

## Uniform approximation by Blaschke products

**Introduction.** Denote by  $H^\infty(D)$  the space of bounded analytic functions in the open unit disc. Recall from § xx that every such function  $f$  has radial limits almost everywhere and hence gives a boundary value function on the unit circle which belongs to  $L^\infty(T)$ . If the boundary function on  $T$  has constant absolute value almost everywhere we say that  $f$  is an *inner function*. Denote by  $\mathcal{I}(D)$  the set of inner functions. From the material in § xx every Blaschke product is an inner function. It turns out that up to multiplication with complex numbers of absolute value one they form a dense subset. More precisely, the following result was proved by Frostman in [xxx] :

**0.1 Theorem.** *Let  $w(z) \in \mathcal{I}(D)$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a real number  $c$  and a Blaschke product  $B(z)$  such that*

$$\max_{z \in D} |w(z) - e^{ic} B(z)| < \epsilon$$

**0.1 The Douglas-Rudin Theorem** Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  is a pair of inner functions. We regard theire boundry valued functions and then the quotient  $\frac{w_1}{w_2}$  yields an  $L^\infty(T)$ -functions whose absolute value is one almost everywhere. With this kept in mind the following result is due to Douglas and Rudin in [xx]

**0.2.1 Theorem** *The convex hull formed by functions  $\frac{w_1}{w_2}$  from pairs in  $\mathcal{I}(D)$  is dense in thre unit bsll of  $L^\infty(T)$ .*

We prove this result in §§ xxx. The next result is due to D. Marshall in [Mar] and asserts that the convex hull of Blaschke products is dense in the unit ball of  $H^\infty(D)$ .

**0.3 Theorem.** *Let  $f(z) \in H^\infty(D)$  with  $|f|_D \leq 1$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite family of Blaschke functions  $B_1, \dots, B_N$  and an  $N$ -tuple of positive real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  with  $\sum a_\nu = 1$  such that*

$$|f(z) - \sum a_\nu \cdot B_\nu(z)|_D < \epsilon$$

**0.4 Remark.** The proof of Marshall's theorem requires secveral steps and is not finished until § xx. Notice that Frostman's theorem reduces Theorem 0.3 to the assertion that the convex hull of inner functions is dense in  $H^\infty(T)$ . Next, consider some  $f \in H^\infty(T)$  with norm  $|f|_\infty = 1$ . Theorem 0.2.1 gives for each  $\epsilon > 0$  an  $N$ -tuple of unimodular functions  $\{\frac{w_1^\nu}{w_2^\nu}\}$  formed by pairs of inner functions such that the following hold for the maximum norm of the boundary value functions.

$$(*) \quad \left| f - \sum a_\nu \cdot \frac{w_1^\nu}{w_2^\nu} \right|_\infty < \epsilon \quad : \quad a_1 + \dots + a_N = 1 \quad : \quad a_\nu \geq 0$$

However, (\*) does not give Theorem 0.3 since the individual quotients  $\frac{w_1^\nu}{w_2^\nu}$  in general are not analytic in  $D$ . To proceed from (\*) Marshall considered the inner function

$$\mathcal{J} = w_2^1 \cdots w_2^N$$

Then we can write

$$(0.4.1) \quad \sum a_\nu \cdot \frac{w_1^\nu}{w_2^\nu} = \sum a_\nu \cdot \frac{W_\nu}{\mathcal{J}} \quad : \quad W_\nu = w_1^\nu \cdot \prod_{j \neq \nu} w_2^j$$

To profit upon this, Marshall employed a result due to Nevanlinna which implies that (\*) gives the existence of another inner function  $W_*$  such that the function

$$(0.4.2) \quad g = \sum a_\nu \cdot \frac{W_\nu}{\mathcal{J}} + \epsilon \cdot \frac{W_*}{\mathcal{J}} \quad \text{belongs to } H^\infty(T)$$

Nevanlinna's result which has indpendent interest is treated in § xx. Grantingt this, it follows from the above that the maximum norm  $|f - g| < 2\epsilon$ . To finish the proof of Theorem 0.3 there remains only to approximate the special  $H^\infty(T)$ -functions  $g$  from (0.4.2) by a convex combination of inner

functions. This final step in the proof of Theorem 0.3 is given under the heading *Marshall's Lemma* in § xx..

