

## II. Null solutions of PDE:s with constant coefficients.

**Introduction.** We expose material from the article *Null solutions to partial differential operators* [Arkiv för matematik. 1959] by Lars Hörmander. The Main Theorem to be announced below contains an instructive proof where complex line integrals taken over contours adapted to the complex zeros of the polynomial  $P(\zeta)$  of  $n$  independent complex variables which corresponds to a PDE-operator  $P(D)$  are used in order to get an ample family of null solutions, i.e. functions  $u(x)$  for which  $P(D)u = 0$ . Hörmander employs Puiseux series constructed via embedded curves in the zeros of  $P(\zeta)$  to get such  $u$ -functions supported by the half-space  $\{x_n \geq 0\}$  in the case when the hyperplane  $\{x_n = 0\}$  is characteristic to the differential operator  $P(D)$ . The remaining part of the proof of the Main Theorem is based upon the Paley-Wiener theorem and duality results from general distribution theory. Here one crucial point appears. Namely, thanks to constructions due to Gevrey, there exists test-functions whose higher order derivatives have a good control which entail that their Fourier transforms enjoy certain decay conditions. So the subsequent material offers an instructive mixture of algebra and analysis. For example, one ingredient employs a density result which goes back to Pusieeux which can be considered as a sharp version of the standard Nullstellen Satz. Namely. for every algebraic hypersurface  $S = \{P(\zeta) = 0\}$  in the  $n$ -dimensional complex  $\zeta$ -space there exists an ample family of curves of two independent complex contained in  $S$  with the property that if  $g(\zeta)$  is an entire function which vanishes on all these curves then is is identically zero on  $S$ . This entails that

$$g(\zeta) = P(\zeta)h(\zeta)$$

for another entire function  $h$ . Moreover, when  $g$  is the Fourier-Laplace transform of a distribution  $\mu$  with compact support, the Paley-Wiener theorem entails that  $h = \widehat{\gamma}$  for another distribution whose compact support is contained in the convex hull of  $\mu$  which is used during the final step in the proof of the Main Theorem.

Before we announce our Main Theorem we need some notations. Let  $n \geq 2$  and in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  we consider the hyperplane  $H = \{x_n = 0\}$ . Let  $P(D)$  be a differential operator with constant coefficients. Here  $D_k = -i \cdot \partial / \partial x_k$  and by Fourier's inversion formula

$$P(D)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \int e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

for test-functions  $f(x)$ . Let  $m$  be the order of  $P(D)$  which means that

$$P(D) = \sum c_\alpha \cdot D^\alpha$$

where the sum is taken over multi-indices  $\alpha$  for which  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq m$ . The leading form is defined by

$$P_m(D) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \cdot D^\alpha$$

The hyperplane  $H$  is characteristic if  $P_m(N) = 0$  where  $N = (0, \dots, 1)$ , i.e. the term  $D_n^m$  does not appear in  $P_m(D)$  with a non-zero coefficient. Put  $H_+ = \{x_n > 0\}$  and

$$\mathcal{N}_+ = \{g \in C^\infty(H_+) : P(D)(g) = 0\}$$

Thus, we consider  $C^\infty$ -functions in the open half-plane  $H_+$  which are null solutions to  $P(D)$  in this open half-plane. A smaller space is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_* = \{g \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) : P(D)(g) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Supp}(g) \subset \overline{H_+}\}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{N}_*^\perp$  the family of distributions  $\mu$  with compact support in  $H_+$  which are zero on  $\mathcal{N}_*$ .

**Main Theorem.** *Every distribution  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{N}_*^\perp$  is zero on  $\mathcal{N}_+$*

The proof requires several steps. The crucial step is to construct functions in  $\mathcal{N}_*$  and after prove that they give a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{N}_+$ . So we begin with:

### 1. A construction of null solutions.

Let  $\xi_0$  be a real  $n$ -vector such that  $P_m(\xi_0) \neq 0$  and  $\zeta_0$  some complex  $n$ -vector. Let  $s$  and  $t$  be independent complex variables and set

$$p(s, t) = P(s \cdot N + t\xi_0 + \zeta_0)$$

This gives a polynomial where the term  $t^m$  appears since  $P_m(\xi_0) \neq 0$ . At the same time  $s^m$  does not appear because  $P_m(N) = 0$  is assumed. A classic result due to Puseux from 1852 shows that there exists a positive integer  $p$  and a series

$$(1.1) \quad t(s) = s^{k/p} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot s^{-j/p}$$

where  $0 \leq k < p$  which converges when  $|s|$  is large, i.e. there exists some  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot M^{-j/p} < \infty$$

Moreover,

$$(1.2) \quad P(s \cdot N + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) = 0 \quad : |s| \geq M$$

In the lower half-plane  $\Im m(s) < 0$  we choose a single valued branch of  $s^{1/p}$  where

$$s = |s| \cdot e^{i\phi} \implies s^{1/p} = |s|^{1/p} \cdot e^{i\phi/p} \quad : -\pi < \phi < 0$$

