\phi'(z)$  belongs to the Hardy space and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi'(e^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta \le \ell(\Gamma)$$

From this it follows that the Taylor series of  $\phi(z)$  is absolutely convergent.

A hint for the exercise. To each  $n \ge 1$  we set  $\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/n}$ , i.e. the n:th root of the unity. Now  $\phi$  yields a homeomorphism from T onto  $\Gamma$ . The definition of  $\ell(\Gamma)$  gives the inequality below where we set  $\epsilon^0 = 1$ .

(1) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\phi(\epsilon^k \cdot e^{i\theta}) - \phi(\epsilon^{k-1} \cdot e^{i\theta})| \le \ell(\Gamma) \quad \text{for every} \quad 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$$

Keeping n fixed we notice that the function

$$s_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\phi(\epsilon^k \cdot z) - \phi(\epsilon^{k-1} \cdot z)|$$

is subharmonic in D. So the maximum principle for subharmonic functions and (1) give

(2) 
$$\max_{\theta} s_n(re^{i\theta}) \le \ell(\Gamma)$$

for each r < 1. Next, with r < 1 fixed the reader may verify the limit formula:

(3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi'(re^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta$$

Hence (2-3) give

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi'(re^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta \le \ell(\Gamma)$$

Now the Brothers Riesz theorem implies that  $\phi'(z)$  belongs to  $H^1(T)$ , i.e. the boundary value function  $\phi'(e^{\theta})$  exists and belongs to  $L^1(T)$ .