## 1. Blaschke products and inner functions

Before we enter the proofs in this section we recall some facts about inner functions and Blaschke products which appear in Chapter XX devoted to the Jensen-Nevanlinna class of analytic functions in  $D$ . A sequence  $\{z_n\}$  of non-complex numbers in  $D$  arranged so that  $0 < |z_1| \leq |z_2| \leq \dots$  satisfies Blaschke's condition if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$$

Blaschke's theorem asserts that the infinite product

$$(1) \quad B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z} \cdot \frac{\bar{z}_n}{z_n}$$

converges in  $D$  and yields an analytic function such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} |B(re^{i\theta})| = 1 \quad \text{holds almost everywhere } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

In particular every Blaschke product belongs to  $\mathcal{I}(D)$ . Next, let  $\mu$  be a singular non-negative Riesz measure on the unit circle, i.e.  $\mu$  carries all mass on a null set in the sense of Lebesgue. Then there exists the analytic function in  $D$  defined by

$$(2) \quad G_{\mu}(z) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} - z}{e^{i\theta} + z} \cdot d\mu(\theta) \right]$$

The Factorisation Theorem from XX gives:

**1.1. Theorem** *Every  $w \in \mathcal{I}(D)$  is a unique product*

$$w(z) = e^{ic} \cdot B(z) \cdot G_{\mu}(z) \quad : \quad c = \text{a real number}$$

where  $B(z)$  is the Blaschke product formed by the zeros of  $w$  in  $D$  and  $\mu$  a singular and non-negative measure.

We will also need Theorem XXX from XXX which characterizes when an inner function is a Blaschke product.

**1.2 Theorem.** *An inner function  $w(z)$  is of the form  $e^c \cdot B(z)$  if and only if*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |w(re^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta = 0$$

## 2. Proof of Frostman's theorem

Let  $0 < \rho < 1$  and  $\gamma$  is a complex number with  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ . Then one has the equality

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{\gamma - \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} \gamma} \right| \cdot d\theta = \max(\rho, \log |\gamma|)$$

The verification is left to the reader. Now we consider some  $w \in \mathcal{I}(D)$ . Apply (\*) with  $\gamma = w(re^{it})$  for pairs  $0 < r < 1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ . Integration with respect to  $t$  gives the equality:

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{w(re^{it}) - \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} w(re^{it})} \right| \cdot d\theta \right] dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \max[\rho, \log |w(re^{it})|] \cdot dt$$

Since  $w \in \mathcal{I}(D)$  we have

$$(i) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \log |w(re^{it})| = 0 \quad : \quad \text{for almost all } t$$

Keeping  $0 < \rho < 1$  fixed the function  $t \mapsto \max[\rho, \log |w(re^{it})|]$  is bounded so by *dominated convergence* under the integral sign we have:

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \max[\rho, \log |w(re^{it})|] \cdot dt = 0$$

Replace this limit by the double integral which comes from the equality (\*) and apply *Fubini's theorem* to interchange the order of integration. Hence (ii) gives:

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=2\pi} \left[ \int_{t=0}^{t=2\pi} \log \left| \frac{w(re^{it}) - \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} w(re^{it})} \right| \cdot dt \right] d\theta = 0$$

Now we use that the integrand

$$(iv) \quad \log \left| \frac{w(re^{it}) - \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} w(re^{it})} \right| \leq 0 \quad : \quad 0 \leq \theta, t \leq 2\pi$$

Then (iii) and *Fatou's theorem* gives:

$$(v) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{w(re^{it}) - \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} w(re^{it})} \right| \cdot dt = 0 \quad : \text{almost everywhere for } \theta$$

At this stage the proof is almost finished. Namely, we notice that the functions

$$F_\theta(z) = \frac{w(z) - \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} w(z)}$$

belong to  $\mathcal{I}(D)$  for every  $\theta$ . Moreover, (v) gives a null set  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $T$  such that

$$(vi) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |F_\theta(re^{it})| dt = 0 \quad : \quad \theta \in T \setminus \mathcal{N}$$

