

### Bochner's moment theorem

In probability theory the distribution of a stochastic variable is expressed by a probability measure  $\mu$  on the real line where we take  $t$  as the coordinate. The characteristic function is by definition the Fourier transform of  $\mu$  and we set

$$(*) \quad f(x) = \int e^{-ixt} \cdot d\mu(t)$$

Since  $\mu$  has total mass one we get  $f(0) = 1$ . Moreover, let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be some  $N$ -tuple of real numbers and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$  some  $N$ -tuple of complex numbers. Then

$$(**) \quad \sum \sum f(x_p - x_q) \alpha_p \cdot \bar{\alpha}_q = \int \left| \sum \alpha_p \cdot e^{-ix_p t} \right|^2 d\mu(t)$$

Since  $\mu \geq 0$  the right hand side is  $\geq 0$ . It turns out that this inequality characterizes the family of bounded continuous functions  $f(x)$  which are Fourier transforms of non-negative measures. To make it precise we give

**Definition.** Denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the class of continuous functions  $f(x)$  on the real  $x$ -line such that

$$\sum \sum f(x_p - x_q) \alpha_p \cdot \bar{\alpha}_q \geq 0 \quad : \quad f(0) = 1$$

where the inequality holds for all pairs of  $N$ -tuples  $x_\bullet$  and  $\alpha_\bullet$  as above.

**Remark.** Given  $x > 0$  we take  $N = 2$  with  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = x$  and  $\alpha_1 = 1$  while  $\alpha_2 = e^{i\theta}$ . Then Bochner's condition gives

$$(i) \quad 2 \cdot f(0) + e^{i\theta} f(x) + e^{-i\theta} f(-x) \geq 0$$

With  $\theta = \pi/2$  it follows that  $f(-x) = \bar{f}(x)$  and then the inequality (i) gives

$$(ii) \quad |f(x)| \leq f(0) = 1$$

So functions in  $\mathcal{B}$  are automatically bounded. Now we announce Bochner's result.

**1. Theorem.** For each  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists a unique non-negative measure  $\mu$  such that

$$f(x) = \int e^{-ixt} d\mu(t)$$

**Remark.** Theorem 1 appears in the book [Boch] *Vorlesungen über Fouriersche Integrale* from 1932. The essential ingredient in the proof is a representation formula for positive harmonic functions in the upper half-plane. Prior to Bochner's result the periodic version of Theorem 1 was established by G. Herglotz who proved the following in [Herg] from 1911:

**2. Theorem.** Let  $\{m_n : -\infty < n < \infty\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers. In order that there exists a non-negative Riesz measure  $\mu$  on the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  such that

$$m_n = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} \cdot d\mu(\theta)$$

it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\sum_{\nu=-N}^{\nu=N} \sum_{j=-N}^{j=N} m_{\nu-j} \cdot \alpha_\nu \cdot \bar{\alpha}_j \geq 0$$

holds for any finite sequence of complex numbers  $\alpha_{-N}, \dots, \alpha_N$ .

To prove Bochner's theorem we shall need the following result:

**3. Proposition.** For each pair of real numbers  $\xi, \eta$  with  $\eta > 0$  there exists a function  $\phi(x)$  in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  such that

$$e^{-i\xi x - \eta|x|} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x+y) \cdot \bar{\phi}(y) \cdot dy \quad : \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

*Proof* Set

$$(ii) \quad \phi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \cdot \int e^{itx} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\eta^2 + (\xi + t)^2}} \cdot dt$$

The reader can verify that  $\phi(x) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  and that the equality in Proposition 3 holds.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  be given and put

$$(1) \quad \Phi(\xi, \eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi x - \eta|x|} \cdot f(x) \cdot dx \quad : \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R} \quad : \quad \eta > 0$$

