

Modeling Attack Functions with a Multilayer Perceptron Network

Landon Buell

19 May 2020

Introduction

In the modern world, neural networks have seen a great emergence due to progress in advanced numerical problem solving and the need for high- performance computing. Such widespread use makes a neural network a target for potential external attacks or other disruptions. Such attacks could present performance drops or security compromises to any system that relies on their functionality. Currently, few networks have systems in place that allow for the identification or correction of intended attacks.

To explore how Neural Networks perform when subject to a series of manipulations, we will create an image classification multilayer perceptron network. This feed-forward model is composed of layers of neurons, $\vec{x}^{(l)}$ connected by a system of weights, $\hat{W}^{(l)}$ and added with a column of intercepts $\vec{b}^{(l)}$ to pass data from one end to the other. This standard process is mathematically modeled by the matrix-vector equation:

$$\vec{x}^{(l+1)} = f\left(\hat{W}^{(l)}\vec{x}^{(l)} + \vec{b}^{(l)}\right) \quad (1)$$

Where f is an *activation function*, the superscript (l) and $(l + 1)$ indicate a layer index, \vec{x} , \hat{W} , and \vec{b} are the layer activation, weighting matrix, and bias vector respectively.

To model an attack function, we insert an extra function into this system that manipulates the expected outcome of the matrix-vector product. This has the effect of inhibiting the network from making an accurate decision based upon any input samples provided. The newly inserted function, called an *attack function* changes the feed-forward model, eqn. (1) such that:

$$\vec{x}^{(l+1)} = f\left(A(\hat{W}^{(l)}\vec{x}^{(l)}) + \vec{b}^{(l)}\right) \quad (2)$$

Where A is the attack function.

The attack function is also based on an *attack type* and a Boolean *trigger condition*. If the trigger condition is defined as *false*, then no attack occurs, thus eqn. (1) applies. If *true*, then the attack commences on that layer pass, and eqn. (1) is replaced with eqn. (2). In the latter sections of this paper we explore how different variants of the *attack type* is implemented, and how it affects the layer activations.

Network Sizes and Parameters

To ensure a sufficiently wide range of results, we applied all attack types to a variety of Network architectures. In all, 24 different MLP variants were tested on. The simplest way to vary the networks is the adjust the number of hidden layer in the model, and the number of neurons in each hidden layer. These are also referred to as *network depths* and *neuron density* respectively. We test four different depths, being 1, 2, 3 and 4 hidden layers and size different densities, being 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 neurons per layer. Combinations of these create the 24 network variants. Additionally, for each variant, we create 50 models and record metrics as averaged uniformly over them.

To ensure consistency across all models, several *hyperparameters* in the MLP have been set. This is done in scikit learn by providing arguments to the classifier when initializing the class instance.

- **Activation Function:** The *Rectified Linear Unit* Activation Function (ReLU), was used in all network instances. It is defined:

$$\text{ReLU}(z) = \max(\{0, z\}) \quad (3)$$

This is represented by f in equations (1) and (2). This constraint forces all activations to lie in a non-negative range.

- **Solver:** Stochastic Gradient Descent. This is an optimization method based on using iterations over the training data set to update parameters in a way such that it reduces the error given by the difference between a given output and an expected output in a training batch.
- **Batch Size:** A mini batch is a subset of training data used in each training iteration. For this experiential, a batch size of 100 samples was used. After each batch is passed through the network, the training set is then shuffled again and a new set of 100 samples are drawn at random for the next training iteration.
- **Maximum Iterations:** To prevent models from potentially being stuck in indefinite loops, a maximum number of 400 iterations per model instance was allowed. In practical training circumstances, this also prevents a network from being over fit on a single collection of data. If a model did not converge on a sufficient set of parameters, the training process halts regardless.

- **Tolerance:** The tolerance parameter sets a value for the solver to define as a value to reach in the gradient. For this experiment, tolerance was set to 10^{-4} .
- **Learning Rate:** The learning parameter exists to scale the step indicated by the SGD process. This helps to mitigate the possibility of vanishing or exploding gradients. It is set to 0.001 for this experiment.
- **Momentum:** Momentum is designed to accelerate the SGD optimization process. It is a scalar, usually bound between 0 and 1, and for this experiment, was set to 0.9.

The data set used was the MNIST data set, available through the scikit-learn *datasets* module. It contains 70,000 samples of images that have been written by hand, and labeled by humans accordingly. Each image is 28×28 pixels, making the input feature vector 784 dimensional when compressed into a single column vector to provide to the network model. To minimize computation time, only the first 16,000 image samples were used. They were then broken down into 9600 *training* samples and 6400 *testing* samples. All models used identical training and testing subsets.

Baseline Model

Before any modification to the MLP network, we need to establish a baseline or a control model. This model was run using the standard unmodified *Multilayer Perceptron Classifier* implementation provided by the Python Library *Scikit Learn* 0.22.1. For each of the 24 model variants, the 9600 sample were used to train and then 6400 used to test. No attack function modification was applied at any point, thus only equation (1) is used. This is indicative of a normally functioning neural network, with no external modification applied. The results of this network provide a control model to compare all other results to.

Rounding to the Nearest Integer Value

Adding Noise drawn from a Gaussian Distribution

A simple type of attack to model is one that introduces inherent noise into the activations of a layer. Mathematically, the attack function would take a set of activations, \vec{x} and add noise $\vec{\epsilon}$ as drawn from a distribution or otherwise. Thus we can model an attack function A such that:

$$A_{\text{noise}}[\hat{W}^{(l)}\vec{x}^{(l)}] = \hat{W}^{(l)}\vec{x}^{(l)} + \vec{\epsilon}^{(l)} \quad (4)$$

To model this numerically, We take the array that results from the matrix-vector product and add an equivalently shaped array containing entries as drawn from a standard Gaussian Distribution, with mean $\mu = 0$ and standard deviation $\sigma = 1$. Thus:

$$\vec{\epsilon}^{(l)} = N(0, 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad (5)$$

Muting the Most Significant Bit

In general, when performing computations with Neural Networks, most algorithms with use single or double precision floating point numbers. In the case of Python 3.7 and Scikit-Learn 0.22.1, these double-precision variant is used almost exclusively. To simulate a Network attack at a binary level, we add a filter that 'mutes' the *most-significant-bit* (MSB) in the floating-point number. A Double precision float is composed of 64 binary bits, by the IEEE 754 floating-point standard, the 0-th bit is the sign, the next 11 are the exponent and the remaining 52 are the fraction. Examining just the exponent, we have the 11 bits:

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|
| 1024 | 512 | 256 | 128 | 64 | 32 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Choosing the MSB to be the 1024 entry, the highest possible value that the exponent can take on is 1023 (all other bits are 1's). Additionally, with the IEEE 754 standard, there is an exponent offset, that takes the expected exponent value given by the 11 bits and then subtracts 1023 from it. This means that with he muted first bit, and the offset, the exponent part of the number is bounded on the interval $e \in [-1023, 0]$.

Thus rather than convert each float to a 64-bit binary string, which is time consuming, we test the value of the exponent in each float. If the value is ever above zero, it is then set to zero. All exponents less than zero are preserved. This has the effect of forcing the double precision float to have an upper bound that asymptotically approach 2, but never reach or exceed it.

Concluding Remarks