CHEM/PHY 598

Info: You can work in groups, but all solutions must be written up independently. Many of the written problems –which are not assigned directly from the class textbook– are taken from a variety of other textbooks/papers. If any question requires a computational component, provide your written answer on one sheet, then the printout of your Mathematica notebook only for that problem on separate sheets following your write-up for that problem. Then repeat for each problem. i.e. do **not** staple a Mathematica notebook printout for all problems at the end of your problem set. **Only codes that are commented** *at every step* and *whose logic can be easily followed* will be graded.

DUE: Thursday April 09th, 3PM. To be handed in within the first five minutes of class.

Problem 1:

In class we discussed a Gibbs sampler for a mixture model of a **Normal** $(\mu_1,1/\tau)$ and a **Normal** $(\mu_2,1/\tau)$. In the example, we assumed that the observations $\mathbf{w_{1:N}}$ stem from the first and second components with known probabilities $\omega_1=\omega$ and $\omega_2=1-\omega$, respectively. However, generally the value of ω may be unknown and so we have to estimate it similar to the other variables.

Place a beta prior on ω and develop a Gibbs sampler to draw posterior samples from $p(\omega, \tau, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mathbf{s_{1:N}} | \mathbf{w_{1:N}})$. In this setup, the entire model is

$$\omega \sim \text{Beta}(A, B)$$
 (1)

$$au \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$$
 (2)

$$\mu_1 \sim \text{Normal}(\xi, \psi)$$
 (3)

$$\mu_2 \sim \mathsf{Normal}(\xi, \psi)$$
 (4)

$$s_n|\omega \sim \text{Categorical}_{1,2}(\omega, 1-\omega)$$
 (5)

$$w_n|s_n, \mu_1, \mu_2, \tau \sim \text{Normal}\left(\mu_{s_n}, \frac{1}{\tau}\right), \qquad n = 1, \dots, N$$
 (6)

Problem 2:

In class we highlighted independent normal-gamma priors to estimate the center and spread of an underlying normal distribution. In doing so, we used known values for the hyperparameters ξ, ψ, α, β ; however, in many practical applications specifying values for these hyperparameters might not be easy. In such cases, we may apply a hyperhyper-model

$$\xi \sim \text{Normal}(\eta, \zeta)$$
 (7)

$$\psi \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(\kappa, \lambda)$$
 (8)

$$\alpha \sim \text{Gamma}(\gamma, \omega)$$
 (9)

$$\beta \sim \text{Gamma}(\rho, \phi)$$
 (10)

$$\mu | \xi, \psi \sim \text{Normal}(\xi, \psi)$$
 (11)

$$\tau | \alpha, \beta \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$$
 (12)

$$w_n | \mu, \tau \sim extsf{Normal}\left(\mu, \frac{1}{\tau}\right), \qquad n = 1, \dots, N$$
 (13)

Assume the values of the hyper-hyper-parameters $\eta, \zeta, \kappa, \lambda, \gamma, \omega, \rho, \phi$ are known and develop a Metropolis-within-Gibbs scheme to sample from the joint posterior $p(\mu, \tau, \alpha, \beta, \xi, \psi | \mathbf{w_{1:N}})$. In doing so, use Gibbs updates for $\mu, \tau, \beta, \xi, \psi$ and a Metropolis update for α .