Reviewing

Language Science Press
November 10, 2020

Timeline

1. expression of interest (informal)
   〉 please forward them to the LangSci office for bookkeeping
1.′ proposal (formal)
2. manuscript submission
3. revised version
4. published version

Outcomes

〉 desk rejection of EoI/proposal
〉 rejection of submitted manuscript
    〉 possibility to resubmit after 1 year
〉 acceptance with revisions
    〉 **you** are the editor, you decide
    〉 it is OK to overrule reviewers

⟩ monographs
  ⟩ classical review
  ⟩ open review

Reviewing grammars

〉 classical review
〉 optional "distributed review"
　　〉 chapter on phonology goes to a phonologist
　　〉 chapter on syntax goes to a syntactician
　　〉 ...

Edited volumes

⟩ proposal review
  ⟩ check focus
  ⟩ drop some chapters
  ⟩ invite further chapters
⟩ submission review
  ⟩ complex vetting context with volume editors and series editors
    1. **delegated review**: series editors trust volume editors
    2. **compliance review**: series editors check that volume editors respected procedures but do not check content
    3. **early two-reviews system**: all chapter reviews go to series editors, who then decide about acceptance
    4. **late two-reviews system**: all revised chapters go to series editors, who then decide about acceptance
  ⟩ often 1 internal and 1 external review per chapter
  ⟩ see https://userblogs.fu-berlin.de/langsci-press/2016/02/08/reviewing-of-edited-volumes

Formats

⟩ Closed review (traditional)

⟩ Disclosed review (reviews and reviewer identities are disclosed after acceptance)

⟩ Open review (anybody can comment)

Disclosed review and open review

Disclosed review

Buy softcover from
Amazon.co.uk

Buy softcover from
Amazon.com

Collaborative reading on
PaperHive

Open review by John
Goldsmith

Open review by Mark Gibson

Open review on PaperHive

Discussion

⟩ recruiting reviewers?

⟩ edited volumes?

⟩ open reviewing?