Proposition 3 gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\xi, \eta) &= \iint \phi(x+y) \cdot \bar{\phi}(y) \cdot f(x) \cdot dx dy = \\ &= \iint \phi(x) \cdot \bar{\phi}(y) \cdot f(x-y) \cdot dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Since both  $f$  and  $\phi$  belong to  $L^1$  we can approximate the last double integral by Riemann sums which are of the form

$$\sum f(x_p - x_q) \cdot \alpha_p \bar{\alpha}_q$$

The hypothesis that  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  therefore implies that the  $\Phi$ -function is  $\geq 0$ . Next, for each fixed  $x$  we consider the function

$$(*) \quad (\xi, \eta) \mapsto e^{-i\xi x - \eta|x|}$$

Since  $i^2 = -1$  we see that this function is harmonic. Approximating the integral (1) by Riemann sums we conclude that  $\Phi(\xi, \eta)$  is a harmonic function in the upper half-plane  $\eta > 0$ . Since  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x$  and  $|e^{-ix\xi}| = 1$  the triangle inequality gives

$$(i) \quad |\Phi(\xi, \eta)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\eta|x|} \cdot dx = \frac{2}{\eta}$$

Now  $\Phi$  is harmonic and  $\geq 0$  in the upper half-plane. Hence the inequality (i) and the general result in § XX gives a non-negative measure  $\mu$  of finite total mass such that

$$(2) \quad \Phi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{\eta^2 + (\xi - t)^2} \cdot d\mu(t)$$

With  $\eta > 0$  kept fixed we notice that (1) means that the function  $\xi \mapsto \Phi(\xi, \eta)$  is the Fourier transform of  $e^{-\eta|x|} f(x)$ . Hence (2) and Fourier's inversion formula yield:

$$e^{-\eta|x|} f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \cdot \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{\eta^2 + (\xi - t)^2} \cdot d\mu(t) \right] \cdot d\xi =$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \cdot \int \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta \cdot e^{ix\xi}}{\eta^2 + (\xi - t)^2} \right] d\mu(t) \quad : \quad \eta > 0$$

Next, we have the limit formulas

$$(iv) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \cdot \frac{\eta}{\eta^2 + (\xi - t)^2} \cdot d\xi = e^{ixt} \quad : \quad -\infty < t < \infty$$

$$(v) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{-\epsilon|x|} \cdot f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$$

So after the passage to the limit as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  we get the requested formula:

$$(iv) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int e^{ixt} \cdot d\mu(t)$$

### Operational calculus on $L^1(\mathbf{R})$

Let  $f(x)$  be in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  and denote its Fourier transform by  $g(\xi)$ , i.e.

$$(*) \quad g(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx$$

Let  $[a, b]$  be a closed interval on the real  $\xi$ -line. Write  $w = g(\xi)$  which gives the compact subset  $g[a, b]$  of the complex  $w$ -plane. Let  $\Phi(w)$  be an analytic function defined in some open neighborhood of  $g[a, b]$ . With these notations one has

**Theorem.** *There exists a function  $\phi(x) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  whose Fourier transform satisfies*

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \Phi(g(\xi)) \quad : \quad a \leq \xi \leq b$$

*Proof.* Consider a point  $a \leq \xi_* \leq b$  and put  $w_* = g(\xi_*)$ . The analyticity of  $\Phi$  gives a series expansion

$$(*) \quad \Phi(w) = \Phi(w_*) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{\nu}(w - w_*)^{\nu}$$

which is convergent in some open disc centered at  $w_*$ . Hence there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a constant  $M$  such that

$$(i) \quad |c_{\nu}| \leq M \cdot \delta^{-\nu} \quad : \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots$$

Next, consider the function

$$W(\xi) = 1 \quad : \quad |\xi| \leq 1 \quad : \quad W(\xi) = 2 - |\xi| \quad : \quad 1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$$

Recall from the example in § XX that  $W$  is the Fourier transform of an  $L^1$ -function  $P(x)$ . Fourier's inversion formula gives:

$$(ii) \quad P(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} \cdot W(\xi) d\xi$$

