# Calculation of tight binding parameters with density functional theory to describe transport phenomena

Bachelor Thesis



Fabian Glatzel

## Contents

1	Introduction					
	1.1	Theore	tical Background	1		
		1.1.1	Lattice	1		
		1.1.2	Bloch Theorem	2		
		1.1.3	Polyacetylene Hamiltonian	3		
	1.2	Other I	Preparations	7		

### Chapter 1

## Introduction

#### 1.1 Theoretical Background

#### 1.1.1 Lattice

A solid has typically a periodicity in the placing of its atoms. This property is called *crystal structure*, which can be locally restricted due to occurring crystal defects. Exceptions are the amorphous solids, that behave like very viscous fluids and will not be treated here (see [1, 2]). Bravais lattice

Points  $\vec{R}$  with:

$$\vec{R} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_D} n_i \vec{a}_i \tag{1.1}$$

with linearly independent primitive vectors  $\vec{a}_i$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the dimension  $N_D$ .

primitive (unit) cell

Fills complete space without any overlap under all transitions  $\vec{R}$ 

(conventional) unit cell

Fills complete space without any overlap under a subset of transitions of  $\vec{R}$ . Sometimes preferred due to a different symmetry.

Wigner-Seitz primitive cell

Primitive cell containing all space closer to a certain lattice point than to all others.

Reciprocal lattice

Set of wave vectors  $\vec{K}$ , so that the plane wave has the periodicity of a given Bravais lattice:

$$\exp(i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}) = \exp[i\vec{K}\cdot(\vec{r}+\vec{R})] \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \vec{K}\cdot\vec{R} = \mathbb{Z}\cdot 2\pi \qquad (1.2)$$

Therefore the wave vectors  $\vec{K}$  form also a Bravais lattice called the *reciprocal lattice*. The primitive vectors  $\vec{b}_i$  of a three dimensional reciprocal lattice can be derived as follows:

$$\vec{b}_i = 2\pi \frac{\vec{a}_{i+1} \times \vec{a}_{i+2}}{\vec{a}_1 \cdot (\vec{a}_2 \times \vec{a}_3)}$$
 (1.3)

where the indices have to be understood modulo 3.

First Brillouine Zone

Wigner-Seitz cell of reciprocal lattice.

#### 1.1.2 Bloch Theorem

According to Bloch's theorem a wave functions  $\Psi(\vec{r})$  of a periodic potential,  $V(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) = V(\vec{r})$  for all  $\vec{R}$  of a Bravais lattice, can be written in the form:

$$\Psi(\vec{r}) = \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) \cdot u(\vec{r}) \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\vec{k}$  is an arbitrary wave vector and  $u(\vec{r})$  denotes a  $\vec{R}$ -periodic function.

Under the assumption, that the boundary condition at the surface should not change the physical properties of the bulk, one assumes the periodic *Born-von Karman boundary condition*<sup>1</sup>:

$$\Psi(\vec{r} + N_i \vec{a}_i) = \Psi(\vec{r}) \tag{1.5}$$

where  $N_i$  denotes the number of unit cells in the direction  $\vec{a}_i$  of the bulk. Hereby one obtains additional conditions for the wave vector  $\vec{k}$ , namely:

$$\vec{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_D} \frac{m_i}{N_i} \vec{b}_i \qquad m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (1.6)

One considers that the number of states in the first Brillouine zone equals the number of sites  $N = \prod_{i=1}^{N_D} N_i$  of the bulk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Alternatively one can choose the boundary condition for a vanishing wave function on the surface  $\Psi(\vec{S}) = 0$ . But the periodic boundary condition has the advantage, that it corresponds with propagating waves, which suits transport phenomena very well, whereas a vanishing boundary condition corresponds with standing waves.

