

# Solvers for dense and sparse quadratic problems

Alexandre Gramfort

[alexandre.gramfort@telecom-paristech.fr](mailto:alexandre.gramfort@telecom-paristech.fr)

Telecom ParisTech



Master 2 Data Science, Univ. Paris Saclay  
Optimisation for Data Science

# Unconstrained optimization problem

## Definition (Unconstrained optimization problem ( $\mathcal{P}$ ))

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$$

- where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is the **objective function**

# Quadratic functions

## Definition (Quadratic form)

A quadratic form reads

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top Ax - b^\top x + c$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- what equation verifies a stationary point?
- what condition on  $A$  to have existence and unicity of  $x^*$ ?
- show that minimizing  $f$  boils down to solving a linear system.

# Taylor at order 2

Assuming  $f$  is twice differentiable, the Taylor expansion at order 2 of  $f$  at  $x$  reads:

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n, f(x+h) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^\top h + \frac{1}{2} h^\top \nabla^2 f(x) h + o(\|h\|^2)$$

- $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the gradient.
- $\nabla^2 f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  the Hessian matrix.

*Remark:* It gives a local quadratic approximation

→ Show that if  $\nabla^2 f(x) = L I$  then minimizing the quadratic approximation leads to gradient descent. With what step size?

# Ridge regression

We consider problems with  $n$  samples, observations, and  $p$  features, variables.

## Definition (Ridge regression)

Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the  $n$  targets to predict and  $(x^i)_i$  the  $n$  samples in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . Ridge regression consists in solving the following problem

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xw - b\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2, \lambda > 0$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is called the weights vector,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is the intercept (a.k.a. bias) and the  $i$ th row of  $X$  is  $x^i$ .

*Remark:* We have an optimization problem in dimension  $p + 1$

*Remark:* Note that the intercept is not penalized with  $\lambda$ .

# Taking care of the intercept

## Exercise

Let

$$\hat{w}, \hat{b} = \arg \min_{w, b} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xw - b\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2, \lambda > 0$$

$\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$  the mean of  $y$  and  $\bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  the mean of each column of  $X$ .  
→ Show that  $\hat{b} = -\bar{X}^\top \hat{w} + \bar{y}$ .

# Taking care of the intercept

## Exercise

Let

$$\hat{w}, \hat{b} = \arg \min_{w, b} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xw - b\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2, \lambda > 0$$

$\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$  the mean of  $y$  and  $\bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  the mean of each column of  $X$ .  
→ Show that  $\hat{b} = -\bar{X}^\top \hat{w} + \bar{y}$ .

Ways to deal with the intercept:

- Option 1 (dense case): Center the target  $y$  and each column feature and solve:

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xw\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

- Option 2 (sparse case): Add a column of 1 to  $X$  and try not to penalize it (too much).

# Ridge regression

We consider:

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xw\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

## Exercise

- *Show that ridge regression boils down to the minimization of a quadratic form.*
- *Propose a closed form solution.*
- *Show that the solution is obtained by solving a linear system.*
- *Is the objective function strongly convex?*
- *Assuming  $n < p$  what is the value of the constant of strong convexity?*



# Singular value decomposition (SVD)

- SVD is a factorization of a matrix (real here)
- $M = U\Sigma V^\top$  where  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ,  
 $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$
- $U^\top U = UU^\top = I_n$  (orthogonal matrix)
- $V^\top V = VV^\top = I_p$  (orthogonal matrix)
- $\Sigma$  diagonal matrix
- $\Sigma_{i,i}$  are called the singular values
- $U$  are left-singular vectors
- $V$  are right-singular vectors

# Singular value decomposition (SVD)

- SVD is a factorization of a matrix (real here)
- $U$  contains the eigenvectors of  $MM^T$  associated to the eigenvalues  $\Sigma_{i,i}^2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- $V$  contains the eigenvectors of  $M^T M$  associated to the eigenvalues  $\Sigma_{i,i}^2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .
- we assume here  $\Sigma_{i,i} = 0$  for  $\min(n, p) \leq i \leq \max(n, p)$
- SVD is particularly useful to find the rank, null-space, image and pseudo-inverse of a matrix

# Matrix inversion lemma

## Proposition (Matrix inversion lemma)

*also known as Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula states that:*

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1},$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ .

# Matrix inversion lemma

## Proposition (Matrix inversion lemma)

*also known as Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula states that:*

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1},$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ .

*Proof.* Just check that  $(A+UCV)$  times the RHS of the Woodbury identity gives the identity matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} & (A + UCV) \left[ A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1} \right] \\ = & I + UCVA^{-1} - (U + UCVA^{-1}U)(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1} \\ = & I + UCVA^{-1} - UC(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1} \\ = & I + UCVA^{-1} - UCVA^{-1} = I \end{aligned}$$

# Primal and dual implementation

We consider:

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xw\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

The solution is given by:

$$\hat{w} = (X^\top X + \lambda I_p)^{-1} X^\top y$$

Using matrix inversion lemma show that:

$$\hat{w} = X^\top (XX^\top + \lambda I_n)^{-1} y$$

This is a dual formulation and the matrix to invert is in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

→ Using the SVD of  $X$  propose an implementation.

