Finally! Tagless and Fancy-Free Monads

Lance Gatlin 030ct18

Who am I?

- Scala developer, 6 years, 7 teams
 - No background in functional programming before Scala
 - I prefer data-flow, service-oriented, no frills, no magic, least power Scala
- Independent consultant
 - Doer, fixer, closer, truth-seeker, adventurer
 - I don't often greenfield projects
 - ▶ I work within the bounds of team's skills & culture

Who am I?

- My philosophy:
 - Everyday, less wrong; Everyday, better
- ▶ If you disagree with me, please let me know!*
 - You might teach me something (thanks!)
 - *We have limited time, so I may defer talking about your question to later

Who am I?

- Trouble-maker:
 - ► I remain unconvinced that reifying purity in Scala (i.e. non-async IO monad) is worth the complexity trade off
 - ▶ What makes code "easier to reason about" is an opinion
 - Marking pure (or impure) functions in documentation or in naming convention is a design pattern I'm all for!

My Manifesto

- 1. Write readable code
 - Humans matter more (write once, read many)
 - Write code team can read today, push to expand that (code reviews, brown bags, tech talks, etc)
- 2. Keep it simple
 - ► The domain & its problems are hard enough
 - Love your future-self now, and you'll always love your past-self
 - Always understand the cost/benefit of introducing a new non-standard library concept
- 3. Be connected to the needs of users
 - ▶ Coding is the art of trading time for features & fixes
 - When shortcuts & comprises are needed (they always are), knowing users' needs allows for better choices
- 4. Incrementally, deliver the right value, at the right time
 - ► Talk about anything, but only work on what users/stakeholders care about right now
 - Avoid treating job as a technical playground
- 5. Success = 50% hard work, 50% perception of that hard work
 - ▶ Be an active participant in influencing that perception
 - Don't work hard if no one is paying attention, instead first work hard on getting someone to pay attention

Overview

- What is tagless-final?
- Tagless-final example
 - Cats-tagless
- Why does it matter?
- How does it compare to the free monad?
- When should I use use it?
- When should I use tagless-final or free monad?
- Questions

- ► Tagless-final is a pattern for embedding DSLs (i.e. algebras, services, APIs, etc) in typed functional languages like Scala
- Example DSL:

```
01 trait Console[E[_]] {
02    def readLine() : E[String]
03    def printLine(s: String) : E[Unit]
04 }
```

Tagless-final is a pattern for embedding DSLs (i.e. algebras, services, APIs, etc) in typed functional languages like Scala

MONAD-SHAPE: Trait with a generic that

Example DSL:

```
01 trait Console[E[_]] {
02 def readLine() : E[String]
03 def printLine(s: String) : E[Unit]
04 }
```

DECLARE: unimplemented method definitions

WRAP: monad-shape wraps all return values

accepts one type parameter

Why is it called tagless-final?

- "Tagless" since we don't reify operations as values
- "Final" since execution occurs immediately inside monad
 - (As opposed to free monad which suspends execution until later)
- Found a few sources that call it "finally tagless" or "final tagless" too

Interpreter: implements DSL for concrete monad

```
val console = new Console[Id] {
  def readLine() : Id[String] = System.console.readLine
  def printLine(s: String) : Id[Unit] = println(s)
}
```

Interpreter: implements DSL for concrete monad

```
01  val console = new Console[Future] {
02  def readLine() : Future[String] = Future {
03    System.console.readLine
04  }
05  def printLine(s: String) : Future[Unit] = Future {
06  println(s)
07  }
08  }
```

Interpreter: implements DSL for concrete monad

```
01  val console = new Console[Future] {
02  def readLine() : Future[String] = Future {
03    System.console.readLine
04  }
05  def printLine(s: String) : Future[Unit] = Future {
06  println(s)
07  }
08 }
```

Note: could also implement E as Free Monad!

- Stack safety
 - Tagless-final has the stack safety of the target monad
 - Id => no stack safety
 - Future/IO/Monix Task => stack safety
 - Free => stack safety

Bridge is a DSL written in terms of other DSLs

```
01 class Logger[E[_]] extends Console[E] {
02   def info(message: String) : E[Unit] =
     printLine(message)
04 }
```

Bridge (same but compose Console)

```
01 class Logger[E[_]](console: Console[E]) {
    def info(message: String) : E[Unit] =
        printLine(message)
    }
```

Bridge (same but more generalized)

```
01 trait Logger {
02   def info[E[_]](message: String)(implicit console: Console[E]) : E[Unit] =
03   printLine(message)
04 }
```

Program: uses DSL to do work

```
01 def program[E:Monad](console: Console[E]) : E[Unit] =
02 for {
03    s <- console.readLine()
04    _ <- console.printLine(s)
05 } yield ()</pre>
```

MONAD: have to commit to somebody's type-class (Cats, Scalaz, ???)

