

## An automorphism on product measures

**Introduction.** The main result is Theorem xx below which was proved by Beurling in [Beurling]. Before we introduce measure theoretic notions we insert comments from [Beurling] about the significance of Theorem XX.

**Schrödinger equations.** The article *Théorie relativiste de l'électron et l'interprétation de la mécanique quantique* was published 1932 where Schrödinger raised a new and unorthodox question concerning Brownian motions leading to new mathematical problems of considerable interest. More precisely, consider a Brownian motion which takes place in a bounded region  $\Omega$  of some euclidian space  $\mathbf{R}^d$  for some  $d \geq 2$ . At time  $t = 0$  the densities of particles under observation is given by some non-negative function  $f_0(x)$  defined on  $\Omega$ . The density at a later time  $t > 0$  is classically equal to a function  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  where  $u(x, t)$  solves the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta(u)$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(x, 0) = f_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

Schrödinger took into the account the reality of quantum physics which means that in an actual experiment the observed density of particles at a time  $t_1 > 0$  does not coincide with  $u(x, t_1)$ . He posed the problem to find the most probable development during the time interval  $[0, t_1]$  which leads to the state at time  $t_1$ . His major conclusion was that the requested density function which substitutes the heat-solution  $u(x, t)$  should belong to a non-linear class of functions formed by products

$$w(x, t) = u_0(x, t) \cdot u_1(x, t)$$

where  $u_0$  is a solution to (\*) above defined for  $t > 0$  while  $u_1(x, t)$  is a solution to an adjoint equation

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = -\Delta(u) \quad : \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

defined when  $t < t_1$ . This leads to a new type of Cauchy problems where one asks if there exists a unique  $w$ -function as above satisfying

$$w(x, 0) = f_0(x) \quad : \quad w(x, t_1) = f_1(x)$$

where  $f_0, f_1$  are non-negative functions such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_0 \cdot dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \cdot dx$$

The solvability of this non-linear boundary value problem was left open by Schrödinger and the search for solutions has remained as an active field in mathematical physics. When  $\Omega$  is a bounded set and has a smooth boundary one can use the Poisson-Greens function for the classical equation (\*) and rewrite Schrödinger's equation to a system of non-linear integral equations. The interested reader should consult the talk by I.N. Bernstein at the IMU-congress at Zürich 1932 for a first account about mathematical solutions to Schrödinger equations. Examples occur already on the product of two copies of the real line where Schrödinger's equations lead to certain non-linear equation for measures which goes as follows: Consider the Gaussian density function

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-t^2/2}$$

Next, consider the family  $\mathcal{S}^*$  of all non-negative product measures  $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  for which

$$\iint g(x_1 - x_2) \cdot d\gamma_1(x_1) \cdot d\gamma_2(x_2) = 1$$

The product measure gives another product measure

$$\mathcal{T}_g(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$$

where

$$\mu_1(E_1) \cdot \mu_2(E_2) = \iint_{E_1 \times E_2} g(x_1 - x_2) \cdot d\gamma_1(x_1) \cdot d\gamma_2(x_2)$$

hold for all pairs of bounded Borel sets. Notice that  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  becomes a probability measure since (\*) above holds. With these notations one has

**Theorem.** For every product measure  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  which in addition is a probability measure there exists a unique  $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  in  $S_g$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}_g(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$$

In § x below we prove the result above which actually appears as a special case of Theorem XX where the  $g$ -function is replaced by an arbitrary non-negative and bounded function  $k(x_1, x_2)$  such that

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \log k \cdot dx_1 dx_2 > -\infty$$

### An automorphism on product measures

Let  $n \geq 2$  and consider an  $n$ -tuple of sample spaces  $\{X_\nu = (\Omega_\nu, \mathcal{B}_\nu)\}$ . We get the product space

$$Y = \prod X_\nu$$

whose sample space is the set-theoretic product  $\prod \Omega_\nu$  and Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  generated by  $\{\mathcal{B}_\nu\}$ .

