ALMA MATER STUDIORUM · UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

Scuola di Scienze
Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia
Corso di Laurea in Fisica

# A MATHEMATICAL INTRODUCTION TO GEOMETRIC DEEP LEARNING

Relatore:                                      Presentata da:
Prof.ssa. Rita Fioresi                         Tommaso Lamma

Anno Accademico 2020/2021

**Abstract**

La maggior parte delle architetture di deep learning permettono alla macchian di imparare una partizione in classi d'equivalenza dell'insieme delle funzioni lisce definite su domini euclidei. Sebbene questo approccio abbia successo in molte applicazioni, è limitato a una piccola classe di domini. Lo scopo del geometric deep learning è quello di estendere questo approccio a domini non euclidei come i grafi e i complessi simpliciali.

**Abstract**

Most of the deep learning techniques used today allow the machine to learn a partition of the set of smooth functions defined on euclidean domains into task-related equivalence classes. Although this approach has been successful in modern machine learning, it only deals with a really small set of domains. The goal of geometric deep learning is to extend this method to data defined on non euclidean domains such as graphs and simplicial complexes.

# Contents

# Chapter 1

# Preliminaries on topology

The essential idea in algebraic topology is to convert problems about topological spaces and continuous functions into problems about algebraic objects and their homomorphisms, this way one hopes to end up with an easier problem to solve.

## 1.1 Simplicial Complexes

In this section we shall define structures called simplicial complexes and discuss some of their properties. In order to define these structures we need the definitions of convex hull and affine independence in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this chapter we recall some notions of algebraic topology, such as simplicial complexes and homology. For more details we invite the reader to consult [11], a good reference also for the preliminary necessary notions of topology we are unable to treat here.

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $A$ to be *convex* if

$$x, y \in A \Rightarrow tx + (1+t)y \in A$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

In figure 1.1 can see in blue an example of a convex set: every segment joining two points of the set lies within the set. The green set is not convex, in fact we see that the segment in the illustration partially lies outside of the set.



Fig. 1.1: Illustration of a convex (blue) and a non-convex (green) set.

**Definition 1.1.2.** Let $\sigma := \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $I$ is a finite set of indexes, we define $\sigma$ to be *affinely independent* if $\{x_0 - x_i\}_{i \in I - \{0\}}$ is linearly independent.

We show now that the definition of affine independence of $\sigma = \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is independent of the choice of $x_0$.

**Proposition 1.1.3.** *Let $\sigma := \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $j \in I$ then, if $\{x_j - x_i\}_{i \in I\text{-}\{j\}}$ is linearly independent, also $\{x_0 - x_i\}_{i \in I\text{-}\{0\}}$ is.*

*Proof.* If $j = 0$ the statement is trivially true. Let $j \neq 0$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i \neq j$, then

$$\sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{j\}} \lambda_i(x_j - x_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in I\text{-}\{j\}.$$

Let then $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i \neq 0$, and suppose

$$\sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{0\}} \mu_i(x_0 - x_i) = (x_0 - x_j) \sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{0\}} \mu_i + \sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{0\}} \mu_i(x_j - x_i) = 0.$$

If we define $\mu_0 := -\sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{0\}} \mu_i$ we have that

$$0 = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i(x_j - x_i) = \sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{j\}} \mu_i(x_j - x_i) \Rightarrow \mu_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in I\text{-}\{j\},$$

which proves our proposition. the definition of affine ind the definition of affine independence is well stated. $\qquad\square$

**Definition 1.1.4.** Let $\sigma := \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we define the *convex set generated by $\sigma$* to be the smallest convex set containing $X$ according to the inclusion relation. We shall denote this set by $[\sigma]$ and call it *convex hull* of $\sigma$.

Since the intersection of convex sets is convex, the convex set generated by $\sigma$ can be equivalently defined as the intersection of all convex sets containing $\sigma$.

**Theorem 1.1.5.** *Let $\sigma := \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $\sigma$ is affinely independent then the convex set generated by $\sigma$ is*
$$[\sigma] = \{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i : \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i = 1\}.$$

*Furthermore for any point $x \in [\sigma]$ we have that*

$$x = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i x_i \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \mu_i \forall i \in I,$$

*where $\lambda_i, \mu_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i = 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $C := \{\bigcap_\alpha C_\alpha : \sigma \subset C_\alpha, C_\alpha \text{ convex}\}$, we divide the proof in three steps:

(i) $C \subset [\sigma]$.
   This is true if $[\sigma]$ is convex and contains $\sigma$. The proof that it contains $\sigma$ is trivial. In fact for every vertex $x_j = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{ij} x_i$, and $\sum_{i \in I} \delta_{ij} = 1$.
   To prove that it is convex we chose two points $a = \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i, b = \sum_{i \in I} b_i x_i$
   where $a_i, b_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \in I$ and $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = \sum_{i \in I} b_i = 1$. For $t \in [0, 1]$

$$ta + (1-t)b = t\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i + (1-t)\sum_{i \in I} b_i x_i = \sum_{i \in I}(ta_i + (1-t)b_i)x_i.$$

   Since $ta_i + (1-t)b_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i \in I}(ta_i + (1-t)b_i) = t\sum_{i \in I} a_i + (1-t)\sum_{i \in I} b_i = 1$ for all $i \in I$, our statement is proven.

(ii) $[\sigma] \subset C$.
   If all but one the $\lambda_i$ are zero certainly $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i \in C$, since $C$ contains all the vertexes. The inductive hypothesis, by relabeling, is that if the first $\lambda_0, ..., \lambda_{n-1}$ are non-zero, hence not

even 1, then $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i \in C$. We want to show that whenever $\lambda_0, ..., \lambda_n$ are non-zero then also $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i \in C$, since $\lambda_n \neq 1$ we have that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i x_i = \lambda_n x_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_n} x_i.$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_n} = 1$, for the inductive hypothesis $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_n} x_i \in C$. Also the vertex $x_n$ is contained in $C$ by definition, therefore, being $C$ convex and $\lambda_n \in [0,1]$, it follows that

$$\lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_n} x_i \in C.$$

Accordingly $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i \in C$, by induction we conclude the proof.

(iii) $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i x_i \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \mu_i \forall i \in I$.
Let $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i x_i$, then also $x_0 \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i (x_i - x_0) = x_0 \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i + \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i (x_i - x_0)$, and since both $\lambda_i$ and $\mu_i$ are normalized we have that

$$\sum_{i \in I} (\lambda_i - \mu_i)(x_0 - x_i) = \sum_{i \in I\text{-}\{0\}} (\lambda_i - \mu_i)(x_0 - x_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \mu_i \quad \forall i \in I\text{-}\{0\},$$

because of the affine independence. $\square$

**Definition 1.1.6.** We define a *p-simplex* $[\sigma]$ to be the convex hull of an affinely independent set $\sigma := \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $p = |I| - 1$ is called dimension of the $p$-simplex.

Theorem 1.1.5 gives us the possibility to represent a point in a simplex $[\sigma]$ via a finite set of real parameters defined in the range $[0,1]$ and satisfying the normalization condition $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i = 1$. Such parameters are called *baricentric coordinates* of $[\sigma]$.

The points in $\sigma$ are called *vertexes* of the simplex $[\sigma]$, accordingly we define the vertex set of a simplex $[\sigma]$ to be $Vert([\sigma]) = \sigma$.

**Definition 1.1.7.** Let $[\sigma]$ be a $p$-simplex and $p, t \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that another $t$-simplex $[\tau]$ is a *face* of $[\sigma]$ or equivalently that $[\sigma]$ is a *coface* of $[\tau]$, and we write $[\tau] \leq [\sigma]$, if $\tau \subset \sigma$, where $t \leq p$.

Now we are ready for our main definitions.

**Definition 1.1.8.** We define a *simplicial complex* $\mathcal{G}$ to be a collection of simplexes such that

(i) if any simplex $[\tau] \leq [\sigma]$ and $[\sigma] \in \mathcal{G}$, then $[\tau] \in \mathcal{G}$,

(ii) if $[\sigma], [\tau] \in \mathcal{G}$, then $[\sigma] \cap [\tau] \in \mathcal{G}$.

Figure 1.2 represents a simplicial complex, while Figure 1.3 represents a collection of simplexes which is not a simplicial complex.



Fig. 1.2: Example of simplicial complex.

Fig. 1.3: Set of simplexes which is not a simplicial complex.

In fact, we can see in figure 1.3 that the intersection property of simplicial complexes is not satisfied.