Finally, for every  $\theta \in T \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , Theorem 1.2 implies that  $F_\theta(z) = e^{ic_\theta} B_\theta(z)$  for some Blaschke product  $B_\theta$  and a constant  $c_\theta$ . With such a choice of  $\theta$  we have

$$(vii) \quad |w(z) - e^{ic} \cdot B_\theta(z)| = \left| \frac{\rho e^{i\theta} - \rho e^{-i\theta} (w(z))^2}{1 - \rho e^{-i\theta} w(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2\rho}{1 - \rho}$$

Here we can choose any  $\rho < 1$ . So with  $\epsilon > 0$  we choose  $\rho$  so small that  $\frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} < \epsilon$  and Frostman's Theorem follows.

### 3. A theorem by Nevanlinna

Theorem 3.1 below was proved by R. Nevanlinna in his article [Nev:xx.] from 1919. The Banach space  $L^\infty(T)$  which contains the closed subspace  $H_0^\infty(T)$  of boundary values from bounded analytic functions  $h(z)$  in  $D$  which vanish at  $z = 0$ . Recall from XX that a bounded Lebesgue measurable function  $f$  on  $T$  belongs to  $H_0^\infty(T)$  if and only if

$$(0.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} \cdot f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0 \quad : \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $H_0^\infty(T)$  is a closed subset of  $L^\infty(T)$  there exists the Banach space

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{B} = \frac{L^\infty(T)}{H_0^\infty(T)}$$

For each  $F \in L^\infty(T)$  we set:

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbf{nev}(F) = \min \|F - h\|_\infty \quad : \quad h \in H_0^\infty(D)$$

and refer to  $\mathbf{nev}(F)$  as the Nevanlinna norm of  $F$ . Since  $\mathbf{nev}(F)$  is the norm in the quotient space  $\mathcal{B}$  one has

$$(*) \quad \mathbf{nev}(F) \leq |F|_\infty$$

When strict inequality holds in (\*) one has the following remarkable conclusion:

**3.1 Theorem** *Let  $F \in L^\infty(T)$  be such that  $\mathbf{nev}(F) < |F|_\infty$ . Then there exists  $h^* \in H^\infty(T)$  such that*

$$|F(e^{i\theta}) - h^*(e^{i\theta})| = |F|_\infty \quad : \quad \text{holds almost everywhere on } T$$

*Proof.* By a change of scale, i.e. replacing  $F$  by  $F$  times the inverse of  $|F|_\infty$  we may assume that  $|F|_\infty = 1$ . Set

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{H}_F = \{h \in H^\infty(T) \quad : \quad |F - h| \leq 1\}$$

The triangle inequality gives  $|h|_\infty \leq 2$  for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}_F$ . So we have a uniform bound and hence  $\mathcal{H}_F$  is a normal family of analytic functions in the unit disc  $D$ . By the general result due to Montel there exists some  $h^* \in \mathcal{H}_F$  such that

$$(ii) \quad h^*(0) = \max_{h \in \mathcal{H}_F} |h(0)|$$

Notice that  $h^*(0) > 0$ . In fact, since  $\mathbf{nev}(F) < 1$  is assumed there exists to begin with some  $g \in H_0^\infty(T)$  with  $|F - g|_\infty \leq 1 - \delta$ . for some  $\delta > 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}_F$  contains the functions  $g(z) + \delta$  which is  $\neq 0$  at the origin. We shall use this to show that (\*) holds in Theorem 3.1 when we take  $h^*$  as above. A first step to get this is the following:

*Sublemma.* *With  $h^*$  chosen so that (ii) holds it follows that*

$$|F - h^* - \phi|_\infty \geq 1 \quad : \quad \phi \in H_0^\infty(T)$$

*Proof.* We argue by a contradiction. Suppose there exists  $\phi \in H_0^\infty(T)$  and some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|F - h^* - \phi|_\infty = 1 - \delta$$

If  $a > 0$  it follows that

$$|F - (1 + a)h^* - \phi| \leq 1 - \delta + a|h^*|_\infty \leq 1 - \delta + 2a$$

Hence  $h_1 = (1 + \delta/2)h^* + \phi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_F$ . Here  $h_1(0) > h^*(0)$  which contradicts the maximality of  $h^*(0)$  and the Sublemma follows.

