

## Derivatives of functions

In the text-book *Théorie de l'intégration* from 1904, Lebesgue proved that a monotone function defined in a real interval has an ordinary derivative outside a null-set. For an arbitrary continuous function a more general result was established by Young and Denjoy which goes as follows: Let  $f(x)$  be a real-valued continuous function defined on some open interval  $(a, b)$ . For each  $a < x < b$  we set

$$D^*(x) = \limsup_{h+k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-k)}{h+k}$$

where  $h$  and  $k$  are positive when we pass to the limes superior. Similarly

$$D_*(x) = \liminf_{h+k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-k)}{h+k}$$

**0.1 Theorem.** *Outside a (possibly empty) null-set  $E$  of  $(a, b)$  the following two possibilities occur for each  $x \in (a, b) \setminus E$ : Either there exists a common finite limit*

$$(*) \quad D^*(x) = D_*(x)$$

*Or else one has*

$$(**) \quad D^*(x) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad D_*(x) = -\infty$$

**Remark.** Above the pair  $h, k$  tends to zero under the sole condition that  $h + k \rightarrow 0$ . We can take  $k = 0$  or  $h = 0$  and consider one-sided limits:

$$(i) \quad D^+(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad d^+(x) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

$$(ii) \quad D_+(x) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x-k)}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad d_+(x) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x-k)}{k}$$

With these notations it is clear that:

$$D_*(x) \leq d^+(x) \leq D^+(x) \leq D^*(x)$$

So the equality  $D_*(x) = D^*(x)$  entails that  $f$  has an ordinary right derivative. Since

$$D_*(x) \leq d_+(x) \leq D_+(x) \leq D^*(x)$$

we conclude that if  $(*)$  holds in the theorem, then  $f$  has a ordinary derivative at  $x$ . If  $(**)$  occurs at a point  $x$ , then the graph of  $f$  close to  $x$  is steep but may also change sign in a small interval around such a point. Take for example  $x = 0$  and let

$$f(x) = \sqrt{x} \quad \text{when} \quad x > 0 \quad : \quad f(x) = \sqrt{-x} \quad \text{when} \quad x < 0$$

With  $k = 0$  and  $h > 0$  we see that  $D^*(0) = +\infty$  and with  $h = 0$  and  $k > 0$  we see that  $D_*(0) = -\infty$ . In § xx we present Weierstrass' construction of a continuous function  $f(x)$  which fails to have an ordinary derivative at every point in the interval  $(a, b)$ . The Denjoy-Young theorem shows that such a continuous function has a "turbulent" graph where  $D^*(x) = +\infty$  and  $D_*(x) = -\infty$  both hold for all  $x$  outside a null-set.

**0.2 The case of monotone functions.** If the continuous function  $f$  is non-increasing or non-decreasing, then case  $(**)$  cannot occur. So Theorem 0.1 implies that a monotone continuous function has an ordinary derivative almost everywhere.

### 1. Riesz intervals.

The interested reader may consult Riesz' plenary talk at the IMU-congress in Zürich (1932) for a historic account about derivatives of functions on the real line, and the subsequent proof follows Riesz' presentation in [ibid]. Let  $g(x)$  be a real-valued and continuous function defined on a compact interval  $[a, b]$ , and  $(a, b)$  denotes the open interval. The forward Riesz set  $\mathcal{F}_g$  consists of all points  $x \in (a, b)$  for which there exists some  $y \in (x, b)$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad g(x) < g(y)$$

It means that  $x$  is outside  $\mathcal{F}_g$  if and only if

$$x < y < b \implies g(y) \leq g(x)$$

and from this the reader can check that  $\mathcal{F}_g$  is the empty set if and only if  $g$  is a non-increasing function. Excluding this case continuity entails that  $\mathcal{F}_g$  is an open subset of  $(a, b)$  and hence a disjoint union of open intervals

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{F}_g = \cup (\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)$$

Each interval in (i) is called a *forward Riesz interval* of  $g$ . It may occur that some interval is of the form  $(\alpha, b)$  i.e.  $b$  is a right end-point. Similarly  $a$  can be a left end-point. For example, if  $g$  from the start is strictly increasing then  $\mathcal{F}_g = (a, b)$ .

**1.2 Proposition** *For each forward Riesz interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  one has*

$$(1.2.1) \quad g(\beta) = \max_{\alpha \leq x \leq \beta} g(x)$$

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. This gives some maximum point  $\alpha \leq x^* < \beta$  for the  $g$ -function on the closed interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Now  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}_g$  which means that

$$\exists y \in (x^*, b) \quad \& \quad g(x^*) > g(y)$$

Since  $x^*$  is a maximum point over  $[\alpha, \beta]$  we must have  $y > \beta$ . But then  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_g$  which is impossible since  $\beta$  was a boundary point of the open set  $\mathcal{F}_g$ .

