

Evaluation	poor	fair	good	excellent	Score
Task completion and goal achievement	Completed less than 70% of the final project goal, and no valid logical technical justification was provided.	Completed between 70- 80% of the final project. Attempted to give logical technical justification of uncompleted goal.	Completed between 80- 90% of the requirements. Gave logical technical justification of uncompleted part of the project.	Completed between 90-100% of the requirements, with full description of the project steps.	
Coding Standards	 No name, date, or file header description included. Poor use of white space (indentation, blank lines). Disorganized and messy Poor use of variables (many global variables, ambiguous naming). 	 Includes name, date, and file headers with module descriptions. White space makes program fairly easy to read. Organized work. Good use of variables (few global variables, unambiguous naming). 	 Includes name, date, and file headers with module descriptions. Good use of white space. Organized work. Good use of variables (no global variables, unambiguous naming) 	 Includes name, date, and file headers with module descriptions. Excellent use of white space. Creatively organized work. Excellent use of variables. 	
Documentation - Comments within Verilog code	No documentation included. Barely any comments.	 Basic documentation has been completed including descriptions of all variables. Purpose description is noted for each module. 	 Clearly documented including descriptions of all variables. Purpose description is noted for each module and control structure. 	 Clearly and effectively documented including descriptions of all variables. Specific purpose is noted for each module, control structure, input requirements, and outputs. 	
Efficiency	A difficult and inefficient solution.	A logical solution that is easy to follow but it is not the most efficient.	Solution is efficient and easy to follow.	Solution is efficient, easy to understand, and maintains a structure of data-path and control units.	
Simulation and Testing using a test-bench	Compilation errors and barely any testing has been completed.	No errors and some testing has been completed, but not for the full function of the project.	Thorough testing has been completed for some parts of the project.	Thorough and organized testing has been completed covering all the parts of the project.	

Quality and organization	Poor quality of narratives,	The report needs major	The report needs only	The report is with high quality	
of the written project	block diagrams, improper	improvement in terms of	minor improvement in	needing no improvement	
report	organization, or many	its narratives, block	terms of its narratives,		
	grammatical issues.	diagrams, organization, or	block diagrams, tables,		
		grammar	organization, or grammar		
Quality of presentation	Poor quality of slides. Text	The text and schematics in	Quality of slides is good or	Quality of slides is excellent	
slides	and schematics are not	the slides need significant	needs minor improvement		
	legible.	improvement			
Quality of oral	Presentation quality is	Presentation quality needs	Presentation quality is	Presentation quality is excellent.	
presentation	poor. The presentation	significant improvement.	adequate. The	A precise and clear introduction to	
	lacks a clear description of	The presentation gives the	presentation gives the idea	the project idea and a verbal	
	the project idea, design	overall idea of the project	of the project and very	description of the project	
	structure, design testing	and some insight of the	good insight of the design	specifications. Detailed structure	
	and results.	design structure, but lacks	structure and testing	of the design and clear and	
		details and testing	methods.	organized testing methods and	
		methods.		results.	