#### 1.1.3 Polyacetylene Hamiltonian

paper...

$$\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{-2\sum_{n} t_{n+1,n} \left( c_{n+1}^{\dagger} c_n + c_n^{\dagger} c_{n+1} \right)}_{\text{electrone hopping}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \kappa (u_{n+1} - u_n)^2}_{\sigma \text{ bonding energy}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} M \dot{u}_n^2}_{\text{kinetic energy}}$$
(1.7)

Born-Oppenheimer and  $u_n = (-1)^n u$ ,  $\alpha = \partial t / \partial u$ ,  $\delta = 2\alpha u$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = -2\sum_{n} [t_0 + (-1)^n \delta] \cdot \left( c_{n+1}^{\dagger} c_n + c_n^{\dagger} c_{n+1} \right) + 2N\kappa u^2$$
(1.8)

$$= -2\sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \left[ (t_0 + \delta) \left( c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n} + c_{2n}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} \right) + (t_0 - \delta) \left( c_{2n+2}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} + c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n+2} \right) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2$$
(1.9)

$$\stackrel{?}{=} -2\sum_{n}^{N_d} \left[ (t_0 + \delta) \left( c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n} + c_{2n}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} \right) + (t_0 - \delta) \left( c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n} + c_{2n}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} \right) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.10)$$

Calculate creation and annihilation operator in k-space (symmetric normation factors):

$$c_{2n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{k} \exp[ik(2n)a] \cdot c_k^{(e)}$$
(1.11)

$$c_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{k} \exp[ik(2n+1)a] \cdot c_k^{(o)}$$
(1.12)

$$c_k^{(e)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{k} \exp[-ik(2n)a] \cdot c_{2n}$$
 (1.13)

$$c_k^{(o)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{a} \exp[-ik(2n+1)a] \cdot c_{2n+1}$$
(1.14)

Remember: operators  $c_{2n(+1)}$  operate on double unit cell length  $\to$  halve Brillouin zone  $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2a}, \frac{\pi}{2a}\right]$  boundary condition:  $\exp[2ik(n+N_d)a] = 1 \to N_d$  allowed kpts in Brillouin zone Check for  $c_{2n}$ :

$$c_{2n_0}(c_k^{(e)}(c_{2n_i})) = c_{2n} (1.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{k} \exp[ik(2n_0)a] \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_{n} \exp[-ik(2n)a] \cdot c_{2n}$$
 (1.16)

$$= \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{k,n} \exp[ika(2n_0 - 2n)] \cdot c_{2n}$$
 (1.17)

$$= \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{n} N_d \delta_{2n_0, 2n} c_{2n} \tag{1.18}$$

$$= c_{2n_0} (1.19)$$

Warm up calculation:

$$\sum_{n}^{N_d} c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n} = \sum_{n,k,k'} \exp[ika(2n)] \cdot \exp[-ik'a(2n+1)] \cdot \frac{c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k}^{(e)}}{N_d}$$

$$= \sum_{n,k,k'} \exp[ia(k-k')(2n)] \cdot \exp(-ik'a) \cdot \frac{c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k}^{(e)}}{N_d}$$

$$= \sum_{k,k'} \delta_{k,k'} \cdot \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k}^{(e)}$$

$$= \sum_{k'} \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)}$$

Analogously:

$$\sum_{n}^{N_d} c_{2n}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} = \sum_{k'} \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)}$$

$$\sum_{n}^{N_d} c_{2n+2}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} = \sum_{n}^{N_d} c_{2n}^{\dagger} c_{2n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k'} \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)}$$

$$\sum_{n}^{N_d} c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n+2} = \sum_{k'} \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)}$$

Thus one obtains:

$$\mathcal{H} = -2\sum_{n}^{N_d} \left[ (t_0 + \delta) \left( c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n} + c_{2n}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} \right) + (t_0 - \delta) \left( c_{2n+2}^{\dagger} c_{2n+1} + c_{2n+1}^{\dagger} c_{2n+2} \right) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2$$

$$= -2\sum_{k'} \left[ (t_0 + \delta) \left( \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} + \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} \right) + \left( t_0 - \delta \right) \left( \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2$$

$$= -2\sum_{k'} \left\{ \left[ 2t_0 \cos(k'a) + 2i\delta \sin(k'a) \right] c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \left( 2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a) \right] c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right\} + 2N\kappa u^2$$

$$\neq -2\sum_{k'} \left\{ \left[ -2t_0 \cos(k'a) + 2i\delta \sin(k'a) \right] c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \left( 2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a) \right) c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \left( 2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a) \right) c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} \right\} + 2N\kappa u^2$$

$$= -2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a) c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} + c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right\} + 2N\kappa u^2$$

$$= -2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a) c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(e)}$$

