

# *Code Inspection Report*

*'Bom Dia Academia' Software Development  
Project*

BSc/MSc in [LEI | LIGE | METI]  
Academic Year 2018/2019 - 1º Semester  
Software Engineering I

Group Id 22  
78410, Adil Abreu IC2  
77584, Francisco Pereira, IC1  
78090, João Pimenta, IC2  
77637, Luís Casqueiro, IC1

ISCTE-IUL, Instituto Universitário de Lisboa  
1649-026 Lisbon  
Portugal

November 2018

# Table of Contents

Introduction ..... 3

Code inspection – Name of the component being inspected ..... 3

Code inspection checklist ..... 3

Found defects..... 5

Corrective measures..... 5

Conclusions of the inspection process ..... 5

## Introduction

A presente aplicação visa permitir o acesso a várias plataformas online de informação relevante. Permite aceder ao e-mail do aluno, apresentando os e-mails presentes na caixa de entrada, bem como responder aos mesmos.

Quanto às redes sociais, recolhe Tweets relacionados com o ISCTE, permite retweetar, fazer like (favorite) e publicar tweets próprios.

Já com o Facebook, permite as mesmas funcionalidades: recolhe posts relevantes e permite ainda comentar, fazer like e criar posts próprios.

O utilizador pode ordenar a informação por ordem alfabética ou por data. Tem ainda à sua disposição filtros, que permitem que só apareça informação que respeite determinados critérios (por exemplo, apresentar apenas posts das últimas 24h).

Com o nome Bom Dia Academia, esta aplicação permite aos estudantes do ISCTE-IUL ter acesso a várias plataformas e à mais variada informação tudo num só espaço.

## Code inspection – Name of the component being inspected

*Description of the software component being inspected*

<i>Component name (Package/Class/Method):</i>	<i>Package BDA</i>
<i>Component was compiled:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Component was executed:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Component was tested without errors:</i>	<i>Yes, but with warnings.</i>
<i>Testing coverage achieved:</i>	<i>75.6%</i>

## Code inspection checklist

Java Inspection Checklist

Copyright © 1999 by Christopher Fox. Used with permission.

### 1. Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC)

- ☒ Are descriptive variable and constant names used in accord with naming conventions?
- ☐ Are there variables or attributes with confusingly similar names?
- ☒ Is every variable and attribute correctly typed?
- ☒ Is every variable and attribute properly initialized?
- ☒ Could any non-local variables be made local?
- ☒ Are all for-loop control variables declared in the loop header?
- ☐ Are there literal constants that should be named constants?
- ☐ Are there variables or attributes that should be constants?
- ☐ Are there attributes that should be local variables?
- ☒ Do all attributes have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?
- ☒ Are there static attributes that should be non-static or vice-versa?

### 2. Method Definition Defects (FD)

- ☒ Are descriptive method names used in accord with naming conventions?
- ☐ Is every method parameter value checked before being used?
- ☒ For every method: Does it return the correct value at every method return point?
- ☒ Do all methods have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?
- ☒ Are there static methods that should be non-static or vice-versa?

### 3. Class Definition Defects (CD)

- ☒ Does each class have appropriate constructors?
- ☐ Do any subclasses have common members that should be in the superclass?

### 4. Data Reference Defects (DR)

- ☒ For every array reference: Is each subscript value within the defined bounds?
- ☐ For every object or array reference: Is the value certain to be non-null?

### 5. Computation/Numeric Defects (CN)

- ☐ Are there any computations with mixed data types?
- ☒ Is overflow or underflow possible during a computation?
- ☒ For each expressions with more than one operator: Are the assumptions about order of evaluation and precedence correct?
- ☒ Are parentheses used to avoid ambiguity?

### 6. Comparison/Relational Defects (CR)

- ☒ For every boolean test: Is the correct condition checked?
- ☒ Is each boolean expression correct?
- ☐ Are there improper and unnoticed side-effects of a comparison?
- ☐ Has an "&" inadvertently been int

### 7. Control Flow Defects (CF)

- ☒ For each loop: Is the best choice of looping constructs used?
- ☒ Will all loops terminate?
- ☒ When there are multiple exits from a loop, is each exit necessary and handled properly?
- ☐ Does each switch statement have a default case?
- ☒ Is the nesting of loops and branches too deep, and is it correct?
- ☐ Can any nested if statements be converted into a switch statement?
- ☐ Are all exceptions handled appropriately?
- ☒ Does every method terminate?

### 8. Input-Output Defects (IO)

- ☒ Have all files been opened before use?
- ☒ Are the attributes of the input object consistent with the use of the file?
- ☒ Have all files been closed after use?
- ☒ Are all I/O exceptions handled in a reasonable way?

### 9. Module Interface Defects (MI)

- ☒ Are the number, order, types, and values of parameters in every method call in agreement with the called method's declaration?
- ☒ If an object or array is passed, does it get changed, and changed correctly by the called method?

### 10. Comment Defects (CM)

- ☐ Does every method, class, and file have an appropriate header comment?
- ☐ Does every attribute, variable, and constant declaration have a comment?
- ☒ Is the underlying behavior of each method and class expressed in plain language?
- ☒ Is the header comment for each method and class consistent with the behavior of the method or class?
- ☒ Do the comments and code agree?
- ☒ Do the comments help in understanding the code?
- ☐ Are there enough comments in the code?
- ☐ Are there too many comments in the code?

### 11. Layout and Packaging Defects (LP)

- ☒ Is a standard indentation and layout format used consistently?
- ☐ For each method: Is it no more than about 60 lines long?
- For each compile module: Is no more than about 600 lines long?

## 12. Modularity Defects (MO)

- ☒ Is there a high level of cohesion within each module (methods or class)?
- ☐ Is there repetitive code that could be replaced by a call to a method that provides the behavior of the repetitive code?
- ☒ Are the Java class libraries used where and when appropriate?

Java Inspection Checklist, Page 3

## 13. Storage Usage Defects (SU)

- ☒ Are arrays large enough?

## 14. Performance Defects (PE)

- ☒ Can better data structures or more efficient algorithms be used?
- ☒ Are logical tests arranged such that the often successful and inexpensive tests precede the more expensive and less frequently successful tests?
- ☒ Can the cost of recomputing a value be reduced by computing it once and storing the results?
- ☒ Is every result that is computed and stored actually used?

## Found defects

Found defect Id	Package, Class, Method, Line	Defect category	Description
1	Package BDA; GUI; guarda.Servicos()	IO	Dado que o objeto Message não é serializable, não conseguimos guardar em ficheiro a lista com os objetos do tipo E-Mail, para uso offline

## Corrective measures

*1, Para resolver este problema será necessário guardar toda a informação dos objetos Message em Strings, sendo assim possível guardar em ficheiro. Depois da leitura será necessário voltar a converter essas Strings para objetos Message.*

## Conclusions of the inspection process

*No geral, considera-se o trabalho bem concebido, com implementação funcional de todas as características pedidas e com algumas adicionais. Existem, claro, aspetos a ser melhorados, como por exemplo:*

- Terem sido resolvidos atempadamente todos os problemas encontrados.*
- Melhor qualidade de codificação, por exemplo, estáticos que não deviam ser estáticos e vice-versa.*

*No entanto a aplicação é perfeitamente funcional e poderia ser entregue ao cliente.*