Geometric Deep Learning
Beyond Euclidean Domains

1 Geometric Priors

Definition 1.1. *Our compact euclidean domain Ω*
$\Omega := \prod_{i \in I}[0,1]$.

Definition 1.2. *Classification*
Let $x \in L^2 := L^2(\Omega)$ then $f : L^2 \to \mathscr{C}$ surjective is said to be a classification of L^2 on the set $\mathscr{C}$.

Definition 1.3. *Training set*
Let f be a classification of L^2 on $\mathscr{C}$ and $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset L^2$ then the set $\{(x_i, f(x_i))\}_{i \in I}$ is called a training set for f.

Proposition 1.1. *The classification f is not injective*
Let f be a classification of L^2 on $\mathscr{C}$ then, given the inevitable noise acting on data, there exists a real positive ε such that $\forall (x, x_\varepsilon) \in L^2 \times L^2 : \int_\Omega |x - x_\varepsilon|^2 < \varepsilon$ we have that $f(x) = f(x_\varepsilon)$.

Given ideal data classification we can define two functions f-equivalent if and only if their images via the classification f are equal according to an equivalence on $\mathscr{C}$ which so far can be any set.

Proposition 1.2. *The relation $\simeq$ is an equivalence relation*
Let $x, y, z \in L^2$ we define $x \simeq y \iff f(x) = f(y)$ where f is a classification of L^2 on $\mathscr{C}$, then:
(i) $x \simeq x$
(ii) $x \simeq y \iff y \simeq x$
(iii) $x \simeq y, y \simeq z \implies x \simeq z$

Proof. (i),(ii) and (iii) follow from the the equivalence on $\mathscr{C}$ by which they are defined. $\qquad \square$

Definition 1.4. *Translation operator*
Let $x \in L^2$ and $v \in \Omega$ then $T_v : L^2 \to L^2$ such that $x(\xi) \mapsto x(\xi - v)$ is said to be a translation operator.

Definition 1.5. *Local deformation operator*
Let $x \in L^2$ and $\tau \in C^\infty(\Omega, \Omega)$ then $L_\tau : L^2 \to L^2$ such that $x(\xi) \mapsto x(\xi - \tau(\xi))$ is said to be a local deformation operator according to the smooth vector field τ.

Definition 1.6. *Invariance*
A classification f of L^2 on $\mathscr{C}$ is said to be A-invariant, where $A : L^2 \to L^2$, if and only if $f(A(x)) = f(x) \; \forall x \in L^2$.

Definition 1.7. *Equivariance*
A classification f of L^2 on $\mathscr{C}$ is said to be A-equivariant, where $A : L^2 \to L^2$, if and only if $f(A(x)) = A(f(x)) \; \forall x \in L^2$. This is well defined only if A is defined to act on $\mathscr{C}$

Proposition 1.3. *If f is translation invariant then it is stable under local deformations*
Let f be a translation invariant classification of L^2 on $\mathscr{C}$ then $|f(L_\tau(x)) - f(x)| \approx |J_\tau|$ where $(J_\tau)_{ij} = (\frac{\partial \tau_i}{\partial \xi_j})$ under some misterious norm.

Proof. To be found... $\qquad \square$

2 Graphs and Manifolds

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a graph where $\mathcal{V}$ are its vertexes and $\mathscr{E}$ are its edges, let $f,g \in L^2(\mathcal{V})$ and $F,G \in L^2(\mathscr{E})$ be real valued functions, we define $\langle f,g \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{V})} := \sum_{\mathcal{V}} a_i f_i g_i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle F,G \rangle_{L^2(\mathscr{E})} := \sum_{\mathscr{E}} w_{ij} F_{ij} G_{ij}$, $w_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$.*
Let M be a manifold and TM its tangent bundle with a metric $\langle, \rangle_{TM} : TM^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, let $f,g \in L^2(M)$ and $F,G \in L^2(TM) := F : M \to TM$, given two scalar products $\langle f,g \rangle_{L^2(M)} := \int_M dx f g$ and $\langle F,G \rangle_{L^2(TM)} := \int_M dx \langle F,G \rangle_{TM}$.