*Proof continued.* Put  $G = F - h^*$ . The Sublemma means that the norm of the  $G$ -image in the Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  is at least 1. At the same time the  $L^\infty(T)$ -norm of  $G$  is one since  $h^* \in \mathcal{H}_F$ . It follows that the  $\mathcal{B}$ -norm of  $G$  is 1. Now we use the duality between  $H_0^\infty(T)$  and  $H^1(T)$  from § XX. This gives a sequence  $\{\phi_n\}$  in  $H^1(T)$  whose  $L^1$ -norms are equal to one such that:

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} G \cdot \phi_n \cdot d\theta = 1$$

Put  $c_n = \phi_n(0)$ . Since  $h^* \in H^\infty(T)$  we notice that

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} h^* \cdot \phi_n \cdot d\theta = h^*(0) \cdot c_n$$

*Sublemma 2.* *There exists some positive constant  $a$  such that*

$$|c_n| \geq a \quad : \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. If  $c_n \rightarrow 0$  then (1) and (2) give

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} F \cdot \phi_n \cdot d\theta = 1$$

But this is impossible since  $\mathbf{nev}(F) < 1$ . Indeed, this gives the existence of some  $\psi \in H_0^\infty(T)$  with  $[F - \psi]_\infty = 1 - \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Here

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \psi \cdot \phi_n \cdot d\theta = 0$$

hold for every  $n$  and then (3) cannot hold since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} |F - \psi| \cdot \phi_n \cdot d\theta \leq |F - \psi|_\infty \cdot |\phi_n|_1 = 1 - \delta$$

*Final part of the proof.* Sublemma 2 gives some  $a > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad |\phi_n(0)| \geq a \quad : \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

At the same time (1) above holds and we also know that  $|G|_\infty = 1$ . Using this we will show that

$$(5) \quad |G(e^{i\theta})| = 1 \quad : \text{ holds almost everywhere}$$

To prove (5) we use Jensen's inequality from § XX which by (4) gives:

$$(6) \quad 2\pi \cdot \text{Log } |a| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Log } |\phi_n(e^{i\theta})| \cdot d\theta \quad : \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Suppose now that (5) does not hold. This gives the existence of some  $\rho < 1$  and a set  $E$  of positive Lebesgue measure such that the maximum norm  $|G|_E \leq \rho$ . Since  $|G|_\infty = 1$  it follows that

$$(7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} G \cdot \phi_n \cdot d\theta \leq \rho \cdot \int_E |\phi_n| \cdot d\theta + \int_{T \setminus E} |\phi_n| \cdot d\theta$$

By (1) the left hand side tends to one and since the  $L^1$ -norms of  $\phi_n$  are all equal to one, we conclude that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |\phi_n| \cdot d\theta = 0$$

Since  $E$  has positive Lebesgue measure it follows from (8) that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \text{Log } |\phi_n| \cdot d\theta = -\infty$$

But this cannot hold by the Nevanlinna-Jensen theory in XX. Namely, the  $\phi$ -functions have  $L^1$ -norms equal to one and at the same time (4) holds which by the material from § XXX gives a constant  $C$  which is independent of  $n$  so that

$$(10) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Log}^+ |\phi_n| \cdot d\theta \leq C$$

and hence (9) cannot hold. This contradiction finishes the proof of Nevanlinna's theorem.

**3.2 A consequence of Nevanlinna's Theorem.** Let  $g \in H^\infty(T)$  and  $w$  is an inner function which gives  $\frac{g}{w}$  in  $L^\infty(T)$  But in general this quotient does not belong to  $H^\infty(T)$ . To compensate for this we can study its deviation from  $H^\infty(T)$  and here the following hold:

**3.3 Theorem.** Let  $0 < \epsilon < |g|_\infty$  be such that there exists  $f \in H^\infty(T)$  of norm one for which

$$(*) \quad \left| f - \frac{g}{f} \right|_\infty = \epsilon$$

Then there exists an inner function  $w^*$  such that

$$(**) \quad \frac{\epsilon \cdot w^* + g}{w} \in H^\infty(T)$$

*Proof.* Nevanlinna's Theorem gives some  $h^* \in H^\infty(T)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{g}{w} - h^* \right| = \epsilon \quad : \quad \text{almost everywhere}$$

