## Jack Lanchantin CS 6501 HW2

1.1

$$J(\beta) = (y - X\beta)^2 + \lambda \beta \beta$$
  
=  $(y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) + \beta^T (\lambda I)\beta$   
=  $y^T y - 2yX\beta + X^T X\beta^T \beta + \beta^T (\lambda I)\beta$ 

$$\nabla_{\beta} J(\beta) = -2y^T X + 2X^T X \beta + 2\lambda I \beta$$
$$0 = -2y^T X + \beta (2X^T X + 2\lambda I)$$
$$2y^T X = \beta (2X^T X + 2\lambda I)$$
$$\beta = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} y^T X$$

1.2

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \\ 5 & 10 \end{bmatrix}, Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

$$X^TX = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 15 & 25 \\ 15 & 45 & 75 \\ 25 & 75 & 125 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow non\ invertable$$

- => Cannot be solved by linear regression because  $X^TX$  is non-invertable
- 1.3 Lasso Regression should be used because it can generate a sparse  $\beta$  vector
- 1.4 See graphs at end of document
- 1.5 Ridge regression performs better on this data set. This is probably due to the fact that after running linear regression between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , I found that  $\theta \approx 2$ . This means that the features are not linearly independent  $(x_2 \approx 2x_1)$ , and thus the  $X^TX$  matrix is non invertable, so using  $\lambda$ I in the ridge regression to regularize the function makes it so that the  $X^TX$  matrix is guaranteed to be invertable, resulting in a more accurate  $\beta$ .

## 2.3 Table of Kernels and Parameters

Kernel	$\mathbf{C}$	Degree	Gamma	Test Accuracy	Train Accuracy
linear	1	3	0	84.8683%	84.6414%
poly	1	3	0	78.0783%	78.2669%
poly	50	3	0	84.7457%	84.5816%
poly	50	4	0	82.3718%	82.5099%
poly	100	3	0	85.2595%	84.8605%
rbf	1	3	0	84.9976%	84.7343%
rbf	1	3	.1	91.7081%	83.3798%
rbf	50	3	0	86.1381%	85.2988%
rbf	50	3	0.1	91.708%	83.3798%
sigmoid	50	3	0	75.1077%	75.4316%