Dictionary in Number Theory

Xiang Li

1 abc Conjecture

Definition 1.1 (S-integer). Let R be a Dedekind domain, $K = \operatorname{Frac}(R)$, and S be a set of nonzero prime ideals of R. Then the ring of S-integers of R is

$$R_S := R[1/S] := \{ x \in K \mid \forall \mathfrak{p} \notin S, v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) > 0 \}$$

Definition 1.2 (Thrice-punctured Line). Let R be a ring. Then $\mathbb{P}^1_R \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ is the scheme over R defined by $\operatorname{Spec}(R[u^{\pm 1}, v^{\pm 1}]/(1 - u - v))$.

Remark 1.3. We often denote $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} := \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. In this remark, $X := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Let R be a ring. From the definition, X(R), the R-points of X, is described below:

$$X(R) = \{(u, v) \in (R^{\times})^2 \mid u + v = 1\} \simeq \{u \in R^{\times} \mid 1 - u \in R^{\times}\}.$$

In particular, for a set S of nonzero prime ideals of \mathbb{Z} (i.e., $S \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$), we have

- $X(\mathbb{Z}_S) = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a + b = c, \gcd(a, b, c) = 1, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \setminus S, \mathfrak{p} \nmid a, b, c\} / \{\pm 1\}.$
- $X(\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ (the special case of $S = \emptyset$).
- $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a + b = c, \gcd(a, b, c) = 1, a, b, c \neq 0\} / \{\pm 1\} \ (S = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0)\}).$

Definition 1.4 (Height, Conductor). Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. With the above remark,

- the height of $(a, b, c) \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ is $Ht((a, b, c)) := \max(|a|, |b|, |c|)$;
- the conductor of $(a, b, c) \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ is $Cond((a, b, c)) := \prod_{\text{prime } p \mid abc} p$.

Conjecture 1.5 (abc Conjecture). Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{x \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \operatorname{Ht}(x) > \operatorname{Cond}(x)^{1+\varepsilon}\}\$$

is finite.

Conjecture 1.6 (Explicit abc Conjecture). For $\varepsilon \geq 1$ in the above statement of abc conjecture, the corresponding set is empty.

Remark 1.7. For $\varepsilon \leq 0$, it has been proven that the corresponding set is infinite.

Theorem 1.8 (Siegel). Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and S be a finite set of nonzero prime ideals of \mathbb{Z} (i.e., a finite subset of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0)\}$). Then $X(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ is finite.

Proof (using abc conjecture). Let $C \in \mathbb{N}$ be the product of prime numbers in S (The finiteness of S guarantees $C < \infty$). Then for any $x \in X(\mathbb{Z}_S)$, we have $\operatorname{Cond}(x) \leq C$. Note that

$$X(\mathbb{Z}_S) = \left\{ x \in X(\mathbb{Z}_S) \mid \operatorname{Ht}(x) \le \operatorname{Cond}(x)^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ x \in X(\mathbb{Z}_S) \mid \operatorname{Ht}(x) > \operatorname{Cond}(x)^2 \right\}$$

where on the right hand side the first set is finite with cardinality $\leq (2C^2)^3$ and the second set is finite by abc conjecture with $\varepsilon = 1$.

Remark 1.9. Using explicit abc conjecture, the second set on the right hand side is empty.

Conjecture 1.10 (Fermat-Catlan). There are finitely many tuples $(x^p, y^q, z^r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that x, y, z, p, q, r are positive integers, $x^p + y^q = z^r$, gcd(x, y, z) = 1, and 1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1.

Proof (using abc conjecture). Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For such tuple $\alpha := (x^p, y^q, z^r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, we have $\alpha \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Note that

- 1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1 implies $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \le \frac{41}{42}$;
- $\operatorname{Ht}(\alpha) = z^r$;
- $\operatorname{Cond}(\alpha) = \operatorname{Cond}(x^p y^q z^r) < xyz < z^{r/p} z^{r/q} z = (z^r)^{(1/p+1/q+1/r)} < \operatorname{Ht}(\alpha)^{41/42}$.

In other words, $\operatorname{Ht}(\alpha) > \operatorname{Cond}(\alpha)^{42/41}$. So abc conjecture with $\varepsilon = 1/41$ implies that there are finitely many such $\alpha = (x^p, y^q, z^r)$.

Remark 1.11. In the statement of Fermat-Catlan conjecture, all of x, y, z, p, q, r can vary. But if we fix p, q, r, then the statement has been proven to be true unconditionally.

Corollary 1.12 (Weak Fermat's Last Theorem). For sufficiently large positive integer n, there is no $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that x, y, z > 0, $x^n + y^n = z^n$, and gcd(x, y, z) = 1.

Proof (using Fermat-Catalan conjecture). By Fermat-Catalan conjecture, there are finitely many tuples $(x^p, y^q, z^r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with the conditions in the statement of the conjecture. So $\max(p, q, r)$ has a maximum for such tuples, call it n_0 . Then for $n > n_0$, Fermat's last theorem holds. \square

Theorem 1.13 (Fermat's Last Theorem). For $n \geq 3$, there is no $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that x, y, z > 0, $x^n + y^n = z^n$, and gcd(x, y, z) = 1.

Proof (using explicit abc conjecture). The case n=4,5,6 has be proven. So assume n>6. In the proof of Fermat-Catalan conjecture using abc conjecture, for $\alpha:=(x^p,y^q,z^r)$ we got

$$Cond(\alpha) < (z^r)^{1/p+1/q+1/r} = Ht(\alpha)^{1/p+1/q+1/r}.$$

Here we apply p=q=r=n. Then 1/p+1/q+1/r=3/n<1/2. So $\operatorname{Cond}(\alpha)<\operatorname{Ht}(\alpha)^{1/2}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Ht}(\alpha)>\operatorname{Cond}(\alpha)^{1+\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon=1$. By explicit abc conjecture, there is no such α .

2 Dilogarithm

Definition 2.1 (Dilogarithm).

$$\operatorname{Li}_2(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^2}$$

More generally,

$$\operatorname{Li}_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^n}$$