

## XI. The Denjoy conjecture

**Introduction.** Let  $\rho$  be a positive integer and  $f(z)$  is an entire function such that there exists some  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$  and a constant  $A_\epsilon$  such that

$$(0.1) \quad |f(z)| \leq A_\epsilon \cdot e^{|z|^{\rho+\epsilon}}$$

hold for every  $z$ . Then we say that  $f$  has integral order  $\leq \rho$ . Next, the entire function  $f$  has an asymptotic value  $a$  if there exists a Jordan curve  $\Gamma$  parametrized by  $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  such that  $|\gamma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$(0.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(\gamma(t)) = a$$

In 1920 Denjoy raised the conjecture that (0.1) implies that the entire function  $f$  has at most  $2\rho$  many different asymptotic values. Examples show that this upper bound is sharp. The Denjoy conjecture was proved in 1930 by Ahlfors in [Ahl]. A few years later T. Carleman found an alternative proof based upon a certain differential inequality. Theorem A.3 below has applications beyond the proof of the Denjoy conjecture for estimates of harmonic measures. See [Ga-Marsh].

### A. The differential inequality.

Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open set in  $\mathbf{C}$  whose intersection  $S_x$  between a vertical line  $\{\Re z = x\}$  is a bounded set on the real  $y$ -line for every  $x$ . When  $S_x \neq \emptyset$  it is the disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_\nu, b_\nu)\}$  and we set

$$(*) \quad \ell(x) = \max_{\nu} (b_\nu - a_\nu)$$

Next, let  $u(x, y)$  be a positive harmonic function in  $\Omega$  which extends to a continuous function on the closure  $\bar{\Omega}$  with the boundary values identical to zero. Define the function  $\phi$  by:

$$(1) \quad \phi(x) = \int_{S_x} u^2(x, y) \cdot dy$$

The Federer-Stokes theorem gives the following formula for the derivatives of  $\phi$ :

$$(2) \quad \phi'(x) = 2 \int_{S_x} u_x \cdot u(x, y) dy$$

$$(3) \quad \phi''(x) = 2 \int_{S_x} u_{xx} \cdot u(x, y) dy + 2 \int_{S_x} u_x^2 \cdot dy$$

Since  $\Delta(u) = 0$  when  $u > 0$  we have

$$(4) \quad 2 \int_{S_x} u_{xx} \cdot u(x, y) dy = -2 \int_{S_x} u_{yy} \cdot u(x, y) dy = 2 \int_{S_x} u_y^2 dy$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied in (2) gives

$$(5) \quad \phi'(x)^2 \leq 4 \cdot \int_{S_x} u_x^2 \cdot \int_{S_x} u^2(x, y) dy = 4 \cdot \phi(x) \cdot \int_{S_x} u_x^2 dy$$

Hence (4) and (5) give:

$$(6) \quad \phi''(x) \geq 2 \int_{S_x} u_y^2(x, y) \cdot dy + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\phi'^2(x)}{\phi(x)}$$

Next, since  $u(x, y) = 0$  at the end-points of all intervals of  $S_x$ , Wirtinger's inequality and the definition of  $\ell(x)$  give:

$$(7) \quad \int_{S_x} u_y^2(x, y) \cdot dy \geq \frac{\pi^2}{\ell(x)^2} \cdot \phi(x)$$

Inserting (7) in (6) we have proved

**A.1 Proposition** *The  $\phi$ -function satisfies the differential inequality*

$$\phi''(x) \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{\ell(x)^2} \cdot \phi(x) + \frac{\phi'^2(x)}{2\phi(x)}$$

*Proof continued.* The maximum principle for harmonic functions implies that the  $\phi(x) > 0$  when  $x > 0$  and hence there exists a  $\psi$ -function where  $\phi(x) = e^{\psi(x)}$ . It follows that

$$\phi' = \psi' e^{\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'' = \psi'' e^{\psi} + \psi'^2 e^{\psi}$$

Now Proposition A.1 gives

$$(*) \quad \psi'' + \frac{\psi'^2}{2} \geq \frac{2\pi^2}{\ell(x)^2}$$

**A.2 An integral inequality.** From (\*) we obtain

$$\frac{2\pi}{\ell(x)} \leq \sqrt{\psi'(x)^2 + 2\psi''(x)} \leq \psi'(x) + \frac{\psi''(x)}{\psi'(x)}$$

Taking the integral we get

$$(**) \quad 2\pi \cdot \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\ell(t)} \leq \psi(x) + \log \psi'(x) + O(1) \leq \psi(x) + \psi'(x) + O(1)$$

where  $O(1)$  is a remainder term which is bounded independent of  $x$ . Taking the integral once more we obtain:

**A.3 Theorem.** *The following inequality holds:*

$$2\pi \cdot \int_0^x \frac{x-s}{\ell(s)} \cdot ds \leq \int_0^x \psi(s) \cdot ds + \psi(x) + O(x)$$

where the remainder term  $O(x)$  is bounded by  $Cx$  for a fixed constant.

