



# Phonological cover-up:

Contact-induced undoing of sound changes in  
Sri Lanka Malay

Sebastian Nordhoff

2022-08-02, ICHL 25, University of Oxford

Language Science Press

1. no change
2. internal change
3. contact-induced change
4. contact-induced non-change
5. contact-induced reversal

No change

| Language change

time



language



The diagram illustrates internal language change. It features a horizontal arrow pointing to the right, labeled 'time' above it. Below the arrow is a rectangular bar with a color gradient from blue on the left to green on the right. To the right of the bar is the word 'language'. Above the arrow, there is a long, thin horizontal bar with a multi-colored gradient (blue, yellow, orange, red, purple, green).

time

language

time



language



contact language

time




language



contact language



distant relative



- > A loanword (green) is borrowed
- > becomes phonologically integrated (blue) when contact ceases
- > upon renewed contact, the phonological integration is undone





- › Either side of the Bay of Bengal
- › In the West: South Asian Sprachbund (**Masica1976**)
- › In the East: the Malysian peninsula and archipelago
- › long standing trade relations
- › precolonial language contact
- › loanwords from
  - › Hindi (**Hamilton1919; Jones2007**)
  - › Tamil (**Hamilton1919; Jones2007; Hoogervorst2015**)
  - › other Indian languages (**Hamilton1919; Jones2007**)

## Indian sprachbund

two coronal places of articulation  
dental  
retroflex

vowel length

geminate consonants

aspirated consonants

## Malay world

one coronal place of articulation

no distinctive vowel length

no distinctive consonant length

no aspirated consonants



- › predictably lose their Indian features
- › phonological integration
  - › *kathā* → *kata* 'word'
    - › loss of aspiration, vowel length
  - › *bhāṣā* → *bahasa* 'language'
    - › split of aspiration, vowel length, fronting of retroflex sibilant
  - › *kaṭṭil* → *katil* 'bed'
    - › degemination, fronting of retroflex stop
- › **Hamilton1919; Jones2007; Hoogervorst2015**

- › language of the ethnic group of Malays in Sri Lanka
  - › 46,000 Malays in Sri Lanka (0.3% of the population)
- › brought between roughly 1650 and 1850
  - › colonial powers of the Dutch and the British
  - › exiles
  - › mercenaries
  - › slaves
- › contact languages Sinhala (Indo-Aryan) and Tamil (Dravidian)
- › important language change with phenomenal speed ensues
- › **Hussainmiya1990; Nordhoff2009; Nordhoff2012ed**

# Migration of Malays to Sri Lanka



- › syntax
  - › SOV word order
  - › Postpositions
- › morphology
  - › Case clitics
  - › participles, infinitives
- › phonology
  - › dental/retroflex distinction
  - › vowel length/consonant length
    - › depends on analysis, one is sufficient to account for the other
  - › prenasalized consonants
- › all of this is about as far away from a well behaved Malay variety as it gets
- › **Nordhoff2012ed**

- › most words have two syllables
- › the penultimate syllable typically either has a coda (CVC) or a long vowel (CVV)
- › well-formed words
  - › CVV.CV
  - › CVC.CV
- › generalization
  - › CVX.CV
- › analysis: extrametrical final syllable with a bimoraic foot constraint
  - ›  $C(V_{\mu}X_{\mu}).<CV>$
  - › Nordhoff2009



- > *nasi* → *naasi* 'rice'
- > *cuci* → *cuuci* 'wash'
- > *sopi* → *soopi* 'liquor'
- > *mati* → *maati* 'to die'
- > *derapa* → *draapa* 'how much'
- > *bəsar* → *bissar* 'big'

## } expected

} *kapal* → \**kaapal* 'ship'

} *topi* → \**toopi* 'hat'

} *katil* → \**kaatil* 'bed'

## } found

} *kapal* → *kappal*

} *topi* → *toppi*

} *katil* → *kaṭṭil*

## No phonological cues

---

- › *topi/sopi* would be expected to behave alike
- › *drapa/kapal* would be expected to behave alike
- › *katil/mati* would be expected to behave alike
- › phonological conditioning unlikely
- › lexical specification
  - › reason: cognates in contact languages
  - › Tamil *toppi*, *kappal*, *kaṭṭil*
  - › Sinhala *toppiya* 'hat (sg)', *toppi* 'hat (pl)'
- › **“phonological cover-up”**

