Specification and Rules for LANG

March 26, 2023

Syntax

```
c \in \{a, b, ...\} • \{+, -, *, /, \&\&, ||, ++, ==, >, <, >=, <=\}
v, x \in \text{Name}
                        n \in \mathbb{Z}
                                        b \in \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False}\}
                            str \in \text{String} ::= " c^* "
                                 list(t) \in List(t) ::= [t(, t)^*] | []
                                      f \in \operatorname{BuiltIn} ::= \mathsf{head} \mid \mathsf{tail}
                                  pat \in Pattern ::= b : (
                                          e \in \text{Expr} ::= v \mid n \mid b
                                                             | ' c '
                                                              |str|
                                                             | list(e)
                                                             |e \bullet e|
                                                             \mid \mathsf{fun} \ v \rightarrow e
                                                             \mid e \mid e
                                                             |  let v = e  in e 
                                                             \mid if e then e else e
                                                             | case e of { (pat \rightarrow e;)^* }
                                                             | f e_1 \dots e_n  where arity(f) = n
                                      val \in Value ::= n \mid b \mid c \mid str \mid list(val) \mid (closure \ x \rightarrow e, \rho)
                                 \rho, \sigma \in \text{LocalEnv} \subseteq (\text{Name} \times \text{Value})^*
                                 D \in \text{GlobalEnv} \subseteq (\text{Name} \times \text{Expr})^*
                                    stm \in \mathsf{Assign} ::= v = e
                              prog \in PROGRAM ::= (stm \n)^*
```

Denotational Semantics

Operational Semantics

$$D, \rho \vdash e \Rightarrow v \subseteq \text{EVALJ}$$

$$\overline{D, \rho \vdash v \Rightarrow v} \text{ Lit}$$

$$\frac{(x, v) \in \rho}{D, \rho \vdash v \Rightarrow v} \text{ LocalVar}$$

$$\frac{(x, e) \in D \quad D, \{\} \vdash e \Rightarrow v}{D, \rho \vdash x \Rightarrow v} \text{ GlobalVar}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad \llbracket \bullet \rrbracket (v_1, v_2) = v}{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \bullet e_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ Op}$$

$$\overline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad D, \sigma[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e \Rightarrow v} \text{ App}$$

$$\overline{D, \rho \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \Rightarrow (\text{closure } x \rightarrow e, \rho)} \text{ Abs}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow (\text{closure } x \rightarrow e, \sigma) \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad D, \sigma[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e \Rightarrow v} \text{ App}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ Let}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ Let}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [f][(v_1, \dots, v_n) = v]} \text{ BuiltIn}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [f][(v_1, \dots, v_n) = v]} \text{ BuiltIn}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ ListNil.} \qquad \underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ Dp}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ ListNil.} \qquad \underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ Dp}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ ListNil.} \qquad \underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ List}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ ListNil.} \qquad \underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ Pp}$$

$$\underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ ListNil.} \qquad \underline{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1} \text{ List}$$

Figure 1: Operational Semantics for LANG.

Explanation Form

$$Noenvevalj := \text{Expr} \times \text{Value}$$

$$e \Rightarrow v \subseteq \text{Noenvevalj}$$

$$\Delta \in \text{Xevalj} ::= \text{Evalj} \times \text{Noenvevalj}^*$$

$$\langle D, \rho \vdash e \Rightarrow v \mid \Delta \rangle$$

$$\overline{\langle D, \rho \vdash v \Rightarrow v \mid \{\}\}} \text{ XLit}$$

$$\overline{\langle D, \rho \vdash v \Rightarrow v \mid \{\}\}} \text{ XVar}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad \llbracket \bullet \rrbracket (v_1, v_2) = v \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2' \quad \text{Xbinop}}{\langle D, \rho \vdash e_1 \bullet e_2 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \bullet e_2' \Rightarrow v \rangle} \text{ Xbinop}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad v_1 = (\textbf{closure} \ z \rightarrow e, \sigma) \quad D, \rho [x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho [x \mapsto v_1] : e_2 \leadsto e_2' \quad \text{XLetFun}}{\langle D, \rho \vdash \text{let} \ x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \Rightarrow v_1 \cdot e_2' \Rightarrow v \rangle} \text{ XLetFun}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho [x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho [x \mapsto v_1] : e_2 \leadsto e_2' \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad \text{XLet}}{\langle D, \rho \vdash \text{let} \ x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \Rightarrow v_1, e_2' \Rightarrow v \rangle} \text{ XLetFun}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho [x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho [x \mapsto v_1] : e_2 \leadsto e_2' \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad \text{XLet}}{\langle D, \rho \vdash \text{let} \ x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \Rightarrow v_1, e_2' \Rightarrow v \rangle} \text{ XletTun}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \mid \Delta \rangle \quad D, \sigma [x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{\langle D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad e_1' \quad v_2 \Rightarrow v, e_2' \Rightarrow v_2, \Delta \rangle}} \text{ Xlettin}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{\langle D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad e_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad f_1 \mapsto v_1 \quad v_1 \mapsto v_1 \in e_2' \Rightarrow v}} \text{ Xlettin}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \text{True} \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{\langle D, \rho \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \Rightarrow \text{True} e_2' \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v_3 \quad \text{Xlettin}}}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \text{True} \quad D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{\langle D, \rho \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \Rightarrow \text{True} e_2' \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v_3 \quad \text{Xlettin}}}$$

