## Scuola di Scienze Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia Corso di Laurea in Fisica

## GEOMETRIC DEEP LEARNING

Relatore: Presentata da: Prof.ssa. Rita Fioresi Tommaso Lamma

Anno Accademico 2020/2021

Abstract in italiano...

Abstract in english...

# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Intr}$	roduction	1
	1.1	Simplicial complexes	]
	1.2	Forms on abstract simplicial complexes	2

## 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Simplicial complexes

#### Definition 1.1.1. Abstract simplicial complex (finite)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of sets we then define an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{A}$  to be

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ \sigma = \{ A_i \}_{i \in I_{\sigma}} \subset \mathcal{F} : \tau \subset \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

where  $I_{\sigma}$  is a finite set of indexes, we shall call  $\sigma$  abstract simplexes of A.

#### Definition 1.1.2. Dimension of an abstract simplicial complex

Let A be an abstract simplicial complex we define its dimension to be

$$dim \mathcal{A} := max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}} dim(\sigma),$$

where  $dim(\sigma) := |\sigma| - 1$ .

#### Definition 1.1.3. Abstract graph

An abstract graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is a 1-dimensional abstract simplicial complex whose vertexes and edges are respectively

$$\mathcal{V} := \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{G} : dim(\sigma) = 0 \} \ and$$

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{G} : dim(\sigma) = 1 \} .$$

In Definition 1.1.1. we tacitly assumed the definition of the abstract simplex  $\sigma$  invariant with respect to permutations of the indexes  $I_{\sigma}$ , this assumption establishes the difference between directed and undirected graphs.

## Definition 1.1.4. Convex envelop of points in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let I be a finite set of indexes, we define the convex envelope of  $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to be

$$\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in I} := \{ a = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ \lambda_i > 0, \ \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i = 1 \},$$

which is the smallest convex set containing  $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ .

## Definition 1.1.5. Affine independency of points in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we define  $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$  to be affinely independent if and only if

$$\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i x_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_i = \mu_i \ \forall i \in I,$$

where  $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i = 1$ .

## Definition 1.1.6. Geometric k-simplexes

We define a geometric k-simplex to be a convex envelop  $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in I}$  where  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  are affinely independent and |I| = k + 1.

### Definition 1.1.7. Faces and cofaces of geometric k-simplexes

Let  $\sigma$  be a geometric k-simplex, we say that another t-simplex  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$  or equivalently that  $\sigma$  is a coface of  $\tau$ , by our notiation  $\tau \leq \sigma$ , if and only if  $\tau \subset \sigma$ , where  $t \leq k$ .

#### Definition 1.1.8. Geometric Simplicial Complex

We define a geometric simplicial complex K to be a collection of geometric simplexes such that

1

(i) 
$$\tau \leq \sigma \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \tau \in \mathcal{K}$$
,

(ii) 
$$\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \sigma \cup \tau \in \mathcal{K}$$
.

#### Definition 1.1.9. Geometric realization of an abstract simplicial complex

Let K be a geometric simplicial complex, and let  $Vert(K) := \{ \sigma \in K : dim(\sigma) = 0 \}$ , we call the abstract simplicial complex  $A := \{ \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset Vert(K) : \langle x_i \rangle_{i \in I} \in K \}$  a vertex scheme for K or equivalently we might say that K is a geometric realization of A.

**Theorem 1.1.1.** Let A be a d-dimentional abstract simplicial complex, it admits a geometric realization in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ .

Kuratowski theorem proves the prevuois statement to be also sharp.

## 1.2 Forms on abstract simplicial complexes

#### Definition 1.2.1. p-forms on abstract simplicial complexes

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abstract simplical complex we define the linear space of p-forms  $\Lambda^p = \Lambda^p(\mathcal{A})$  to be

$$\Lambda^{p} := \{ \omega : Vert(\mathcal{A})^{p+1} \to \mathbb{R} \},$$

$$+ : \Lambda^{p} \times \Lambda^{p} \to \Lambda^{p}$$

$$(\omega + \eta)(x) = \omega(x) + \eta(x) \quad x \in Vert(\mathcal{A})^{p+1}, \ \omega, \eta \in \Lambda^{p},$$

$$\cdot : \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda^{p} \to \Lambda^{p}$$

$$(\lambda \omega)(x) = \lambda \omega(x) \quad x \in Vert(\mathcal{A})^{p+1}, \ \omega \in \Lambda^{p}, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $dim(\Lambda^p) = |Vert(\mathcal{A})|^{p+1}$ .

Although this definition seems to stand apart from the concept of simplicial complex we might notice that if we take the subset  $\{x \in Vert(\mathcal{A})^{p+1} : \{x\} \in \mathcal{A}\}$  as the domain of our forms we are defining p-forms on p-simplexes of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For a lighter notation we shall define  $\mathcal{V} := Vert(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proposition 1.2.1.** A canonical base of elementary forms for  $\Lambda^p$  is

$$\{e^x \in \Lambda^p : x \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1}, \ e^x(y) = \delta_{xy} \quad y \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1}\},$$

therefore giving us an expression for every other p-form

$$\omega = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1}} \omega_x e^x, \quad \omega_x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This basis, according to the restriction  $\{x \in Vert(\mathcal{A})^{p+1} : \{x\} \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , is the dual basis of the basis of the linear space of simplicial p-chains.

#### Definition 1.2.2. Exterior derivative of a p-form

Let  $\omega \in \Lambda^p$  we define  $d: \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{p+1}$  such that

$$(d\omega)_x = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \omega_{\hat{x}_i} ,$$

where if  $x = (x_0, ..., x_{p+1}) \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1}$  we define  $\hat{x}_i := (x_0, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{p+1}) \in \mathcal{V}^p$ .

**Lemma 1.2.1.** Let  $\omega \in \Lambda^p$ ,  $p \ge 0$  then  $d^2\omega = 0$ .

$$(d^{2}\omega)_{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{p+2} (-1)^{i} (d\omega)_{\hat{x}_{i}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{p+2} (-1)^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{j} \omega_{\hat{x}_{ij}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{p+2} (-1)^{j-1} \omega_{\hat{x}_{ij}}$$