

Calculation of tight binding parameters with density functional theory to describe transport phenomena

Bachelor Thesis



ALBERT-LUDWIGS-
UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

Fabian Glatzel

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Theoretical Background	1
1.1.1	Lattice	1
1.1.2	Bloch Theorem	2
1.1.3	Tight Binding Method	3
1.1.4	Density Functional Theory	3
1.1.5	Finite difference method	3
1.1.6	Peierls Distortion and SSH-Hamiltonian	3
1.1.7	Polyacetylene Hamiltonian	3
1.2	Other Preparations	11
2	Results	13
2.1	Hydrogen Chain	13
2.1.1	Unit Cell Set-Up	13
2.1.2	Results	13
3	Appendix	25

1 Introduction

1.1 Theoretical Background

1.1.1 Lattice

A solid has typically a periodicity in the placing of its atoms. This property is called *crystal structure*, which can be locally restricted due to occurring crystal defects. Exceptions are the amorphous solids, that behave like very viscous fluids and will not be treated here (see [1, 2]). In the simplest case the atom positions can be described by a *Bravais lattice*. This is a perfectly periodic lattice, where the arrangement and orientation of all atoms look exactly the same from all atom positions (see fig. 1.1a). Therefore the positions \vec{R} of the atoms can be described by:

$$\vec{R} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_D} n_i \vec{a}_i \quad (1.1)$$

with linearly independent primitive vectors \vec{a}_i , $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the dimension N_D .

Often the atom positions do not fulfil this condition but unit cells containing multiple atoms do. Thus additional information about the position of the atoms within the unit cell is needed to characterise the structure. This is called a lattice with a *basis*. An one dimensional example can be seen in fig. 1.1b showing a chain with alternating distances. Here a minimal unit cell (called *primitive cell* or *primitive unit cell*) contains two points and therefore a basis with two basis vectors \vec{b}_1 and \vec{b}_2 . The primitive cell itself fulfils the condition of a Bravais lattice with primitive vector \vec{a}_1 . If there were no alternation in the chain and all points were equally spaced, the points would form a Bravais lattice themselves with a primitive vector of half the length of \vec{a}_1 . This will be of importance later in ?? Chapter SSH and Peierls.

It should be mentioned that a primitive cell can always be constructed by simply taking all space closer to a certain lattice point than to all others. This kind of primitive cells are called *Wigner-Seitz primitive cells*.

The set of wave vectors \vec{K} , that have the periodicity of a given Bravais lattice \vec{R} , explicitly:

$$\exp(i\vec{K} \cdot \vec{r}) = \exp[i\vec{K} \cdot (\vec{r} + \vec{R})] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \vec{K} \cdot \vec{R} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot 2\pi \quad (1.2)$$

do also form a Bravais lattice in the reciprocal space, the so called *reciprocal lattice*. The Wigner-Seitz primitive cell of the reciprocal lattice, namely the *First Brillouine Zone*, will be relevant




Figure 1.1: Schemes of *Bravais lattices*

for the next section.

1.1.2 Bloch Theorem

According to Bloch's theorem a wave function $\Psi(\vec{r})$ of a periodic potential, $V(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) = V(\vec{r})$ for all \vec{R} of a Bravais lattice, can be written in the form:

$$\Psi(\vec{r}) = \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) \cdot u(\vec{r}) \quad (1.3)$$

where \vec{k} is an arbitrary wave vector and $u(\vec{r})$ denotes a \vec{R} -periodic function.

Under the assumption, that the boundary condition at the surface should not change the physical properties of the bulk, one assumes the periodic *Born-von Karman boundary condition*¹:

$$\Psi(\vec{r} + N_i \vec{a}_i) = \Psi(\vec{r}) \quad (1.4)$$

where N_i denotes the number of unit cells in the direction \vec{a}_i of the bulk. Hereby one obtains an additional condition for the wave vectors \vec{k} , namely:

$$\vec{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_D} \frac{m_i}{N_i} \vec{b}_i \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1.5)$$

It can be shown that if two states only vary in the way that $\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 \in \vec{K}$, they correspond to the same physical state. From this can be concluded, that one has to take only the states within the first Brillouine zone into account for a complete description. One considers that the number of states in the first Brillouine zone equals the number of sites $N = \prod_{i=1}^{N_D} N_i$ of the bulk. For the one dimensional case this means, that the number of states within the first Brillouine zone is the number of primitive cells in the chain.