**0.1 Product measures.** Let  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$  be an  $n$ -tuple of signed measures on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  where each  $\gamma_\nu$  has a finite total variation. We get a unique measure  $\gamma^*$  on  $Y$  such that

$$\gamma^*(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n) = \prod \gamma_\nu(E_\nu)$$

hold for every  $n$ -tuple of  $\{\mathcal{B}_\nu\}$ -measurable sets. We refer to  $\gamma^*$  as the product measure. It is uniquely determined because  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by product sets  $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$  with each  $E_\nu \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ . When no confusion is possible we put

$$\gamma^* = \prod \gamma_\nu$$

**0.2 Remark.** The set of product measures is a proper non-linear subset of all measures on  $Y$ . This is already seen when  $n = 2$  with two discrete sample spaces, i.e.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  consists of  $N$  points for some integer  $N$ . A Every  $N \times n$ -matrix with non-negative elements  $\{a_{jk}\}$  give a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  when the double sum  $\sum \sum a_{jk} = 1$  The condition that  $\mu$  is a product measure is tha there exist  $N$ -tuples  $\{\alpha_j$  and  $\{\beta_k\}$  such that  $\sum \alpha_\nu = \sum \beta_k = 1$  and  $a_{jk} = \alpha_j \cdot \beta_k$ .

**The operator  $T_k$ .** Consider a positive  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function  $k$  such that  $k$  and  $k^{-1}$  both are bounded functions. Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative product measure on  $Y$  such that

$$\int_Y k \cdot d\mu = 1$$

Let  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  and  $g$  is some  $\mathcal{B}_\nu$ -measurable function. Then we have the integral

$$(ii) \quad \int_Y g^* \cdot k \cdot d\mu$$

where  $g^*$  is the function on the product space defined by

$$g^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)g(x_n)$$

This gives a measure denoted b  $(k\mu)_\nu$  on  $X_\nu$  such that (i) is equal to  $\int g \cdot (k\mu)_\nu$  for all  $g$  as above. This gives the product measure

$$T_k(\mu) = \prod (k\mu)_\nu$$

It is clear that (i) entails that  $T_k(\mu)$  is a probability measure on  $Y$ . denote by  $\mathcal{S}_k^*$  the family of non-negative product measures satisfying (i) above, and similarly  $\mathcal{S}_1^*$  is the set of product measures which at the same time are probability measures.

**Theorem.**  $T$  yields a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{S}_k^*$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1^*$ .

**Remark.** Above we refer to the norm topology on the space of measure, i.e. if  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are two measures on  $Y$  then the norm  $\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|$  is the total variation of the signed measure  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ . Recall from XX that the space of meaures on  $Y$  is complete under this norm. In particular, let  $\{\mu_\nu\}$  be a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm where each  $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Then there exists a strong limit  $\mu^*$  where  $\mu^*$  again belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_1^*$  and

$$\|\mu_\nu - \mu^*\| \rightarrow 0$$

**0.4 A variational problem.** The proof of Theorem 1 relies upon a variational problem which we begin to describe before Theorem 1 is proved in xx below. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the linear space of functions on  $Y$  whose elements are of the form

$$a = g_1^* + \dots + g_n^*$$

where each  $g_\nu^*$  comes from a function  $g_\nu$  on  $X_\nu$  as in (0.3 The exponential function  $e^a$  becomes

$$e^a = \prod e^{g_\nu^*}$$

If  $\gamma^*$  is a product measure with factors  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$ , it follows that  $e^a \cdot \gamma^*$  is a product measures with factors  $\{e^{g_\nu^*} \cdot \gamma_\nu\}$ . Next, for every pair  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_1^*$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we set

$$W(a, \gamma) = \int_Y (e^a k - a) \cdot d\gamma$$

Keeping  $\gamma$  fixed we set

$$W_*(\gamma) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} W(a, \gamma)$$

The main step towards the proof of Theorem xx is the following:

**Proposition.** Let  $\{a_\nu\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\lim W(\gamma, a_\nu) = W_*(\gamma)$$

Then the sequence  $\{e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma\}$  converges to a unique probability measure  $\mu$  such that  $T_k(\gamma) = \mu$ .