### 1.3 Backward Riesz intervals Put

$$\mathcal{B}_g = \{x \in (a, b) : \exists y \in (a, x) : g(x) < g(y)\}$$

Again  $\mathcal{B}_g$  is open and hence a disjoint union of open intervals  $(c_\nu, d_\nu)$ . They are called backward Riesz intervals. By similar reasoning as above one shows that if  $(c, d)$  is a backward Riesz interval then

$$(1.3.1) \quad g(c) = \max_{c \leq x \leq d} g(x)$$

**1.4 A study of monotone functions.** Let  $f(x)$  be a continuous and *non-decreasing* function on  $[a, b]$ . To each  $a < x < b$  we set

$$(1.4.1) \quad D^+(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

where limes superior is taken as  $h > 0$  decrease to zero. The function  $x \mapsto D^+(x)$  takes values in  $[0, +\infty]$ .

**1.4.2 Proposition.** *For each positive number  $C$  the following set-theoretic inclusion holds:*

$$\{D^+ > C\} \subset \mathcal{F}_g \quad \text{where} \quad g(x) = f(x) - Cx$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D^+(x) > C$  for some  $a < x < b$ . The definition of limes superior gives some  $y \in (x, b)$  such that

$$(i) \quad \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} > C$$

Then  $g(y) - g(x) = f(y) - f(x) - C(y - x) > 0$  and hence  $x \in \mathcal{F}_g$ .

Next, let  $\{\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu\}$  be the forward Riesz intervals of  $g$ . Applying (1.2.1) one has  $g(\beta_\nu) \geq g(\alpha_\nu)$  for every such forwards interval. It follows that

$$0 \leq \sum g(\beta_\nu) - g(\alpha_\nu) \implies \sum f(\beta_\nu) - f(\alpha_\nu) \geq C \cdot \sum (\beta_\nu - \alpha_\nu)$$

Since  $f$  is non-decreasing we notice that

$$\sum f(\beta_\nu) - f(\alpha_\nu) \leq f(b) - f(a)$$

It follows that the measure of the open set  $\mathcal{F}_g$  satisfies

$$|\mathcal{F}_g| \leq \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{C}$$

Together with the inclusion from Proposition 1.4.2 we obtain:

**1.5 Proposition** *For every  $C > 0$  the outer Lebesgue measure of the set  $\{D^+ > C\}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|\{D^+ > C\}|^* \leq \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{C}$$

**1.6 The  $D_+$ -function.** To each  $a < x < b$  we put

$$D_+(x) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+k) - f(x)}{k}$$

Let  $A > 0$  and put

$$h(x) = f(x) - A$$

A similar reasoning as in Proposition 1.4.2 gives the inclusion

$$(1.6.1) \quad \{D_+ < A\} \subset \mathcal{B}_h$$

where the right hand side is the backward Riesz set of  $h$ .

**1.7 Some inequalities.** Consider a pair  $0 < c < C$  and the intersection

$$E = \{D_+ < c\} \cap \{D^+ > C\}$$

Now (1.6.1) gives the inclusion

$$(i) \quad E \subset \{D^+ > C\} \cap \mathcal{B}_h$$

Let  $\{(\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)\}$  be the interval decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}_h$ . For each  $\nu$  we consider the restriction of  $f$  to the interval  $(\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)$  and Proposition 1.4.2 gives the inequality

$$(ii) \quad |\{D^+ > C\} \cap (\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)|^* \leq \frac{f(\beta_\nu) - f(\alpha_\nu)}{C}$$

Since  $(\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)$  is a backward Riesz interval of  $f(x) - c$  we have  $f(\beta_\nu) - f(\alpha_\nu) \leq c(\beta_\nu - \alpha_\nu)$ . Hence (i) gives:

$$(iii) \quad |\{D^+ > C\} \cap (\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)|^* \leq \frac{c}{C} \cdot (\beta_\nu - \alpha_\nu)$$

Since the backward Riesz intervals are disjoint a summation over  $\nu$  and the inclusion ((1.6.1) give:

$$(1.7.1) \quad |\{D^+ > C\} \cap \{D_+ < c\}|^* \leq \frac{c}{C} (b - a)$$

## 2. Proof of Lebesgue's theorem.

The function  $f$  restricts to a non-decreasing function on an arbitrary open subinterval  $(a_*, b_*)$  of  $(a, b)$  and since both  $D^+$  and  $d_+$  are constructed by limits close to a point we get the same inequality as in (1.7.1), i.e. one has the inequality

$$|\{d_+ < c\} \cap \{D^+ > C\} \cap (a_*, b_*)|^* \leq \frac{c}{C} \cdot (b_* - a_*)$$

Now the criterion from §XX implies that  $\{d_+ < c\} \cap \{D^+ > C\}$  is a null-set. Apply this for pairs  $c = q < r = C$  where  $q, r$  are rational numbers. Since a denumerable union of null-sets is a null-set we conclude that the equality

$$(i) \quad d_+(x) = D^+(x)$$

holds almost everywhere. In the same way one proves that the equality

$$(ii) \quad d^+(x) = D_+(x) \quad \text{holds almost everywhere}$$

Finally, it is obvious that when (i-ii) hold then  $f$  has an ordinary derivative which proves that every monotone function has a derivative almost everywhere.

