Type Reconstruction and Polymorphism Week 9

Week 9
Martin Odersky

1

Type Checking and Type Reconstruction

We now come to the question of type checking and type reconstruction.

Type checking: Given Γ , t and T, check whether $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

Type reconstruction: Given Γ and t, find a type T such that

Type checking and reconstruction seem difficult since parameters in lambda calculus do not carry their types with them.

Type reconstruction also suffers from the problem that a term can have many types.

Idea: : We construct all type derivations in parallel, reducing type reconstruction to a unification problem.

2

From Judgements to Equations

```
\begin{split} TP: Judgement &\rightarrow Equations \\ TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) = \\ & \textit{case } t \textit{ of} \\ & x & : & \{\Gamma(x) \triangleq T\} \\ & \lambda x.t' : & \textit{let } a,b \textit{ fresh in} \\ & \{(a \rightarrow b) \triangleq T\} & \cup \\ & TP(\Gamma, x: a \vdash t':b) \\ & t \; t' : & \textit{let } a \textit{ fresh in} \\ & & TP(\Gamma \vdash t: a \rightarrow T) & \cup \\ & & TP(\Gamma \vdash t':a) \end{split}
```

Example

Let twice $=\lambda f.\lambda x.f(f(x)).$

Then twice gives rise to the following equaltions (see blackboard).

Constants

Constants are treated as variables in the initial environment.

However, we have to make sure we create a new instance of their type as follows:

```
\begin{split} newInstance(\forall a_1, \, \dots, \, a_n.S) &= \\ \textbf{let} \ b_1, \, \dots, \, b_n \ \text{fresh} \ \textbf{in} \\ [b_1/a_1, \, \dots, \, b_n/a_n]S \\ TP(\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : T) &= \\ \textbf{case} \ t \ \textbf{of} \\ x &: \{newInstance(\Gamma(x)) \triangleq T\} \\ &\dots \end{split}
```

Soundness and Completeness I

The algorithm is sound if for every type $T\in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma,t)$ we can prove the judgement $\Gamma\ \vdash\ t:T.$

The algorithm is complete if for every provable judgement $\Gamma \vdash t:T$ we have that $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma,t).$

6

```
\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma \vdash t:T & \text{iff} & \exists \overline{b}.[T/a]EQNS \\ & \textbf{where} \\ & a \text{ is a new type variable} \\ & EQNS = TP(\Gamma \vdash t:a) \\ & \overline{b} = tv(EQNS)\backslash tv(\Gamma) \end{array}
```

Here, tv denotes the set of free type varibales (of a term, and environment, an equation set).

Type Reconstruction and Unification

Problem: : Transform set of equations

$$\{T_i \stackrel{.}{=} U_i\}_{i=1,\,\ldots,\,m}$$

into equivalent substitution

$$\{a_j \mapsto T'_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n}$$

where type variables do not appear recursively on their right hand sides (directly or indirectly). That is:

$$a_j \not\in tv(T_k') \quad \text{for } j=1,\,\ldots,\,n, k=j,\,\ldots,\,n$$

8

Substitutions

A substitution s is an idempotent mapping from type variables to types which maps all but a finite number of type variables to themselves.

We often represent a substitution is as set of equations $a \mathbin{\hat{=}} T$ with a not in tv(T).

Substitutions can be generalized to mappings from types to types by definining

$$\begin{array}{lcl} s(T \rightarrow U) & = & sT \rightarrow sU \\ s(K[T_1, \, \dots, \, T_n]) & = & K[sT_1, \, \dots, \, sT_n] \end{array}$$

Substitutions are idempotent mappings from types to types, i.e. $s(s(T)) = s(T). \ \mbox{(why?)}$

The operator denotes composition of substitutions (or other functions): $(f\circ g)\ x=f(gx).$