The proof of Proposition xx is preceeded by the following two results.

**0. x. Lemma.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $W(a) \leq m_*(\gamma) + \epsilon$ . Then it follows that

$$\int e^a \cdot k \cdot \gamma \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - e^{-1}}$$

*Proof.* For every real number  $s$  the function  $a - s$  again belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  and by the hypothesis  $W(a - s) \geq W(a) - \epsilon$ . This entails that

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^a k \cdot d\gamma &\leq \int_Y e^{a-s} \cdot k d\gamma + s \int k \cdot d\gamma + \epsilon \implies \\ &\int (1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^a \cdot k d\gamma \leq s + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 follows if we take  $s = 1$ .

**0.X Lemma.** Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be a pair of probability measures on  $Y$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and suppose that

$$\left| \int_Y G_\nu \cdot d\gamma_1 - \int_Y G_\nu \cdot d\gamma_2 \right| \leq \epsilon$$

hold for every  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  and every function  $g_\nu$  on  $X_\nu$  with maximum norm  $\leq 1$ . Then the norm

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\| \leq n \cdot \epsilon$$

The proof is left to the reader where the hint is to make repeated use of Fubini's theorem.

*Proof of Proposition XX* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider a pair  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $W(a)$  and  $W(b)$  both are  $\leq m_*(\gamma) + \epsilon$  where we also suppose that  $\epsilon \leq 1$ . Now  $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  and we get

$$2 \cdot W\left(\frac{1}{2}(a+b)\right) \geq 2 \cdot m_*(\gamma) \geq W(a) + W(b) - 2\epsilon$$

Next, notice that

$$W(a) + W(b) - 2 \cdot W\left(\frac{1}{2}(a+b)\right) = \int_Y [e^a + e^b - 2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(a+b)}] \cdot k d\gamma$$

Now we use the algebraic identity

$$e^a + e^b - 2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(a+b)} = (e^{a/2} - e^{b/2})^2$$

It follows from (x-x) that

$$(iv) \quad \int_Y (e^{a/2} - e^{b/2})^2 \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \leq 2\epsilon$$

Next, we notice the identity

$$|e^a - e^b| = (e^{a/2} + e^{b/2}) \cdot |e^{a/2} - e^{b/2}|$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\left[ \int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \right]^2 \leq 2\epsilon \cdot \int_Y (e^{a/2} + e^{b/2}) \cdot k \cdot d\gamma$$

By the remark in XX the last factor is bounded by a fixed constant and hence we have proved that

$$\int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

where  $C$  is a fixed constant. Replacing  $C$  by  $C/k_*$  where  $k_*$  is the minimum of  $k$  we get

$$\int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot d\gamma \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

Since the left hand side majorizes the total variation of the signed measures  $e^a \cdot \gamma - e^b \cdot \gamma$  we get Cauchy sequences with respect to the strong norm and conclude that there exists a unique limit measure  $\mu$  where  $M(a_\nu) \rightarrow m_*(\gamma)$  implies that

$$\|e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma - \mu\| \rightarrow 0$$

The equality  $T(\mu) = \gamma$ . To show this we study  $a$ -functions in the minimizing sequence. If  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$  is arbitrary we have

$$W(a_\nu + \rho) \geq W(a_\nu) - \epsilon_\nu$$

where  $\epsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0$ . This gives

$$\int_Y [k e^{a_\nu} (1 - \rho) + \rho] \cdot d\gamma \leq \epsilon_\nu$$

Assuming that the maximum norm  $|\rho|_Y \leq 1$  we can write

$$e^\rho = 1 + \rho + \rho_1$$

where  $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho^2$ . Then we see that (xx) gives

$$\int_Y [\rho - ke^{a_\nu} \cdot \rho] \cdot d\gamma \leq \epsilon_\nu + \int \rho_1 \cdot \gamma \leq \epsilon + \|\rho\|_Y^2$$

where the last inequality follows since  $\gamma$  is a probability measure. The same inequality holds with  $\rho$  replaced by  $-\rho$  which entails that

$$\left| \int_Y (ke^{a_\nu} - 1) \cdot \rho \cdot d\gamma \right| \leq \epsilon_\nu + \|\rho\|_Y^2$$

