1 Featherweight Java progress

Consider the Featherweight Java language without casts. Prove the following theorem: Progress: If t is a well typed term, it is either a value or can take a step. Proceed by induction on typing derivations.

2 Featherweight Java with Field Assignment

The original Featherweight Java (FJ) proposal, as defined by Igarashi, Pierce and Wadler, presented a minimal calculus for Java's type system. In this question, we ask you to extend the calculus with a field assignment operation.

In your solution, we expect you to use a store (similarly to how it was done in STLC with References). Field assignment needs to change the state of the object and return the object itself as a result. No new types or sequencing should be added. You need to ensure that progress and preservation still hold, but there is no need to prove it.

- 1. Adapt the syntax (terms and/or values) of Featherweight Java.
- 2. Define any new evaluations rule(s) and if your calculus requires changes in the original E-ProjNew, E-InvkNew, E-CastNew, E-Field evaluation rules, please write them again.
- 3. Define any new typing rule(s) and if your calculus requires changes in the original T-Invk, T-New typing rules, please write them again.

3 Featherweight Java big-step evaluation

Featherweight Java has been formally defined by Igarashi, Pierce and Wadler using small-step semantics. During the lectures and exercises we have been also working on the formalization that uses big-step semantics.

Your task is to:

- 1. Briefly state the difference between big-step and small-step semantics in general.
- 2. Give one reason why big-step might be more appropriate than small-step semantics.
- 3. Define a big-step evaluation relation for Featherweight Java. You are allowed to use the helper functions that have been defined in the original formalization, i.e. $fields(C) = \bar{C}\bar{f}$ and $mbody(m,C) = (\bar{x},s)$.
- 4. State the **preservation** theorem for the big-step evaluation relation that you have defined without proving it.

Featherweight Java (TAPL pages 254 - 259):

Typing rules:

$$(\text{T-Var}) \ \frac{x : C \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : C} \qquad (\text{T-Field}) \ \frac{f \vdash t_0 : C_0}{fields(C_0) = \bar{C}\bar{f}} \\ \Gamma \vdash t_0 : C_0 \\ mtype(m, C_0) = \bar{D} \to C \\ (\text{T-INVK}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : C \bar{C} < : \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : m(\bar{t}) : C} \qquad (\text{T-New}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : \bar{C} < : \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash new \ C(\bar{t}) : C} \\ (\text{T-UCAST}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \ D < : C}{\Gamma \vdash (C)t_0 : C} \qquad (\text{T-DCAST}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \ C < : D \ C < : \bar{D}}{\Gamma \vdash (C)t_0 : C} \\ (\text{T-SCAST}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : D \ C \nleq : D \ D \nleq : C}{stupid \ warning} \\ \Gamma \vdash (C)t_0 : C \\ (\text{METHOD TYPING}) \ \frac{\bar{c}}{C \text{m} \ (\bar{C} \ \bar{x})} \ \{\text{return} \ t_0; \} \ OK \ in \ C}{c \text{class C extends D} \ \bar{C} \ \bar{c} \ \bar{c}; \ K \ M} \ OK$$

Evaluation rules:

$$(\text{E-ProjNew}) \ \frac{fields(C) = \bar{C}\bar{f}}{(\text{new }C(\bar{v})).\mathbf{f}_i \longrightarrow v_i} \qquad (\text{E-Invk-Recv}) \ \frac{t_0 \longrightarrow t_0'}{t_0.\mathtt{m}(\bar{t}) \longrightarrow t_0'.\mathtt{m}(\bar{t})}$$

$$(\text{E-InvkNew}) \ \frac{mbody(m,C) = (\bar{x},t_0)}{(\text{new }C(\bar{v})).\mathtt{m}(\bar{u})} \qquad (\text{E-Invk-Arg}) \ \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t_i'}{v_0.\mathtt{m}(\bar{v},t_i,\bar{t}) \longrightarrow v_0.\mathtt{m}(\bar{v},t_i',\bar{t})}$$

$$(\text{E-CastNew}) \ \frac{C <: D}{(D)(\mathtt{new }C(\bar{v})) \longrightarrow \mathtt{new }C(\bar{v})} \qquad (\text{E-New-Arg}) \ \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t_i'}{\mathtt{new }C(\bar{v},t_i,\bar{t}) \longrightarrow \mathtt{new }C(\bar{v},t_i',\bar{t})}$$

$$(\text{E-Field}) \ \frac{t_0 \longrightarrow t_0'}{t_0.\mathbf{f}} \qquad (\text{E-Cast}) \ \frac{t_0 \longrightarrow t_0'}{(C)t_0 \longrightarrow (C)t_0'}$$

Auxiliary definitions

Field lookup $fields(C) = \bar{C} \ \bar{f}$:

$$fields(\mathtt{Object}) = \bullet$$

$$CT(C) = \texttt{class C extends D } \{\bar{\texttt{C}} \ \bar{\texttt{f}}; \ \texttt{K} \ \bar{\texttt{M}}\}$$

$$fields(D) = \bar{D} \ \bar{g}$$

$$fields(C) = \bar{D} \ \bar{g}, \bar{C} \ \bar{f}$$

Method type lookup $mtype(m, c) = \bar{C} \rightarrow C$:

$$\begin{array}{c} CT(C) = \texttt{class C extends D } \{\bar{\texttt{C}} \ \bar{\texttt{f}}; \ \texttt{K} \ \bar{\texttt{M}}\} \\ & \texttt{B m } (\bar{\texttt{B}} \ \bar{\texttt{x}}) \ \{\texttt{return t;}\} \ \in \bar{\texttt{M}} \\ \\ & mtype(m,C) = \bar{B} \rightarrow B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} CT(C) &= \texttt{class C extends D } \{\bar{\texttt{C}} \ \bar{\texttt{f}}; \ \texttt{K} \ \bar{\texttt{M}}\} \\ &\quad \textbf{m is not defined in } \bar{M} \\ \hline &\quad mtype(m,C) = \bar{B} \rightarrow mtype(m,D) \end{split}$$

Method body lookup $mbody(m, C) = (\bar{x}, t)$:

$$CT(C) = \texttt{class C extends D } \{\bar{\texttt{C}} \; \bar{\texttt{f}}; \; \texttt{K} \; \bar{\texttt{M}}\}$$
 m is not defined in \bar{M}
$$mbody(m,C) = \bar{B} \to mbody(m,D)$$

Valid method overloading $override(m, D, \bar{C} \to C_0)$:

$$\frac{mtype(m,D) = \bar{D} \rightarrow D_0 \text{ implies } \bar{C} \ = \ \bar{D} \text{ and } C_0 \ = \ D_0}{override(m,D,\bar{C} \rightarrow C_0)}$$