## 1.2 Abstract Simplicial Complexes

In section 1.1 we studied simplicial complexes as subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. From now we shall call them *geometric simplicial complexes*. Although this approach provides simplicial complexes with the topology inherited from the metric space, it hides the power of simplicial complexes to describe networks and interactions which exist independently of that topology. To make this distinction clear, we will treat simplicial complexes as a realization of more abstract objects called *abstract simplicial complexes*. A richer discussion of abstract simplicial complexes can be found in [7] at 3.1 or in [16] at 7.3.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite set, we define an *abstract simplicial complex* $\mathcal{A}$ to be a family of non empty subsets of $\mathcal{V}$ such that:

(i) if $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\{v\} \in \mathcal{A}$,

(ii) if $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$, then $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$.

We call the members of this family *abstract simplexes*.

We call $\mathcal{V}$ the *vertex set* of $\mathcal{A}$ and denote it by $Vert(\mathcal{A})$; since the vertex set is finite, every abstract simplex is finite, therefore we can use the notation $\sigma = \{v_i\}_{i \in I}$, to denote a simplex in $\mathcal{A}$.

**Definition 1.2.2.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abstract simplicial complex and $\mathcal{G}$ a geometric simplicial complex, if for all $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{A}$ also $[x_i]_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{G}$ we say that $\mathcal{G}$ is a *geometric realization* of $\mathcal{A}$.

While every geometric simplicial complex can be thought as a geometric realization of an abstract simplicial complex, the existence of a geometric realization for an arbitrary abstract simplicial complex is not trivial at all.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an n-dimensional abstract simplicial complex, then it admits a geometric realization in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.*

A proof of this theorem can be found in [7] at 3.1.

Both for abstract and geometric simplicial complexes one can define maps called *simplicial maps*. We obtain a category whose equivalences are called isomorphisms. A short discussion

of category theory can be found in Appendix A.

In the following sections we shall use abstract simplicial complexes, which can be always thought geometrically in the appropriate $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$.

## 1.3 Simplicial Homology

Homology and cohomology are key concepts in algebraic topology. We shall discuss homology theory to the extent that allows us to define the laplacian operator on simplicial complexes, for supplementary readings see [17] at 6.1 or [11] at 2.1. First we want to equip our simplicial complexes with an orientation. So far we have considered the simplex $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ up to reorderings of the index set $I$, but in most applications this is not the case.

We denote by $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, where $I = \{1, ..., n_p\}$, the set of all $p$-simplexes of the complex each one with a choice of orientation.

**Definition 1.3.1.** Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a simplicial complex, we define the *group of p-chains*

$$\mathscr{C}_p(\mathscr{A}, \mathbb{R}) := \{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \{\sigma_i\} : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

[1] In the definition 1.3.1 the sum $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \{\sigma_i\}$ is the formal sum of the free abelian group on $\mathbb{R}$, see [13] at 1.7. This can be generalized from $\mathbb{R}$ to an arbitrary abelian group, nevertheless, for the most of the applications, the groups $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}_2$ are considered.

**Definition 1.3.2.** Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a simplicial complex, we define the *group of oriented p-chains* as the group $\mathscr{C}_p(\mathscr{A}, \mathbb{R})$ subject to $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} = sgn(\pi)\{x_i\}_{i \in \pi(I)}$, where $\pi : I \to I$ is any permutation of the index set. We shall denote this group as $C_p(\mathscr{A}, \mathbb{R})$, and its chains with the notation $|\sigma\rangle$.

To keep our notation light we shall write $C_p$ instead of $C_p(\mathscr{A}, \mathbb{R})$. The constraints due to the permutations in definition 1.3.2 simply implies that whenever we swap two vertexes in the simplex we must multiply by $(-1)$.

**Proposition 1.3.3.** *$C_p$ is an abelian group.*

A particularly relevant role in homology theory is played by the *boundary map*. First we define the boundary of an oriented simplex.

**Definition 1.3.4.** Let $|\sigma\rangle = |x_0, ..., x_{p+1}\rangle$ be an oriented $(p+1)$-simplex. The boundary $\partial|\sigma\rangle$ of $|\sigma\rangle$ is the $p$-chain defined by

$$\partial_{p+1}|\sigma\rangle := \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i |x_0, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{p+1}\rangle$$

where the $\widehat{\phantom{x}}$ over a symbol means that symbol is deleted.

*Remark* 1.3.5. Note that whenever we are able to construct a geometric realization for the oriented simplicial complex, the set $\bigcup_{i=0}^{p+1}[x_0, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{p+1}]$ is the topological boundary of $[\sigma]$.

Furthermore we are able to extend the boundary from simplexes to chains.

**Definition 1.3.6.** We define the *boundary map* $\partial_{p+1} : C_{p+1} \to C_p$ to be the group homomorphism defined by

$$\partial_{p+1}(\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i |\sigma_i\rangle) := \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \partial_{p+1}|\sigma_i\rangle.$$

---

[1]Actually on any chain complex.

An important property of boundary maps is the following, which we shall denote as *homology lemma*.

**Lemma 1.3.7.** *The boundary maps satisfy $\partial_p \circ \partial_{p+1} = 0$.*

*Proof.* Since the boundary maps are linear it is sufficient to check this on the generators. Let $\partial_{p+1}|x_0,\ldots,x_{p+1}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1}(-1)^i|x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,x_{p+1}\rangle$ then

$$(\partial_p \circ \partial_{p+1})|x_0,\ldots,x_{p+1}\rangle = \sum_{j=0,j\neq i}^{p+1}\sum_{i=0}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+j}|x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,\widehat{x_j},\ldots,x_{p+1}\rangle = 0. \qquad \square$$

The homology lemma is necessary to define the *homology group*. The homology group is , intuitively, the space of cycles that are not boundaries. In fact, without the homology lemma the quotient would not well defined since $\operatorname{im}\partial_{p+1} \subset \ker\partial_p$ would not be satisfied.

**Definition 1.3.8.** We define the *p-homology group* to be

$$H_p := \frac{\ker\partial_p}{\operatorname{im}\partial_{p+1}},$$

where $\operatorname{im}\partial_{p+1}$ is called the group of simplicial *p-cycles* and $\ker\partial_p$ is called the group of simplicial *p-boundaries*.

## 1.4 Simplicial Cohomology

In order to define data on simplicial complexes we are interested in studying the dual of the chains $|\sigma\rangle$, which we shall call cochains $\langle\sigma|$.

**Definition 1.4.1.** Let $C_p$ be the group p-chains, we define the group of *p-cochains* to be

$$C^p := Hom(C_p, \mathbb{R}).$$

**Proposition 1.4.2.** $C^p$ *is an abelian group.*

**Proposition 1.4.3.** *The homomorphisms $\{\langle\sigma_i| : C_p \to \mathbb{R}\}$ such that $\langle\sigma_i|\sigma_j\rangle =: \langle\sigma_i|(|\sigma_j\rangle) = \delta_{ij}$, form a basis of $C^p$.*

As well as with for chains, we have also for cochains a sequence of homeomorphisms called *coboundary maps*. The coboundary maps are defined to be the dual of the boundary maps, hence satisfying a dual version of the homology lemma called *cohomology lemma*.

**Definition 1.4.4.** The dual of the boundary maps which we shall call *coboundary maps*, is the group homomorphism defined by

$$d_{p+1} : C^p \to C^{p+1} \quad d_{p+1}\langle\sigma| := \langle\sigma|\partial_{p+1} \quad \forall\langle\sigma| \in C^p.$$

Therefore $d_{p+1}\langle\sigma|(|\tau\rangle) = \langle\sigma|(\partial_{p+1}|\tau\rangle) \quad \forall|\tau\rangle \in C_p$.

The proof of $d_{p+1} \circ d_p = 0$ follow directly from the homology lemma. The cohomology lemma allows us to define the *cohomology group*.

**Definition 1.4.5.** We define the *p-cohomology group* to be

$$H^p := \frac{\ker d_{p+1}}{\operatorname{im} d_p},$$

where $\operatorname{im} d_{p-1}$ is the group of simplicial p-cocycles and $\ker d_p$ is the group of simplicial p-coboundaries.

# Chapter 2

# Graphs

An important branch of geometric deep learning is graph representation learning. In this section we will therefore introduce graphs and graphs laplacians to build a mathematical background for graph neural networks.

## 2.1 Definition of Graph

Graphs are used to encode a wide variety of data, from social networks friendships to maps. We can actually consider graphs as the first topological notion introduced in mathematics. Their introduction dates back to the XVIII century. Euler noticed that in Köningsberg, there was no path that allowed to cross all seven bridges just once. Hence, he started to simplify the problem to approach it in a more mathematical way.



Fig. 2.1: The city of Königsberg and the seven bridges.