## B. Solution to the Denjoy conjecture

**B.1 Theorem.** *Let  $f(z)$  be entire of some integral order  $\rho \geq 1$ . Then  $f$  has at most  $2\rho$  many different asymptotic values.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  has  $n$  different asymptotic values  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . To each  $a_\nu$  there exists a Jordan arc  $\Gamma_\nu$  as described in the introduction. Since the  $a$ -values are different the  $n$ -tuple of  $\Gamma$ -arcs are separated from each other when  $|z|$  is large. So we can find some  $R$  such that the arcs are disjoint in the exterior disc  $|z| > R$ . We may also consider the tail of each arc, i.e. starting from the last point on  $\Gamma_\nu$  which intersects the circle  $|z| = R$ . So now we have an  $n$ -tuple of disjoint Jordan curves in  $|z| \geq R$  where each curve intersects  $|z| = R$  at some point  $p_\nu$  and after the curves moves to the point at infinity. See figure. Next, we take one of these curves, say  $\Gamma_1$ . Let  $D_R^*$  be the exterior disc  $|\zeta| > R$ . In the domain  $\Omega = \mathbf{C} \setminus \Gamma_1 \cup D_R^*$  we can choose a single-valued branch of  $\log \zeta$  and with  $z = \log \zeta$  the image of  $\Omega$  is a simply connected domain  $\Omega^*$  where  $S_x$  for each  $x$  has length strictly less than  $2\pi$ . The images of the  $\Gamma$ -curves separate  $\Omega^*$  into  $n$  many disjoint connected domains denoted by  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  where each  $D_\nu$  is bordered by a pair of images of  $\Gamma$ -curves and a portion of the vertical line  $x = \log R$ .

Let  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$  be the complex coordinate in  $\Omega^*$ . Here we get the analytic function  $F(\zeta)$  where

$$F(\log(z)) = f(z)$$

We notice that  $F$  may have more growth than  $f$ . Indeed, we get

$$(1) \quad |F(\xi + i\eta)| \leq \exp(e^{(\rho+\epsilon)\xi})$$

With  $u = \text{Log}^+ |F|$  it follows that

$$(2) \quad u(\xi, \eta) \leq e^{(\rho+\epsilon)\xi}$$

Hence the  $\phi$ -function constructed during the proof of Theorem A.3 satisfies

$$\phi(\xi) \leq e^{2(\rho+\epsilon)\xi}$$

It follows that the  $\psi$ -function satisfies

$$(3) \quad \psi(\xi) = 2 \cdot (\rho + \epsilon)\xi + O(1)$$

Now we apply Theorem A.3 in each region  $D_\nu$  where we have a function  $\ell_\nu(\xi)$  constructed by (0) in section A. This gives the inequality

$$(4) \quad 2\pi \cdot \int_R^\xi \frac{\xi - s}{\ell_\nu(s)} \cdot ds \leq \int_R^\xi (\rho + \epsilon)s \cdot ds + (\rho + \epsilon)\xi + O(1) \quad : \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq n$$

Next, recall the elementary inequality which asserts that if  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is an arbitrary  $n$ -tuple of positive numbers then

$$(5) \quad \sum a_\nu \cdot \sum \frac{1}{a_\nu} \geq n^2$$

For each  $s$  we apply this to the  $n$ -tuple  $\{\ell_\nu(s)\}$  where we also have

$$\sum \ell_\nu(s) \leq 2\pi$$

So a summation in (4) over  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  gives

$$(6) \quad n \cdot \int_R^\xi (\xi - s) \cdot ds \leq \int_R^\xi (\rho + \epsilon)s \cdot ds + (\rho + \epsilon)\xi + O(1)$$

Another integration gives:

$$(7) \quad n \cdot \frac{\xi^2}{2} \leq (\rho + \epsilon) \cdot \xi^2 + O(\xi)$$

This inequality can only hold for large  $\xi$  if  $n \leq 2(\rho + \epsilon)$  and since  $\epsilon < 1/2$  is assumed it follows that  $n \leq 2\rho$  which finishes the proof of the Denjoy conjecture.