¹Alternatively one can choose the boundary condition for a vanishing wave function on the surface $\Psi(\vec{S}) = 0$. But the periodic boundary condition has the advantage, that it corresponds with propagating waves, which suite transport phenomena very well, whereas a vanishing boundary condition corresponds with standing waves.

Since there are multiple solutions to Schrödinger's equation for a given \vec{k} , they will be labeled by some additional index n . In solid state physics the number of atoms contained in a system is usually very big, what corresponds to a high density of states in the reciprocal space. As limit a continuum of states can be assumed in the reciprocal space, which leads to a continuum of eigenenergies in some interval (*band*), since the Schrödinger equation changes continuous with \vec{k} . Therefore n is referred to as *band index*.

1.1.3 Tight Binding Method

In the previous section the eigenstates have been calculated by using the translational symmetries of a Bravais lattice, which results in completely delocalized states. A complete different approach is the following:

If the distance between adjacent atoms is much bigger than the typical width of the electron wave functions for isolated atoms, the wave functions shouldn't differ much from that state. Decreases the distance between the atoms, the electrons will start to feel the presence of the other atoms and will therefore 'slightly'² change their states. Thus simple perturbation theory can be applied. Since the width of the electron wave functions increases very fast with increasing principal quantum numbers (see [3]), only the valence electrons wave functions are assumed to vary.

1.1.4 Density Functional Theory

1.1.5 Finite difference method

The finite difference method is used to solve the Schrödinger equation numerically, whereat the wave function will be evaluated only on discrete positions. For this purpose the Schrödinger equation has to be transformed into a finite difference equation.

1.1.6 Peierls Distortion and SSH-Hamiltonian

1.1.7 Polyacetylene Hamiltonian

Hamiltonian for trans-polyacetylene:

$$\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{-2 \sum_n t_{n+1,n} (c_{n+1}^\dagger c_n + c_n^\dagger c_{n+1})}_{\text{electron hopping}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \kappa (u_{n+1} - u_n)^2}_{\sigma \text{ bonding energy}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_n M \dot{u}_n^2}_{\text{kinetic energy}} \quad (1.6)$$

²under the assumption of a relative weak perturbation




Figure 1.2: Schema: perfectly dimerized molecule

Born-Oppenheimer and $u_n = (-1)^n u$, $\alpha = \partial t / \partial u$, $\delta = 2\alpha u$ (see fig. 1.2):

$$\mathcal{H} = -2 \sum_n [t_0 + (-1)^n \delta] \cdot (c_{n+1}^\dagger c_n + c_n^\dagger c_{n+1}) + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.7)$$

$$= -2 \sum_n^{N_d} \left[(t_0 + \delta) (c_{2n+1}^\dagger c_{2n} + c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n+1}) + (t_0 - \delta) (c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n-1} + c_{2n-1}^\dagger c_{2n}) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.8)$$

$$\neq -2 \sum_n^{N_d} \left[(t_0 + \delta) (c_{2n+1}^\dagger c_{2n} + c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n+1}) + (t_0 - \delta) (\color{red}{c_{2n+1}^\dagger c_{2n}} + \color{red}{c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n+1}}) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.9)$$

Calculate creation and annihilation operator in k-space (symmetric normation factors):

$$c_{2n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_k \exp[ik(2n)a] \cdot c_k^{(e)} \quad (1.10)$$

$$c_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_k \exp[ik(2n+1)a] \cdot c_k^{(o)} \quad (1.11)$$

$$c_k^{(e)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_n \exp[-ik(2n)a] \cdot c_{2n} \quad (1.12)$$

$$c_k^{(o)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_n \exp[-ik(2n+1)a] \cdot c_{2n+1} \quad (1.13)$$