Fig. 2.2: Graph representing Königsberg's seven bridges.

This led Euler to formulate an abstract approach to the problem that led to its solution, presented to the St. Petersburg Academy in 1735.

In figure 1 we presented abstract simplicial complexes, in this chapter we shall discuss in detail 1-dimensional abstract simplicial complexes and how they are related to graphs. More information on graphs can be found in [1].

**Definition 2.1.1.** An *undirected graph* $\mathcal{G}$ is an ordered pair $(V(\mathcal{G}), E(\mathcal{G}))$, consisting of a set $V(\mathcal{G})$ of *vertices* and a set $E(\mathcal{G})$, disjoint from $V(\mathcal{G})$, of *edges*, together with an incidence function $\psi_{\mathcal{G}} : E(\mathcal{G}) \to V(\mathcal{G}) \times V(\mathcal{G})$ that associates to each edge of $\mathcal{G}$ an unordered pair of (not necessarily distinct) vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. If $e$ is an edge and $u$ and $v$ are vertices such that $\psi_{\mathcal{G}}(e) = \{u, v\}$, then $e$ is said to *join* $u$ and $v$, and the vertices $u$ and $v$ are called the *ends* of $e$. A graph where the ordering of the ends of an edge is meaningful is said to be a *directed* graph.

Figure 2.2 represents an undirected graph with four vertices marked in blue and seven edges.

**Definition 2.1.2.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an undirected graph, we define the *adjacency matrix A* of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows, $A$ is a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, where $n$ is the number of vertices, such that

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists an edge joining } i \text{ with } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

*Remark* 2.1.3. We can also define the adjacency matrix for directed graphs. For a directed graph the adjacency matrix is not symmetric.

**Definition 2.1.4.** A graph is *simple* if it has neither different edges connecting the same pair of vertices nor loops, i.e. there is a unique edge joining two different vertices and no vertex is joined to itself by an edge. This implies $a_{ii} = 0$ in the adjacency matrix.

Much of graph theory is concerned with the study of simple graphs.

*Observation* 2.1.5. We can view a *simple undirected graph* $\mathcal{G}$ as 1-dimensional abstract simplicial complex. In fact we can construct a family of sets $\mathscr{A}$ as in definition 1.2.1 in the following way.

$$\mathscr{A} = V(\mathcal{G}) \cup \{\{i,j\} \in V(\mathcal{G}) \times V(\mathcal{G}) : \exists e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \quad \psi_{\mathcal{G}}(e) = \{i,j\}\}.$$

It is easy to see that this is an abstract simplicial complex of dimension 1, one can readily verify the properties in def. 1.2.1.

**Notation**: viewing $\mathcal{G}$ as a simplicial complex we recall that $C_0$ and $C_1$ are the groups of 0 and 1-chains respectively. We denote by $|i\rangle$ the vertex $i$ as a group element of $C_0$, and we denote $|i,j\rangle$ the edge joining $|i\rangle$ to $|j\rangle$. Since $C_1$ is a group, whenever $|i,j\rangle \in C_1$ then also $|j,i\rangle = -|i,j\rangle \in C_1$. A canonical basis for $C_0$ is the set of oriented 0-chains consisting on only one oriented 0-simplex, similarly for $C_1$.

## 2.2 Homology and Cohomology on Graphs

Since a graph is a 1-dimensional simplicial complex, we can define only one boundary $\partial_1$ and one coboundary $\partial_1^\dagger$. We briefly recall the definitions in this context.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph, we define the *boundary* $\partial_1 : C_1 \to C_0$ by

$$\partial_1|i,j\rangle := |i\rangle - |j\rangle \quad \forall |i,j\rangle \in C_1,$$

then extended by linearity.
We define the *coboundary* $\partial_1^\dagger : C_0 \to C_1$ by

$$\partial_1^\dagger|i\rangle := \sum_{j:|i,j\rangle \in C_1} |i,j\rangle,$$

also extended by linearity.
We define the *divergence* $d_1 : C^0 \to C^1$ and the *gradient* respectively as

$$d_1 = (\partial_1^\dagger)^* \quad \text{and} \quad d_1^\dagger = (\partial_1)^*.$$

The notions of boundary and coboundary allow us to define homology and cohomology on graphs according to the definitions of sections 1.3 and 1.4. Notice that on graphs we have that $\partial_i \neq 0$ only for $i = 0, 1$, therefore the homology groups $H_i$ and cohomology groups $H^i$ are trivial for $i > 0$.
Here we identify $C_p = C^p$ with the above choice of canonical basis.
Notice that $d_1^\dagger\langle i| = \langle i|\partial_1$, where the cochain $\langle i|\partial_1$ is the adjoint of the chain $\partial_1^\dagger|i\rangle$.

## 2.3 Laplacian Operators on Graphs

On a graph that admits non trivial gradient and divergence, we can define a non trivial laplacian operator on cochains as the divergence of the gradient. Since we are going to work on chains we exploit the dual relation to define a laplacian on chains

$$d_1 d_1^\dagger \langle i| = \langle i| \partial_1 \partial_1^\dagger,$$

where the operator $\partial_1 \partial_1^\dagger$ is the dual of $d_1 d_1^\dagger$. Again we are fixing a canonical basis as above.

**Definition 2.3.1.** Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a graph, we define the 0-*laplacian* $\Delta_0 : C_0 \to C_0$ by

$$\Delta_0 := \partial_1 \partial_1^\dagger.$$

One interesting property of the 0-laplacian is that the dimension of its kernel equals the number of connected components of the graph.This is the Eckmann's theorem for 0-chains, for a proof see appendix C. We see an example. To illustrate some of the properties of graphs we will refer to the graph in fig. 2.3.



Fig. 2.3: The graph.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fig. 2.4: Adjacency matrix of the graph.

**Example 2.3.2.** Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the graph in Figure 2.3, then the 0-laplacian expressed in terms of the canonical vertex basis $\{|i\rangle\}_{i\in I}$ is

$$\Delta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The laplacian matrix is calculated as follows

$$\Delta_0 |1\rangle = \partial_1 |1,2\rangle + \partial_1 |1,3\rangle = 2 \cdot |1\rangle - |2\rangle - |3\rangle,$$

$$\Delta_0 |2\rangle = \partial_1 |2,1\rangle + \partial_1 |2,3\rangle = -|1\rangle + 2 \cdot |2\rangle - |3\rangle,$$

$$\Delta_0|3\rangle = \partial_1|3,1\rangle + \partial_1|3,2\rangle = -|1\rangle - |2\rangle + 2 \cdot |3\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_0|4\rangle = \partial_1|4,5\rangle = |4\rangle - |5\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_0|5\rangle = \partial_1|5,4\rangle + \partial_1|5,6\rangle + \partial_1|5,7\rangle = -|4\rangle + 3 \cdot |5\rangle - |6\rangle - |7\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_0|6\rangle = \partial_1|6,5\rangle = -|5\rangle + |6\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_0|7\rangle = \partial_1|7,5\rangle = -|5\rangle + |7\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_0|8\rangle = \partial_1|8,9\rangle = |8\rangle - |9\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_0|9\rangle = \partial_1|9,8\rangle = -|8\rangle + |9\rangle.$$

Three invariant subspaces emerge from the laplacian, that determine three different laplacians, namely

$$\Delta_0 = \Delta_0^{\mathscr{A}} \oplus \Delta_0^{\mathscr{B}} \oplus \Delta_0^{\mathscr{C}}.$$

Furthermore any of those three blocks has a 1-dimensional kernel, in fact the dimensional equations for the laplacians are

$$dim(ker\Delta_0^{\mathscr{A}}) = dimC_0(\mathscr{A}) - rank \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 3 - rank \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3 - 2 = 1,$$

$$dim(ker\Delta_0^{\mathscr{B}}) = dimC_0(\mathscr{B}) - rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 4 - rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 4 - 3 = 1,$$

$$dim(ker\Delta_0^{\mathscr{C}}) = dimC_0(\mathscr{C}) - rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2 - rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 - 1 = 1.$$

We can also define an higher dimensional laplacian on the graph, se appendix C for more details.

**Definition 2.3.3.** Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a graph, we define the 1-*laplacian* $\Delta_1 : C_1 \to C_1$ by

$$\Delta_1 := \partial_1^{\dagger}\partial_1.$$

One interesting property of the 1-laplacian is that the dimension of its kernel equals the number of independent cycles. This, again, is the Eckmann's theorem this time for 1-chains.

