

An automorphism on product measures

Introduction. The main result is Theorem xx below which was proved in [Beurling]. First we insert comments from Beurling's article about the significance of Theorem XX below.

The article *Théorie relativiste de l'électron et l'interprétation de la mécanique quantique* published by Schrödinger in 1932 raised a new and unorthodox question concerning Brownian motions leading to new mathematical problems of considerable interest. More precisely, consider a Brownian motion which takes place in a bounded region Ω of some euclidian space \mathbf{R}^d for some $d \geq 2$. At time $t = 0$ the densities of particles under observation is given by some non-negative function $f_0(x)$ defined on Ω . The density at a later time $t > 0$ is classically equal to a function $x \mapsto u(x, t)$ where $u(x, t)$ solves the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta(u)$$

with boundary conditions

$$(*) \quad u(x, 0) = f_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

Schrödinger took into the account the reality of quantum physics which means that in an actual experiment the observed density of particles at a time $t_1 > 0$ does not coincide with $u(x, t_1)$. He posed the problem to find the most probable development during the time interval $[0, t_1]$ which leads to the state at time t_1 and concluded was that the density function which substitutes the heat-solution $u(x, t)$ should belong to a non-linear class of functions formed by products

$$(**) \quad w(x, t) = u_0(x, t) \cdot u_1(x, t)$$

where u_0 is a solution to (*) above defined for $t > 0$ while $u_1(x, t)$ is a solution to an adjoint equation

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = -\Delta(u) \quad : \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

defined when $t < t_1$. This leads to a new type of Cauchy problems. Namely, let f_0, f_1 be a pair of non-negative functions such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_0 \cdot dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \cdot dx$$

Then one asks if there exists a unique pair (u_1, u_2) as above such that the product function w satisfies

$$w(x, 0) = f_0(x) \quad : \quad w(x, t_1) = f_1(x)$$

Remark. The solvability of this non-linear boundary value problem was left open by Schrödinger. When Ω is a bounded set and has a smooth boundary one can use the Poisson-Greens function for the classical equation (*) and rewrite Schrödinger's equation to a system of non-linear integral equations. An account about (eventual) mathematical solutions to Schrödinger equations was presented by I.N. Bernstein in a plenary lecture at the IMU-congress at Zürich 1932. A first example appears on the product of two copies of the real line where Schrödinger's equations lead to non-linear equation for measures which goes as follows: Consider the Gaussian density function

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-t^2/2}$$

Denote by \mathcal{S}^* the class of non-negative product measures $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ on \mathbf{R}^2 for which

$$(*) \quad \iint g(x_1 - x_2) \cdot d\gamma_1(x_1) \cdot d\gamma_2(x_2) = 1$$

The product measure gives another product measure

$$\mathcal{T}_g(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$$

where

$$\mu_1(E_1) \cdot \mu_2(E_2) = \iint_{E_1 \times E_2} g(x_1 - x_2) \cdot d\gamma_1(x_1) \cdot d\gamma_2(x_2)$$

hold for all pairs of bounded Borel sets. Notice that $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ becomes a probability measure since (*) above holds. With these notations one has

Theorem. *For every product measure $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ which in addition is a probability measure there exists a unique $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ in \mathcal{S}^* such that*

$$\mathcal{T}_g(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$$

This theorem is a special case of Theorem § XX where the g -function is replaced by an arbitrary non-negative and bounded function $k(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log k \, dx_1 dx_2 > -\infty$$

0. An automorphism on product measures

Let $n \geq 2$ and consider an n -tuple of sample spaces $\{X_\nu = (\Omega_\nu, \mathcal{B}_\nu)\}$. We get the product space

$$Y = \prod X_\nu$$

whose sample space is the set-theoretic product $\prod \Omega_\nu$ and its Boolean σ -algebra is generated by $\{\mathcal{B}_\nu\}$.

0.1 Product measures. Let $\{\gamma_\nu\}$ be an n -tuple of signed measures on X_1, \dots, X_n . We get a unique measure γ^* on Y such that

$$\gamma^*(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n) = \prod \gamma_\nu(E_\nu)$$

hold for every n -tuple of $\{\mathcal{B}_\nu\}$ -measurable sets and refer to γ^* as the product measure. It is uniquely determined because the Boolean σ -algebra \mathcal{B} on Y by definition is generated by product sets $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ with each $E_\nu \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$. When no confusion is possible we put

$$\gamma^* = \prod \gamma_\nu$$

Remark. The set of product measures is a proper non-linear subset of all measures on Y . This is already seen when $n = 2$ with two discrete sample spaces, i.e. X_1 and X_2 consists of N points for some integer N . Every $N \times N$ -matrix with non-negative elements $\{a_{jk}\}$ give a probability measure μ on $X_1 \times X_2$ when the double sum $\sum \sum a_{jk} = 1$. The condition that μ is a product measure is that there exist N -tuples $\{\alpha_j$ and $\{\beta_k\}$ such that $\sum \alpha_j = \sum \beta_k = 1$ and $a_{jk} = \alpha_j \cdot \beta_k$.