At this stage we apply Lemma xx to the measure  $(ke^{a_\nu} - 1) \cdot d\gamma$  while we use  $\rho$ -functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  of norm  $\leq \sqrt{\epsilon_\nu}$ . This gives the following inequality for the total variation:

$$\|ke^{a_\nu} - 1\| \cdot \gamma \leq n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot (\epsilon + \epsilon) = 2n \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon_\nu}$$

**Remark.** For every positive number  $q$  and every real number  $\alpha$  one has the inequality

$$e^q \cdot \alpha - \alpha \geq 1 + \log q$$

Conclude that

$$W(a) \geq 1 + \log k_*$$

where  $k_*$  is the minimum of the positive  $k$ -function.

Let  $n \geq 2$  and consider an  $n$ -tuple of sample spaces  $\{X_\nu = (\Omega_\nu, \mathcal{B}_\nu)\}$ . We get the product space

$$Y = \prod X_\nu$$

whose sample space is the set-theoretic product  $\prod \Omega_\nu$  and its Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra is generated by  $\{\mathcal{B}_\nu\}$ . On  $Y$  we consider a positive  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function  $k$  such that  $k$  and  $k^{-1}$  both are bounded functions. Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_k$  the family of  $\sigma$ -additive measures on  $Y$  which are non-negative and normalized so that

$$(*) \quad \int_Y k \cdot d\mu = 1$$

**Some notations.** If  $g_\nu$  is a bounded  $\mathcal{B}_\nu$  measurable function on  $X_\nu$  we obtain the function  $G_\nu$  on  $Y$  defined by

$$G_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_\nu(x_\nu)$$

Notice that if  $r$  is a real number then the  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable set

$$\{G_\nu < r\} = \{g_\nu < r\} \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$$

Next, if  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}_1$  we obtain for each  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  a measure on  $X_\nu$  defined by the additive function on bounded  $\mathcal{B}_\nu$ -measurable functions by

$$g_\nu \mapsto \mu(k \cdot G_\nu) = \int_Y k \cdot G_\nu \cdot d\mu$$

The resulting measure on  $X_\nu$  is denoted by  $(k\mu)_\nu$ .

**0.1 Product measures.** Let  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$  be an  $n$ -tuple of signed measures on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We assume that each  $\gamma_\nu$  has a finite total variation. Then we get a unique measure  $\gamma^*$  on  $Y$  such that

$$\gamma^*(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n) = \prod \gamma_\nu(E_\nu)$$

hold for every  $n$ -tuple of  $\{\mathcal{B}_\nu\}$ -measurable sets. We refer to  $\gamma^*$  as the product measure. It is uniquely determined because  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by product sets  $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$  with each  $E_\nu \in \mathcal{B}_\nu$ . When no confusion is possible we put

$$\gamma^* = \prod \gamma_\nu$$

**0.2 Remark.** The set of product measures is a proper non-linear subset of all measures on  $Y$ . This is already seen when  $n = 1$  and we have two discrete sample spaces, i.e. with a finite set of points. say that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  both consists of  $N$  points for some integer  $n$ . A Every  $N \times n$ -matrix with non-negative elements  $\{a_{jk}\}$  give a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  when the double sum  $\sum \sum a_{jk} = 1$  if  $\mu$  is a product measure we can find  $n$ -tuples  $\{\alpha_j\}$  and  $\{\beta_k\}$  where each tuple has some equal to one and  $a_{jk} = \alpha_j \cdot \beta_k$ .