Remember: operators $c_{2n(+1)}$ operate on double unit cell length \rightarrow halve Brillouin zone $(-\frac{\pi}{2a}, \frac{\pi}{2a}]$

boundary condition: $\exp[2ik(n + N_d)a] = 1 \rightarrow N_d$ allowed kpts in Brillouin zone

Check for c_{2n} :

$$c_{2n_0} (c_k^{(e)} (c_{2n_i})) = c_{2n} \quad (1.14)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_k \exp[ik(2n_0)a] \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_d}} \sum_n \exp[-ik(2n)a] \cdot c_{2n} \quad (1.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{k,n} \exp[ika(2n_0 - 2n)] \cdot c_{2n} \quad (1.16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_n N_d \delta_{2n_0, 2n} c_{2n} \quad (1.17)$$

$$= c_{2n_0} \quad (1.18)$$

Warm up calculation:

$$\sum_n^{N_d} c_{2n+1}^\dagger c_{2n} = \sum_{n,k,k'} \exp[ika(2n)] \cdot \exp[-ik'a(2n+1)] \cdot \frac{c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)}}{N_d} \quad (1.19)$$

$$= \sum_{n,k,k'} \exp[ia(k-k')(2n)] \cdot \exp(-ik'a) \cdot \frac{c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)}}{N_d} \quad (1.20)$$

$$= \sum_{k,k'} \delta_{k,k'} \cdot \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)} \quad (1.21)$$

$$= \sum_{k'} \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)} \quad (1.22)$$

Analogously:

$$\sum_n^{N_d} c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n+1} = \sum_{k'} \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} \quad (1.23)$$

$$\sum_n^{N_d} c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n-1} = \sum_{k'} \exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} \quad (1.24)$$

$$\sum_n^{N_d} c_{2n-1}^\dagger c_{2n} = \sum_{k'} \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \quad (1.25)$$

Thus one obtains:

$$\mathcal{H} = -2 \sum_n^{N_d} \left[(t_0 + \delta) (c_{2n+1}^\dagger c_{2n} + c_{2n}^\dagger c_{2n+1}) + (t_0 - \delta) (c_{2n+2}^\dagger c_{2n+1} + c_{2n+1}^\dagger c_{2n+2}) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.26)$$

$$= -2 \sum_{k'} \left[(t_0 + \delta) \left(\exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} + \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} \right) + \right. \\ \left. (t_0 - \delta) \left(\exp(-ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \exp(ik'a) \cdot c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right) \right] + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.27)$$

$$= -2 \sum_{k'} \left\{ [2t_0 \cos(k'a) + 2i\delta \sin(k'a)] c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \right. \\ \left. [2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a)] c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right\} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.28)$$

$$\neq -2 \sum_{k'} \left\{ [-2t_0 \cos(k'a) + 2i\delta \sin(k'a)] c_{k'}^{\dagger(e)} c_{k'}^{(o)} + \right. \\ \left. [-2t_0 \cos(k'a) - 2i\delta \sin(k'a)] c_{k'}^{\dagger(o)} c_{k'}^{(e)} \right\} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.29)$$

Substituting $\epsilon_k := 2t_0 \cos(ka)$ and $\Delta_k := 2\delta \sin(ka)$ the following form of the hopping term can be derived:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp},k} = [\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(e)} c_k^{(o)} + [\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)} \quad (1.30)$$

with the eigenvalues $E_k = \pm\sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2}$ and the eigenfunctions

$$\Psi_k^{(c)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_k^{\dagger(e)} + \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right) \quad (1.31)$$

$$\Psi_k^{(v)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right) \quad (1.32)$$

corresponding to the valance (v) and conduction (c) band. Hereby the eigenfunctions have to be understood as operating on the vacuum state, $|(e), (o)\rangle = |0, 0\rangle$. Due to this one can check the orthogonality and normalization, for example:

$$\langle \Psi_k^{(v)} | \Psi_k^{(v)} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(c_k^{(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} \right) \left(c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right) \quad (1.33)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[c_k^{(e)} c_k^{\dagger(e)} + \frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|^2} c_k^{(o)} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(e)} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right] \quad (1.34)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [c_k^{(e)} c_k^{\dagger(e)} + c_k^{(o)} c_k^{\dagger(o)}] \quad (1.35)$$

