The support function of conves sets in locally context spaces

In § 2 we expose a theorem due to Lars Hörmander from his article Sur la fonction d'appui des ensembles convexes dans un espaces localement convexe [Arkiv för mat. Vol 3: 1954]. As pointed out by Hörmander in his cited article, Theorem 2 which will be proved in § 2 is related to earlier studies by Fenchel in the article On conjugate convex functions Canadian Journ. of math. Vol 1 p. 73-77) where Legendre transforms are studied in infinite dimensional topological vector spaces. The novelty in Theorem 2 is the generality and we remark that various separation theorems in text-books dealing with notions of convexity are easy consequences of Hörmander's result. In § 1 we collect preliminary facts about locally convex vector spaces over the real numbers which are used in § 2. Of course, the material in §1 has independent interest and teaches the beginner basic facts about locally convex vector spaces which is a starting point for further study in the subject called functional analysis.

Topological vector spaces

Throughout E denotes a vector space over the real numbers.

Convex sets and their ρ -functions. A convex set U in E which contains the origin is said to be absorbing if there for each vector $x \in E$ exists some real s > 0 such that $s \cdot x \in U$. The vector is fully absorbed if we have the inclusion

$$\mathbf{R}^+ \cdot x \subset U$$

The function ρ_U . If x is a vector which is not fully absorbed we put

$$\mu(x) = \max\{s : sx \in U\}$$
 & $\rho_U(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)}$

If x is fully absorbed we put $\mu(x) = +\infty$ so that $\rho_U(x) = 0$. Notice that

$$x \in U \implies \mu(x) \ge 1 \implies \rho_U(x) \le 1$$

0.1 Exercise. Show that the convexity of U entails that ρ_U satisfies the triangle inequality

for all pairs of vectors in E, and that ρ_U is positively homogeneous, i.e.

(0.1.2)
$$\rho_U(sx) = s\rho_U(x) : s > 0$$

Conversely,, let $\rho \colon E \to \mathbf{R}^+$ satisfy (0.1.1) and (0.1.2). Put

$$U = \{ \rho \le 1 \}$$

and show that $\rho_U = \rho$.

A ρ -map as above is called a subadditive and positively homogeneous function on E. If ρ is given we get the convex and absorbing sets

$$U_* = \{ \rho < 1 \}$$
 & $U^* = \{ \rho \le 1 \}$

The reader can check that

$$\rho = \rho_{U^*} = \rho_{U_*}$$

Moreover, for every convex set U such that $\rho_U = \rho$ one has

$$U_* \subset U \subset U^*$$

One refers to U_* as the minimal absorbing convex set of ρ , and U^* is the maximal associated convex set. So $U \mapsto \rho_U$ is surjective from the family of absorbing convex sets but not injective. The failure is expressed via the two associated minimal and maximal convex sets for a given ρ .

The Hahn-Banach theorem.

Let ρ be subadditive and positively homogeneous. An **R**-linear map λ from E to the 1-dimensional real line is majorised by ρ if

$$\lambda(x) \le \rho(x)$$

hold for every vector x. Let E_0 be a subspace of E and $\lambda_0 \colon E_0 \to \mathbf{R}$ a linear map such that (*) hold for vectors in E_0 . Then there exists a linear map $\lambda \colon E \to \mathbf{R}$ which extends λ_0 and is majorised by ρ .

Exercise. Prove the Hahn-Banach Theorem using the following hint. Zorn's lemma gives a maximal subspace E^* which contains E_0 such that λ_0 can be extend to a linear map λ^* on E^* which is majored by ρ . There remains to show that $E^* = E$. Assume the contrary and pick a non-zero vector $\xi \in E \setminus E^*$. For every real number α we get an extension of λ^* to a linear functional on $E^* + \mathbf{R}\xi$ by

$$\Lambda(x + s\xi) = \lambda^*(x) + s\alpha$$

with $x \in E^*$ and s is a real number. Since ρ is positively homogeneous we see that it majorises Λ if and only if

$$\Lambda(x+\xi) \le \rho(x+\xi) \& \Lambda(x-\xi) \le \rho(x-\xi)$$

hold for all $x \in E^*$. It means that

$$\alpha \le \rho(x+\xi) - \lambda^*(x) \& \alpha \ge \lambda^*(x) - \rho(x-\xi)$$