**2.1 An extension of Lebesgue's theorem.** Let  $f$  be a continuous function on the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Suppose that  $E$  is a measurable subset of  $(0, 1)$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to  $E$  is non-decreasing. Removing an eventual zero set we also assume that  $E = \mathcal{L}(E)$ , i.e. every  $x \in E$  is a point of density for  $E$  as explained in § XX. Using exactly the same methods as above it follows that there is a (possibly empty) null-set  $S \subset E$ , there exists a derivative at every  $x \in E$  in the sense that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{h+k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-k)}{h+k} = f'_E(x)$$

exists for each  $x \in E \setminus S$  where the limit is restricted in the sense that  $x+h$  and  $x+k$  belong to  $E$  during the passage to  $h+k \rightarrow 0$ . But since  $x$  is a point of density (1) holds without this restriction, i.e.  $f'_E(x)$  gives an ordinary derivative of  $f$ . Let us supply the details for this assertion. We may take  $x = 0$  and replacing  $f$  by  $f - f'_E(0)x - f(0)$  we can assume that  $f'_E(0) = f(0) = 0$ . Next, let  $0 < \epsilon < 1/4$  which gives some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < x < \delta$  and  $x \in E$  then

$$f(x) \leq \epsilon \cdot x$$

At the same time the density condition entails that if  $\delta$  is small enough then

$$|E \cap (-x, x)| \geq 2x(1 - \epsilon) \quad : \quad 0 < x < \delta$$

If we consider some  $0 < x < \delta/2$  we see that (xx) implies the interval  $(x + 4\epsilon \cdot x, x)$  must intersect  $E$  and if  $x^* \in E$  is in this interval we get

$$f(x) \leq f(x^*) \leq \epsilon \cdot x^* \leq \epsilon \cdot 2x$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  this proves that  $D^+(0) = 0$  and in the same way the reader can verify that the right derivative at  $x = 0$  vanishes.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 0.1

For each non-negative integer  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and every rational number  $r \in (a, b)$  we denote by  $E_{n,r}$  the set of all  $r < x < b$  such that

$$\frac{f(x) - f(\xi)}{x - \xi} > -n \quad : \quad r < \xi < x$$

**Exercise.** Show the set-theoretic inclusion

$$\{D_*(x) > -\infty\} \subset \bigcup E_{n,r}$$

where the union is taken over all  $n \geq 0$  and every rational number  $a < r < b$ .

**3.1 Proposition.** For each pair  $(n, r)$  the equality

$$D^*(x) = D_*(x)$$

holds almost everywhere in the measurable set  $E_{n,r}$ .

*Proof.* Replacing the interval  $(a, b)$  by  $(r, b)$  and  $f$  by  $f(x - r) + nx$  we can assume that  $r = n = 0$  and now  $E_{0,0} \subset (0, b - r)$  where the restriction of  $f$  to this measurable set is monotone, i.e.

$$0 < \xi < x \implies f(x) > f(\xi)$$

holds for every pair  $\xi < x$  in  $E_{0,0}$ . To simplify notations we set  $E = E_{0,0}$ . Let  $E_*$  be the set of density for  $E$  as defined in XX and recall from XX that  $E \setminus E_*$  is a null-set. Ignoring this null-set we consider the restriction of  $f$  to  $E_*$  which again is a non-decreasing function. The extended version from 2.1 of Lebesgue's theorem applies and shows that after removing another null-set from  $E_*$  if necessary, then the limit below exists for each  $x \in E_*$ :

$$(*) \quad D(x) = \lim_{h+k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-k)}{h+k}$$

In the same way one proves that if a null-set is removed from the set

$$D^*(x) = +\infty\}$$

then  $f$  has an ordinary derivative so that  $D^*(x) = D_*(x)$ . This finishes the proof of the Denjoy-Young theorem.