$$= 1 \quad (1.36)$$

Check also the correspondence to the correct eigenvalues:

$$\langle \Psi_k^{(v)} | \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp},k} | \Psi_k^{(v)} \rangle = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_k^{(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} \right) \right] \cdot \\ \left[[\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(e)} c_k^{(o)} + [\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)} \right] \cdot \\ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right) \right] \quad (1.37)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|} - \frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|} \right] \quad (1.38)$$

$$= -|E_k| \quad (1.39)$$

$$\langle \Psi_k^{(c)} | \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp},k} | \Psi_k^{(c)} \rangle = |E_k| \quad (1.40)$$

Hence it is shown explicitly, that the energies of the valance band are decreased by $-|E_k|$ and the energies of the conduction band are increased by $|E_k|$. Using this the ground state energy

can be derived as follows (completely occupied valence, empty conduction band):

$$E_0(u) = -2 \sum_k |E_k| + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.41)$$

$$= -2 \sum_k \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.42)$$

$$= -2 \sum_k \sqrt{[2t_0 \cos(ka)]^2 + [2\delta \sin(ka)]^2} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.43)$$

$$(1.44)$$

In the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$ the sum becomes an integral:

$$E_0(u) = \frac{-N}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2a}^{\pi/2a} dk \sqrt{[2t_0 \cos(ka)]^2 + [2\delta \sin(ka)]^2} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.45)$$

$$= \frac{-4Nt_0}{\pi} \underbrace{\int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{t_0^2}\right) \sin^2(\theta)}}_{=: F(\delta/t_0)} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.46)$$

For small δ/t_0 the integral can be approximated as follows:

$$F\left(\frac{\delta}{t_0}\right) \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{4|t_0|}{|\delta|}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right] \frac{\delta^2}{t_0^2} \quad (1.47)$$

To calculate the energies in manually charged states (cdft), use the states:

$$\Psi_k^{(v)}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \quad (1.48)$$

To test for the correct properties one calculates $\left| \langle c_k^{(*)} | \Psi_k^{(v)}(q) \rangle \right|^2$, for example:

$$\left| \langle c_k^{\dagger(e)} | \Psi_k^{(v)}(q) \rangle \right|^2 = \left| c_k^{(e)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right) \right|^2 \quad (1.49)$$

$$= \frac{1-q}{2} \quad (1.50)$$

Because of the two different spin orientations of the electron an additional factor 2 has to be taken into account to get the correct number of valence electrons at the even/odd positions. Therefore the number of valence electrons is given by $1 \pm q$. The energies for this states are given

by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_k^{(v)}(q) | \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp},k} | \Psi_k^{(v)}(q) \rangle &= \left[\sqrt{\frac{1-q}{2}} c_k^{(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} \right] \\ &\quad \left[[\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(e)} c_k^{(o)} + [\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)} \right] \\ &\quad \left[\sqrt{\frac{1-q}{2}} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\sqrt{\frac{1-q}{2}} c_k^{(e)} [\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(e)} c_k^{(o)} \sqrt{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{(o)} [\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k] c_k^{\dagger(o)} c_k^{(e)} \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{2}} c_k^{\dagger(e)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\sqrt{\frac{1+q}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{2}} \left[\frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|} + \frac{(\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k)(\epsilon_k + i\Delta_k)}{|E_k|} \right] \\ &= -\sqrt{1-q^2} |E_k| \end{aligned} \quad (1.53)$$

$$= -\sqrt{1-q^2} |E_k| \quad (1.54)$$

For this reason the expected ground state energy as a function of the transferred charge in respect of a negligible small phonon coupling constant δ has the form:

$$E_0(q, u) = -\frac{4Nt_0}{\pi} \sqrt{1-q^2} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.55)$$

