# Sound change, priming, salience

Producing and perceiving variation in Liverpool English

Marten Juskan

October 2018, 10:17

#### Language Variation

Editors: John Nerbonne, Dirk Geeraerts

#### In this series:

- 1. Côté, Marie-Hélène, Remco Knooihuizen and John Nerbonne (eds.). The future of dialects.
- 2. Schäfer, Lea. Sprachliche Imitation: Jiddisch in der deutschsprachigen Literatur (18.–20. Jahrhundert).
- 3. Juskan, Marten. Sound change, priming, salience: Producing and perceiving variation in Liverpool English.

ISSN: 2366-7818

# Sound change, priming, salience

Producing and perceiving variation in Liverpool English

Marten Juskan

./langsci/graphics/langsci

Marten Juskan. 2018. Sound change, priming, salience: Producing and perceiving variation in Liverpool English (Language Variation 3). Berlin: Language Science Press.

This title can be downloaded at:

http://langsci-press.org/catalog/book/210

© 2018, Marten Juskan

Published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence (CC BY 4.0):

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ISBN: 978-3-96110-119-1 (Digital) 978-3-96110-120-7 (Hardcover)

ISSN: 2366-7818

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.1451308

Source code available from www.github.com/langsci/210

Collaborative reading: paperhive.org/documents/remote?type=langsci&id=210

Cover and concept of design: Ulrike Harbort

Fonts: Linux Libertine, Libertinus Math, Arimo, DejaVu Sans Mono

Typesetting software: X¬IATEX

Language Science Press Unter den Linden 6 10099 Berlin, Germany langsci-press.org

Storage and cataloguing done by FU Berlin

./langsci/graphics/storagelogo.pdf



1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Intentions – what this study is about	
	1.2	Restrictions – what this study is not about	3
	1.3	Structure of the book	4
2	A bi	rief history of Liverpool and Scouse	7
	2.1	The first 600 years	7
	2.2	19 <sup>th</sup> century	10
	2.3	at the state of th	14
			14
		2.3.2 Decline	16
		2.3.3 Regeneration	19
	2.4		2
	2.5		23
3	Vari	iables	25
	3.1	General remarks	25
	3.2		$\frac{1}{2\epsilon}$
	3.3	1.18.8	27
	0.0		28
		, J(S), and a second se	29
	3.4		32
	5.1		33
		Transfer and the second	35
	3.5	pprox	38
4	A fe	ew words on salience and exemplar theory	39
1	4.1	•	39
	1.1		39
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>3</i> :
		_	4. 4.
		4 L. D. DANENCE III IIIIS SIIIIV	4

	4.2	Exemp	lar theory						
		4.2.1	Basic principles						
		4.2.2	Application in (socio-)linguistics 49						
		4.2.3	Frequency and salience in exemplar theory 53						
	4.3	Summ	$ary \dots \dots$						
5	Inte	erview method 57							
	5.1	Intervi	ew structure						
	5.2	Partici	<mark>pants</mark>						
	5.3	Transc	ription						
	5.4	Measu	ring						
		5.4.1	Consonants						
		5.4.2	Vowels						
		5.4.3	Normalisation						
	5.5	Phono	logical context						
	5.6		ical analysis						
6	Vow	el prod	uction 81						
	6.1	-							
		6.1.1	F1 (happy)						
		6.1.2	F2 (happy)						
		6.1.3	Synthesis and Pillai scores (happy) 104						
	6.2	NURSE							
		6.2.1	F1 (NURSE)						
		6.2.2	F2 (NURSE)						
		6.2.3	Synthesis and Pillai scores (NURSE)						
7	Con	sonant 1	production 141						
	7.1								
		7.1.1	Overview						
		7.1.2	Phonological context						
		7.1.3	Style and gender						
		7.1.4	Age and social class						
		7.1.5	Style shifting						
	7.2								
		7.2.1	Overview						
		7.2.2	Phonological context						
		7.2.3	Style and gender						
		7.2.4	Style and social class						