Definition 2.2. *Graph gradient and divergence*
Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{V})$ and $F \in L^2(\mathscr{E})$ we define $grad : L^2(\mathcal{V}) \to L^2(\mathscr{E})$ and $div : L^2(\mathscr{E}) \to L^2(\mathcal{V})$, such that $(grad f)_{ij} = f_i - f_j$ and $(div F)_i = \frac{1}{a_i} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}:(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}} w_{ij} F_{ij}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{V})$ and $F \in L^2(\mathscr{E}) : F_{ij} = -F_{ji}$ then $\langle f, div F \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{V})} = \langle grad f, F \rangle_{L^2(\mathscr{E})}$, i.e. $div^\dagger = grad$.*

Proof. $\sum_{\mathcal{V}} a_i f_i (div F)_i = \sum_{\mathscr{E}} w_{ij} F_{ij} (f_i - f_j) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}:(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}} w_{ij} F_{ij} f_i$ thus $a_i (div F)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}:(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}} w_{ij} F_{ij}$. $\qquad\square$

Definition 2.3. *Manifold gradient and divergence*
Let $f \in L^2(M)$ and $F \in L^2(TM)$ we define $(grad f)_i := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ and $div F := \sum_i \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f \in L^2(M)$ and $F \in L^2(TM)$ then $\langle f, -div F \rangle_{L^2(M)} = \langle grad f, F \rangle_{L^2(TM)}$, i.e. $div^\dagger = grad$.*

Proof. $\int_M dx \langle grad f, F \rangle_{L^2(TM)} = \int_M dx (div(fF) - f div F) = \int_{\partial M} fF + \int_M dx f(-div F)$, where some condition must be added to make the first integral vanish. $\qquad\square$

Definition 2.4. *Path on a graph*
We define a curve parametrization on a graph be some injective function $\gamma : I \subset \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{V}$, we shall call γ a curve meaning its image $\gamma(I)$, to satisfy the connectedness condition we want the simplicial complex $\{\gamma, [\gamma(n), \gamma(n+1)]_{n \in I}\}$ to have a 1 dimensional 0-homology group. We define path over a graph the sequence $\{[\gamma(n), \gamma(n+1)]\}_{n \in I} \subset \mathscr{E}$, we might as well call that γ because we are bad people.

Theorem 2.3. *Gradient theorem on graphs*
Let γ be a connected path on a graph, and $f \in L^2(\mathcal{V})$, than we have $\sum_\gamma (grad f) = Df_{\partial_0 \gamma}$, where we define $Df_{(i,j)} = f_i - f_j$, and by ∂_0 we mean the boundary operator.

Proof. Left to the reader... $\qquad\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Gauss theorem on graphs*
Let $F \in L^2(\mathscr{E}) : F_{ij} = -F_{ji}$, let $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$ then if $a_i = w_{ij} = 1$ we have $\sum_{\mathscr{A}} (div F)_i = \sum_{\partial^0 \mathscr{A}} F_{ij}$.

Proof. First of all we recall $\partial^0 \mathscr{A} = \{(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}, i \in \mathscr{A}, j \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathscr{A}\}$, then we see that $\sum_{\mathscr{A}} (div F)_i = \sum_{i \in \mathscr{A}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}:(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}} F_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \mathscr{A}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathscr{A}:(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}} F_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{A}} \sum_{j \in \mathscr{A}:(i,j) \in \mathscr{E}} F_{ij} = \sum_{\partial^0 \mathscr{A}} F_{ij} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathscr{A}^2} adj(\mathscr{A})_{ij} F_{ij}$ where since $adj(\mathscr{A})_{ij} = adj(\mathscr{A})_{ji}$ we have by renaming dummy indexes $adj(\mathscr{A})_{ij} F_{ij} = -adj(\mathscr{A})_{ij} F_{ij} = 0$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 2.5. *The use of the coboundary operator makes sense only with antisimmetric functions on the edges, the antisimmetry of those function is somehow related to the orientation of surfaces.*

Proof. $\sum_{\partial^0 \sum_{i \in \mathscr{A}} i} F_{ij} = \sum_{\sum_{i \in \mathscr{A}} \partial^0 i} F_{ij}$ if an edge is in the coboundary of two different vertexes of $\mathscr{A}$ it will be count twice, that means zero times in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, similarly for that same edge we would sum $F_{ij} + F_{ji} = 0$. $\qquad\square$

Definition 2.5. *Graph laplacian*
Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{V})$ we have that $\langle grad f, grad f \rangle = \langle div(grad f), f \rangle =: \langle \Delta f, f \rangle = \langle f, \Delta f \rangle$, where $\Delta : L^2(\mathcal{V}) \to L^2(\mathcal{V})$ is the Laplacian.

Proposition 2.6. *The laplacian represents the difference between the function and a local average of the function*
(i) Graphs
Let $w_{ii} = 0$, and $\sum_j w_{ij} = a_i$, i.e. normalized laplacian, we have that $(\Delta f)_i = f_i \frac{\sum_j w_{ij}}{a_i} - \sum_j \frac{w_{ij}}{a_i} f_j$ which is a weighted average.
(ii) Manifolds (Let's just see it on an euclidean domain)
Let $f_0 \int_{\partial B} dx - \int_{\partial B} dx f(x) \simeq \int_{\partial B} dx \langle grad f, x \rangle = \int_B dx \Delta f$, where B is a ball centered in 0 (or at least has a boundary), we have that $f(0) - \frac{\int_{\partial B} dx f(x) f}{\int_{\partial B} dx} \simeq \frac{\int_B dx \Delta f}{\int_{\partial B} dx}$. Gauss theorem could be used since the incremental vector x on a ball is parallel to $2x$ with is the gradient of the implicit function defining the ball.