**Example 2.3.4.** In fact we can expand $\Delta_1^{\mathscr{A}} := \partial_1^{\dagger}\partial_1$ the basis $\{|1,2\rangle, |2,3\rangle, |3,1\rangle\}$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The laplacian matrix is calculated as follows

$$\Delta_1^{\mathscr{A}}|1,2\rangle = \partial_1^{\dagger}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle) = 2 \cdot |1,2\rangle - |3,1\rangle - |2,3\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_1^{\mathscr{A}}|2,3\rangle = \partial_1^{\dagger}(|2\rangle - |3\rangle) = -|1,2\rangle + 2 \cdot |2,3\rangle - |3,1\rangle,$$
$$\Delta_1^{\mathscr{A}}|3,1\rangle = \partial_1^{\dagger}(|3\rangle - |1\rangle) = -|1,2\rangle + 2 \cdot |3,1\rangle - |2,3\rangle.$$

We can notice that $\Delta_2$ has a 1-dimensional kernel.

$$dim(ker\Delta_1^{\mathscr{A}}) = dimC_1(\mathscr{A}) - rank \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 3 - rank \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3 - 2 = 1.$$

Since the sum of the three rows is 0 we can say that the only linearly independent 1-cycle is $|1,2\rangle + |2,3\rangle + |3,1\rangle$.

## 2.4 Laplacian Eigenfunctions in $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section we present some properties of the eigenfunctions of the classical laplacian operator. So we momentarily leave the discrete setting and we recall some well known facts about the continuous one. Let's first define the laplacian for smooth functions from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, then the laplacian of $f$ is a function $\Delta f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\Delta f(x) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x),$$

where the $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ are the directional derivatives with respect to the basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The laplacian eigenfunctions, i.e. functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Delta f = \lambda f$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , are the solution of a constrained variational problem for an action called *Dirichlet energy*, as shown in [2].

**Definition 2.4.2.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with compact support, we define the *Dirichlet energy functional* to be

$$D[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx |\nabla f|^2.$$

**Proposition 2.4.3.** *If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with compact support then*

$$D[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx |\nabla f|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f \Delta f.$$

*Proof.* Recall the definition of laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $\Delta f := -\nabla \cdot \nabla f$, and we integrate by parts

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \nabla \cdot (f \nabla f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \nabla f \cdot \nabla f + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f \nabla \cdot \nabla f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \nabla f \cdot \nabla f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f \Delta f,$$

hence the thesis. $\square$

The variational problem for the Dirichlet energy leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations when it is restricted to those functions which vanish on the boundary of the domain of integration, which is true in the case of functions with compact support. Further details and proofs of the following two propositions can be found in [9] at chapters 35 and 12 respectively.

**Proposition 2.4.4.** *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with compact support, and $\mathcal{L}(f, \nabla f) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ a lagrangian, then the solutions of the variational problem*

$$\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \mathcal{L}(f, \nabla f) = 0$$

*are the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations*

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla f)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f} = 0.$$

In order to solve the variational problem subject to constraints we need a variational version of the Lagrange multipliers theorem.

**Proposition 2.4.5.** *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with compact support, and $\mathscr{L}(f, \nabla f) :$ $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ a sufficiently regular lagrangian, then the solutions of the variational problem*

$$\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \, \mathscr{L}(f, \nabla f) = 0$$

*with constraint*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f^2 = 1$$

*are the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the lagrangian*

$$\mathscr{L}(f, \nabla f) - \lambda f^2,$$

*where $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier.*

We now apply this to the Dirichlet energy.

**Proposition 2.4.6.** *The solution of the variational problem for the Dirichlet energy*

$$\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx |\nabla f|^2 = 0$$

*constrained to the functions such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f^2 = 1$$

*are the eigenfunctions of the laplacian.*

*Proof.* Namely for $\mathscr{L} = |\nabla f|^2 - \lambda f^2$ the Euler-Lagrange equation reads as $2\Delta f - 2\lambda f = 0$, hence

$$\Delta f = \lambda f. \qquad \square$$

Obviously, the eigenfunction that truly minimizes the Dirichlet energy is the one corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue, since for the eigenfunctions $D[f] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f \Delta f = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx f^2 = \lambda$. We can also see how the Dirichlet energy measures the smoothness of a function. As pointed out in [3] the deformation stability of the data is related to its Dirichlet energy.

## 2.5   Laplacian Eigenfunctions on Graphs

We now proceed by analogy with the previous section and define a *discrete Dirichlet energy* on graphs, using the laplacian matrix written on the canonical basis, as in definition 2.3.1. Our reference for this part is [2].

**Definition 2.5.1.** Let $A, \Phi$ be to $n \times n$ matrices, we define the *discrete Dirichlet energy* to be

$$D[\Phi] = \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^T \Delta_0 \Phi).$$

Notice the analogy with the setting as expressed by proposition 2.4.3. In the following treatment we will simply use $\Delta$ for the 0-laplacian.

We have already seen that the 0-laplacian can be represented as a matrix with respect to the canonical basis of 0-chains. Let us now see a more efficient way to compute the 0-laplacian matrix. In order to do that we need to introduce some notation.

**Definition 2.5.2.** Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a simple undirected graph, let $|i\rangle \in C_0$ we define the *degree* of $|i\rangle$ as

$$\deg(i) := \sum_{j:|i,j\rangle \in C_1} 1.$$

According to this definition the degree of a vertex of a graph is the number of vertices that vertex is linked to by an edge(for the notation $|i\rangle$ see app. B).

**Proposition 2.5.3.** *The laplacian matrix on the canonical basis of $0$-chains can be written as*

$$\Delta = D - A,$$

*where $D_{ij} := \delta_{ij} deg(i)$ is the degree matrix and $A$ is the adjacency matrix.*

*Proof.* This proposition is an immediate consequence of definition 2.2.1. $\qquad\square$

The construction of this same matrix in the example 2.3.4 was presented to become acquainted with the abstract definition of laplacian operators. Proposition 2.5.3 actually gives the most efficient way to write the $0$-laplacian matrix for any simple undirected graph.
It is easy to see that graphs with multiple connected components the $0$-laplacian matrix is a block matrix whose blocks are the laplacians of the connected components.
Let us now focus on a connected graph or in alternative on a single connected component of an unconnected graph. The $i$-th column of the matrix $\Delta$ has $\deg(i)$ on the $i$-th row and $-1$ on the $j$-th row if $|i,j\rangle \in C_1$. If we recall the definition of degree of a vertex it is immediate to understand that the sum of the elements of a column is always zero, therefore the sum of the rows is also zero. From these considerations we derive the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.4.** *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a simple undirected connected graph then*

$$\sum_{C_0} |i\rangle \in \ker \Delta.$$

Since according to Eckmann's theorem the dimension of the kernel of the $0$-laplacian for a simple connected graph is one, we have that $\sum_{C_0} |i\rangle$ is the only linearly independent chain in the kernel, therefore the unique $0$-laplacian eigenfunction with eigenvalue zero up to scalar multiplication. Since the coefficients in the formal sum of the basis $0$-chains are all equal, we have that the $0$-laplacian eigenchain of a simple undirected connected graph is always uniform as in fig. 2.5.

The minimization of the discrete Dirichlet energy is not a variational problem, rather a simple minimization in $\Phi$ in which we can use the standard Lagrange multipliers theorem. Here the $0$-laplacian is a matrix instead of a differential operator.

**Proposition 2.5.5.** *The minimum for the Dirichlet energy* $\operatorname{tr}(\Phi^T \Delta \Phi)$ *constrained to the $\Phi$ such that $\Phi^T \Phi = 1$ is reached when the rows of $\Phi$ are the laplacian eigenvectors.*