		7.2.5	Age and gender
		7.2.6	Age and social class
		7.2.7	Social class and gender
		7.2.8	Style shifting
8	Awa	reness	, comments, evaluation 17
	8.1	Scous	e and 'Liverpoolness'
		8.1.1	Accent and identity
		8.1.2	Distinctness, geographical spread, and 'plastic' Scousers 172
		8.1.3	In the north, but not of it?
	8.2	Featur	res of Scouse
		8.2.1	Geographical variation
		8.2.2	Suprasegmentals
		8.2.3	Phonological variables
	8.3	Evolu	tion and evaluation of Scouse
		8.3.1	Old speakers
		8.3.2	Middle-aged speakers
		8.3.3	Young speakers
9	Disc	cussion	(production) 197
	9.1		: Indicator (of northernness)
		9.1.1	Overall age differences
		9.1.2	Gender and class
		9.1.3	Style shifting and awareness
		9.1.4	Classification
	9.2	NURSE	:: Marker to stereotype and back again
		9.2.1	Overall age differences
		9.2.2	Gender and social class
		9.2.3	Style shifting and awareness
		9.2.4	Classification
	9.3	Velar	nasal plus: Indicator with prestige option 208
		9.3.1	Age, class, and gender
		9.3.2	Style shifting and awareness
		9.3.3	Classification
	9.4	Leniti	on: From indicator to stereotype
		9.4.1	Age
		9.4.2	Gender and class
		9.4.3	Style shifting
		9.4.4	Awareness and attitudes 216

		9.4.5	Classification
	9.5	Summa	ary
10	Expe	riment	design 221
	10.1	Stimuli	i
		10.1.1	Stimuli sentences and frequency of keywords 221
		10.1.2	Vowel stimuli
		10.1.3	Consonant stimuli
	10.2	Presen	tation
		10.2.1	Online platform
		10.2.2	Reaction times
	10.3	Partici	pants
	10.4	Statisti	ical analysis
11	Perc	eption r	results 237
	11.1	happy	
		11.1.1	Overview
		11.1.2	Prime
		11.1.3	Position of carrier word
		11.1.4	Geographical distance
		11.1.5	Frequency
		11.1.6	Age
	11.2	NURSE	
		11.2.1	Overview
		11.2.2	Prime
		11.2.3	Position of carrier word
		11.2.4	Stimulus order
	11.3	/ŋ(g)/	
		11.3.1	Overview
		11.3.2	Prime
		11.3.3	Phonological context
		11.3.4	Age
	11.4	/k/	
		11.4.1	Overview
		11.4.2	Prime and social class
		11.4.3	Phonological context
		11.4.4	Geographical distance
		11.4.5	Frequency

12	Disc	ussion (	(Perception)	267
	12.1	Primin	ng and salience	267
		12.1.1	Salient vs. non-salient variables	267
		12.1.2	Degree of priming and accuracy	268
		12.1.3	Salience as likelihood of remembrance	269
	12.2	Social	factors	272
		12.2.1	Social class	272
		12.2.2	Gender	273
		12.2.3	Age	274
		12.2.4	Geographical distance	$27\epsilon$
	12.3	Non-so	ocial factors	277
		12.3.1	Time held in memory	277
		12.3.2	Stimulus order	279
		12.3.3	Phonological environment	280
	12.4	Issues	and limitations	283
		12.4.1	The problem of velar nasal plus	283
		12.4.2	Comparability with previous research	285
		12.4.3	The issue of frequency	286
		12.4.4	Size of the priming effect	287
	12.5	Directi	ion of priming	287
		12.5.1	The problem of replicating priming experiments	287
		12.5.2	Hay et al.'s explanation for inverted effects	289
		12.5.3	Assimilation and contrast effects	290
	12.6	Summ	ary and implications	293
13	Con	clusion		295
Аp	pend	ix A: Qı	uestionnaire	299
۸	nand	ir D. Da	ading passage	303
Аþ	репа	ix D: Re	eading passage	303
Ap	pend	ix C: W	ord list	305
Аp	pend	ix D: St	imuli for perception test	307
Re:	feren	CAS		300

#### 13 Conclusion

Although this study primarily set out to explore the role of salience in exemplar priming it has also produced a number of related results, which are nonetheless interesting. The claim that younger Scousers' speech is noticeably more local (cf. Watson 2007a) could be confirmed, but only for the two salient variables in the sample (NURSE-SQUARE and lenition), which appear to carry considerable amounts of covert prestige. Local variants of non-salient variables, on the other hand, were actually found to be receding. Young Liverpudlians seem to be somewhat more willing to express a local identity linguistically than older ones, but they rely almost exclusively on highly salient and/or stigmatised features for doing so.

Linguistic norms and attitudes in the speech community have remained relatively stable. Speakers of all three generations investigated generally like 'soft' or light Liverpool accents, but largely reject very strong ones, to a not inconsiderable degree because the latter are perceived as exaggerated and artificial. Despite these similarities the presence of hypercorrection particularly in the middle-aged speakers suggests that this group is most sensitive to the negative image of Liverpool and Scouse, probably because economic decline and stigmatisation of the city were at its historic height in the 1970's and 80's when these speakers were growing up.