The existence of α for which the two inequalities hold follow if

$$\rho(x_1 + \xi) - \lambda^*(x_1) \ge \lambda^*(x_2) - \rho(x_2 - \xi)$$

or equivalently

(i)
$$\rho(x_1 + \xi) + \rho(x_2 - \xi) \ge \lambda^*(x_2) + \lambda^*(x_1) = \lambda^*(x_1 + x_2)$$

Now (i) holds since $\lambda^*(x_1+x_2) \leq \rho^*(x_1+x_2)$ and since ρ is subadditive we have

$$\rho(x_1 + x_2) \le \rho(x_1 + \xi) + \rho(x_2 - \xi)$$

Pseudo-norms.

Denote by \mathcal{C}_E the family of absorbing convex sets U which in addition are symmetric, i.e.

$$x \in U \implies -x \in U$$

The symmetry entails that $\rho_U(-x) = \rho_U(x)$ and in general

(i)
$$\rho_U(sx) = |s| \cdot \rho_U(x)$$

hold for every real s. If $\rho \colon E \to \mathbf{R}^+$ is a sub additive and (i) holds we say that it is a pseudonorm. The reader can check that $\{\rho = 0\}$ becomes a subspace of E. The Hahn-Banach theorem for pseudo-norms asserts that if ρ is a given pseudo-norm and λ a linear map on a subspace E_0 for which

$$|\lambda(x)| \le \rho(x)$$
 : $x \in E_0$

then it can be extended to a linear map Λ for which

$$|\Lambda(x)| \le \rho(x)$$
 : $x \in E$

The proof of this symmetric version of the Hahn-Banach theorem is left as an exercise to the reader.

1. Locally convex topologies.

Denote by C_E the family of symmetric and absorbing convex sets U. To each such U we denote by $\mathcal{L}(U)$ the set of fully absorbed vectors. The reader can check that the symmetry entails that this gives a subspace of E. Next, let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_{\alpha}\}$ be a family in C_E such that

$$\bigcap \mathcal{L}(U_{\alpha}) = \{0\}$$

Now there exists a topology on E where a base for open neighborhoods of the origin consists of sets:

$$(1.2) \qquad \qquad \cap \{\rho_{U_{\alpha_i}}(x) < \epsilon\}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ is a finite set of indices from the \mathfrak{U} -family. If x_0 is a vector in E, then a basis for its open neighborhoods are given by sets of the for $x_0 + U$ where $U = \cap U_{\alpha_i}$. In general, a subset Ω in E is open if there to each $x_0 \in \Omega$ exists some U from (1.2) such that $x_0 + U \subset \Omega$. This gives a topology and (1.1) entails that it is a Hausdorff topology. The sets in (1.2) are convex and therefore one refers to a locally convex topology on E.

Remark. The locally convex topology above depends upon the chosen family $\mathfrak U$. It is unchanged if we enlarge the family to consist of all finite intersection of its sets. When this has been done we notice that if U_1, \ldots, U_n is a finite family in $\mathfrak U$ then the norm defined by $U = U_1 \cap \ldots \cap U_n$ is stronger than the individual ρ_{U_i} -norms. Hence a fundamental system of neighborhoods consists of single ρ -balls:

$$\{\rho_U < \epsilon\} : U \in \mathfrak{U}$$

The dual space E^* . Let E be equipped with a locally convex \mathfrak{U} -topology where \mathfrak{U} has been enlarged so that the balls above give a basis for neighborhoods of the origin. A linear functional ϕ on E is \mathfrak{U} -continuous if there exists some $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ and a constant C such that

$$|\phi(x)| \le C \cdot \rho_U(x)$$

The family of such ϕ -maps give vectors in a space denoted by E^* which is called the dual space of E.