**0.3 The operator  $T$ .** Let  $\mu$  be a measure in  $\mathcal{S}_k$ . To each  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  we obtain the measure  $(k\mu)_\nu$  on  $X_\nu$  and get the product measure

$$T(\mu) = \prod (k\mu)_\nu$$

If  $1$  is the identity function on  $Y$  we notice that

$$\int_Y 1 \cdot dT(\mu) = \prod \int_{X_\nu} 1 \cdot d(k\mu)_\nu = \prod \int_Y 1 \cdot k \cdot d\mu = 1$$

Hence the product measure  $T(\mu)$  is a probability measure on  $Y$ . Denote the set of probability measures which in addition are product measures on  $Y$  by  $\mathcal{S}_1^*$ . Similarly, denote by  $\mathcal{S}_k^*$  the family of measures in  $\mathcal{S}_k$  which in addition are product measures. We can restrict the  $T$ -operator to  $\mathcal{S}_k^*$  and then the following holds.

**Theorem.**  $T$  yields a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{S}_k^*$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1^*$ .

**Remark.** Above we use the norm topology on the space of measure, i.e. if  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are two in general signed measures on  $Y$  then the norm  $\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|$  is the total variation of the signed

measure  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ . Recall from XX that the space of measures on  $Y$  is complete under this norm. In particular, let  $\{\mu_\nu\}$  be a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm where each  $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Then there exists a strong limit  $\mu^*$  where  $\mu^*$  again is a probability measure and

$$\|\mu_\nu - \mu^*\| \rightarrow 0$$

**A variational problem.** The proof of Theorem 1 relies upon a variational problem which we begin to describe before we prove Theorem 1 in xx below. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the linear space of functions on  $Y$  whose elements are of the form

$$a = G_1 + \dots + G_n$$

where each  $G_\nu$  comes from a function  $g_\nu$  given by (0.xx) above. Every such  $a$  is a bounded function and hence there exists the exponential function  $e^a$  on  $Y$ . Notice that this function is of the form

$$e^a = \prod e^{G_\nu}$$

If we consider a product measure  $\gamma^*$  with factors  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$  we see that the measure  $e^a \cdot \gamma^*$  is a new product measures with factors  $\{e^{G_\nu} \cdot \gamma_\nu\}$ . Now we ill define a variational problem where product measures of this kind appear. Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_1^*$ . To each function  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we set

$$W(a) = \int_Y (e^a k - a) \cdot d\gamma$$

**Remark.** For every positive number  $q$  and every real number  $\alpha$  one has the inequality

$$e^q \cdot \alpha - \alpha \geq 1 + \log q$$

Conclude that

$$W(a) \geq 1 + \log k_*$$

where  $k_*$  is the minium of the positive  $k$ -function. Now we can introduce the number

$$(*) \quad m_*(\gamma) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \int_Y (e^a \cdot k - a) \cdot d\gamma$$

We are going to find a solution to this variational problem. First we establish a certain upper bound which will be used later on.

**Lemma.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $W(a) \leq m_*(\gamma)$ . Then it follows that*

$$xxx \leq xxx$$

*Proof.* In  $\mathcal{A}$  we have the function  $a - s$  and by the hypothesis  $W(a - s) \geq W(a) - \epsilon$  which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y e^{a-s} \cdot k d\gamma - a + s &\geq W(a) - \epsilon \implies \\ (1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^a \cdot k d\gamma &\leq s + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 follows if we take  $s = 1$ .

We shall need another preliminary result of independent interest.

**Lemma.** *Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be a pair of probability measures on  $Y$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and suppose that*

$$\left| \int_Y G_\nu \cdot d\gamma_1 - \int_Y G_\nu \cdot d\gamma_2 \right| \leq \epsilon$$

*hold for every  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  and every function  $g_\nu$  on  $X_\nu$  with maximum norm  $\leq 1$ . Then the norm*

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\| \leq n \cdot \epsilon$$

**Exercise.** Prove this result where the hint is to make succesive use of Fubini's theorem.

Now we announce the solution to the variational problem.

