XI. The Denjoy conjecture

Introduction. Let ρ be a positive integer and f(z) is an entire function such that there exists some $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ and a constant A_{ϵ} such that

$$(0.1) |f(z)| \le A_{\epsilon} \cdot e^{|z|^{\rho + \epsilon}}$$

hold for every z. Then we say that f has integral order $\leq \rho$. Next, the entire function f has an asymptotic value a if there exists a Jordan curve Γ parametrized by $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ such that $|\gamma(t)| \to \infty$ as $t \to +\infty$ and

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} f(\gamma(t)) = a$$

In 1920 Denjoy raised the conjecture that (0.1) implies that the entire function f has at most 2ρ many different asymptotic values. Examples show that this upper bound is sharp. The Denjoy conjecture was proved in 1930 by Ahlfors in [Ahl]. A few years later T. Carleman found an alternative proof based upon a certain differential inequality. Theorem A.3 below has applications beyond the proof of the Denjoy conjecture for estimates of harmonic measures. See [Ga-Marsh].

A. The differential inequality.

Let Ω be a connected open set in \mathbf{C} whose intersection S_x between a vertical line $\{\mathfrak{Re}\,z=x\}$ is a bounded set on the real y-line for every x. When $S_x\neq\emptyset$ it is the disjoint union of open intervals $\{(a_\nu,b_\nu)\}$ and we set

$$\ell(x) = \max_{\nu} \left(b_{\nu} - a_{\nu} \right)$$

Next, let u(x,y) be a positive harmonic function in Ω which extends to a continuous function on the closure $\bar{\Omega}$ with the boundary values identical to zero. Define the function ϕ by:

(1)
$$\phi(x) = \int_{S_x} u^2(x, y) \cdot dy$$

The Federer-Stokes theorem gives the following formula for the derivatives of ϕ :

(2)
$$\phi'(x) = 2 \int_{S_{-}} u_x \cdot u(x, y) dy$$

(3)
$$\phi''(x) = 2 \int_{S_x} u_{xx} \cdot u(x, y) dy + 2 \int_{S_x} u_x^2 \cdot dy$$

Since $\Delta(u) = 0$ when u > 0 we have

(4)
$$2\int_{S_x} u_{xx} \cdot u(x,y) dy = -2\int_{S_x} u_{yy} \cdot u(x,y) dy = 2\int u_y^2 dy$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied in (2) gives

(5)
$$\phi'(x)^{2} \leq 4 \cdot \int_{S_{x}} u_{x}^{2} \cdot \int_{S_{x}} u^{2}(x, y) dy = 4 \cdot \phi(x) \cdot \int_{S_{x}} u_{x}^{2} dy$$

Hence (4) and (5) give:

(6)
$$\phi''(x) \ge 2 \int_{S_x} u_y^2(x, y) \cdot dy + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\phi'^2(x)}{\phi(x)}$$

Next, since u(x,y) = 0 at the end-points of all intervals of S_x , Wirtinger's inequality and the definition of $\ell(x)$ give:

(7)
$$\int_{S_x} u_y^2(x,y) \cdot dy \ge \frac{\pi^2}{\ell(x)^2} \cdot \phi(x)$$

Inserting (7) in (6) we have proved

A.1 Proposition The ϕ -function satisfies the differential inequality

$$\phi''(x) \ge \frac{2\pi^2}{\ell(x)^2} \cdot \phi(x) + \frac{\phi'^2(x)}{2\phi(x)}$$

Proof continued. The maximum principle for harmonic functions implies that the $\phi(x) > 0$ when x > 0 and hence there exists a ψ -function where $\phi(x) = e^{\psi(x)}$. It follows that

$$\phi' = \psi' e^{\psi}$$
 and $\phi'' = \psi'' e^{\psi} + \psi'^2 e^{\psi}$

Now Proposition A.1 gives

$$\psi'' + \frac{\psi'^2}{2} \ge \frac{2\pi^2}{\ell(x)^2}$$

A.2 An integral inequality. From (*) we obtain

$$\frac{2\pi}{\ell(x)} \le \sqrt{\psi'(x)^2 + 2\psi''(x)} \le \psi'(x) + \frac{\psi''(x)}{\psi'(x)}$$

Taking the integral we get

(**)
$$2\pi \cdot \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\ell(t)} \le \psi(x) + \log \psi'(x) + O(1) \le \psi(x) + \psi'(x) + O(1)$$

where O(1) is a remainder term which is bounded independent of x. Taking the integral once more we obtain:

A.3 Theorem. The following inequality holds:

$$2\pi \cdot \int_0^x \frac{x-s}{\ell(s)} \cdot ds \le \int_0^x \psi(s) \cdot ds + \psi(x) + O(x)$$

where the remainder term O(x) is bounded by Cx for a fixed constant.