The weak topology on E. It is by definition the coarsest topology for which the functions

$$x \mapsto \phi(x)$$

become continuous on E for every fixed $\phi \in E^*$. A fundamental system of open neighborhoods of the origin in the weak topology consist of sets

$$\cap \{ |\phi_k(x)| < \epsilon \}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{\phi_k\}$ is a finite family in E^* . It is clear that every weakly open set in E is open with respect to the given locally convex topology.

1.3 The weak-star topology on E^* . This is the locally convex topology on the vector space E^* where a base for open neighborhoods of the zero-vectors consist of sets defined as finite intersections of sets defined by

$$\{\phi: -\delta < \phi(x) < \delta\}$$
 : $x \in X$ & $\delta > 0$

The separation theorem. To each pair $\phi \in E^*$ and a real number a one assigns the set

$$H = \{ x \in X : \phi(x) \le a \}$$

Notice that a < 0 can occur in which case H does not contain the origin.

1. Theorem. Each closed convex set K in E is the intersection of closed half-spaces.

Proof. Assume first that K contains the origin and consider a vector $x_0 \in E \setminus K$. Since K is closed we find a pseudo-norm ρ_U with U in the defining family \mathfrak{U} such that

$$\{x_0\} + \{\rho_U < \epsilon\} \cap K = \emptyset$$

Put

$$V = K + \{ \rho_U < \epsilon \}$$

This yields an open a convex set in E and we construct ρ_V . If s > 0 and $x_0 \in sV$ we have $k \in K$ and a vector ξ with $\rho(\xi) < \epsilon$ such that

$$x_0 = sk + s\xi \implies x_0 + \{\rho_U < s\epsilon\} \in sK$$

Since K is convex and contains the origin we see that (xx) implies that $s \ge 1$. Hence we have

$$\rho_V(x_0) \geq 1$$

Now we apply the Hahn-Banch Therem to the absorbing convex set V and find a linear functional λ such that Get λ and

$$\lambda(x_0) = \rho_V(x_0) \ge 1$$

and at the the same time the range

$$\lambda(V) \le 1$$

Here λ belongs to E^* and is not identically zero and therefore its restriction to the open ball $\{\rho_U < \epsilon\}$ cannot vanish identically. So we choose

$$\xi \in \{\rho < \epsilon\} \& \lambda(\xi) > 0$$

Now $k + \xi \in V$ hold for every $k \in K$ and (xx) gives

$$\lambda(k) + \lambda(\xi) \le 1 \implies \lambda(k) \le 1 - \lambda(\xi)$$

So the half-pace

$$H = \{x \colon \lambda(x) \le 1 - \lambda(\xi)\}\$$

contains K while x_0 is outside.

Remark. The half-spaces in Theorem are closed in the weak topology. Hence every a closed convex set in the original topology is also closed in the weak topology.

Normed spaces. A pseudo-norm ρ on a vector space E is called a norm of

$$x \neq 0 \implies \rho(x) > 0$$

This gives the ρ -topology on E whee the open balls $\{\rho(x) < \epsilon\}$ is a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of the origin. One often uses the notation

$$||x|| = \rho(x)$$

and refer to E as a normed space.

2. Support functions of convex sets.

Let E be a locally convex space. Vectors in E are denoted by x, while y denote vectors in E^* . To each closed and convex subset K of E we define a function \mathcal{H}_K on E^* by:

$$\mathcal{H}_K(y) = \sup_{x \in K} y(x)$$

Notice that \mathcal{H}_K take values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$, i.e. it may be $+\infty$ for some vectors $y \in E^*$. For example, let $K = \mathbf{R}^+ x_0$ be a half-line. Then $\mathcal{H}_K(y) = +\infty$ when $y(x_0) > 0$ and otherwise zero. It is clear that

(i)
$$\mathcal{H}_K(sy) = s\mathcal{H}_K(y)$$

hold when s is a positive real number, i.e \mathcal{H}_K is positively homogeneous.