Substituting  $\epsilon_k := 2t_0 \cos(ka)$  and  $\Delta_k := 2\delta \sin(ka)$  the following form of the hopping term can

be derived:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp}} = [\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k] c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + [\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k] c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)}$$
(1.24)

with the eigenvalues  $E_k = \pm \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2}$  and the eigenfunctions

$$\Psi_k^{(c)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_k^{(e)} + \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} \right)$$
 (1.25)

$$\Psi_k^{(v)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_k^{(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} \right)$$
 (1.26)

corresponding to the valance (v) and conduction (c) band. Check:

$$\left\langle \Psi_{k}^{(v)} \middle| \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp}} \middle| \Psi_{k}^{(v)} \right\rangle = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_{k}^{\dagger(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_{k} - i\Delta_{k}}{|E_{k}|} c_{k}^{\dagger(o)} \right) \right] \cdot \left[ \left[ \epsilon_{k} + i\Delta_{k} \right] c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \left[ \epsilon_{k} - i\Delta_{k} \right] c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_{k}^{(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_{k} + i\Delta_{k}}{|E_{k}|} c_{k}^{(o)} \right) \right]$$

$$(1.27)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|} - \frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|} \right]$$
(1.28)

$$= -|E_k| \tag{1.29}$$

$$\left\langle \Psi_k^{(c)} \middle| \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp}} \middle| \Psi_k^{(c)} \right\rangle = |E_k|$$
 (1.30)

Hence it is shown explicitly, that the energies of the valence band are decreased by  $-|E_k|$  and the energies of the conduction band are increased by  $|E_k|$ . Using this the ground state energy can be derived as follows (completely occupied valence, empty conduction band):

$$E_0(u) = -2\sum_k |E_k| + 2N\kappa u^2 \tag{1.31}$$

$$= -2\sum_{k} \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2} + 2N\kappa u^2 \tag{1.32}$$

$$= -2\sum_{k}^{\infty} \sqrt{\left[2t_0 \cos(ka)\right]^2 + \left[2\delta \sin(ka)\right]^2} + 2N\kappa u^2$$
 (1.33)

(1.34)

In the limit of  $N \to \infty$  the sum becomes an integral:

$$E_0(u) = \frac{-N}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2a}^{\pi/2a} dk \sqrt{[2t_0 \cos(ka)]^2 + [2\delta \sin(ka)]^2} + 2N\kappa u^2$$
 (1.35)


$$= \frac{-4Nt_0}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} d\theta \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{t_0}\right) \sin^2(\theta)} + 2N\kappa u^2$$
 (1.36)

To calculate energy in manually charged state (cdft), use the states:

$$\Psi_k^{(v)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - q} c_k^{(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + q} \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)}$$
(1.37)

One obtains the energies:

$$\left\langle \Psi_k^{(v)} \middle| \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp}} \middle| \Psi_k^{(v)} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - q} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + q} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)}$$
(1.38)



## 1.2 Other Preparations

# List of Figures

# List of Tables

## **Bibliography**

- [1] ASHCROFT, N.W.; MERMIN, N.D.: Solid State Physics. Holt, Rinehart and Winston,
   1976 (HRW international editions). https://books.google.de/books?id=1C9HAQAAIAAJ.
   ISBN 9780030839931
- [2] GERTHSEN, K.; VOGEL, H.: *Physik*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013 (DUV Sozialwissenschaft). https://books.google.de/books?id=SbjPBgAAQBAJ. ISBN 9783642878398