*Proof.* The Lagrange multipliers' theorem allows us to use constraints by minimizing the following constrained Dirichlet energy

$$D[\Phi] = \sum_{i,j,k} \Phi_{ik} \Delta_{ij} \Phi_{jk} - \sum_{i,j,k} \Lambda_{ij} \Phi_{kj} \Phi_{ki},$$

where $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with the Lagrange multipliers as eigenvalues.
In fact the constraints $\Phi^T \Phi = 1$ can be written as $\sum_k \Phi_{kj} \Phi ki = \delta_{ij}$, therefore we need to subtract from the lagrangian the quantity $\sum_{i,j} \sum_k \Lambda_{ij} \Phi_{kj} \Phi ki$. Actually because of the constraint

itself we already know that for $i \neq j$ the quantity we subtracted from the lagrangian vanishes, therefore we can see $\Lambda$ as a diagonal matrix of lagrange multipliers.
We then minimize this energy

$$\frac{\partial D[\Phi]}{\partial \Phi_{mn}} = \sum_{i,j,k} \delta_{im}\delta_{nk}\Delta_{ij}\Phi_{jk} + \sum_{i,j,k} \Phi_{ik}\Delta_{ij}\delta_{jm}\delta_{nk} - \sum_{i,j,k} \Lambda_{ij}\delta_{mk}\delta_{nj}\Phi_{ki} - \sum_{i,j,k} \Lambda_{ij}\Phi_{kj}\delta_{mk}\delta_{ni} =$$

$$= \sum_j \Delta_{mj}\Phi_{jn} + \sum_i \Phi_{in}\Delta_{im} - \sum_i \Lambda_{in}\Phi_{mi} - \sum_j \Lambda_{nj}\Phi_{mj} = 0,$$

which using the symmetry of $\Lambda$ and $A$ can be written as

$$\sum_j \Delta_{mj}\Phi_{jn} + \sum_i \Delta_{mi}\Phi_{in} - \sum_i \Phi_{mi}\Lambda_{in} - \sum_j \Phi_{mj}\Lambda_{jn} =$$

$$2\Delta\Phi - 2\Phi\Lambda = 0.$$

We then obtain

$$\Delta\Phi = \Phi\Lambda,$$

which for every row of $\Phi$ is an eigenvalue equation. $\qquad\square$



Fig. 2.5: A graphical representation of the first laplacian eigenchains on the Minnesota Road Graph. The color of a node $|i\rangle$ represents the value $\langle i|\phi_j\rangle$ of the 0-laplacian eigenfunction $|\phi_j\rangle$ on the node $|i\rangle$.

In figure 2.5 we can see some of the 0-laplacian eigenfunctions. We notice that the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 is uniform.

## 2.6 Heat Equation on Graphs

An relevant differential equation in physics and mathematics is the so called *heat equation*

$$\frac{df}{dt} = -\Delta f.$$

Let us see what we can say about the solution of this equation on graphs.

**Proposition 2.6.1.** *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a simple undirected graph and let $|\psi\rangle$ be a time dependent 0-chain, which is differentiable w.r.t. time. If this $|\psi\rangle$ satisfies the heat equation $\frac{d}{dt}|\psi\rangle = -\Delta_0|\psi\rangle$ with initial condition $|\psi\rangle|_{t=0} = |\psi_0\rangle$, then*

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i |e_i\rangle e^{-\lambda_i t}\langle e_i|\psi_0\rangle,$$

*where $|e_i\rangle$ and $\lambda_i$ are the orthonormal eigenchains and the eigenvalues of the 0-laplacian respectively, i.e. $\Delta_0|e_i\rangle = |e_i\rangle\lambda_i$.*

*Proof.* Since $\Delta_0$ is self-adjoint and therefore admits the expansion $\Delta_0 = \sum_i |e_i\rangle \lambda_i \langle e_i|$, where $|e_i\rangle$ and $\lambda_i$ are the orthonormal eigenchains and the eigenvalues of the 0-laplacian respectively, i.e. $\Delta_0 |e_i\rangle = |e_i\rangle \lambda_i$. The discrete heat equation is linear and with constant coefficients and therefore it admits a solution of the type $|\psi\rangle = e^{-\Delta_0 t} |\psi_0\rangle$. Since $[\Delta_0, e^{-\Delta_0 t}] = 0$, these two operators share the eigenchains and therefore we also have that

$$e^{-\Delta_0 t} = \sum_i |e_i\rangle e^{-\lambda_i t} \langle e_i|,$$

hence the solution. $\qquad\square$

From this solution we can notice that for $t \to \infty$, the only terms left are those with eigenvalue 0, namely the connected components. However, because of the term $\langle e_i | \psi_0 \rangle$, only the connected components on which $|\psi_0\rangle$ is not zero everywhere remain.

**Example 2.6.2.** Let's for instance take the graph in Fig. 2.3. On this graph we want to solve the heat equation given the initial condition $|\psi_0\rangle = |2\rangle + |8\rangle$. Since the subgroup generated by $\{|4\rangle, |5\rangle, |6\rangle, |7\rangle\}$ has a null projection on the initial condition, being an invariant subgroup under $\Delta_0$ and $e^{-\Delta_0 t}$, we have that those vertices do not appear in the final solution. In order to write the solution we need to diagonalize the other two blocks of the 0-laplacian. For the block of $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle\}$, we have

$$\Delta_0 \frac{|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = 0, \ \Delta_0 \frac{|3\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 3 \frac{|3\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \ \Delta_0 \frac{|2\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 3 \frac{|2\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The two dimensional eigenspace of eigenvalue 3 can be equipped with an orthonormal basis

$$\left\{ \frac{|3\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{2|2\rangle - |1\rangle - |3\rangle}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}} \right\},$$

via the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. For the block of $\{|8\rangle, |9\rangle\}$, we have

$$\Delta_0 \frac{|8\rangle + |9\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 0, \ \Delta_0 \frac{|8\rangle - |9\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 2 \frac{|8\rangle - |9\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Hence the solution takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\langle 1| + \langle 2| + \langle 3|}{\sqrt{3}} (|2\rangle + |8\rangle) + \frac{2|2\rangle - |1\rangle - |3\rangle}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}} e^{-3t} \frac{2\langle 2| - \langle 1| - \langle 3|}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}} (|2\rangle + |8\rangle) +$$

$$\frac{|8\rangle + |9\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\langle 8| + \langle 9|}{\sqrt{2}} (|2\rangle + |8\rangle) + \frac{|8\rangle - |9\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-2t} \frac{\langle 8| - \langle 9|}{\sqrt{2}} (|2\rangle + |8\rangle) =$$

$$= \frac{|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle}{3} + \frac{2|2\rangle - |1\rangle - |3\rangle}{3} e^{-3t} + \frac{|8\rangle + |9\rangle}{2} + \frac{|8\rangle - |9\rangle}{2} e^{-2t}.$$

In the limit

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} |\psi\rangle = \frac{|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle}{3} + \frac{|8\rangle + |9\rangle}{2},$$

we see that the conserved quantity that initially was 1 on both those connected components is in this limit equally shared among the vertices.

# Chapter 3

# Geometric Deep Learning

Learning generic functions in high dimensions is a cursed estimation problem, however most tasks of interest are not generic, and come with essential pre-defined regularities arising from the underlying low-dimensionality and structure of the physical world. The aim of geometric deep learning is to exploit those regularities to reduce the dimensionality of the problem.

Exploiting the symmetries of a system to reduce the dimensionality of a problem is a well known technique in physics, hence it is reasonable to think that symmetries can also be used in deep learning to reduce the number of independent parameters to be learnt. The most recent formulation of geometric deep learning, which we follow in this chapter, is the one presented in [3].

## 3.1 Generalities on Convolution

Convolutional neural networks or CNN's are today one of the most common deep learning architectures, especially successful at processing data with a known grid-like topology. For instance time series, or signals can be sampled in a one dimensional grid, while images can be thought as a two dimensional grid.

In Chapter 2 we defined the convolution of $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx' f(x') g(x - x').$$

In the literature of convolutional neural networks the function $f$ is called the *input* of the convolutional layer, the functions $g$ is called *filter* and the output $f * g$ is called *feature map*.

To give an interpretation of convolution we will discuss an example from [10].

**Example 3.1.1.** Suppose we are tracking the location of a spaceship with a laser sensor. Our sensor gives a single output $x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$, where $x(t)$ is the position read by the sensor at the time $t$. Now suppose that our laser sensor is somewhat noisy. To obtain a less noisy measurement of the spaceship's position, we need to average several measurements. Considering that more recent measurements are more relevant, we will want this average to be a weighted average that gives more weight to recent measurements. We can do this with a normalized weighting function $w : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. If we apply such a weighted average operation at every moment, we obtain a new function s providing a smoothed estimate of the position of the spaceship:

$$s(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} da \, x(a) w(t - a),$$

where $w(t - a)$ is the time-shifted weighting function.

We will now extend the example in [10].

Since the acquisition rate of any measurement apparatus is not infinite, we need to see this convolution as discrete, representing time as a one dimensional grid,

$$s_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} x_j w_{(i-j)},$$

where $w_{(i-j)}$ is still the weighting function shifted by an integer. Let us see for a moment $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ as a group, since by definition it is closed under the addition this convolution is well defined. The representation of this convolution is given by an infinite circulant matrix, therefore a restriction of $\mathbb{Z}$ into a subset $I$ is necessary. But what happens to $(i - j)$ at the boundary of $I$? The problem is that a finite interval $I$ is not closed under the addition in this case, namely it is not a group. Standard addition is therefore not qualified to be the group operation, yet if $I = \{0, \ldots, n - 1\}$, we could use addition modulo $n$, i.e.

$$s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j w_{(i-j) \bmod n},$$

which can be seen as the product of a finite circulant matrix $C_{ij}$ with the vector $x_j$.