Next, choose a number

$$1 - 1/p < \rho < 1$$

Now  $(is)^\rho$  has a single valued branch for which

$$(1.3) \quad \Re e((is)^\rho) = \cos \frac{\rho\pi}{2} \cdot |s|^\rho \cdot \cos(\rho \cdot (\pi/2 + \phi))$$

So if  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$(1.4) \quad |e^{-\epsilon(is)^\rho}| = e^{-\epsilon \cdot \Re e((is)^\rho)} = e^{-\epsilon \cdot |s|^\rho \cdot \cos(\rho(\pi/2 + \phi))}$$

Since  $\rho < 1$  we notice that

$$\cos(\rho(\pi/2 + \phi)) \geq \cos \rho\pi/2 = a$$

for all  $-\pi < \phi < 0$  where  $a$  is a positive constant. It follows that

$$(1.5) \quad |e^{-\epsilon(is)^\rho}| \leq e^{-a\epsilon \cdot |s|^\rho}$$

for all  $s$  in the lower half-plane, and also when  $s$  is real.

Let  $M$  be as above and denote by  $C_*$  the circle in the lower half-plane which consists of the two real intervals  $(-\infty, -M)$  and  $(M, +\infty)$  and the lower half-circle where

$|s| = M$ . For each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and every non-negative integer  $\nu$  we get the complex line integral

$$(*) \quad \int_{C_*} e^{i\langle x, sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0 \rangle} \cdot s^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{-\epsilon(is)^\rho} ds$$

This integral is absolutely convergent. Namely, during the integration on the real interval  $(-\infty, -M)$  or the real interval  $[M, +\infty)$  we see that (1.5) gives estimates the absolute value of the integrand by

$$(1.6) \quad |s|^{\nu/p} \cdot |e^{it(s)\langle x, \xi_0 \rangle}| \cdot e^{-a\epsilon \cdot |s|^\rho}$$

Next, the Puiseux expansion for  $t(s)$  entails that

$$|t(s)| \leq A|s|^{1-1/p}$$

hold for some constant  $A$ . Since  $\rho > 1 - 1/p$  It follows that (.6) is majorised by

$$(1.6) \quad |s|^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{A \cdot |\langle x, \xi_0 \rangle| \cdot |s|^{1-1/p}} \cdot e^{-a\epsilon \cdot |s|^\rho}$$

Since  $\rho > 1 - 1/p$  we conclude that the line integral (\*) converges absolutely for each positive integers  $\nu$ .

**Exercise.** Show by Cauchy's theorem in analytic function theory that the line integral (\*) does not depend on  $M$  as soon as it has been chosen so that the Puiseux series defining  $t(s)$  exists. The resulting value of (\*) is therefore a function of  $x$  and  $\epsilon$  and gives a function  $u_\epsilon(x)$  defined for all  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Moreover, the reader should check that when  $\epsilon > 0$  kept fixed this yields a  $C^\infty$ -function of  $x$ . In particular

$$(**) \quad P(D)(u_\epsilon)(x) = \int_{C_*} P(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) \cdot e^{i\langle x, sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0 \rangle} \cdot s^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{-\epsilon(is)^\rho} ds$$

Since  $P(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) = 0$  when  $|s| \geq M$  we conclude that  $P(D)(u_\epsilon) = 0$ , i.e.  $u_\epsilon$  is a null solution.

**The inclusion  $\text{Supp}(u) \subset \overline{H}_+$ .** In (\*) we perform a line integral whose integrand is an analytic function in the lower half-plane. Using Cauchy's theorem the reader can check that for any  $M^* > M$  we have

$$(**) \quad u_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\text{Im}(s)=-M^*} e^{i\langle x, sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0 \rangle} \cdot s^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{-\epsilon(is)^\rho} ds$$

With  $s = t - iM^*$  we have

$$|e^{i\langle x, sN \rangle}| = e^{M^* \langle x, N \rangle}$$

If  $\langle x, N \rangle < 0$  this decreases exponentially to zero as  $M^* \rightarrow +\infty$  and then the reader can check that the limit of (\*\*) as  $M^* \rightarrow +\infty$  is zero. This proves that the null solution  $u_\epsilon$  is supported by the half-plane  $\overline{H}_+$  and hence belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_*$ .