**Proposition.** Let  $\{a_\nu\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$m_*(\gamma) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y (e^{a_\nu} k - a_\nu) \cdot d\gamma$$

Then the sequence  $\{\mu_\nu = e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma\}$  converges strongly to a limit measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}_k$ . Moreover, this limit measure is unique and  $T(\mu) = \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider a pair  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $W(a)$  and  $W(b)$  both are  $\leq m_*(\gamma) + \epsilon$  where we also suppose that  $\epsilon \leq 1$ . Now  $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  and we get

$$2 \cdot W\left(\frac{1}{2}(a+b)\right) \geq 2 \cdot m_*(\gamma) \geq W(a) + W(b) - 2\epsilon$$

Next, notice that

$$W(a) + W(b) - 2 \cdot W\left(\frac{1}{2}(a+b)\right) = \int_Y [e^a + e^b - 2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(a+b)}] \cdot k d\gamma$$

Now we use the algebraic identity

$$e^a + e^b - 2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(a+b)} = (e^{a/2} - e^{b/2})^2$$

It follows from (x-x) that

$$(iv) \quad \int_Y (e^{a/2} - e^{b/2})^2 \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \leq 2\epsilon$$

Next, we notice the identity

$$|e^a - e^b| = (e^{a/2} + e^{b/2}) \cdot |e^{a/2} - e^{b/2}|$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\left[ \int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \right]^2 \leq 2\epsilon \cdot \int_Y (e^{a/2} + e^{b/2}) \cdot k \cdot d\gamma$$

By the remark in XX the last factor is bounded by a fixed constant and hence we have proved that

$$\int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot k \cdot d\gamma \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

where  $C$  is a fixed constant. Replacing  $C$  by  $C/k_*$  where  $k_*$  is the minimum of  $k$  we get

$$\int_Y |e^a - e^b| \cdot d\gamma \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

Since the left hand side majorizes the total variation of the signed measures  $e^a \cdot \gamma - e^b \cdot \gamma$  we get Cauchy sequences with respect to the strong norm and conclude that there exists a unique limit measure  $\mu$  where  $M(a_\nu) \rightarrow m_*(\gamma)$  implies that

$$\|e^{a_\nu} \cdot \gamma - \mu\| \rightarrow 0$$

The equality  $T(\mu) = \gamma$ . To show this we study  $a$ -functions in the minimizing sequence. If  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$  is arbitrary we have

$$W(a_\nu + \rho) \geq W(a_\nu) - \epsilon_\nu$$

where  $\epsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0$ . This gives

$$\int_Y [k e^{a_\nu} (1 - \rho) + \rho] \cdot d\gamma \leq \epsilon_\nu$$

Assuming that the maximum norm  $|\rho|_Y \leq 1$  we can write

$$e^\rho = 1 + \rho + \rho_1$$



where  $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho^2$ . Then we see that (xx) gives

$$\int_Y [\rho - ke^{a_\nu} \cdot \rho] \cdot d\gamma \leq \epsilon_\nu + \int \rho_1 \cdot \gamma \leq \epsilon + \|\rho\|_Y^2$$

where the last inequality follows since  $\gamma$  is a probability measure. The same inequality holds with  $\rho$  replaced by  $-\rho$  which entails that

$$\left| \int_Y (ke^{a_\nu} - 1) \cdot \rho \cdot d\gamma \right| \leq \epsilon_\nu + \|\rho\|_Y^2$$

At this stage we apply Lemma xx to the measure  $(ke^{a_\nu} - 1) \cdot d\gamma$  while we use  $\rho$ -functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  of norm  $\leq \sqrt{\epsilon_\nu}$ . This gives the following inequality for the total variation:

$$\|ke^{a_\nu} - 1\| \cdot \|\gamma\| \leq n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot (\epsilon + \epsilon) = 2n \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon_\nu}$$

**Introduction.** Abstract measure theory is often convenient to achieve general results. Here we expose material from Beurling's article *An automorphism of product measures* where Theorem 1 is the main result. In this theorem appears a continuous function  $k$  defined on a product  $Y = X_1, \dots, X_n$  where each  $X_\nu$  is a locally compact metric space. Under the assumption that there are positive real numbers  $0 < a < b$  such that the range of  $k$  is confined to  $[a, b]$  it will be proved that a certain operator  $\mathcal{K}$  yields a homoeomorphism from the space of non-negative Riesz measures  $\mu$  on  $Y$  normalized by the condition

$$\int k \cdot d\mu = 1$$

to the space of probability measures on  $Y$ . A much more involved case appears in the singular case, i.e. when  $k(x)$  for example can attain arbitrary small positive values. In section 2 we discuss the singular case for a product of two locally compact metric spaces.