Fit this function with simulation results for small q , see fig. 1.3. Optimized fit coefficient:

$$t_0 = 9,4 \text{ eV} \quad \text{from fit} \quad (1.56)$$

$$t_0 = 2.5 \text{ eV} \quad \text{Glen paper} \quad (1.57)$$

Probably this assumption is wrong:

$$\Psi_k^{(v)}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{2}} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1+q}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \quad (1.58)$$

and should rather be formulated in a more general way:

$$\Psi_k^{(v)}(q_k) = \sqrt{\frac{1-q_k}{2}} c_k^{\dagger(e)} - \sqrt{\frac{1+q_k}{2}} \frac{\epsilon_k - i\Delta_k}{|E_k|} c_k^{\dagger(o)} \quad (1.59)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \Psi_k^{(v)}(q_k) | \mathcal{H}_{\text{hopp},k} | \Psi_k^{(v)}(q_k) \rangle = -\sqrt{1-q_k^2} |E_k| \quad (1.60)$$




Figure 1.3: Unit cell energy as function of the manually shifted charge for many k-points

Due to the external potential the Hamiltonian can be written in the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -V & \epsilon_k + i\Delta_k \\ \epsilon_k - i\Delta_k & V \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.61)$$

With the eigenvalues $E_k = \pm\sqrt{V^2 + \epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2}$ and the eigenstates³:

$$\vec{\Psi}_k(V) = [2(E_k^2 \mp V|E_k|)]^{-1/2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -V \pm \sqrt{V^2 + \epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2} \\ \epsilon_k - i\Delta_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.62)$$

For $V = 0$ this matches the previous result. With this states one can easily calculate the number of valence electrons at the even/odd positions, for example :

$$q_k = \vec{\Psi}_k^{*\top} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \vec{\Psi}_k \quad (1.63)$$

$$= [2(E_k^2 \mp V|E_k|)]^{-1} \cdot (-V \pm |E_k|)^2 \quad (1.64)$$

$$= \frac{(-V \pm |E_k|)^2}{2(E_k^2 \mp V|E_k|)} \quad (1.65)$$

Then the ground state energy can be calculated as follows:

$$E_0 = -2 \sum_k |E_k| + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.66)$$

$$= -2 \sum_k \sqrt{V^2 + \epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_k^2} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.67)$$


$$= -2 \sum_k \sqrt{V^2 + 4t_0^2 \cos^2(ka) + 4\delta^2 \sin^2(ka)} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.68)$$

$$= -4t_0 \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{V^2}{4t_0^2} + 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{t_0^2}\right) \sin^2(ka)} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.69)$$

$$= -4t_0 \sqrt{\frac{V^2}{4t_0^2} + 1} \sum_k \sqrt{1 - \frac{4t_0^2 - 4\delta^2}{V^2 + 4t_0^2} \sin^2(ka)} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.70)$$

$$= -4t_0 \sqrt{\frac{V^2}{4t_0^2} + 1} \sum_k \sqrt{1 - c^2 \cdot \sin^2(ka)} + 2N\kappa u^2 \quad (1.71)$$

³the valence state corresponds with the lower signs



with $c^2 = \frac{4t_0^2 - 4\delta^2}{V^2 + 4t_0^2}$. In the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$ the sum can be transformed into an integral:

$$E_0 = \frac{-2N}{\pi} \sqrt{V^2 + 4t_0^2} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \sqrt{1 - c^2 \cdot \sin^2(\theta)} \quad (1.72)$$

$$= \frac{-2N}{\pi} \sqrt{V^2 + 4t_0^2} \cdot F(\sqrt{1 - c^2}) \quad (1.73)$$

To write this expression as a function of the displaced charge a relationship between the potential V and q is needed:

$$q = \frac{2}{N} \sum_k q_k \quad (1.74)$$

$$= \langle q_k \rangle \quad (1.75)$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{(V + |E_k|)^2}{2(E_k^2 + V|E_k|)} \right\rangle \quad (1.76)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \frac{E_k^2 + V E_k}{E_k^2 + V E_k} \right\rangle + V \left\langle \frac{1}{E_k} \right\rangle \right) \quad (1.77)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + V \left\langle \frac{1}{E_k} \right\rangle \right) \quad (1.78)$$

1.2 Other Preparations

2 Results

2.1 Hydrogen Chain

A simple system of equidistant hydrogen atoms is used to test the predictions of the earlier motivated Hamiltonian. For this purpose the set-up and convergence of the unit cell will be tested. Afterwards the results from the application of CDFT to the band structure will be shown and compared to the predictions of our model Hamiltonian.