The phonological variables investigated are not equally salient in all three age groups. For happy-tensing and velar nasal plus there is essentially no change, both variables are largely below the radar for all speakers in the sample. With respect to the Nurse-square merger, however, conscious and sub-conscious awareness declines from the middle to the young generation, while lenition of /k/ sees a steady and linear increase in salience from the oldest to the youngest speakers. Crucially, however, lenition of /k/ is the most salient feature in *all* age groups, and is universally followed by the Nurse-square merger, velar nasal plus, and happy-tensing. While speakers of different age groups have thus not the same level of awareness of the individual variables, the relative ordering is the same in all three generations.

This ordering is then mirrored in the perception data. Both accuracy of 'correct' token selection and statistical robustness of the priming effect correlate with the social salience of the test variable. No effect at all is detectable for happytensing, and only a weak one for velar nasal plus (if participant as a random factor is not taken into account). The nurse-square merger and /k/ lenition, on the other hand, both generate robust priming effects, and for the latter salience can even explain differences between sub-groups of stimuli (divided by phonological environment) or subjects (middle- vs. working-class background). The main hypothesis that this study was built on could thus be confirmed: The more socially salient a linguistic variable is, the more pronounced the resulting effect in an exemplar priming experiment will be; below a certain level of sub-conscious awareness no statistically significant priming effects are generated.

Intriguingly, all significant effects in the perception experiment are in the unexpected direction: Subjects who have been led to believe that the speaker is from Liverpool are *less* likely to perceive variants typical of Liverpool English. While it is seemingly at odds with existing priming research in sociolinguistics, this result is actually compatible with previous work in psychology and suggests that the phonetic distance between the prime and the actual speech signal is too great for perceivers to include the stimulus in the primed category. Priming works nevertheless, but the outcome is a contrast effect instead of the assimilation effects that were found in the studies conducted in Detroit and New Zealand.

Another unexpected outcome of the perception test is that frequency of the carrier word is not really a factor worth mentioning when it comes to predicting how subjects will perceive the stimulus. In Chapter 4 I did argue that frequency of *remembrance* and not frequency of *occurrence* should be most important, but it is still surprising that the latter should essentially play no role at all. It is possible that frequency is just not relevant in this particular context. The production data support this idea, because frequency turned out to be a (nearly) non-significant predictor in production as well. All the same, a different test design that is specifically aimed at investigating frequency effects in priming experiments might be able to yield further interesting insights.

For the perception test, it would also be desirable to have a less biased sample of participants than the one this study is based on. The dataset for perception is quite heavily skewed towards participants that are in their twenties and have a middle-class background. This is not due to a flaw in design, but something of an unfortunate coincidence linked to the difficulties of recruiting participants over the internet. A more balanced sample of subjects would, however, enable the researcher to conduct a much more thorough analysis of the impact of social

characteristics of the perceivers than I have been able to do in this study. The tentative results and conclusions presented in this book, and, more importantly, the ones that can be found in previous research (cf. Hay, Nolan, et al. 2006; Hay & Drager 2010) strongly suggest that this is a fruitful area for future research that can help us to better understand how language perception works.

Turning back to the primary issue of this book, my analysis shows that exemplar priming in sociolinguistics not only needs a variable that comes with a high degree of social salience. In addition, two further requirements have to be met, at least when the goal is to create an assimilation effect: The phonetic distance between the primed variety and the one actually used in the stimuli must be comparatively small, and categorisation of the stimuli must be a comparatively difficult task to start with. So far, criteria defining contexts where 'successful' exemplar priming is to be expected have been lacking. I hope that the ones I have suggested here can serve as a starting point for developing a more elaborate 'theory of priming' (cf. Cesario 2014) in the realm of sociophonetics.

## Sound change, priming, salience

This volume investigates the realisation and perception of four phonological variables in Liverpool English (Scouse), with a special focus on their sociolinguistic salience. Younger speakers' speech is found to be more local, but only for the two salient variables in the sample (NURSE-SQUARE and /k/ lenition), which appear to carry considerable amounts of covert prestige. Local variants of non-salient happy-tensing and velar nasal plus, on the other hand, are actually found to be receding, so at least to a certain extent Scouse also seems to be participating in regional dialect levelling.

The importance of salience is also obvious in the perception data, with only the two highly salient stereotypes generating robust effects in a social priming experiment (albeit in the unexpected direction). These results indicate that the investigated variables differ measurably not only in their use in production, but also in terms of how central they are to mental sociolinguistic representations of Scouse. They also tell us more about the way we process, store, and (re-)use sociolinguistic variation in perception. By defining likely contexts for significant priming effects they might finally even help in coming up with a more elaborate 'theory of priming' in the realm of sociophonetics.