- › The preceding examples have been chosen because they are easy to grasp
- › Could be explained as a straight new act of borrowing
- › unlikely for high frequency concepts like BOAT, HAT, and BED
  - › no evidence for lexical gaps or functional differentiation
  - › one would have to argue that the word *kapal* 'boat' was temporarily lost on an island nation surrounded by sea, only to be subsequently reborrowed as *kappal*
- › other, more involved domains of cover-up to be discussed now:
  2. syllabification of ŋ
  3. heterosyllabic NÇ clusters
  4. re-fronting of voiced coronal stop

- › Malay varieties can have syllable initial ŋ
- › So can Sri Lanka Malay
  - › *iŋat* → *iŋat* 'to think'
- › based on this, we would expect *siŋa* → *\*siŋa* 'lion'
- › but we get
  - › *si.ŋa* → *siŋ.ga*
- › explanation: Sinhala *siŋ.ha.yaa* and Tamil *ciŋ.gam* with a velar nasal coda in the first syllable
  - › Sanskrit *siṃ.há*, Hindi *siŋh*
    - ↓
    - › resyllabification to *si.ŋa* in Malay
      - ↓
      - › “deresyllabification”/cover-up in SLM to *siŋ.ga*

- › NC clusters with a voiced stop predictably have tautosyllabic rendering in SLM (V.NC̣V)
  - › *gam.bar* → *gaa.mbar* 'picture'
- › exception
  - › *sam.bal* → \**saa.mbal* 'spicy dish'
  - › *sam.bal* → *sam.bal*
- › explanation
  - › Tamil *cam.bal*, Sinhala *sam.bol* have heterosyllabic clusters
    - › NB: all Tamil NC clusters are always heterosyllabic, but Sinhala has words with tautosyllabic clusters.
  - › rest of the phonology is untouched

} alveolar d becomes (post)alveolar d in Sri Lanka Malay

} *ade* → *aade* 'younger sibling'

} exception:

} *kalde* → \**kalde* 'donkey'

} *kalde* → *kal<sub>ɾ</sub>de*

} explanation:

} Tamil *kaḷuḍai* has a dental ḍ as well

} rest of the phonology is untouched

- › speakers are highly multilingual and are also able to identify cognates
- › in order to verify my hearing of length, I regularly use Sinhala script
- › would the word for 'earth' be (short vowel) or (long vowel)?
  - › “No, you can't write like that, it has to be ” (bhūmi, aspirated bh, long vowel) (Sinhala has a cognate word written <bhuuma>, pronounced [buumə])




- › Speaker has internalized Sinhala prescriptivism regarding aspirate graphemes for certain words of Sanskrit etymology
  - › NB: In distinction to many other IA languages, Sinhala has no contrast in aspiration
- › That prescriptivism is transposed to Sri Lanka Malay as well
  - › There is even less reason to use a grapheme for an aspirated consonant in Sri Lanka Malay
- › This anecdote might not be the best evidence, but it shows that speakers transpose “etymological well-behavedness” from one language to another.
- › similar social/cognitive processes might be at work during cover-up

- › There are a couple of loanwords which escape cover-up
- › *raasa* 'tasty'
  - › *rasa* → *raasa*
  - › *rasa* → \**rasa*
  - › even if Sinhala has *rasa*
- › but *rasa* is not a well-formed word
  - › extrametricality of the final syllable (*ra.<sa>*)
  - › the remainder (*ra*) is not sufficient to form a bimoraic foot
  - › phonological well-formedness seems to trump cover-up

- › I have shown four different cases of contact-induced reversal in the domain of phonology
  1. regemination (*kappal*)
  2. de-resyllabification of  $\eta$  (*siŋ.ga*)
  3. tautosyllabic NC clusters (*gaa.mbar*)
  4. re-fronting of voiced coronal stop (*kal<sub>ṛ</sub>de*)
- › New undescribed type of language change
- › Evidence for speakers' metalinguistic awareness
- › "Don't say X, that would sound silly, say Y like in the other languages"
  - › but only if Y is phonologically well-formed
- › "conscious" language change

- › What about other Sprachbund phenomena?
- › Sinhala lost certain retroflex phonemes several times during its history
- › German never created (and never lost) retroflexion
  - › true for most of Standard Average European
- › Is “inertia” in Sprachbunds (eg retroflexion in South Asia) also some kind of cover-up?
  - › Languages might develop a new feature, but are “pulled back into the areal norm” by multilingual speakers who notice the deviation



terima kasih  $\rightarrow$  ( $\text{tri}_{\mu}\text{i}_{\mu}$ )<ma> ( $\text{ka}_{\mu}\text{a}_{\mu}$ )<si>  
'thank you'