In order to discuss the previous example we need to define what a circulant matrix is, for further details about circulant matrices see [5] at chapter 3.

**Definition 3.1.2.** Let a matrix $C \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then $C$ is said to be *circulant* if it has the form

$$C = \mathrm{circ}(c_1, \ldots, c_n) := \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \ldots & c_n \\ c_n & c_1 & \ldots & c_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_2 & c_3 & \ldots & c_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of real-valued $n \times n$ matrices.

*Remark* 3.1.3. In the previous definition we chose the matrix to be real-valued, however, it is important to know that a circulant matrix is not diagonalizable on the real field.

A relevant theorem for our discussion is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.4.** *Let $C \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\pi := \mathrm{circ}(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, then $C$ is circulant if and only if*

$$[\pi, C] := \pi C - C \pi = 0.$$

For a proof of this theorem see [5] at 3.

From theorem 3.1.4 we know that a sufficient and necessary condition for a matrix $C$ to be circulant is that it has to commute with $\mathrm{circ}(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. The matrix $\mathrm{circ}(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is often known as shift operator, in that it circularly shifts the components of the vector on which it acts. This fact will be discussed in an example in section 3.3. The commutation relation tells us that a circulant matrix is shift equivariant, more details about equivariance will be given in the third section of this chapter. This matrix being circulant is also an important constraint on the number of independent components, this reflects the compact support of the filter. This might look as a pragmatic solution but it also tells us some truth about the symmetries of the task. In some cases the classification of a signal, e.g. voice recognition, is independent of the time when the signal was recorded, we can say that the classification is invariant under translations of the signal over time. At this point, we could see our filter as a function defined on the cyclic group itself, rather than a filter whose symmetry group is the cyclic group. This subtle distinction allowed M. Welling and T. S. Cohen to define in [4] a group equivariant convolution on an arbitrary group.

## 3.2 The Space of Signals

While a linear combination of points of a domain is not necessarily defined, the space of signals on that domain can be equipped with a vector space structure. More formally we can define the space of $V$-valued signals on the domain $\Omega$.

**Definition 3.2.1.** Let $\Omega$ be a set , and $V$ a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$, we define the *signal set*

$$\mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) = \{\phi : \Omega \to V\},$$

where the dimensions of $V$ are called *channels*.

In particular cases such as when $\Omega$ is a smooth manifold we might also require the signals to be smooth. Notice that often $\Omega$ has an additional structure, for instance it is a manifold. Let us now equip the signal set with a vector space structure.
The signal set equipped with the operations

$$\cdot : \mathbb{K} \times \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \quad \text{such that } (\lambda \phi)(x) = \lambda \phi(x), \text{ and}$$

$$+ : \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \times \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \quad \text{such that } (\phi + \eta)(x) = \phi(x) + \eta(x),$$

where $x \in \Omega$, is a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. We leave to the reader the straight forward verification. We shall call this vector space *signal space*, and denote it just by $\mathscr{S}$ for a lighter notation. Given an inner product $\langle , \rangle_V$ in $V$ and a measure $\mu$ on $\Omega$ the signal space can be equipped with a Hilbert space structure.

**Definition 3.2.2.** Let $\langle , \rangle_V : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be an inner product and let $\mu$ be a measure on $\Omega$, then for any two signals $\phi, \eta \in \mathscr{S}$ we define their inner product $\langle , \rangle : \mathscr{S} \times \mathscr{S} \to \mathbb{K}$ as

$$\langle \phi, \eta \rangle := \int_{\Omega} d\mu(x) \langle \phi(x), \eta(x) \rangle_V.$$

**Proposition 3.2.3.** *The completion of the space $\mathscr{S}$ equipped with $\langle , \rangle$ is a Hilbert space.*

*Remark* 3.2.4. When the domain $\Omega$ is discrete we can choose $\mu$ to be the counting measure, therefore turning the integral into a sum. Furthermore, if $\Omega$ is finite we do not need to take the completion in the previous proposition.

## 3.3 Symmetries, Invariance and Equivariance

In this section we discuss the symmetries of a classification $C$ from the space of signals $\mathscr{S}$ to some space $\mathscr{L}$. For instance in image classification the space of signals is the space of functions defined on a two dimensional grid, and a possible and popular classification task is the recognition of the object in the image.

**Definition 3.3.1.** We define a *classification* to be a function $C : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{L}$ from the signal space into some set $\mathscr{L}$.

In the case of image classification $\mathscr{L}$ is a finite set of labels.
We now want give a more formal definition of symmetry of a classification.

**Definition 3.3.2.** Let $C : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{L}$ be a classification, we define a *symmetry* of $C$ to be an invertible function $g : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S}$ such that

$$C[g(\phi)] = C[\phi] \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{S}.$$

We will define the set of these symmetries as $S_C := \{g : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S} : C[g(\phi)] = C[\phi] \; \forall \phi \in \mathscr{S}\}$

**Proposition 3.3.3.** *The set of symmetries $S_C$ of the classification $C$ is a group with the usual function composition.*

*Proof.* We shall prove that this set with respect to $\circ$ satisfies all the group properties.

  (i)  The operation $\circ$ is associative.

 (ii)  Let $\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{S}} : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S}$ be the identity on $\mathscr{S}$, namely $\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{S}}(\phi) = \phi$ for all $\phi \in \mathscr{S}$, then $\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{S}} \in S_C$.

(iii)  Let $g, g' \in S_C$, we have that $C[(g \circ g')(\phi)] = C[\phi]$, therefore $(g \circ g') \in S_C$.

(iv)  Let $g \in S_C$ then $C[g^{-1}(\phi)] = C[\phi]$, since $C[(g \circ g^{-1})(\phi)] = C[(\phi)] = C[g^{-1}(\phi)]$. $\qquad\square$

It is important to notice that in order to define a symmetry we need to choose a classification, namely there is no a priori symmetry in the data until we specify what we want to do with it.

Another way to see how the group acts on data is to see the group itself as an abstract object and define an *action* of this group on the domain of the signals.

**Definition 3.3.4.** Let $G$ be a group and $\Omega$ a set, then we define *action* of $G$ on $\Omega$ a mapping $. : G \times \Omega \to \Omega$, such that $(g, x) \mapsto g.x$ and for all $g, h \in G$ $g.(h.x) = (g \circ h).x$, i.e. it is compatible with the group operation, and such that $1.x = x$ for all $x \in \Omega$, where $1$ is the group identity.

From a group action on the domain $\Omega$ we can obtain a group action on the signal space.

**Proposition 3.3.5.** *Let $G$ be a group and let $\mathscr{S}$ be the space of signals from $\Omega$ to $V$, then for all $\phi \in \mathscr{S}$ and for all $g \in G$ we have that*

$$(g.\phi)(x) := \phi(g^{-1}.x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

*is an action of $G$ on $\mathscr{S}$.*

*Proof.* We can easily see that $g.(h.\phi)(x) = g.\phi(h^{-1}.x) = \phi((g^{-1} \circ h^{-1}).x) = ((g \circ h).\phi)(x)$ and that $(1.\phi)(x) = \phi(1.x) = \phi(x)$. $\qquad\square$

An important class of group actions is that of *linear* group actions, also called *group representations*. A linear group action has the additional property $g.(\lambda\phi + \mu\psi) = \lambda g.\phi + \mu g.\psi$, for all $\phi, \psi$ in the set on which the group acts. A linear group action allows us to associate to an element $g$ of $G$ a $n \times n$ invertible matrix.

**Definition 3.3.6.** Let $G$ be a group, we define an $n$-dimensional *representation* of $G$ to be a homomorphism $\rho : G \to GL(n)$, where $GL(n)$ is the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices.

circ$(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ An important role in geometric deep learning is played by the so called *group equivariant* and *group invariant* functions.

**Definition 3.3.7.** Let $\mathscr{C}$ be some set, a function $f : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{C}$, is called *G-invariant* if

$$f(\rho(g)\phi) = f(\phi) \quad \forall g \in G,$$

where $\phi \in \mathscr{S}$.

**Definition 3.3.8.** A function $f : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S}$ is called *G-equivariant* if

$$f(\rho(g)\phi) = \rho(g)f(\phi) \quad \forall g \in G,$$

where $\phi \in \mathscr{S}$.

# 3.4 The Blueprint of Geometric Deep Learning

In this section we will give an overview on modern geometric deep learning using the considerations of the previous section. Let $\mathscr{S}(\Omega, V), \mathscr{S}(\Lambda, W)$ and $\mathscr{S}(\Omega', V')$ be signal spaces where $\Omega'$ is a more compact version of $\Omega$. From this setting we introduce the building blocks of geometric deep learning.