**Schrödinger equations.** A motivation for the abstract results in Section 1 come from the article *Théorie relativiste de l'électron et l'interprétation de la mécanique quantique* published 1932. In the introduction to [Beurling] the author points out that Schrödinger's raised a new and unorthodox question concerning Brownian motions leading to new mathematical problems of considerable interest. More precisely, consider a Brownian motion which takes place in a bounded region  $\Omega$  of some euclidian space  $\mathbf{R}^d$  for some  $d \geq 2$ . At time  $t = 0$  the densities of particles under observation is given by some non-negative function  $f_0(x)$  defined on  $\Omega$ . The density at a later time  $t > 0$  is then equal to a function  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  where  $u(x, t)$  solves the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta(u)$$

with boundary conditions  $u(x, 0) = f_0(x)$  and

$$u(x, 0) = f_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

Schrödinger took into the account the reality of quantum physics which means that in an actual experiment the observed density of particles at a time  $t_1 > 0$  does not coincide with  $u(x, t_1)$ . He posed the problem to find the most probable development during the time interval  $[0, t_1]$  which leads to the state at time  $t_1$ . His major conclusion was that the requested density function which substitutes the heat-solution  $u(x, t)$  should belong to a non-linear class of functions formed by products

$$w(x, t) = u_0(x, t) \cdot u_1(x, t)$$

where  $u_0$  is a solution to (\*) above defined for  $t > 0$  while  $u_1(x, t)$  is a solution to an adjoint equation

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = -\delta(u) \quad : \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

defined when  $t < t_1$ . This leads to a new type of Cauchy problems where one asks if there exists a unique  $w$ -function as above satisfying

$$w(x, 0) = f_0(x) \quad : \quad w(x, t_1) = f_1(x)$$

where  $f_0, f_1$  are non-negative functions such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_0 \cdot dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \cdot dx$$

The solvability of this non-linear boundary value problem was left open by Schrödinger and the search for solutions have been studied by many mathematicians. When  $\Omega$  is a bounded set and has a smooth boundary one can use the Poisson-Greens function for the classical equation (\*) and in this way rewrite Schrödinger's equation to a system of non-linear integral equations. We refer to page 190 in Beurling's article for details how one arrives at such integral equations and why this motivates the result in Theorem 1 below.

### 1. Product measures.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact metric space. Denote by  $C^b(X)$  the linear space of bounded real valued functions on  $X$  which is a Banach space equipped with the maximum norm. The linear space of real-valued Riesz measures on  $X$  with finite total variation is denoted by  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  and the subclass of non-negative measures of total mass one is denoted by  $P^+(X)$ . Next, consider an  $n$ -tuple  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of locally compact spaces and let  $Y = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$  be the product space. If  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  and  $\phi \in C^b(X_\nu)$  we get the function  $\Phi_\nu$  on  $Y$  defined by

$$(1) \quad \Phi_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \phi_\nu(x_\nu)$$

Then, if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(Y)$  we get the measure factors  $\{\mu_\nu\}$  where

$$(2) \quad \mu(\Phi_\nu) = \mu_\nu(\phi)$$

hold for each  $\phi \in C^b(X_\nu)$ . Conversely, let  $\{\mu_\nu\}$  be an  $n$ -tuple of measures on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Then we get their product measure  $\mu_*$  where

$$\mu_*(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n) = \prod \mu_\nu(E_\nu)$$

hold when  $\{E_\nu\}$  are Borel sets in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

