

<https://codeforces.com/blog/entry/22276>

[Link To PDF version \(Latex Formatted\)](#)

**Topic :** 0-1 BFS

**Pre Requisites :** Basics of Graph Theory , BFS , Shortest Path

**Problem :**

You have a **graph G** with **V vertices** and **E edges**. The graph is a weighted graph but the weights have a constraint that they can only be 0 or 1. Write an efficient code to calculate shortest path from a given source.

**Solution :**

**Naive Solution — Dijkstra's Algorithm.**

This has a complexity of  $O(E + V \log V)$  in its best implementation. You might try heuristics , but the worst case remains the same. At this point you maybe thinking about how you could optimise Dijkstra or why do I write such an efficient algorithm as the naive solution? Ok , so firstly the efficient solution isn't an optimisation of Dijkstra. Secondly , this is provided as the naive solution because almost everyone would code this up the first time they see such a question , assuming they know Dijkstra's algorithm.

Supposing Dijkstra's algorithm is your best code forward , I would like to present to you a very simple yet elegant trick to solve a question on this type of graph using Breadth First Search (BFS).

Before we dive into the algorithm, a lemma is required to get things crystal clear later on.

**Lemma :** "During the execution of BFS, the queue holding the vertices only contains elements from at max two successive levels of the BFS tree."

**Explanation :** The BFS tree is the tree built during the execution of BFS on any graph. This lemma is true since at every point in the execution of BFS , we only traverse to the adjacent vertices of a vertex and thus every vertex in the queue is at max one level away from all other vertices in the queue.

So let's get started with 0-1 BFS.

**0-1 BFS :**

This is so named , since it works on graphs with edge weights 0 and 1. Let's take a point of execution of BFS when you are at an arbitrary vertex "u" having edges with weight 0 and 1. Similar to Dijkstra , we only put a vertex in the queue if it has been relaxed by a previous vertex (distance is reduced by travelling on this edge) and we also keep the queue sorted by distance from source at every point of time.

Now , when we are at "u" , we know one thing for sure : Travelling an edge (u,v) would make sure that v is either in the same level as u or at the next successive level. This is because the edge weights are 0 and 1. An edge weight of 0 would mean that they lie on the same level , whereas an edge weight of 1 means they lie on the level below. We also know that during BFS our queue holds vertices of two successive levels at max. So, when we are at vertex "u" , our queue contains elements of level  $L[u]$  or  $L[u] + 1$ . And we also know that for an edge (u,v) ,  $L[v]$  is either  $L[u]$  or  $L[u] + 1$ . Thus , if the vertex "v" is relaxed and has the same level , we can push it to the front of our queue and if it has the very next level , we can push it to the end of the queue. This helps us keep the queue sorted by level for the BFS to work properly.

But, using a normal queue data structure , we cannot insert and keep it sorted in  $O(1)$ . Using priority queue cost us  $O(\log N)$  to keep it sorted. The problem with the normal queue is the absence of methods which helps us to perform all of these functions :

1. Remove Top Element (To get vertex for BFS)
2. Insert At the beginning (To push a vertex with same level)
3. Insert At the end (To push a vertex on next level)

Fortunately, all of these operations are supported by a double ended queue (or deque in C++ STL).

Let's have a look at pseudocode for this trick :

```
1  for all v in vertices:
2      dist[v] = inf
3  dist[source] = 0;
4  deque d
5  d.push_front(source)
6  while d.empty() == false:
7      vertex = get front element and pop as in BFS.
8      for all edges e of form (vertex , u):
9          if travelling e relaxes distance to u:
10             relax dist[u]
11             if e.weight = 1:
12                 d.push_back(u)
13             else:
14                 d.push_front(u)
```

As you can see , this is quite similar to BFS + Dijkstra. But the time complexity of this code is  $O(E + V)$  , which is linear and more efficient than Dijkstra. The analysis and proof of correctness is also same as that of BFS.

Before moving into solving problems from online judges , try these exercises to make sure you completely understand why and how 0-1 BFS works :

1. Can we apply the same trick if our edge weights can only be 0 and x ( $x \geq 0$ ) ?
2. Can we apply the same trick if our edge weights are x and x+1 ( $x \geq 0$ ) ?
3. Can we apply the same trick if our edge weights are x and y ( $x, y \geq 0$ ) ?

This trick is actually quite a simple trick, but not many people know this. Here are some problems you can try this hack at :

1. <http://www.spoj.com/problems/KATHTHI/> — [My implementation](#)
2. [https://community.topcoder.com/stat?c=problem\\_statement&pm=10337](https://community.topcoder.com/stat?c=problem_statement&pm=10337)
3. Problem J of Gym

Div1 — 500 on topcoder are tough to crack. So congrats on being able to solve one of them using such a simple trick :). I will add more problems as I find.



0-1 bfs.pdf

96.33KB