Figure 2: Operational Semantics for LANG in Explanation Form

Explanatory Form for Expressions

The judgment $D, \rho : e \leadsto e'$ means that e, in the context of D and ρ , has the explanatory form e'.

$$\frac{(x,v) \in \rho \quad v \neq (\textbf{closure } y \rightarrow e, \sigma)}{D, \rho : x \leadsto v} \text{ EFVAL}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{D, \rho : e_1 \bullet e_2 \leadsto e_1' \bullet e_2'} \text{ EFOP}$$

$$\frac{D, \sigma : e \leadsto e' \quad \sigma = \rho \backslash x}{D, \rho : \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \leadsto \text{fun } x \rightarrow e'} \text{ EFLAM}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'} \text{ EFAPP}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{D, \rho : \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \leadsto \text{let } x = e_1' \text{ in } e_2} \text{ EFLET}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2' \quad D, \rho : e_3 \leadsto e_3'}{D, \rho : \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \leadsto \text{if } e_1' \text{ then } e_2' \text{ else } e_3'} \text{ EFIF}$$

$$\frac{D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1'}{D, \rho : [e_1, \dots, e_n]} \leadsto [e_1', \dots, e_n'] \text{ EFLIST}$$

Figure 3: Rules for the Explainitory Form for expressions

Proposals

Currently, we have the rule

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow (\textbf{closure} \ x \rightarrow e, \sigma) \quad \langle D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \mid \Delta \rangle \quad D, \sigma[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e \Rightarrow v \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{\langle D, \rho \vdash e_1 \ e_2 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \ v_2 \Rightarrow v, e_2' \Rightarrow v_2, \Delta \rangle} \quad \text{XAPP}_1$$

which includes the explanation of the argument of an application into its own explanation. However, this rule leads to the judgment

$$\langle D, [] \vdash \mathsf{length} \ [\mathsf{4} + \mathsf{5}, \, \mathsf{6} + \mathsf{7}] \Rightarrow \mathsf{2} \mid \mathsf{length} \ [\mathsf{9}, \mathsf{13}] \Rightarrow \mathsf{2}, [\mathsf{4} + \mathsf{5}, \, \mathsf{6} + \mathsf{7}] \Rightarrow [\mathsf{9}, \mathsf{12}] \rangle$$

since the list expression currently yields an empty explanation. This isn't really an issue in some sense, but doesn't quite match our examples. But we also have the judgment

$$\langle D, || \vdash \mathsf{add} (4+5) (6+7) \Rightarrow 22 \mid \mathsf{add} (4+5) 13 \Rightarrow 22, 6+7 \Rightarrow 13, 6+7 \Rightarrow 13 \rangle$$

which is problematic, as we would expect $4 + 5 \Rightarrow 9$ to be present if we are seeing $6 + 7 \Rightarrow 13$.

We should change this rule to include the explanation for the third premise,

$$\frac{D, \rho \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow (\textbf{closure} \ x \rightarrow e, \sigma) \quad \langle D, \rho \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \mid \Delta \rangle \quad \langle D, \sigma[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e \Rightarrow v \mid \Sigma \rangle \quad D, \rho : e_1 \leadsto e_1' \quad D, \rho : e_2 \leadsto e_2'}{\langle D, \rho \vdash e_1 \ e_2 \Rightarrow v \mid e_1' \ v_2 \Rightarrow v, e_2' \Rightarrow v_2, \Delta, \Sigma \rangle} \quad \text{XAPP}_2$$

which would include any explanation $D, \sigma[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e \Rightarrow v$ might yield. Another thing to consider is to treat the explanation lists as sets, which removes the hassle of preventing duplicate judgments. It also gives us the sense that judgements should or should not be included in the final explanation and can be "thrown in".