#### 4 Derivatives of jump functions.

Above we studied monotone and continuous functions. There also exist non-decreasing jump functions which arise as follows: Let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers in  $(0, 1)$ . They are not ordered and may give a dense set. For example, we can take some enumeration of all rational numbers in  $(0, 1)$ . Next, let  $\{\delta_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum \delta_n < \infty$ . To each  $n$  we get the jump function  $H_n(x)$  where

$$H_n(x) = 0 \quad : \quad x < \xi_n \quad \text{and} \quad H_n(x) = \delta_n \quad : \quad x \geq \xi_n$$

Now

$$s(x) = \sum H_n(x)$$

is a non-decreasing function which has jump-discontinuities at each  $\xi_n$ .

**Exercise.** Show that  $s$  is pointwise continuous at every  $x$  outside the set  $\{\xi_n\}$ , i.e. show that if  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$s(x + \delta) < s(x) + \epsilon \quad \& \quad s(x - \delta) > s(x) - \epsilon$$

Less evident is the following:

**4.1 Theorem.**  $s(x)$  has an ordinary derivative which is equal to zero almost everywhere.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha > 0$  and denote by  $E$  be the subset of  $(0, 1)$  which consists of numbers  $0 < x < 1$  such that

$$\limsup_{h+k \rightarrow 0} \frac{s(x+h) - s(x-k)}{h+k} > \alpha$$

It suffices to show that  $E$  is a null-set. To prove this we consider some  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $N$  so large that

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n > N} \delta_n < \alpha \cdot \epsilon$$

Set  $s_*(x) = s(x) - (H_1(x) + \dots + H_N(x))$ . If  $E_*$  is the corresponding set in  $(x)$  with  $s$  replaced by  $s_*$  then  $E$  and  $E_*$  only differ by the finite set  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N$  so the measures of  $e$  and  $E_*$  are the same. Now we apply Vitali's covering theorem using  $s_*$  and obtain a sequence of disjoint intervals  $\{a_n, b_n\}$  which yields a Vitali covering of  $E_*$  and at the same time

$$\frac{s_*(b_\nu) - s_*(a_\nu)}{b_\nu - a_\nu} \geq \alpha$$

It follows that

$$(ii) \quad s_*(1) - s_*(0) \geq \alpha \cdot \sum (b_\nu - a_\nu)$$

At the same time (i) entails that  $s_*(1) - s_*(0) \leq \alpha \cdot \epsilon$  and hence we have

$$|E|^* = |E_*|^* \leq \sum (b_\nu - a_\nu) \leq \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary we get  $|E|^* = 0$  as requested.

### 5. Stieltjes' Moment problem.

Let  $f$  be a real-valued and continuous function defined on  $x \geq 0$  such that the integrals

$$(*) \quad \int_0^\infty x^n \cdot |f(x)| dx < \infty$$

for all positive integers  $n$ . At first sight one may expect that if

$$\int_0^\infty x^n \cdot f(x) dx = 0$$

hold for all  $n$ , then  $f$  must be identically zero. However, this is not true. We shall give examples below. But first we insert the following

**Exercise.** Let  $g(x)$  be an arbitrary continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the following two equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g^2(x) dx &= \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R \left[ \int_0^1 \cos(st) g(t) dt \right]^2 ds \\ \int_0^1 g^2(x) dx &= \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R \left[ \int_0^1 \sin(st) g(t) dt \right]^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

This follows from Parseval's formula for  $L^2$ -functions on the real line.

Next, consider a test-function  $\phi(s)$  supported by  $[0, 1]$ . Now there exists the cosine integral

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^1 \cos(sx) \cdot \phi(s) ds$$

This function satisfies the integrability condition (\*). For example, if  $m \geq 1$  we perform  $2m$ -many partial integrations and find that

$$x^{2m} \Phi(x) = (-1)^m \cdot \int_0^1 \cos(sx) \cdot \phi^{(2m)}(s) ds$$

Similarly we have the sine-transform:

$$\Psi(x) = \int_0^1 \sin(sx) \cdot \phi(s) ds$$

For each positive integer  $p$  a partial integration gives

$$\Phi(x) + i\Psi(x) = \frac{(-1)^p}{(is)^p} \int_0^1 e^{ixs} f^{(p)}(s) ds$$

Using this and the exercise above the reader may verify the equalities below for every non-negative integer  $p$ :

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty x^{2p} \cdot \Phi(x)^2 dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty x^{2p} \cdot \Psi(x)^2 dx = m_p^2$$

where we have put

$$m_p^2 = \int_0^1 [f^{(p)}(s)]^2 ds$$

Now we consider the non-decreasing functions

$$G(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{x}} \Phi(s)^2 ds \quad \text{and} \quad H(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{x}} \Psi(s)^2 ds$$

From the above we obtain

$$m_p^2 = \int_0^\infty x^p \cdot G(x) dx = \int_0^\infty x^p \cdot H(x) dx$$

Since  $G \neq H$  this gives an example where the moment problem has no unique solution with  $c_p = m_p^2$ .