0.2 The operator T_k . With a fixed $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ we consider \mathcal{B}_ν -measurable functions g_ν . Every such g_ν yields the function on Y defined by

$$g_\nu^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_\nu(x_\nu)$$

Consider a positive \mathcal{B} -measurable function k such that k and k^{-1} both are bounded functions and let μ be a non-negative product measure on Y such that

$$(i) \quad \int_Y k \cdot d\mu = 1$$

For each ν we find a unique non-negative measure on X_ν denoted by $(k\mu)_\nu$ where

$$(ii) \quad \int_{X_\nu} g_\nu \cdot (k\mu)_\nu = \int_Y g_\nu^* \cdot k \cdot d\mu$$

hold for all bounded measure functions on X_ν . Now we construct the product measure

$$(iii) \quad T_k(\mu) = \prod (k\mu)_\nu$$

It is clear that (i) entails that $T_k(\mu)$ is a probability measure on Y . Denote by \mathcal{S}_k^* the family of non-negative product measures satisfying (i) and let \mathcal{S}_1^* be the family of product measures which at the same time are probability measures.

Main Theorem. T_k yields a homeomorphism between \mathcal{S}_k^* and \mathcal{S}_1^* .

Remark. Above we refer to the norm topology on the space of measure, i.e. if γ_1 and γ_2 are two measures on Y then the norm $\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|$ is the total variation of the signed measure $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$. Recall from XX that the space of measures on Y is complete under this norm. In particular, let $\{\mu_\nu\}$ be a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm where each $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Then there exists a strong limit μ^* where μ^* again belongs to \mathcal{S}_1^* and

$$\|\mu_\nu - \mu^*\| \rightarrow 0$$

Exercise. Verify that T_k is a continuous and injective map from \mathcal{S}_k^* to \mathcal{S}_1^* . So the main theorem amounts to prove that T_k is surjective which will be achieved in § 0.4 below.

0.4 A variational problem.

The proof that T_k is surjective relies upon a variational problem. Before it is presented we insert a preliminary result which plays an essential role later on.

0.5 Lemma. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be a pair of probability measures on Y . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and suppose that

$$\left| \int_Y g_\nu^* \cdot d\gamma_1 - \int_Y g_\nu^* \cdot d\gamma_2 \right| \leq \epsilon$$

hold for every $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ and every function g_ν on X_ν with maximum norm ≤ 1 . Then the norm

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\| \leq n \cdot \epsilon$$

The proof is left to the reader where the hint is to make repeated use of Fubini's theorem.

0.6 The linear space \mathcal{A} . It denotes the class of functions on Y of the form

$$a = g_1^* + \dots + g_n^*$$

where each g_ν^* comes from a function g_ν on X_ν as above. The exponential function e^a becomes

$$e^a = \prod e^{g_\nu^*}$$

If γ^* is a product measure with factors $\{\gamma_\nu\}$, it follows that $e^a \cdot \gamma^*$ is a product measures with factors $\{e^{g_\nu^*} \cdot \gamma_\nu\}$. For every pair $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_1^*$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we put

$$(0.6.1) \quad W(a, \gamma) = \int_Y (e^a k - a) \cdot d\gamma$$

Keeping γ fixed we set

$$(0.6.2) \quad W_*(\gamma) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} W(a, \gamma)$$

Remark. For every positive number q and every real number α one has the inequality

$$e^q \cdot \alpha - \alpha \geq 1 + \log q$$

It follows that $W(a, \gamma) \geq 1 + \log k_*$ where k_* is the minimum of the positive k -function and hence that

$$(*) \quad W_*(\gamma) \geq 1 + \log k_*$$

The requested surjectivity of T_k follows from the following:

0.7 Proposition. Let $\{a_\nu\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\lim W(\gamma, a_\nu) = W_*(\gamma)$$

Then the sequence $\{e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma\}$ converges to a unique probability measure μ such that $T_k(\gamma) = \mu$.

