

# Optimization Methods for Deep Feed-Forward Neural Networks

Landon Buell

25 June 2020

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Deep Feed-Forward Neural Network Structure

A Deep-Feed forward neural network is a class of architectures built around layers of operations that are called in a fixed sequence. Each layer,  $(l)$  takes some input object  $x^{(l-1)}$ , applies some operation,  $f^{(l)}$ , and produces some output  $x^{(l)}$ , where the super-script indicates a layer index. The object  $x^{(l)}$  then becomes the input for the next layer of the network [1, 2]. A model with  $L$  layers requires an input object  $x^{(0)}$ , which is then transformed by  $L - 1$  layers to produce object  $x^{(L-1)}$ , which we refer to as the output of the network.

Each layer of the network takes the form of a function-like object  $f$  that takes an input  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and transforms it into an output  $y \in \mathbb{R}^M$ . Typically,  $f$  can take the form of a matrix-vector equation, a  $k$ -dimensional convolution operation, a pooling operation, a non-linear activation function, or any other general transformation. In each case, there is a series of parameters associated with the function  $f$ . In the case of a matrix-vector equation, there are the elements inside each matrix and bias vector, and in the case of convolution, there are the weighting values within the kernel itself.

### 1.2 Optimization Motivation

Ultimately any output is function of the input, and the parameters in each layer. By convention, these parameters can be concatenated into a single object called  $\theta$ . For smaller neural networks,  $\theta$  may only have several hundred elements, but for increasingly complex models, there can be upwards of several million elements within the object. The goal of the network then is to find a particular set of elements  $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots\}$  that allow a network to produce a desirable output based on that input. To do this, we require a set of samples  $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  and a set of corresponding labels  $Y = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ , such that element  $x_i$  should produce result  $y_i$ , when passed into the network model.

In reality, a network will not produce output  $y_i$  from samples  $x_i$  exactly, but will instead produce an *approximation* of  $y_i$  which we denote as  $y_i^*$ . We can use a function  $J$  called the *objective function* which compares the expected output,  $y_i$ , to the actual output,  $y_i^*$  and produces a numerical score ranking how much the two values differ from each other. This score is called the *cost* of the sample [3], lower numbers being favorable, showing a low difference or low cost of the sample.

The value of the cost function is then directly dependent on the expected output,  $y_i$  and the given output  $y_i^*$ . The cost of a single sample can be shown as  $J = J(y_i, y_i^*)$ . However, the output is implicitly dependent on the the network input  $x_i$  and the parameters in each layer, given by  $\theta$ . Since the latter two are fixed objects, we can then only adjust the elements in the object  $\theta$ . We do so to allow for increasingly lower values of the objective function,  $J(\theta)$ , which indicates that on average, the network model is producing more and more accurate predictions. This process is called *optimization*.

It is worth noting that machine learning does differ from explicit multi-dimensional optimization. In machine learning, the performance of a neural network is typically measured by a standard statistical metric such as precision score, recall score, accuracy rating, etc. [1]. These measures are far more interpretable to a human, and often more indicative that a model is performing as desired than the cost function itself. Thus, we optimize the elements in  $J(\theta)$  in hopes that doing so will also improve these related performance metrics [2]. Despite this indirect optimization, it is the basis for much of machine-learning.

## 2 Gradient Based Learning

A large trouble in machine learning and deep learning arises from the size of the dimensionality of  $\theta$ . For example, in two immediately adjacent *Dense Layers*, which  $n$  units in layer  $(l)$  and  $n$  units in layer  $(l + 1)$ , there are  $(m \times n) + m$  elements added to  $\theta$ . Often, deep learning networks can contain dozens of densely connect layers with hundreds of neurons in each layer. This pushes the size of  $\theta$  to grow very quickly. The number of elements in  $\theta$  is the dimensionality of the network's parameter space [2]. This space contains all possible combinations of parameters of the networks.

We need to then find the appropriate position in parameter-space as to minimize  $J$ . This task becomes even more difficult when considering that we do not define  $J$  explicitly for each position in the space. Furthermore,  $J$  may often contain discontinuities, or ill-conditionings, and is not easily represented by a traditional analytical function, thus we cannot solve the optimization with standard whiteboard mathematics. For deep and complex neral networks, even over a finite set of possible floating-point values, this can amount to trillions of possible locations in parameter space to test, which is unpractical for computational implementation. This prevents us from traditional brute-force testing methods in hopes to find a local or global minimum.

Instead, we brute-force a *guess* or series of guesses for a possible solution. This guess is a particular point in parameter space that either be chosen randomly, or derived from a previously found solution. We can then optimize  $J(\theta)$  based on the guess or collection of guesses by adjusting each value in  $\theta$  as to reduce the value of  $J$  by a small quantity. By repeating this process hundreds of times, under the right conditions, we can take a pseudo-random guess at the solution and slowly adjust each element to develop an approximation of the solution. This process is a simplification of *gradient descent* algorithm.

Very generally, gradient-based learning aims to compute the numerical gradient of the cost function at some point in parameter-space and use the negative gradient to update the elements in the space, as to take a *step* to reduce the value of the cost from the previous step. For example, suppose we guess a set of parameters,  $\theta^*$ , in a  $k$ -dimensional parameter-space,  $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . We then compute the gradient of the cost function, with respect to each parameter in  $\theta$ , which we denote as  $\nabla_{\theta}[J(\theta)]$ . Recall that the gradient operator,  $\nabla$  returns a vector where each element is partial derivative of  $J$  with respect to that parameter:

$$\nabla_{\theta}[J(\theta)] = \nabla_{\theta}[J(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots)] = \left[ \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_0}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_1}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_2}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_3}, \dots \right]^T \quad (1)$$

Both  $\theta^*$  and  $-\nabla_{\theta}[J(\theta)]$  exist in the same dimensional space,  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , and thus can be added together. The elements in  $\theta^*$  provides a sort of starting point, and the elements in  $-\nabla_{\theta}[J(\theta)]$  act as a sort of *step* to take. Changing each element,  $\theta_i^*$  by some amount  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_i}$  results in a small decrease in the overall cost function. We generally write this learning process as:

$$\theta^* = \theta^* + -\alpha \nabla_{\theta}[J(\theta)] \quad (2)$$

## 2.1 Gradient Descent

## References

- [1] Géron Aurélien. *Hands-on Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow: Concepts, Tools, and Techniques to Build Intelligent Systems*. O'Reilly, 2017.
- [2] Goodfellow, Ian, et al. *Deep Learning*. MIT Press, 2017.
- [3] James, Gareth, et al. *An Introduction to Statistical Learning with Applications in R*. Springer, 2017.