2.0 Exercise. Show that the convexity of K entails that

(ii)
$$\mathcal{H}_K(y_1 + y_2) \le \mathcal{H}_K(y_1) + \mathcal{H}_K(y_2)$$

for each pair of vectors in E^* . Show also that if K and K_1 is a pair of closed convex sets such that $\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{H}_{K_1}$ then $K = K_1$.

2.1 Upper semi-continuity. For each fixed vector $x \in E$ the function

$$y \mapsto y(x)$$

is weak-star continuous on E^* . Since the supremum function attached to an arbitrary family of weak-star continuous functions is upper semi-continuous, it follows that \mathcal{H}_K is upper semi-continuous.

- **2.3 The class** S(E). It consists of all all upper semi-continuous functions G on E^* with values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$ which satisfy (i) and (ii).
- **2.4 Theorem.** Each $G \in \mathcal{S}(E)$ is of the form \mathcal{H}_K for a unique closed convex subset K in E.

Proof Put $F = E \oplus \mathbf{R}$ which is a new vector space where the 1-dimensional real line is added. Its dual space $F^* = E^* \oplus \mathbf{R}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{S}(E)$ and put

(i)
$$G_* = \{(y, \eta) \in E^* \oplus \mathbf{R} : G(y) \le \eta\}$$

It is clear that G_* is a convex cone in F^* and the semi-continuous hypothesis on G implies that G_* is closed with respect to the weak-star toplogy on F^* . Next, in F we define the set

(ii)
$$G_{**} = \{(x,t) \in E \oplus \mathbf{R}^+ : y(x) \le \eta t : (y,\eta) \in G_*\}$$

This gives a set \widehat{C} in F^* which consists of vectors (y, η) such that

$$\max_{(x,t)\in G_{**}} y(x) - \eta t \le 0$$

It is clear that $G_* \subset \widehat{C}$. Now we prove the equality

$$(*) G_* = \widehat{C}$$

To get (*) we use that the two sets in (*) are weak-star closed. If the quality fails we find $(y_*, \eta_*) \in \widehat{C} \setminus G_*$ and vector $(x_*, t_*) \in F$, and a real number α such that

(iii)
$$y_*(x_*) - \eta_* t_* > \alpha \quad \& \quad (y, \eta) \in G_* \implies y(x_*) - \eta t_* \le \alpha$$

Since G_* contains (0,0) we have $\alpha \leq 0$. and since it also is a cone the last implication gives $(x_*,t_*)\in G_{**}$. Now the construction of \widehat{C} contradicts the strict inequality in the left hand side of (iii). Hence there cannot exist a separating vector and (*) follows. Next, in E we consider the convex set

$$K = \{x : (x, 1) \in G_{**}\}\$$

Using (*) the reader can check that

$$\mathcal{H}_K(y) = G(y)$$
 : $y \in E^*$

Hence G has the requested form and the uniqueness of K follows easily via the Exercise 0.1.

2.5 The case of normed spaces. If X is a normed vector space Theorem 2.4 leads to a certain isomorphism of two families. Denote by \mathcal{K} the family of all convex subsets of E which are closed with respect to the norm topology. A topology on \mathcal{K} is defined when we for each $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ declare an open neighborhood

$$U_{\epsilon}(K_0) = \{ K \in \mathcal{K} : \operatorname{dist}(K, K_0) < \epsilon \}$$

where the norm defines the distance between K and K_0 in the usual way. Denote by \mathfrak{H} the family of all functions G on E^* which satisfy (*) in 5.B.1 and are continuous with respect to the norm topology on E^* . A subset M of \mathfrak{H} is equi-continuous if there to each $\epsilon > 0$ exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$||y_2 - y_1|| < \delta \implies ||G(y_2) - G(y_1)|| < \epsilon$$

for every $G \in M$ and all pairs y_1, y_2 in E^* . The topology on \mathfrak{H} is defined by uniform convergence on equi-continuous subsets.

2.5.1 Theorem. If E is a normed vector space the set-theoretic bijective map $K \to \mathcal{H}_K$ is a homeomorphism when K and \mathfrak{H} are equipped with the described topologies.

Exercise. Deduce this result from Theorem 2.4. If necessary, consult Hörmander's cited article.