## § 2. A study of $\mathcal{N}_*^\perp$ .

Consider a test-function  $\phi$  with a compact support in  $H_+$  such that  $\phi(\mathcal{N}_*) = 0$ . It gives the entire function in the  $n$ -dimensional complex  $\zeta$ -space:

$$(2.0) \quad \Phi(\zeta) = \int e^{i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \phi(x) dx$$

Using the convergence of the line integrals in (\*) the reader should verify that Fubini's theorem gives the equation

$$(2.1) \quad \int u_\epsilon(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{C_*} \Phi(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) \cdot s^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{-\epsilon(is)^\rho} ds$$

Since  $\phi(\mathcal{N}_*) = 0$  is assumed it follows that the last integral is zero for all non-negative integers  $\nu$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**2.2 Another vanishing integral.** In the upper half-plane  $\Im m(s) > 0$  we can also choose single-valued branches of  $s^{1/p}$  and  $(-is)^\rho$ , where the last branch is chosen so that the value is  $a^\rho > 0$  when  $s = ai$  for  $a > 0$ . Then we construct the contour  $C^*$  given by the real intervals  $(\infty, -M)$  and  $(M, +\infty)$  together with the upper half circle of radius  $M$ , which for each non-negative integer  $\nu$  gives the function

$$(*) \quad v_\epsilon(x) = \int_{C^*} e^{i\langle x, sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0 \rangle} \cdot s^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{-\epsilon(-is)^\rho} ds$$

Exactly as in § 1 one verifies that this gives a  $C^\infty$ -function of  $x$  supported by the right half space  $\{x_n \leq 0\}$ . Since  $\phi$  has compact support in  $H_+$  it follows that

$$(2.2.1) \quad 0 = \int v_\epsilon(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{C^*} \Phi(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) \cdot s^{\nu/p} \cdot e^{-\epsilon(-is)^\rho} ds$$

**2.3 The limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .** In (2.2.1) we have vanishing integrals for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . If the test-function  $\phi(x)$  belongs to a suitable Gevrey class with more regularity than an arbitrary test-function, then the entire function  $\Phi(\zeta)$  enjoys a decay condition which enable us to pass to the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (2.2.1). To find a sufficient decay condition we set  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ , and with  $M$  kept fixed we study the function

$$s \mapsto \Phi(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0)$$

We already know that there is a constant  $C$  such that  $|t(s)| \leq C|s|^{1-1/p}$  when  $|s| \geq M$ . Since  $\xi_0$  and  $\zeta_0$  are fixed this gives a constant  $C_1$  such that

$$(2.3.1) \quad |\Im m(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0)| \leq C_1(1 + |s|)^{1-1/p}$$

At the same time we have the unit vector  $N$  and get a positive constant  $C_2$  such that

$$(2.3.2) \quad |\Re e(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0)| \geq C_1(1 + |s|)$$

when  $|s|$  is large. Suppose now that the test-function  $\phi$  has been chosen so that

$$(2.3.3) \quad |\Phi(\xi + i\eta)| \leq C \cdot e^{A|\eta| - B|\xi|^b}$$

hold for some constants  $C, A, B, a$  where  $b < 1$ . From (2.3.1-2.3.2) this gives with other positive constants

$$(2.3.4) \quad |\Phi(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0)| \leq C_1 e^{A_1|s|^{1-1/p} - B_1|s|^b}$$

With  $\rho$  chosen as in § 1 where the equality (1.3) is used, it follows that as soon as

$$a > \rho$$

then we get absolutely convergent integrals

$$\int_{|s| \geq M} |\Phi(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) \cdot |s|^w| ds < \infty$$

for every positive integer  $w$ . This enable us to pass to the limit in (2.2) and conclude that

$$(2.3.5) \quad \int_{C^*} \Phi(sN + t(s)\xi_0 + \zeta_0) \cdot s^{\nu/p} ds = 0$$

for every non-negative integer  $\nu$ . In the same fashion we find vanishing integrals with  $C^*$  replaced by  $C_*$ . The vanishing of these integrals for all  $\nu \geq 0$  entails by the classic result due to Puiseux that that  $\frac{\Phi}{P}$  is an entire function. Then a division theorem with bounds due to Lindelöf, together with the Paley-Wiener theorem imply that the entire quotient

$$(i) \quad \frac{\Phi}{P} = \Psi$$

where  $\Psi$  is given as in (2.0) for some test-function  $\psi$  supported by the convex hull of the support of  $\phi$ . Moreover, (i) entails that

$$P(-D)(\psi) = \phi$$

and then it is obvious that  $\phi$  annihilates  $\mathcal{N}_+$ . Hence we have proved the implication in Theorem 0 for distributions which are defined by test-functions  $\phi$  whose associated entire  $\Phi$ -function satisfies (2.3.3) with some  $a > 1 - 1/p$ . But this finishes the proof of the Main Theorem. Namely, fix  $a$  as above and put

$$\delta = 1/a$$

Now  $\delta > 1$  which by a classic construction due to Gevrey enable us to construct an ample family of test-functions  $\phi$  for which (2.3.3) hold and at the same time this family is weak-star dense in the space of distributions with compact support in  $H_+$  which gives the Main Theorem. For details about this density the reader can consult Hörmander's article or his text-book [Hö:xx] if necessary. See also the article [Bj] by Göran Björck which offers a very detailed study of distributions arising from Gevrey classes.