



LangSci Series Editors Meeting 2023

LangSci
November 17, 2023
2023-11-17, HU Berlin

- › First funding round 2018–2020
 - › **105** institutions * **1000** EUR = 100k€
- › Second funding round 2021–2023
 - › **116** institutions * **1000** EUR = 116k€
- › Target third founding round 2024–2026
 - › **100** institutions * **1300** EUR = 130k€

- › Lion's share
 - › **Germany**
- › well represented
 - › **Netherlands, Switzerland, Belgium**
- › OK
 - › **Sweden, Norway, Finland, Austria**
- › can do better
 - › **UK, US, Australia, France**
- › low representation
 - › **Spain, Eastern Europe**
- › no representation
 - › **Asia, Africa, Middle East, Latin America, Denmark, Ireland, New Zealand, Italy, Portugal**

- › currently 35 series
- › two more series accepted
 - › *Current Issues in Celtic Linguistics*
 - › *Frame-Based Approaches to Semantics*
- › rejected
 - › series on translation practices (not linguistic enough)
- › in preparation
 - › Papuan linguistics
- › decommissioned
 - › *Morphological Investigations*
 - › *Topics at the Grammar-Discourse Interface*
 - › *Studies in Diversity Linguistics*
- › dormant
 - › *Computational Models of Language Evolution*
 - › *Classics in Linguistics*

Childs ALGAD

Jenks ALGAD

Green CAL

Essegbey CAL

Serrelli CAM

PutnamPolinsky CIB

Payne COGL

Terhart COGL

Dyck ELA

Gibson EOTMS

Winckel EOTMS

Herkel HPLS

Stockigt HPLS

Andreassen LV

Wagner LV

Bech OGL

Favaro ORL

Rosemeyer ORL

Howe ORL

Zimmermann OSL

Giouli PMWE

Miestamo RCG

Bartens SCL

Enke SIDL

Ahn SILP

Fuchs SILP

Brunner TBLS

Neacșu TBLS

Vaissiere TBLS

Tallman TPD

Bracks TPD

Nikulin TPD

Kuznetsova TPD

Auer AHL
Haig CAM
Lamberti CAM
Stoyanova CAM
Schroeder CAM

Soesman CIB
Niinaga COGL
Zahrer COGL
Rohleder COGL
Krifka EOTMS

Kuelpmann EOTMS
Sinnemäki RCG
Faller RCG
Richter TBLS

Priorisation of submissions

- › In the next funding period 2024–2026, we will produce 33 books a year
- › We will have 31 productive series, with 2 more accepted series
- › ~ 1 book/year per series
- › How to resolve competition for scarce slots?
 - › proposal: series with less books in a given year goes first

- › We have been opposed to festschrifts from the beginning, but somewhat lenient
- › We have suffered, and we have learned from our mistakes
- › Festschrifts are by and large a nightmare
 - › Stefan and Felix can attest to that
- › We will not publish anything which resembles a festschrift from now on. Period.

- › In general, the technical quality of submissions has significantly improved
- › People use our templates, and series provide guidance to authors about what is expected from them
 - › CAL has workshops at their annual meetings and some kind of LaTeX response team.
 - › please share the experiences of your series

- › One submission with very shoddy papers
- › We cannot assure publication with the resources we have at our disposal.
- › Cavalier disregard of any and all guidelines, which we cannot compensate.
- › Volume editors will have to rework the papers, but they do not have the resources either
- › Book stalled and unlikely to be published any time soon, or even at all.



- › Given the increased output and workload, we will have less time for “rescue missions” for manuscripts in distress.

- › New project joining the *Berlin-Brandenburg Academy of Sciences*, the *Endangered Language Documentation Programme*, and *Language Science Press*
- › Get interlinearized texts in a structured format, treating them as research data
- › Generate HTML pages, PDFs, but also NLP-compatible formats like JSON or CSV
- › Print-on-demand books to be published via LangSci
- › All costs covered until 2026, marginal costs after that



In the context of a new series proposal, the advisory board raised the question of Open Science.

} <https://github.com/langsci/guidelines/issues/2>

- › LangSci is Open Access, we should probably Open Science as well
- › We already have
 - › open source software
 - › source code of the books available
 - › bibliographies on Glottolog
 - › examples in IMTVault
 - › editable graphics
- › we kind of have
 - › a data/ folder on the GitHub repositories where tabular data can be stored
 - › this is currently not well supported, nor used a lot

1. Replicability.

- } Researchers should be able to redo the analysis (or parts thereof) and verify the results
- } Full chain of scientific argumentation

2. Reuse

- } Data once collected should be available for further/other/different research down the road
- } Discuss whether replicability or reuse is our main focus.

The first step in (re)using data is to find them. Metadata and data should be easy to find for both humans and computers.

Machine-readable metadata are essential for automatic discovery of datasets and services, so this is an essential component of the FAIRification process.

} In the domain of reuse, the FAIR principles are a common yardstick

- } Findable
- } Accessible
- } Interoperable
- } Reusable

}

-
- F1. (Meta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier
 - F2. Data are described with rich metadata (defined by R1 below)
 - F3. Metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data they describe
 - F4. (Meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

Once the user finds the required data, they need to know how the data can be accessed, possibly including authentication and authorisation.

- A1. (Meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardised communications protocol
 - A1.1 The protocol is open, free, and universally implementable
 - A1.2 The protocol allows for an authentication and authorisation procedure, where necessary
- A2. Metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available

The data usually need to be integrated with other data. In addition, the data need to interoperate with applications or workflows for analysis, storage, and processing.

11. (Meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.
12. (Meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles
13. (Meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data

The ultimate goal of FAIR is to optimise the reuse of data. To achieve this, metadata and data should be well-described so that they can be replicated and/or combined in different settings.

- R1. (Meta)data are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes
 - R1.1. (Meta)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license
 - R1.2. (Meta)data are associated with detailed provenance
 - R1.3. (Meta)data meet domain-relevant community standards

Additional considerations

- › third party copyrights
- › personality rights
 - › audio
 - › video
 - › children

Take-home messages?

- › What does this mean for your subfield?
- › What existing structures can we use?
 - › Zenodo
 - › OSF
 - › Trolling
 - › DELAMAN
 - › ...
- › What should be recommend to authors?
- › What should we recommend to future series?
- › What should we recommend to existing series?