9

A Unification Algorithm

We present an incremental version of Robinson's algorithm (1965).

```
: \quad (Type \; \hat{=} \; Type) \to Subst \to Subst
mgu(T \stackrel{.}{=} U) s
                                         = mgu'(sT \hat{=} sU) s
mgu'(a \stackrel{.}{=} a) s
                                        = s
mgu'(a \stackrel{.}{=} T) s
                                        = s \cup \{a \mapsto T\}
                                                                          if a \not\in tv(T)
mgu'(T \stackrel{.}{=} a) s
                                           = s \cup \{a \mapsto T\}
                                                                          if a \not\in tv(T)
mgu'(T \rightarrow T' \mathbin{\hat{=}} U \rightarrow U') \ s \quad = \quad \left( mgu(T' \mathbin{\hat{=}} U') \circ mgu(T \mathbin{\hat{=}} U) \right) \ s
mgu'(K[T_1, \ldots, T_n] \stackrel{.}{=} K[U_1, \ldots, U_n]) s
                                           = (mgu(T_n \stackrel{.}{=} U_n) \circ \ldots \circ mgu(T_1 \stackrel{.}{=} U_1)) \ s
mgu'(T \stackrel{.}{=} U) \ s
                                           = error
                                                                    in all other cases
```

Soundness and Completeness of Unification

Definition: A substitution u is a unifier of a set of equations $\{T_i \stackrel{.}{=} U_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$ if $uT_i = uU_i$, for all i. It is a most general unifier if for every other unifier u' of the same equations there exists a substitution s such that $u' = s \circ u$.

Theorem: Given a set of equations EQNS. If EQNS has a unifier then $mgu\ EQNS$ $\{\}$ computes the most general unifier of EQNS. If EQNS has no unifier then $mgu\ EQNS$ $\{\}$ fails.

11

From Judgements to Substitutions

```
TP: Judgement \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) =  case \ t \ of x : mgu(newInstance(\Gamma x) \triangleq T) \lambda x.t' : let \ a, b \ fresh \ in mgu((a \rightarrow b) \triangleq T) \circ  TP(\Gamma, x: a \vdash t': b) t \ t' : let \ a \ fresh \ in TP(\Gamma \vdash t: a \rightarrow T) \circ  TP(\Gamma \vdash t': a)
```

Soundness and Completeness II

One can show by comparison with the previous algorithm:

Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

13

Strong Normalization

Question: Can Ω be given a type?

```
\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) : ?
```

What about Y?

Self-application is not typable!

In fact, we have more:

Corollary: Simply typed lambda calculus is not Turing complete.

14

Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types. But a variable or parameter has only one type.

Example:

 $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain

 $(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y):a\to a$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called polymorphic.

13

Polymorphism in Programming

Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.

```
Example: map
def map f xs =
   if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
   else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

Without a polymorphic type for map one of the last two lines is always illegal!

Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means "having many forms".

Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- Universal polymorphism, sometimes also called generic types: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- Inclusion polymorphism, sometimes also called subtyping: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism, sometimes also called overloading: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: explicit or implicit.

17

Explicit Polymorphism

We introduce a polymorphic type $\forall a.T.$, which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of $\forall \mbox{'s}$ explicit. Typing rules:

$$(\forall \mathbf{E}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t[U] : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall \mathbf{I}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \Lambda a.t : \forall a.T}$$

1

We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.

Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a -> b) (xs: List[a]) =
   if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) nil [a]
   else cons [b] (f (head [a] xs)) (map [a][b] (f, tail [a] xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

19

Translating to System F

The translation of map into a System-F term is as follows: (See blackboard) $\,$

Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantations.

Idea: In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of type schemes. Syntax:

$$\label{eq:continuous} \text{Type Scheme} \quad S \quad ::= \quad T \;\mid\; \forall a.S$$

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a ${\tt val}$ construct.

The resulting type system is called the Hindley/Milner system, after its inventors. (The original treatment uses let \ldots in \ldots rather than val \ldots ; \ldots).

 21

Hindley/Milner Typing rules

$$(\mathrm{Var}) \ \Gamma, x : {\color{red} S}, \Gamma' \ \vdash \ x : {\color{red} S} \qquad (x \not \in dom(\Gamma'))$$

$$(\forall \mathbf{E}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall \mathbf{I}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : T \qquad a \not\in tv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \forall a.T}$$

$$(\text{Let}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \textit{S} \qquad \Gamma, x : \textit{S} \ \vdash \ t' : T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \textit{let} \ x = t \ \textit{in} \ t' : T}$$

The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

$$(\rightarrow \text{I}) \ \frac{\Gamma, x: T \ \vdash \ t: U}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \lambda x. t: T \rightarrow U} \ (\rightarrow \text{E}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ M: T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \ \vdash \ N: T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ M: N: U}$$

22

Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the Hindley/Milner system.