Since the inner function  $w$  has absolute value one almost everywhere, it follows that the analytic function  $g - h^*w$  has absolute value  $\epsilon$  almost everywhere on  $T$ . This gives an inner function  $w^*$  such that

$$(iii) \quad w \cdot h^* - g = \epsilon \cdot w^*$$

After division with  $w$  we get (\*\*) in Theorem 3.3

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 0.2.1

Let  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  be the unimodular functions on  $T$ , i.e.  $L^\infty$ -functions with absolute value one almost everywhere. Elementary geometry shows that the convex hull of  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  is dense in the unit ball of  $L^\infty(T)$ , i.e. to every  $G$  with  $|G|_\infty \leq 1$  there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_M$  in  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  such that

$$(*) \quad |G - \sum a_\nu \cdot g_\nu|_\infty < \epsilon \quad : \quad a_1 + \dots + a_M = 1$$

Since products of inner functions are inner, Theorem 0.3 follows if we show that every  $g \in \mathcal{U}(T)$  can be uniformly approximated by convex combinations of quotients of inner functions. Again, since products of inner functions again are inner, it suffices to achieve this approximation for a generating set in the multiplicative group of unimodular functions on  $T$ . Hence it suffices to consider  $g$ -functions which only take two values. After a rotation we may assume that they are  $+1$  and  $-1$ . So now we have a measurable set  $E$  where  $g = 1$  on  $E$  and  $g = -1$  on  $T \setminus E$ . Let  $K$  be some positive number. Solving the Dirichlet problem with a real valued function  $u$  which is  $K$  on  $E$  and  $0$  on  $T \setminus E$  we take the bounded analytic function

$$f(z) = e^{-(u+iv)}$$

where  $v$  is the harmonic conjugate of  $u$ . Here the absolute value  $|f| = e^{-K}$  on  $E$  and  $|f| = 1$  on  $T \setminus E$ . To be precise, equality holds almost everywhere in the sense of Lebesgue. Next, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{C}^e$  denote the extended complex plane. By the general result from XX there exists for a suitable  $K$  a conformal map  $\Phi$  from the annulus  $1 < |z| < e$  to the doubly connected domain

$$\Omega = \mathbf{C}^e \setminus [-\epsilon, 0] \cup [\ell, \ell^*]$$

where  $\ell$  can be made large and  $\ell^* \leq \ell + \epsilon$ . Here  $\Phi(z_0) = \infty$  for some  $e^{-K} < |z_0| < 1$ . Now we put

$$\Psi = \frac{i + \Phi \circ f}{i - \Phi \circ f}$$

This yields a meromorphic in  $D$  with poles at the points  $a \in D$  for which  $f(a) = z_0$ . On  $T$  the composed function  $\Phi \circ f$  takes values in the union of the real intervals  $[-\epsilon, 0]$  and  $[\ell, \ell^*]$  which implies that  $|\Psi| = 1$  holds almost everywhere on  $T$ . With  $\epsilon$  small we see that  $\Psi \simeq 1$  holds on  $E$  and when  $\ell$  is large we have  $\Psi \simeq -1$  on  $T \setminus E$ . So the  $\Psi$ -function approximates the given unimodular function uniformly up to a small number of order  $\epsilon$ . Now  $\Psi$  may have some poles and we take the Blaschke product  $B$  for this so that  $B \cdot \Psi$  is analytic in  $D$  and since  $|B| = 1$  holds almost everywhere this yields an inner function denoted by  $\psi$ . Now

$$\Psi = \frac{\psi}{B}$$

is a quotient of inner functions which gives the requested approximation.

#### 5. Marshall's Approximation Lemma

Consider a function  $g \in H^\infty(T)$  expressed as

$$(*) \quad g = \sum_{k=1}^{k=N} a_k \cdot \frac{w_k}{J}$$

where  $w_1, \dots, w_N$  and  $J$  are inner functions while  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  are some real numbers. Here we do not assume that they are  $\geq 0$  or that the sum is one. But we assume that the maximum norm  $|g|_\infty < 1$ .