B. Solution to the Denjoy conjecture

B.1 Theorem. Let f(z) be entire of some integral order $\rho \geq 1$. Then f has at most 2ρ many different asymptotic values.

Proof. Suppose f has n different asymptotic values a_1, \ldots, a_n . To each a_ν there exists a Jordan arc Γ_ν as described in the introduction. Since the a-values are different the n-tuple of Γ -arcs are separated from each other when |z| is large. So we can find some R such that the arcs are disjoint in the exterior disc |z| > R. We may also consider the tail of each arc, i.e. starting from the last point on Γ_ν which intersects the circle |z| = R. So now we have an n-tuple of disjoint Jordan curves in $|z| \ge R$ where each curve intersects |z| = R at some point p_ν and after the curves moves to the point at infinity. See figure. Next, we take one of these curves, say Γ_1 . Let D_R^* be the exterior disc $|\zeta| > R$. In the domain $\Omega = \mathbf{C} \setminus \Gamma_1 \cup D_R^*$ we can choose a single-valued branch of $\log \zeta$ and with $z = \log \zeta$ the image of Ω is a simply connected domain Ω^* where S_x for each x has length strictly less than 2π The images of the Γ -curves separate Ω^* into n many disjoint connected domains denoted by D_1, \ldots, D_n where each D_ν is bordered by a pair of images of Γ -curves and a portion of the vertical line $x = \log R$.

Let $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ be the complex coordinate in Ω^* . Here we get the analytic function $F(\zeta)$ where

$$F(\log(z)) = f(z)$$

We notice that F may have more growth than f. Indeed, we get

(1)
$$|F(\xi + i\eta)| \le \exp(e^{(\rho + \epsilon)\xi})$$

With $u = \text{Log}^+ |F|$ it follows that

(2)
$$u(\xi, \eta) \le e^{(\rho + \epsilon)\xi}$$

Hence the ϕ -function constructed during the proof of Theorem A.3 satisfies

$$\phi(\xi) \le e^{2(\rho + \epsilon)\xi}$$

It follows that the ψ -function satisfies

(3)
$$\psi(\xi) = 2 \cdot (\rho + \epsilon)\xi + O(1)$$

Now we apply Theorem A.3 in each region D_{ν} where we have a function $\ell_{\nu}(\xi)$ constructed by (0) in section A. This gives the inequality

$$(4) 2\pi \cdot \int_{R}^{\xi} \frac{\xi - s}{\ell_{\nu}(s)} \cdot ds \le \int_{R}^{\xi} (\rho + \epsilon) s \cdot ds + (\rho + \epsilon) \xi + O(1) : 1 \le \nu \le n$$

Next, recall the elementary inequality which asserts that if a_1, \ldots, a_n is an arbitrary n-tuple of positive numbers then

$$\sum a_{\nu} \cdot \sum \frac{1}{a_{\nu}} \ge n^2$$

For each s we apply this to the n-tuple $\{\ell_{\nu}(s)\}$ where we also have

$$\sum \ell_{\nu}(s) \le 2\pi$$

So a summation in (4) over $1 \le \nu \le n$ gives

(6)
$$n \cdot \int_{R}^{\xi} (\xi - s) \cdot ds \le \int_{R}^{\xi} (\rho + \epsilon) s \cdot ds + (\rho + \epsilon) \xi + O(1)$$

Another integration gives:

(7)
$$n \cdot \frac{\xi^2}{2} \le (\rho + \epsilon) \cdot \xi^2 + O(\xi)$$

This inequality can only hold for large ξ if $n \leq 2(\rho + \epsilon)$ and since $\epsilon < 1/2$ is assumed it follows that $n \leq 2\rho$ which finishes the proof of the Denjoy conjecture.