3 Spectrum of the laplacian

Proposition 3.1. _Variational problems related to the laplacian_

Let $f \in L^2(M)$ the variational problem minimizing the Dirichlet energy functional $\int_M dx(gradf)^2$, admit as solution the kernel of the laplacian. Otherwise if we wish to have normalized functions only to avoid uniformly vanishing functions i.e. $\int_M dxf^2 = 1$ we get as solution the eigenfunction of the laplacian relative to its lowest eigenvalue. After this the same process can be applied to graphs.

Proof. The proof is split in two different problems:

- $\int f \Delta f = \int (gradf)^2$, the problem $\delta \int (gradf)^2 = 0$ is solved with the Euler-Lagrange equations $div \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial (gradf)} = \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial f}$, which give $\Delta f = 0$.

- This time we have $\delta[\int (gradf)^2 - \lambda(\int f^2 - 1)] = 0$, which using the same equations leads to $\Delta f = \lambda f$, and since for those functions $\int f \Delta f = \lambda$, we want to find the minumum eigenvalue of the laplacian.

$\square$

Proposition 3.2. _Discrete "variational" problems related to the laplacian_

Let ϕ be an $k \times k$ matrix, the variational problem minimizing the Dirichlet energy $Tr(\phi^T \Delta \phi)$ is equivalent to the problem $\Delta \phi = 0$, while if we normalize the functions we get $\Delta \phi = \Lambda \phi$, where $\Lambda = diag(\lambda)$ with the k lowest eigenvalues.

Proof. As follows:

- Let $min_\phi Tr(\phi^T \Delta \phi) = \phi_{li} \Delta_{lk} \phi_{ki} = \sum_i \phi_i^T \Delta \phi_i$ (Einstein notation) be our optimization problem, since $\Delta > 0$ we can simply solve for all ϕ_i and minimize $\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}_i}{\partial \phi_j} = \frac{\partial \phi_i^T \Delta \phi_i}{\partial \phi_j} = 2\Delta \phi_i = 0$.

- Let $min_\phi Tr(\phi^T \Delta \phi) = \phi_{li} \Delta_{lk} \phi_{ki} = \sum_i \phi_i^T \Delta \phi_i$ (Einstein notation) be our optimization problem, under the constraint $\phi^T \phi = I$(orthonormal) since $\Delta > 0$ we can simply solve for all ϕ_i and minimize $\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}_i}{\partial \phi_j} = \frac{\partial (\phi_i^T \Delta \phi_i - \lambda_i(\phi_i^T \phi_i - 1))}{\partial \phi_j}$(non sostituisco l'1 perché non posso sostituire il vincolo nel vincolo)$= 2\Delta \phi_i - 2\lambda_i \phi_i = 0$. And since the initial trace is equal to the sum of our k positive eigenvalues we shall take the k lowest eigenvalues. The problem can be shown to be $\Delta \phi = \Lambda \phi$.

$\square$

Proposition 3.3. _Continuous spectrum of the laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^3$_

Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, in particular in a Schwartz space where the Fourier Transform is invertible and selfadjoint, then we have that $\Delta \phi_p = p^2 \phi_p$, where $\forall f \in L^2$ we can write $f = \int_\Omega dp c_p \phi_p$ and $\int_\Omega dx \phi_p \phi_{p'} = \delta(p - p')$, that is generalized Hilbert Base up to normalization.

Proof. :

So if the Fourier transform is invertible we have that $f(x') = \int_\Omega dp e^{i\langle x',p \rangle} \int_\Omega dx e^{-i\langle x,p \rangle} f(x)$, then by the definition of the shift functional we can represent it with its integral kernel $\int_\Omega dp e^{i\langle x'-x,p \rangle}$, which is equal to $\delta(x - x') = \delta(x' - x)$. Trivially we have that our laplacian eigenfunctions are $\phi_p = e^{i\langle x,p \rangle}$ of eigenvalue p^2, since $f(x) = \mathscr{F}^\dagger \mathscr{F} f = \int_\Omega dp (\mathscr{F}f)(p) e^{i\langle x,p \rangle}$ we define our coefficients c_p. We just have to show that $\int dx e^{i\langle x,p'-p \rangle} = \delta(p' - p)$ that is true by definition.

$\square$