Program: uses DSL to do work

```
01 def program[E:Monad](console: Console[E]) : E[Unit] =
02   for {
03     s <- console.readLine()
        _ <- console.printLine(s)
     } yield ()</pre>
```

FLATMAP: Could use just FlatMap typeclass here (or other FlatMap derived)

- Tagless-final was created as an answer to the "expression problem" in DSLs:
 - Functional only: adding a new operation is easy (function), but adding a new expression type (ADT) is difficult (update all functions)
 - ▶ 000 only: adding a new expression type is easy (extend object), but adding a new operation is difficult (update all objects)
 - Scala(both OO and functional), is uniquely suited to easily implement tagless-final

Slightly more interesting example

Tagless-final "service"

```
trait Users[E[_]] {
    def findByUsername(username: String) : E[Option[User]]
    def findById(id: UUID) : E[Option[User]]
    def findAll(start: Int, batchSize: Int) : E[Seq[User]]
    def create(id: UUID, username: String, plainTextPassword: String) : E[Boolean]
    def rename(userId: UUID, newUsername: String) : E[Boolean]
    def setPassword(userId: UUID, plainTextPassword: String) : E[Boolean]
    def remove(userId: UUID) : E[Boolean]
}
```

Declaration

```
trait Users[E[_]] {
    def findByUsername(username: String) : E[Option[User]]
    def findById(id: UUID) : E[Option[User]]
    ...
    }
```

Declaration

DATA-IN: Input parameters are typically simple data types (e.g. String, Int, collection, case class)

```
trait Users[E[_]] {
  def findByUsername(username: String) : E[Option[User]]
  def findById(id: UUID) : E[Option[User]]
  ...
  }
}
```

DATA-OUT: return values are typically simple data types

Implementation

```
01
    class UsersImpl[E[_]:Monad](
02
     usersDao: SqlDocDao[UUID,UserData,E],
     passwords: Passwords[E],
03
     logger: Logger[E]
04
05
     extends Users[E] {
06
07
     def findById(id: UUID) =
      usersDao.findById(id)).map(toUser)
80
09
10
```

Implementation

TYPECLASS-DEP: Declare minimum type-classes needed for monad-shape

```
class UsersImpl[E[_]:Monad](
01
02
     usersDao: SqlDocDao[UUID,UserData,E],
03
     passwords: Passwords[E],
     logger: Logger[E]
04
05
     extends Users[E] {
06
07
     def findById(id: UUID) =
80
      usersDao.findById(id)).map(toUser)
09
```

COMPOSE: Inject instances of DSLs required & propagate the generic monad-shape to composed DSLs

Method implementation

```
12
     def create(id: UUID, username: String, plainTextPassword: String): E[Boolean] =
14
      for {
        optUser <- findByIdOrUsername(id,username)</pre>
15
16
        createOk <- optUser match {</pre>
17
          case Some(_) => E.pure(false)
18
          case None =>
19
           for {
            digest <- passwords.mkDigest(plainTextPassword)</pre>
20
21
            insertResult <- usersDao.insert(id,UserData(
22
             username = username,
23
             passwordDigest = digest
24
            _ <- logger.info(s"Created user $id with username $username")</p>
25
26
           } yield insertResult
27
28
       } yield createOk
```

Test

```
class UsersImplTest extends FlatSpec with Matchers with MockFactory {
01
02
     class Fixture(
03
     val usersDao: SqlDocDao[UUID,UserData,Id] = stub[SqlDocDao[UUID,UserData,Id]],
     val passwords: Passwords[ld] = stub[Passwords[ld]],
04
     val logger: Logger[ld] = mock[Logger[ld]]
05
06
07
      val users = new UsersImpl[Id](
80
       usersDao = usersDao,
09
       passwords = passwords,
10
       logger = logger
12
```

Test

```
14
    "UsersImpl.create" should "create a new user when id & username does not already exist" in
16
17
     val fixture = new Fixture
18
     import fixture._
19
     val id = UUID.randomUUID()
20
     val newUserData = UserData(
21
      username = "test-user",
22
      passwordDigest = "test-digest"
23
24
     (usersDao.findById _).when(id).returns(None)
25
      (usersDao.findByNativeQuery _).when(s"`username`='test-user'").returns(Seq.empty)
26
     (passwords.mkDigest _).when("test-password").returns("test-digest")
27
     (usersDao.insert _).when(id,newUserData).returns(true)
     (logger.info _).expects(s"Created user $id with username test-username").once()
28
29
30
     users.create(id,"test","password") shouldBe true
31
```

. . .