2.1.1 Unit Cell Set-Up

Even if there's no distortion, a unit cell with two hydrogen atoms is needed, because later the application of the external potential and the consequential charge displacement will break the symmetry. All calculations for hydrogen will be performed using spin polarization, since this lowers the ground state energy and later this will be essential for the convergence of the wave functions in the presence of the external potentials. Therefore it's necessary for the optimizer to break the symmetry by setting the initial magnetic moments of the atoms to $\pm 1/2$.

2.1.2 Results

First of all the HOMO band shows the expected $E(k) \propto -\cos(ka)$ behaviour (see fig. 2.1). Through fitting to the HOMO band the hopping parameter $t_0 = 4.78 \text{ eV}$ can be obtained.

In the next step the band structures for the periodically charged hydrogen atoms will be calculated (see fig. 2.2). As expected from the symmetry the band structures do not depend on the direction (sign) of the charge displacement. It can also be seen, that the influence of charging is bigger for k -points closer to the edge of the Brillouin zone and the bands become shifted to lower energies. Both is in good agreement with the predictions of the Hamiltonian.

In fig. 2.3 the height of the Gaussian potentials causing the charge displacement as a function of the transferred charge is shown. Again the symmetry is as expected and in the region of $-0.2 \leq q \leq 0.2$ the dependency is approximately linear.

From the model Hamiltonian the state energy at the edge of the Brillouin zone ($k \cdot a = \pi/2$) is expected to have the form $E_{\text{edge}} = -\sqrt{V^2} = -\sqrt{c^2 \cdot U_{\text{CDFT}}^2}$. As can be seen in fig. 2.4 this matches the results of the simulation very well. From a fit to this data the ratio between the theoretical potential and the voltage from CDFT can be obtained: $V \approx 13.265 \text{ e} \cdot U_{\text{CDFT}}$.




Figure 2.1: $E(k)$

Analogously this ratio can be calculated by fitting the energy at the gamma point to $E_{\text{gamma}} = -\sqrt{c^2 \cdot U_{\text{CDFT}}^2 + 4 \cdot t_0^2}$ (see fig. 2.5). Here the proportionality constant becomes $V \approx 11.289 \text{ e} \cdot U_{\text{CDFT}}$, which corresponds to a relative difference of approximately 20%. To take a closer look at this effect the proportionality constant is calculated by fitting for many different k -points (see fig. 2.6).




Figure 2.2: $E(k, q)$




Figure 2.3: $V(q)$




Figure 2.4: $E(U)$




Figure 2.5: $E(U)$




Figure 2.6: $c(k)$

List of Figures

1.1	Schemes of <i>Bravais lattices</i>	2
1.2	Schema: perfectly dimerized molecule	4
1.3	Unit cell energy as function of the manually shifted charge for many k-points	9
2.1	$E(k)$	14
2.2	$E(k, q)$	15
2.3	$V(q)$	15
2.4	$E(U)$	16
2.5	$E(U)$	16
2.6	$c(k)$	17

List of Tables

Bibliography

- [1] ASHCROFT, N.W. ; MERMIN, N.D.: *Solid State Physics*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1976 (HRW international editions). <https://books.google.de/books?id=1C9HAQAAIAAJ>. – ISBN 9780030839931
- [2] GERTHSEN, K. ; VOGEL, H.: *Physik*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013 (DUV Sozialwissenschaft). <https://books.google.de/books?id=SbjPBgAAQBAJ>. – ISBN 9783642878398
- [3] LANDAU, L.D. ; LIFSCHITZ, J.M.: *Quantenmechanik*. Europa-Lehrmittel, 1986 <https://books.google.de/books?id=PpeDoAEACAAJ>. – ISBN 9783808556368

3 Appendix