Next, when  $|g(\xi) - g(\xi_*)| < \delta$  it follows from (\*) that

$$(iii) \quad \Phi(g(\xi)) - \Phi(g(\xi_*)) = \sum c_{\nu}(g(\xi) - g(\xi_*))^{\nu}$$

Let  $k > 0$  and put

$$(iii) \quad \psi_k(\xi) = W(k(\xi - \xi_*)) \cdot \Phi(g(\xi_*)) + \sum c_{\nu} \cdot [W(k(\xi - \xi_*)) \cdot (g(\xi) - g(\xi_*))^{\nu}]$$

Rules for dilation under the Fourier transform and (ii) give

$$(iv) \quad \frac{1}{k} \cdot e^{i\xi_* \cdot x} \cdot P\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \text{inverse Fourier transform of } W(k(\xi - \xi_*))$$

More precisely, we have

$$(**) \quad \frac{1}{k} \cdot e^{i\xi_* \cdot x} \cdot P\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int e^{ix\xi} \cdot W(k(\xi - \xi_*)) \cdot d\xi$$

Define the function  $Q_k(x)$  by:

$$(v) \quad Q_k(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int e^{i\xi_*(x-y)} [P\left(\frac{x-y}{k}\right) - P\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)] f(y) dy$$

Then (\*\*) and Fourier's inversion formula give:

$$(vi) \quad W(k(\xi - \xi_*)) \cdot (g(\xi) - g(\xi_*)) = \int e^{-ix\xi} \cdot Q_k(x) dx$$

Next, the triangle inequality applied to the right hand side in (vi) gives:

$$(***) \quad \int |Q_k(x)| \cdot dx \leq \frac{1}{k} \iint |P\left(\frac{x-y}{k}\right) - P\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)| \cdot |f(y)| \cdot dx dy = \|f\|_1 \cdot \int |P(x - \frac{y}{k}) - P(\frac{x}{k})| \cdot dx$$

Since  $P \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem gives

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \int |P(x - \frac{y}{k}) - P(\frac{x}{k})| \cdot dx = 0$$

Together with the inequality (\*\*\*) we therefore obtain

$$(\text{****}) \quad \|Q_k\|_1 = \lambda(k) \quad \text{where} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \lambda(k) = 0$$

Next, for each  $\nu \geq 2$  we construct the  $\nu$ :th fold convolution of  $Q_k$  which we denote by  $Q_k^{(\nu)}$ . The multiplicative inequality for  $L^1$ -norms and (\*\*\*\*) give:

$$(\text{*****}) \quad \|Q_k^{(\nu)}\|_1 \leq \lambda(k)^\nu \quad : \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Choose  $k$  so large that  $\lambda(k) < \delta$ . Then (\*\*\*\*\*) entails that

$$(\text{vii}) \quad G(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_\nu \cdot Q_k^{(\nu)}(x)$$

converges in the Banach space  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Hence we obtain the  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ -function defined by

$$(\text{viii}) \quad G^*(x) = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \Phi(g(\xi_*)) \cdot e^{i\xi_* \cdot x} \cdot P\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$$

From the constructions above it is clear that the Fourier transform of  $G^*(x)$  is equal to the function  $\psi_k(\xi)$  in (iii). Moreover, the construction of the  $W$ -function and the series expansion of  $\Phi$  in (\*) give the equality

$$(\text{ix}) \quad \psi_k(\xi) = \Phi(g(\xi)) \quad : \quad |\xi - \xi_*| \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

Final part of the proof. By (ix) we find  $L^1$ -functions whose Fourier transforms agrees with  $\Phi(g(\xi))$  on small intervals around every point  $a \leq \xi_* \leq b$ . By the Heine-Borel Lemma and a  $C^\infty$ -partition of the unit we finish the proof of Theorem 1. To be precise, use that if  $h(\xi)$  is a test-function on the real  $\xi$ -line then it is the Fourier transform of some  $L^1$ -function.