Before we enter the proof we insert the following:

0.8. Lemma. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $W(a, \gamma) \leq m_*(\gamma) + \epsilon$. Then*

$$\int e^a \cdot k \cdot \gamma \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - e^{-1}}$$

Proof. For every real number s the function $a - s$ again belongs to \mathcal{A} and by the hypothesis $W(a - s, \gamma) \geq W(a, \gamma) - \epsilon$. This entails that

$$\int e^a k \cdot d\gamma \leq \int_Y e^{a-s} \cdot k d\gamma + s \int k \cdot d\gamma + \epsilon \implies \int (1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^a \cdot k d\gamma \leq s + \epsilon$$

Hence Lemma 0.8 follows with $s = 1$.

Proof of Proposition 0.7

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and consider a pair a, b in \mathcal{A} such that $W(a, \gamma)$ and $W(b, \gamma)$ both are $\leq W_*(\gamma) + \epsilon$. The inclusion $\frac{1}{2}(a + b) \in \mathcal{A}$ gives

$$(i) \quad 2 \cdot W\left(\frac{1}{2}(a + b), \gamma\right) \geq 2 \cdot m_*(\gamma) \geq W(a, \gamma) + W(b, \gamma) - 2\epsilon$$

Notice that

$$(ii) \quad W(a, \gamma) + W(b, \gamma) - 2 \cdot W\left(\frac{1}{2}(a + b)\right) = \int_Y [e^a + e^b - 2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(a+b)}] \cdot k d\gamma$$

Now we use the algebraic identity

$$e^a + e^b - 2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(a+b)} = (e^{a/2} - e^{b/2})^2$$

It follows from (i-ii) that

$$(iv) \quad \int_Y (e^{a/2} - e^{b/2})^2 \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \leq 2\epsilon$$

Next, we notice the identity

$$|e^a - e^b| = (e^{a/2} + e^{b/2}) \cdot |e^{a/2} - e^{b/2}|$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$(v) \quad \left[\int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \right]^2 \leq 2\epsilon \cdot \int_Y (e^{a/2} + e^{b/2}) \cdot k \cdot d\gamma$$

Lemma 0.8 implies that the last factor is bounded by a fixed constant and hence there exists a constant C such that

$$(vi) \quad \int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

Replacing C by C/k_* where k_* is the minimum of k we get

$$(vii) \quad ||e^a \cdot \gamma - e^b \cdot \gamma|| = \int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot d\gamma \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

Since this hold for every ϵ it follows that when $\{a_\nu\}$ is a sequence such that

$$\lim W(a_\nu, \gamma) = W_*(\gamma)$$

then $\{e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and therefore converges to a limit measure μ where

$$(viii) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} ||e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma - \mu|| \rightarrow 0$$

0.9 The equality $T(\mu) = \gamma$

Consider some $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$ whose maximum norm $|\rho|_Y \leq 1$ which enable us to write

$$(1) \quad e^{-\rho} = 1 - \rho + \rho_1 \quad : \quad 0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho^2$$

Now

$$W(a_\nu - \rho) \geq W(a_\nu) - \epsilon_\nu \quad : \text{ where } \epsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0 \implies$$

$$(2) \quad \int_Y [ke^{a_\nu} - a_\nu] \cdot d\gamma \leq \int_Y [ke^{a_\nu - \rho} - a_\nu + \rho] \cdot d\gamma + \epsilon_\nu = \int_Y [ke^{a_\nu} - a_\nu + e^{ka_\nu} \rho_1 + \rho(1 - e^{ka_\nu})] \cdot d\gamma + \epsilon_\nu$$

where the last equality used (1). Hence we have

$$(3) \quad \int_Y \rho(e^{ka_\nu} - 1) \cdot d\gamma \leq \epsilon_\nu + \int_Y e^{ka_\nu} \rho_1 \cdot d\gamma$$

Next, we have a fixed constant C such that

$$\int_Y e^{ka_\nu} \cdot d\gamma \leq C$$

So (3) entails that

$$(4) \quad \int_Y (e^{ka_\nu} - 1) \cdot \rho] \cdot d\gamma \leq \epsilon_\nu + C \cdot \|\rho\|_Y^2$$

The same inequality with ρ replaced by $-\rho$ which entails that

$$\left| \int_Y (ke^{a_\nu} - 1) \cdot \rho \cdot d\gamma \right| \leq \epsilon_\nu + C \cdot \|\rho\|_Y^2$$

At this stage we apply Lemma 0.5 to the measure $(ke^{a_\nu} - 1) \cdot d\gamma$ while we use ρ -functions in \mathcal{A} of norm $\leq \sqrt{\epsilon_\nu}$. This gives the following inequality for the total variation:

$$\|ke^{a_\nu} - 1\| \cdot \gamma \leq n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot (\epsilon_\nu + C\epsilon_\nu) = n(1 + C) \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon_\nu}$$

Passing to the limit we get the requested equality in § 0.9 and Proposition 0.7 is proved.