**5.1 Proposition.** *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a convex sum of inner functions  $V$  such that*

$$|g - V|_\infty < \epsilon$$

*Proof.* For the inner functions  $\{w_k\}$  and  $J$  we have the equalities below on  $T$ :

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{w_k} = \bar{w}_k \quad : \quad \frac{1}{J} = \bar{J}$$

It follows that almost everywhere on  $T$ :

$$(ii) \quad \bar{g}(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=N} a_k \cdot \frac{J(e^{i\theta})}{w_k(e^{i\theta})}$$

Next,  $W = w_1 \cdots w_N$  is an inner function and (ii) implies that the product

$$(iii) \quad W \cdot \bar{g} \in H^\infty(T)$$

Now we shall use (iii) to get another expression for  $g$ . By assumption  $|g|_\infty \leq 1 - \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\nu t} dt = 0$  for every  $\nu \geq 1$  we have the equality below for every complex number  $\lambda$  of absolute value  $< 1$ :

$$(iv) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\lambda e^{it} + g(z)}{1 + \lambda e^{it} \bar{g}(z)} \cdot dt$$

Next, for each  $z \in D$  we can take  $\lambda = W(z)$  and obtain

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{W(z)e^{it} + g(z)}{1 + e^{it} \cdot W(z) \cdot \bar{g}(z)} \cdot dt \quad : \quad z \in D$$

The family of functions

$$t \mapsto \Phi_t(z) = \frac{W(z)e^{it} + g(z)}{1 + e^{it} \cdot W(z) \cdot \bar{g}(z)}$$

is equi-continuous with respect to  $t$  since  $|g|_\infty < 1 - \epsilon$  is assumed. So we can evaluate the integral which defines  $g(z)$  by Riemann sums in a uniform manner, i.e. to every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite set  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_M < 2\pi$  and positive numbers  $\{b_\nu\}$  with  $\sum b_\nu = 1$  such that

$$(v) \quad \left| g(z) - \sum b_\nu \cdot \frac{W(z)e^{it_\nu} + g(z)}{1 + e^{it_\nu} W(z) \cdot \bar{g}(z)} \right| < \epsilon$$

Next, since  $W(z)$  is inner we notice that the functions

$$\phi_\nu(z) = \frac{W(z)e^{it_\nu} + g(z)}{1 + e^{it_\nu} W(z) \cdot \bar{g}(z)} \quad : \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq M$$

are all inner. Since  $\epsilon > 0$  can be made arbitrary small it follows that  $g(z)$  can be uniformly approximated by a convex combination of inner functions.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 0.3

Consider some  $f \in H^\infty(T)$  of norm 1. Theorem 0.2.1 gives a convex combination of quotients of inner functions such that:

$$\left| f - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu=k} a_\nu \cdot \frac{w_1^\nu}{w_2^\nu} \right|_\infty < \epsilon$$

Set

$$\mathcal{J} = \prod w_\nu^2$$

This is an inner function and we also get the inner functions

$$(1) \quad W_\nu = \frac{w_1^\nu}{w_2^\nu} \cdot \mathcal{J} \implies \left| f - \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu=k} a_\nu \cdot \frac{W_\nu}{\mathcal{J}} \right|_\infty < \epsilon$$

Theorem 3.3 applies to the pair  $f$  and  $g = \sum a_\nu W_\nu$  and gives an inner function  $W_*$  such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu=k} a_\nu \cdot \frac{W_\nu}{\mathcal{J}} + \epsilon \cdot \frac{W_*}{\mathcal{J}} \in H^\infty(T)$$

Let  $g$  be this analytic function. From (1) and the triangle inequality we have

$$(3) \quad |f - g|_\infty < 2 \cdot \epsilon$$

Finally, with  $N = k + 1$  we can apply Marshall's lemma to the function  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \cdot g$  which is expressed by a convex combination (\*) from (4). This gives a convex sum  $V$  formed by inner functions such that

$$(4) \quad |V - g|_\infty < 2 \cdot \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we get Theorem 0.3 via (3) and (4) above.