- A *linear $G$-invariant layer* $B : \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{S}(\Lambda, W)$ such that $B(g.\phi) = g.B(\phi)$ for all $g \in G$ and $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V)$.

- A *nonlinearity* $\sigma : \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{S}(\Lambda, W)$ which is applied element-wise $(\sigma(\phi))(x) = \sigma'(\phi(x))$ where $\sigma' : V \to W$ is a non linear function.

- A *$G$-equivariant local pooling* $P : \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{S}(\Omega', V)$.

- A *$G$-invariant global pooling* $P : \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{C}$ such that $A(g.\phi) = A(\phi)$ for all $g \in G$ and $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V)$, where $\mathscr{C}$ is a set.

Using these blocks we can define $G$-invariant functions $f : \mathscr{S}(\Omega, V) \to \mathscr{C}$ of the kind

$$f := A \circ \sigma_{i+1} \circ B_{i+1} \circ (\bigcirc_{j=1}^{i} P_j \circ \sigma_j \circ B_j),$$

where the input and output spaces need to be appropriately matched.

Linear invariants are really limited, linear equivariants are a bigger family of operators that allows the network to reach a good approximation power. A brief explanation of the limitedness of linear invariant can be found in [3] at 3.5.

Here we are not giving a recipe for an architecture, we are rather listing the necessary conditions for the network to behave well w.r.t. the symmetries.

# 3.5 Spectral Convolution

Another approach that can be used to define a convolution is defining spectral convolution with respect to a laplacian operator, in this section we will see this abstract and general method on graphs.

We start by recalling a classical result in Fourier analysis, the *convolution theorem*. A proof of the theorem can be found in [8] at chapter 7.

**Theorem 3.5.1.** *Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, and let $\mathscr{F}(f), \mathscr{F}(g) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be their respective Fourier transforms, then the fourier transform of the convolution of $f$ and $g$ is equal to*

$$\mathscr{F}(f * g) = \mathscr{F}(f)\mathscr{F}(g).$$

Let's now see how to use this analogy on graphs.

In order to construct a convolutional neural network that classifies data defined on a graph we need a definition of convolution on graphs. First we introduce what we call *graph Fourier transform*.

**Definition 3.5.2.** Let $|f\rangle \in C_0$ and $dim C_0 = n_0$, we define the *graph Fourier transform* $\mathscr{F}_0 : \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_0}$ to be

$$(\langle i | f \rangle)_{i \in I} \mapsto (\langle e_i | f \rangle)_{i \in I},$$

where $I = \{1, ..., n_0\}$ and $|e_i\rangle$ are the eigenfunctions of the 0-laplacian.

This transform only defines a change of basis since $|f\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle i|f\rangle|i\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle e_i|f\rangle|e_i\rangle$, and therefore is invertible. We can in fact represent the graph Fourier transform with the matrix $F^{-1}_{ij} = F^{\dagger}_{ij} := \langle e_i|j\rangle$, and its inverse $F_{ij} := \langle i|e_j\rangle$. To define a convolution between two 0-chains we use the famous convolution theorem $\mathscr{F}(f * \psi) = \mathscr{F}(f)\mathscr{F}(\psi)$.

**Definition 3.5.3.** Let $\{|e_i\rangle\}_{i\in I}$ be a basis of 0-laplacian eigenchains, let $|f\rangle, |\psi\rangle \in C_0$, we define the representatives of $|f * \psi\rangle$ on the laplacian eigenchains to be

$$\langle e_i|f * \psi\rangle := \langle e_i|f\rangle\langle e_i|\psi\rangle \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Therefore $|f * \psi\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle e_i|f\rangle\langle e_i|\psi\rangle|e_i\rangle$.

This can be trivially extended to simplicial complexes and more generally on chain complexes using the eigenfunctions of higher dimensional laplacians, as done in [6].

# Appendix A

# Category Theory

In this appendix we review few notions of category theory. This theory is very useful to study homology and cohomology theory, however in this thesis we employ this language only marginally. We refer the reader to [14] for a full account of category theory.

**Definition A.1.** A *category* **C** consists of three ingredients:

1. a class of *objects $Obj(\mathbf{C})$*,

2. sets of *morphisms $Hom(A,B)$* for every ordered pair $(A,B) \in Obj(\mathbf{C}) \times Obj(\mathbf{C})$,

3. a composition $Hom(A,B) \times Hom(B,C) \to Hom(A,C)$, denoted by $(f,g) \mapsto f \circ g$ for every $A,B,C \in Obj(\mathbf{C})$, satisfying the following axioms:

   (i) the family of $Hom(A,B)$ is pairwise disjoint,

   (ii) the composition, when defined, is associative,

   (iii) for each $A \in Obj(\mathbf{C})$ there exists an *identity* $1_A \in Hom(A,A)$ such that for $f \in Hom(A,B)$ and $g \in Hom(C,A)$ we have that $1_A \circ f = f$ and $g \circ 1_A = g$.

Instead of writing $f \in Hom(A,B)$, we usually write $f : A \to B$.

**Definition A.2.** Let **A** and **C** be categories, a *functor* $T : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a function, that is,

   (i) for each $A \in Obj(\mathbf{A})$ it assigns $TA \in Obj(\mathbf{C})$,

   (ii) for each morphism $f : A \to A'$ it assigns a morphism $Tf : TA \to TA' \quad \forall A,A' \in Obj(\mathbf{A})$,

   (iii) if $f,g$ are morphisms in **A** for which $g \circ f$ is defined, then $T(g \circ f) = (Tg) \circ (Tf)$,

   (iv) $T(1_A) = 1_{TA} \quad \forall A \in \mathbf{A}$.

The property (iii) of the previous definition actually defines what we shall call *covariant functors*. If instead we require $T(g \circ f) = (Tf) \circ (Tg)$, we are defining a *contravariant functor*.

**Definition A.3.** An *equivalence* in a category **C** is a morphism $f : A \to B$ for all $A,B \in Obj(\mathbf{C})$ for which there exists a morphism $g : B \to A$ such that $f \circ g = 1_B$ and $g \circ f = 1_A$.

**Theorem A.4.** *If **A** and **C** are categories and $T : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a functor of either variance, then whenever $f$ is an equivalence on **A** then $Tf$ is an equivalence on **C**.*

*Proof.* We apply $T$ to the equations $f \circ g = 1_B$ and $g \circ f = 1_A$, that for a covariant functor leads to $(Tf) \circ (Tg) = T(1_B) = 1_{TB}$ and $(Tg) \circ (Tf) = T(1_A) = 1_{TA}$. $\qquad\square$

A category that will be used in the following section is the category of topological spaces and continuous functions.

**Proposition A.5.** *Topological spaces and continuous functions between them are a category **Top**, whose equivalences are called homeomorphisms.*

**Proposition A.6.** *Abelian groups and group homomorphisms between them are a category **Ab**, whose equivalences are called homomorphism.*

Other examples of categories can be found in [16] at 0.3 and in [14].
An important example of functor comes from the theory of homology. When we defined the homology groups we focused on simplicial complexes, however if a simplicial complex $K$ is a triangulation of a topological space $X$ we can identify $H_i(X) := H_i(K)$.

**Theorem A.7.** *For $i \geq 0$, $H_i : \textbf{Top} \rightarrow \textbf{Ab}$ is a functor called homology functor.*

We already know how the homology functor acts on the objects, the way it acts on morphisms can be found in [16] at 4.3.

*Remark* A.8. If two topological spaces are homeomorphic their homology groups will be isomorphic.