Create an instance (Future)

```
val sqlDocDao : SqlDocDao[UUID,User,Future] = ...
02
    val passwords : Passwords[Future] = ...
    val logger : Logger[Future] = ...
03
04
05
    | val users = new UsersImpl[Future](
     sqlDocDao = sqlDocDao,
06
     passwords = passwords,
07
     logger = logger
80
09
10
11
    val result : Future[Boolean] = users.create(
12
     UUID.randomUUID(),
      "SomeUser",
13
14
      "password"
15
```

Create an instance (Monix Task)

```
val sqlDocDao : SqlDocDao[UUID,User,Task] = ...
02
    val passwords : Passwords[Task] = ...
    val logger : Logger[Task] = ...
03
04
05
    | val users = new UsersImpl[Task](
     sqlDocDao = sqlDocDao,
06
07
     passwords = passwords,
     logger = logger
80
09
10
11
    val result : Task[Boolean] = users.create(
12
     UUID.randomUUID(),
      "SomeUser",
13
14
      "password"
15
```

What if composed service is wrong E?

```
val sqlDocDao : SqlDocDao[UUID,User,Future] = ...
02
    val passwords : Passwords[Future] = ...
    val logger : Logger[Id] = ...
03
04
05
    | val users = new UsersImpl[Future](
     sqlDocDao = sqlDocDao,
06
07
     passwords = passwords,
80
     logger = logger
09
10
11
    val result : Future[Boolean] = users.create(
12
     UUID.randomUUID(),
13
      "SomeUser",
      "password"
14
15
```

Cats Tagless

```
import cats.tagless._

mathrewise import cats.tagless._

mathrewise import cats.tagless._

autoFunctorK

trait ExpressionAlg[F[_]] {
    def num(i: String): F[Float]
    def divide(dividend: Float, divisor: Float): F[Float]

formula import cats.tagless._

mathrewise import cats.tagless._

mathrewise import cats.tagless._

formula impo
```

- Cats' FunctionK can transform an ExpressionAlg[F] to a ExpressionAlg[G]
 - Using a FunctionK[F, G], a.k.a. F ~> G.
- https://github.com/typelevel/cats-tagless

Why does it matter?

- Because final-tagless pattern is simple and regular, code generation based on DSLs can be created to auto-generate:
 - REST client/server stub/proxies/docs
 - Service deployment framework
 - Auto "lift" of monadic type (e.g. Cats-tagless FunctionK)
- E type-class can also include more interesting effects:
 - Parallelization
 - Built in logging
 - Custom exception/error handling

Why does it matter?

- We (the Scala community) can (finally!) begin to write "middleware" code that can be shared across all silos:
 - Lightbend (Futures, actors, Kafka)
 - Scalaz/Typelevel (pure functional programming)
 - Spark (Spark DSL)
 - Better-python (Li Haoyi, blocking)
 - Better-java (blocking, reflection, null)
- ► Like https://github.com/mohiva/play-silhouette
 - But for all silos!

Why does it matter?

- This makes me very excited about tagless-final and its potential for Scala!
- Silo'd Scala has led to huge fragmentation!
 - Every team I've worked with does Scala differently!
 - ▶ Those choices often make their code incompatible with different silos!
 - New concepts embedded in the type system leak everywhere
 - All or nothing for concepts like IO monad
 - Open source from silos often doesn't interop with other silos!
- Other languages don't have this problem!
 - Leads to a lot of teams finding it easier to just create their own eco-system

Should I use it?

- If your team is comfortable with monadic programming, it's worth considering
- OR if you are writing open source and you want to make code re-useable by most everyone in the wider community

Tagless-final or Free monad?

- Use tagless-final
 - ▶ If team is unsure exactly how code will be used (e.g. open source)
 - Can render to Free later
 - Also if team is ok with delegating stack safety to monad
- Free monad
 - If you need stack safety (monadic loops, deep workflows)
 - If you know your use case includes serialization of code (e.g. pass to workers or remote server)
 - Need transaction semantics
- Prefer tagless-final since it is less complex than Free monad in Scala

When NOT to use tagless-final or free

- If team isn't comfortable with monadic coding
 - It's a very big conceptual jump
- Of if monadic coding isn't a good fit for requirements
 - CPU bound tasks
 - Low memory footprint

Questions?

lance.gatlin@gmail.com

https://github.com/lancegatlin

References

- https://softwaremill.com/free-tagless-compared-how-not-to-commit-to-monad-too-early/
- http://okmij.org/ftp/tagless-final/
- https://github.com/typelevel/cats-tagless
- https://blog.scalac.io/exploring-tagless-final.html
- https://typelevel.org/blog/2017/12/27/optimizing-final-tagless.html
- https://www.beyondthelines.net/programming/introduction-to-tagless-final/
- https://medium.com/@agaro1121/free-monad-vs-tagless-final-623f92313eac
- https://pchiusano.github.io/2014-05-20/scala-gadts.html