```
let map = \lambda f. \lambda xs in if (isEmpty (xs)) nil else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs)) ... // names: List[String] // nums : List[Int] // map : \forall a. \forall b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow List[a] \rightarrow List[b] ... map toUpperCase names map increment nums
```

23

Limitations of Hindley/Milner

 $\label{eq:hindley} \mbox{Milner still does not allow parameter types to be polymorphic.} \label{eq:hindley} \mbox{I.e.}$

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$$

is still ill-typed, even though the following is well-typed:

$$\mathbf{let}\ id = \lambda y.y\ \mathbf{in}\ id\ id$$

With explicit polymorphism the expression could be completed to a well-typed term:

$$(\Lambda a.\lambda x: (\forall a: a \rightarrow a).x[a \rightarrow a](x[a]))(\Lambda b.\lambda y.y)$$

The Essence of let

We regard

 $\mathbf{let}\; x = t\; \mathbf{in}\; t'$

as a shorthand for

[t/x]t'

We use this equivalence to get a revised $\mathsf{Hindley}/\mathsf{Milner}$ system.

$$\text{(Let')} \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T \qquad \Gamma \ \vdash \ [t/x]t':U}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \textbf{let} \ x = t \ \ \textbf{in} \ t':U}$$

25

Theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t : S \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{HM'} t : S$

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus $F_1\colon$

 ${\bf Corollary:}\ \ {\bf Let}\ t^*$ be the result of expanding all ${\bf \textit{let}}$'s in t according to the rule

 $\mathbf{let}\; x = t \; \mathbf{in}\; t' \quad \rightarrow \quad [t/x]t'$

Then

 $\Gamma \; \vdash_{HM} \; t : T \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \Gamma \; \vdash_{F_1} \; t^* : T$

Furthermore, if every *let*-bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \; \vdash_{F_1} \; t^* : T \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \Gamma \; \vdash_{HM} \; t : T$$

26

Principal Types

$$S' \leq T \ \Rightarrow \ S \leq T$$

We write in this case $S \leq S'$.

- $\bullet \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ t : S$
- $\bullet \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ t:S' \ \text{implies} \ S \leq S'$

27

Definition: A type system TS has the principal typing property iff, whenever $\Gamma \vdash_{TS} t : S$ then there exists a principal type scheme for Γ and t.

Theorem:

- 1. HM' without ${\it let}$ has the p.t.p.
- 2. HM^\prime with ${\it let}$ has the p.t.p.
- 3. HM has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch: (1.): Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus. (2.): Expand all ${\it let}$'s and apply (1.). (3.): Use equivalence between ${\it HM}$ and ${\it HM'}$.

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for ${\cal H}{\cal M}.$ But in practice one takes a more direct approach.

29

Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for ${\it let}$ expressions:

30

```
\begin{split} TP: Judgement &\to Subst \to Subst \\ TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) \; s = \\ & \textbf{case } t \; \textbf{of} \\ & \dots \\ & \textbf{let} \; x = t_1 \; \textbf{in} \; t_2 \; : \; \textbf{let} \; a, b \; \textbf{fresh} \; \textbf{in} \\ & \quad \textbf{let} \; s_1 = TP \; (\Gamma \vdash t_1:a) \; \textbf{in} \\ & \quad TP \; (\Gamma, x: \textbf{gen}(s_1 \; \Gamma, s_1 \; a) \; \vdash \; t_2:b) \; s_1 \end{split} where \textbf{gen}(\Gamma, T) \; = \; \forall tv(T) \backslash tv(\Gamma).T.
```

, ed. J.-L. Lassez and G.D. Plotkin, MIT Press, 1991, pages 444-

31-1