# **CLIP**

Contrastive Language Image Pre-training

Lecture-3
CAP6412, Spring 2024
Mubarak Shah

# Learning Transferable Visual Models from Natural Language Supervision

Alec Radford JongWook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, lya Sutskever

ICML-2021; 11508 Citations


Presented by: Moazam Soomro, Fatemah Najafali, Alec Kerrigan, and Connor Malley CAP6412;

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t5MPdf8NG1g


# Contrastive Language Image Pre-training (CLIP)

- Mechanism for natural language supervision
- Pair an image with it's caption using contrastive learning
- Beats fully supervised learning baseline on many datasets
- Can be used as a zero-shot classifier


# What is Contrastive Learning?



# Contrastive Learning Objective - similar (image, text) pair




# Contrastive Learning Objective - dissimilar (image, text) pair




# CLIP Pre-training

(1) Contrastive pre-training





# **Computing Loss**



 $m_i\,$  = one-hot encoded label  $\,$  vector for the i-th image sample  $\,$ 

 $y_i^m$  = cosine similarities vector for i-th image sample


 $t_i$  =one-hot encoded label for the i-th text sample

 $y_i^{t}$  = cosine similarities vector for i-th text sample

 $\phi$  = cross entropy loss

Cross Entropy: C(P) = - Σ<sub>i</sub>P(i) log Q(i)

### **Computing Loss**



 $m_i$  = one-hot encoded label vector for the i-th image sample

 $y_i^m$  = cosine similarities vector for i-th image sample

 $t_i$  =one-hot encoded label for the i-th text sample

 $y_i^{t}$  = cosine similarities vector for i-th text sample


 $\phi$  = cross entropy loss

Cross Entropy: C(P) = - Σ<sub>i</sub>P(i) log Q(i)

$$\mathcal{L}_{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(y_{i}^{m}, m_{i})}{N} \quad \mathcal{L}_{t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(y_{i}^{t}, t_{i})}{N}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_t}{2}$$

# Supervised Classification



### Supervised Learning vs Zero Shot Learning

#### **SUPERVISED LEARNING**

Labeled data

Training phase

• Final prediction on labeled data

#### **ZERO SHOT LEARNING**

Data can be labeled/unlabeled


No training

Accuracy on the final results

### **Motivation**


- Image classification models are limited:
  - Fixed number of labels
  - Generalization
- CLIP overcomes these limitations.

# What is Zero-Shot Learning?



 To train on one dataset and generalizing on unseen categories.

# CLIP for Zero-Shot Image Classification



### WeblmageText Dataset


- Motivation for using natural language is the vast amounts of data
- Previous datasets did not have enough natural language descriptions (YFCC100M)
- Authors searched for (image, text) pairs which contained one of 500,000 text queries
- Used for pre-training CLIP

WebImageText (WIT)

400M (image,text) pairs

Up to 20,000 pairs per query

### **CLIP Architecture**



<sup>\*</sup> Authors also tested many other ResNet/ViT variants, but found this ViT to perform the best

#### Some CLIP details

#### **Training**

- Trained on 400M image-text pairs from the internet
- Batch size of 32,768
- 32 epochs over the dataset
- Cosine learning rate decay

#### Architecture

- ResNet-based or ViT-based image encoder
- Transformer-based text encoder

# Testing

- Linear Probe

- Zero-shot Prediction

### **Linear Probe CLIP**

- Train a linear classifier on another dataset using CLIP features


### Kornblith et al.'s 12 datasets

Dataset	Classes	Train size	Test size	Evaluation metric
Food-101	102	75,750	25,250	accuracy
CIFAR-10	10	50,000	10,000	accuracy
CIFAR-100	100	50,000	10,000	accuracy
Birdsnap	500	42,283	2,149	accuracy
SUN397	397	19,850	19,850	accuracy
Stanford Cars	196	8,144	8,041	accuracy
FGVC Aircraft	100	6,667	3,333	mean per class
Pascal VOC 2007 Classification	20	5,011	4,952	11-point mAP
Describable Textures	47	3,760	1,880	accuracy
Oxford-IIIT Pets	37	3,680	3,669	mean per class
Caltech-101	102	3,060	6,085	mean-per-class
Oxford Flowers 102	102	2,040	6,149	mean per class

### Extended 27 Datasets

Dataset	Classes	Train size	Test size	Evaluation metric
Food-101	102	75,750	25,250	accuracy
CIFAR-10	10	50,000	10,000	accuracy
CIFAR-100	100	50,000	10,000	accuracy
Birdsnap	500	42,283	2,149	accuracy
SUN397	397	19,850	19,850	accuracy
Stanford Cars	196	8,144	8,041	accuracy
FGVC Aircraft	100	6,667	3,333	mean per class
Pascal VOC 2007 Classification	20	5,011	4,952	11-point mAP
Describable Textures	47	3,760	1,880	accuracy
Oxford-IIIT Pets	37	3,680	3,669	mean per class
Caltech-101	102	3,060	6,085	mean-per-class
Oxford Flowers 102	102	2,040	6,149	mean per class
MNIST	10	60,000	10,000	accuracy
Facial Emotion Recognition 2013	8	32,140	3,574	accuracy
STL-10	10	1000	8000	accuracy
EuroSAT	10	10,000	5,000	accuracy
RESISC45	45	3,150	25,200	accuracy
GTSRB	43	26,640	12,630	accuracy
KITTI	4	6,770	711	accuracy
Country211	211	43,200	21,100	accuracy
PatchCamelyon	2	294,912	32,768	accuracy
UCF101	101	9,537	1,794	accuracy
Kinetics700	700	494,801	31,669	mean(top1, top5)
CLEVR Counts	8	2,000	500	accuracy
Hateful Memes	2	8,500	500	ROC AUC
Rendered SST2	2	7,792	1,821	accuracy


### Results - Efficiency - Kornblith



- Kornblith 12 dataset evaluation suite, standard for most works
- CLIP's ResNet based model underperforms EfficientNet
- ViT based CLIP outperforms everything



# Results - Efficiency - Extended




- On the extended testing suite, both CLIP versions outperform all other models
- Performance gap increases with GFLOPS




# Zero-Shot

# Contrastive Language Image Pre-training (CLIP)




### Results - Accuracy



- Zero-shot CLIP using ResNet50 backbone is compared to off the shelf ResNet50
- CLIP outperforms on a wide variety of popular datasets
- For video, a single frame was sampled

### Results - Accuracy




- Underperforms on many other datasets
- Mostly on specialized/complex datasets
- EuroSAT for satellite images, Tumor classification
- Makes intuitive sense, Zero-shot CLIP is highly generalized
- Not suited for hyper specific tasks unless fine-tuned

### Zero-shot CLIP is much more robust


DATASET	IMAGENET RESNET101	CLIP VIT-L
ImageNet	76.2%	76.2%
ImageNet V2	64.3%	70.1%
ImageNet Rendition	37.7%	88.9%
ObjectNet	32.6%	72.3%
ImageNet Sketch	25.2%	60.2%
ImageNet Adversarial	2.7%	77.1%

#### Results - Low-Shot




- CLIP scales well
- Linear-Probe CLIP climbs
- ResNet and other methods flatten
- Zero-Shot CLIP outperforms all non-CLIP methods up until 16 shot

# Self-Supervised Learning: SimCLR



# Self-Supervised Learning: MAE (Masked Auto-Encoder)



### **CLIP & Variants**