# Appendix B

# Dirac's Notation

The so called bra-ket notation was introduced by Paul Dirac as an effective language in quantum mechanics. Mathematically, if $V$ is any vector space, we call *ket* $|\psi\rangle$ an element of $V$ and *bra* $\langle\phi|$ an element of $V^*$. We will now see some operations on bras and kets. A bra $\langle\phi| : V \to \mathbb{K}$ acts on a ket in the so called *bra-ket product* $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{K}$, which is the evaluation of $\langle\phi|$ on $|\psi\rangle$. Let $A : V \to V$ be a linear operator, then it acts on kets in the so called *operator-ket product* $A|\psi\rangle \in V$. Similarly it acts on bras by duality in the so called *bra-operator product* $\langle\phi|A \in V^*$. If $V$ is finite dimensional and we fix a basis $A : V \to V$ is represented by the matrix $A$ (by abuse of notation), while the dual linear map $A^* : V^* \to V^*$ is represented by its transpose $A^\dagger$. However, in Dirac notation, we see the matrix $A$ acting on the left as a $V$ endomorphism and on the right as a $V^*$ endomorphism, hence no transpose is needed. Since a bra maps a ket to a scalar and $A|\psi\rangle$ is also a ket, we can write the *bra-operator-ket product* as $\langle\phi|A|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{K}$. In this notation the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators with a discrete spectrum reads as

$$A = \sum_i |a_i\rangle a_i \langle a_i|,$$

where $A|a_i\rangle = a_i|a_i\rangle$, $\langle a_i|a_j\rangle = \delta_i j$ and $\sum_i |a_i\rangle\langle a_i| = 1$. The relation $\sum_i |a_i\rangle\langle a_i| = 1$ is called completeness relation and is valid only if $\{|a_i\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis, 1 is the identity operator. An extension of the concept of orthonormal basis is the concept of generalized orthonormal basis. Generalized orthonormal eigenfunctions allows us to diagonalize an operator with a continuous spectrum as follows

$$A = \int da |a\rangle a \langle a|,$$

where $A|a\rangle = a|a\rangle$, $\langle a|a'\rangle = \delta(a - a')$ and $\int da |a\rangle\langle a| = 1$. The relation $\int da |a\rangle\langle a| = 1$ is called generalized completeness relation and is valid only if $\{|a\rangle\}$ is a generalized orthonormal basis, 1 is again the identity operator. For further details see [15] at 4.1 and at 1.5.

# Appendix C

# Laplacian Operators

An important role in the definition of a convolution on simplicial complexes is played by the Laplacian operator, especially by its eigenfunctions and spectrum. A more thorough discussion of this operator can be found in [12]. We give here the general treatment and definition of the $p$-laplacian. The 0-laplacian and the 1-laplacian are discussed in section 2.3.

**Definition C.1.** We define the *p-Laplacian* operator to be

$$\Delta_p := \partial_{p+1}\partial_{p+1}^{\dagger} + \partial_p^{\dagger}\partial_p =: \Delta_p^+ + \Delta_p^-.$$

The Laplacian operator is defined to be self-adjoint and positive definite.

**Proposition C.2.** *Let $\Delta_p$ be a laplacian operator, then $\Delta_p^{\dagger} = \Delta_p$.*

*Proof.* Let $|\sigma\rangle, |\tau\rangle \in C_p$

$$\langle\sigma|\Delta_p|\tau\rangle = \langle\sigma|(\partial_{p+1}\partial_{p+1}^{\dagger} + \partial_p^{\dagger}\partial_p)|\tau\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\tau|(\partial_{p+1}\partial_{p+1}^{\dagger} + \partial_p^{\dagger}\partial_p)^{\dagger}|\sigma\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\tau|(\partial_{p+1}\partial_{p+1}^{\dagger} + \partial_p^{\dagger}\partial_p)|\sigma\rangle = \langle\tau|\Delta_p|\sigma\rangle. \qquad \square$$

According to the spectral theorem there exists a basis of eigenchains of the Laplacian, and since all $\Delta_p, \Delta_p^+, \Delta_p^-$ are self-adjoint we can say that they all admit a basis of eigenchains.

**Proposition C.3.** *Let $\Delta_p|\sigma\rangle = \lambda_\sigma|\sigma\rangle$ then $\lambda_\sigma \geq 0$.*

*Proof.* Let $\Delta_p^+|\sigma\rangle = \lambda_\sigma^+|\sigma\rangle$, we see that $\langle\sigma|\Delta_p^+|\sigma\rangle = |\partial_{p+1}|\sigma\rangle|^2 \geq 0$, and since $\langle\sigma|\Delta_p^+|\sigma\rangle = \lambda_\sigma^+\langle\sigma|\sigma\rangle$ we have that $\lambda+_\sigma \geq 0$.
Let then $\Delta_p^-|\sigma\rangle = \lambda_\sigma^-|\sigma\rangle$, we see that $\langle\sigma|\Delta_p^-|\sigma\rangle = |\partial_p|\sigma\rangle|^2 \geq 0$, and since $\langle\sigma|\Delta_p^-|\sigma\rangle = \lambda_\sigma^-\langle\sigma|\sigma\rangle$, we also have that $\lambda-_\sigma \geq 0$.
Furthermore, since $\Delta_p^+\Delta_p^- = \Delta_p^-\Delta_p^+ = 0$ we have that $[\Delta_p^+, \Delta_p^-] = 0$, thence $[\Delta_p, \Delta_p^\pm] = 0$, therefore $\Delta_p, \Delta_p^+, \Delta_p^-$ share a basis of eigenchains. Let $|\sigma\rangle$ be in that common basis then $\Delta_p|\sigma\rangle = \lambda_\sigma|\sigma\rangle$, where $\lambda_\sigma = \lambda_\sigma^+ + \lambda_\sigma^- \geq 0$. $\qquad \square$

Another really interesting property that was first proven by Beno Eckmann in 1944, is that the kernel of the p-Laplacian is isomorphic to the p-homology group.

**Theorem C.4.** (Eckmann's Theorem) *Let $\Delta_p$ be a laplacian operator, then $\ker\Delta_p \simeq H_p$.*

*Proof.* We recall the definition of laplacian $\Delta_p =: \partial_{p+1}\partial_{p+1}^{\dagger} + \partial_p^{\dagger}\partial_p$.
Because of the homology lemma $\Delta_p^+\Delta-_p = \Delta_p^-\Delta_p^+ = 0$, therefore $\ker\Delta_p^\pm \subset \operatorname{im}\Delta_p^\mp$.
It is trivial to see that, since $\ker\Delta_p = \ker\Delta_p^+ \cap \ker\Delta_p^-$, we have that $\ker\partial_{p+1}^{\dagger} \cap \ker\partial_p \subset \ker\Delta_p$.

Less trivial is the opposite inclusion, in fact, let $|\sigma\rangle \in \ker \Delta_p$, we have that $\partial_{p+1}^\dagger |\sigma\rangle \in \ker \partial_{p+1} = (\operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1}^\dagger)^\perp$ and of course that $\partial_{p+1}^\dagger |\sigma\rangle \in \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1}^\dagger$.

Therefore, since our integration is an inner product, because of the adjunction relation we have that the chain $\partial_{p+1}^\dagger |\sigma\rangle$ must be the null chain, hence the inclusion $\ker \Delta_p \subset \ker \partial_{p+1}^\dagger$. Similarly we can see that $\ker \Delta_p \subset \ker \partial_p$, therefore $\ker \Delta_p \subset \ker \partial_{p+1}^\dagger \cap \ker \partial_p$. Now, since $\ker \Delta_p = \ker \partial_{p+1}^\dagger \cap \ker \partial_p$, we conclude that $\ker \Delta_p = (\operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1}^\dagger)^\perp \cap \ker \partial_p \simeq H_p$. $\qquad\square$

# Bibliography

[1]   J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory with Applications*. Elsevier, 1976.

[2]   Michael M. Bronstein et al. "Geometric Deep Learning: Going beyond Euclidean data". In: (2017).

[3]   Michael M. Bronstein et al. *Geometric Deep Learning: Grids, Groups, Graphs, Geodesics, and Gauges*. 2021. arXiv: 2104.13478 [cs.LG].

[4]   Taco S. Cohen and Max Welling. *Group Equivariant Convolutional Networks*. 2016.

[5]   Philip J. Davis. *Circulant Matrices*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. John Wiley and Sons Inc, 1979.

[6]   S. Ebli, M. Defferrard, and G. Spreemann. *Simplicial Neural Networks*. 2020.

[7]   Herbert Edelsbrunner and John Harer. *Computational Topology - an Introduction*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.

[8]   Gerald B. Folland. *Fourier analysis and its applications*. 1992.

[9]   I. M. Gelfand and S. V. Fomin. *Calculus of Variations*. Dover, 1963.

[10]  Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. *Deep Learning*. MIT Press, 2016.

[11]  Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.

[12]  Danijela Horak and Jürgen Jost. *Spectra of combinatorial Laplace operators on simplicial complexes*. 2011.

[13]  Serge Lang. *Algebra*. Springer, New York, NY, 1955.

[14]  Saunders MacLane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. 1971.

[15]  I.T. Todorov N.N. Bogolubov A.A. Logunov. *Introduction to Axiomatic Quantum Field Theory*. Mathematical Physics Monograph Series, 18. W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1975.

[16]  Joseph J. Rotman. *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag New York, 1988.

[17]  I. M. Singer and J. A. Thorpe. *Lecture Notes on Elementary Topology and Geometry*. Springer, New York, NY, 1976.