**Remark.** Consider the special case when each  $\mu_\nu$  is non-negative. Then the product measure  $\mu_*$  is non-negative. Let  $\{\gamma_\nu\}$  be another  $n$ -tuple of non-negative measures whose product measure  $\gamma_* = \mu_*$ . For each fixed  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  we take  $\phi \in C^b(X_\nu)$  and get

$$\mu_*(\Phi_\nu) = \prod_{j \neq \nu} \mu(X_j) \cdot \mu_\nu(\phi)$$

A similar formula holds for  $\gamma_*$  and we conclude that an equality  $\mu_* = \gamma_*$  gives for each  $\nu$  a constant  $c_\nu$  such that

$$\gamma_\nu = c_\nu \cdot \mu_\nu$$

We obtain a unique  $n$ -tuple of components representing  $\mu_*$  when we choose  $\{\mu_\nu\}$  so that each has total mass given by the  $n$ :th root of  $\mu_*(Y)$ .

**The operator  $\mathcal{K}$ .** Consider some  $k(x) \in C^b(Y)$  where  $a \leq k(x) \leq b$  hold for some pair  $0 < a < b$ . To each  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(Y)$  we get the measure  $\mathcal{K}_\mu$  on  $Y$  which satisfies

$$\mathcal{K}_\mu\left(\prod \phi_\nu(x_\nu)\right) = \prod \mu(k(x) \cdot \Phi_\nu(x))$$

for every  $n$ -tuple  $\{\phi_\nu \in C^b(X_\nu)\}$ . Consider in particular the case when  $\mu \in P^+(Y)$  and

$$(*) \quad \int_Y k \cdot d\mu = 1$$

Then  $\mathcal{K}_\mu$  has total mass one and if  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are its normalised factors we have

$$\gamma_\nu(\phi) = \mu(\Phi_\nu \cdot k)$$

when  $\phi \in C^b(X_\nu)$ .

Denote by  $P_k^+(Y)$  the set of non-negative measures  $\mu$  on  $Y$  for which  $(*)$  above holds. With these notations one has:

**1. Theorem.** *For each function  $k$  as above the operator  $\mathcal{K}$  yields a homeomorphism from  $P_k^+(Y)$  onto  $P^+(Y)$  where each of these sets are equipped with the strong topology.*

For the proof of Theorem 1 we refer to [Beurling]. At the end of the article a more involved case is studied.

**A singular case.** Here we restrict the attention to the case  $n = 2$  and let  $k(x_1, x_2)$  be a bounded and strictly positive continuous function on  $Y = X_1 \times X_2$ . Let  $\mu \in P^+(Y)$  be such that

$$(1) \quad \int_Y \log k \cdot d\mu > -\infty$$

Under this integrability condition one has

**2. Theorem.** *There exists a unique non-negative measure  $\gamma$  on  $Y$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(\gamma) = \mu$ .*

**Remark.** In contrast to Theorem 1 the measure  $\gamma$  need not have finite mass but the proof shows that  $k$  belongs to  $L^1(\gamma)$ . Concerning the integrability condition in Theorem 2 it can be relaxed a bit, i.e. it suffices to assume that

$$(2) \quad \min_{s>0} \int (ke^s - s) \cdot d\mu > -\infty$$

As pointed out by Beurling the result in Theorem 2 can be applied to the case  $X_1 = X_2 = \mathbf{R}$  both are copies of the real line and

$$k(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1 - x_2)$$

where  $g$  is the density of a Gaussian distribution which after a normalisation of the variance is taken to be

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-t^2/2}$$

So the integrability condition for  $\mu$  in Theorem 2 becomes

$$\iint (x_1 - x_2)^2 \cdot d\mu(x_1, x_2) < \infty$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is given on page 218-220 in [loc.cit] and relies upon the method and various estimates from the proof of Theorem 1. For higher dimensional cases, i.e, with  $n \geq 3$  Beurling gives the following comments

*Theorem 1 relies heavily on the condition that  $k \geq a$  for some  $a > 0$ . If this lower bound condition is dropped the individual equation  $\mathcal{K}(\gamma) = \mu$  may still be meaningful, but serious complications will arise concerning the global uniqueness if  $n \geq 3$  and the proof of Theorem 2 for the case  $mn \geq 3$  cannot be duplicated.*