→ Can you use the SVD to confirm the primal-dual link?

→ What if  $X$  is sparse,  $n$  is  $1e5$  and  $p$  is  $1e6$ ?

# Conjugate gradient method: Solve $Ax = b$

The conjugate gradient method is an iterative method to solve linear systems with positive definite matrices ( $A \succ 0$ ). **It only needs to know how to compute  $Ax$**  (operation can be implicit).

Principle:

- Iterate:  $x^{k+1} = x^k - \beta_k d^k$
- The direction  $d^k$  depends on all the gradients at previous iterates  $(\nabla f(x^1), \dots, \nabla f(x^k))$ .
- $p^k = \beta_k d^k$  is chosen as the vector in  $\text{span}(\nabla f(x^1), \dots, \nabla f(x^k))$  which minimizes  $f(x^k - p^k)$

# Conjugate gradient method: Solve $Ax = b$

## Theorem (Convergence in $n$ iterations)

*The conjugate gradient algorithm finds the minimum of positive definite quadratic form  $f$ , in at most  $n$  iterations.*

# Conjugate gradient method: Solve $Ax = b$

- Property

- $\forall l < k, Ap^k \perp p^l$
- i.e., vectors  $p^k$  and  $p^l$  are *conjugate* w.r.t.  $A$

- Computation of the direction:

- $d^k = g^k + \alpha_k d^{k-1}$  where  $g^k = \nabla f(x^k)$  (we correct the gradient with a term that depends on previous iterations),

- 

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{\langle g^k, Ad^{k-1} \rangle}{\langle Ad^{k-1}, d^{k-1} \rangle}$$

- Computation of optimal step size:

- 

$$\beta_k = \frac{\langle g^k, d^k \rangle}{\langle Ad^k, d^k \rangle}$$



# Conjugate gradient: Solve $Ax = b$

**Require:**  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- 1:  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g^0 = Ax^0 - b$
- 2: **for**  $k = 0$  to  $n$  **do**
- 3:   **if**  $g^k = 0$  **then**
- 4:     break
- 5:   **end if**
- 6:   **if**  $k = 0$  **then**
- 7:      $d^k = g^0$
- 8:   **else**
- 9:      $\alpha_k = -\frac{\langle g^k, Ad^{k-1} \rangle}{\langle d^{k-1}, Ad^{k-1} \rangle}$
- 10:     $d^k = g^k + \alpha_k d^{k-1}$
- 11:   **end if**
- 12:    $\beta_k = \frac{\langle g^k, d^k \rangle}{\langle d^k, Ad^k \rangle}$
- 13:    $x^{k+1} = x^k - \beta_k d^k$
- 14:    $g^{k+1} = Ax^{k+1} - b$
- 15: **end for**
- 16: **return**  $x^{k+1}$

# Proof of Conjugate gradient

If  $g^k = 0$ , then  $x^k = x^*$  is solution of the linear system  $Ax = b$ .  
For  $k = 1$ , we have  $d^0 = g^0$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle g^1, d^0 \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax^1 - b, d^0 \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax^0 - b, d^0 \rangle - \beta_0 \langle Ad^0, d^0 \rangle \\ &= \langle g^0, d^0 \rangle - \beta_0 \langle Ad^0, d^0 \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

by definition of  $\beta_0$ . This leads to

$$\langle g^1, g^0 \rangle = \langle g^1, d^0 \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle d^1, Ad^0 \rangle = \langle g^1, Ad^0 \rangle + \alpha_0 \langle d^0, Ad^0 \rangle = 0$$

by definition of  $\alpha_0$ .

# Proof of Conjugate gradient

One can prove the result by recurrence assuming that:

$$\langle g^k, g^j \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j < k$$

$$\langle g^k, d^j \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j < k$$

$$\langle d^k, Ad^j \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j < k$$

If  $g^k \neq 0$ , the algorithm computes  $x^{k+1}$ ,  $g^{k+1}$  and  $d^{k+1}$ .

# Proof of Conjugate gradient

- By construction one has  $\langle g^{k+1}, d^k \rangle = 0$  (cf. (1)).
- For  $j < k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle g^{k+1}, d^j \rangle \\ &= \langle g^{k+1}, d^j \rangle - \langle g^k, d^j \rangle \\ &= \langle g^{k+1} - g^k, d^j \rangle \\ &= -\beta_k \langle Ad^k, d^j \rangle \\ &= 0 \text{ (recurrence hypothesis)} \end{aligned}$$

- For  $j \leq k$ :

$$\langle g^{k+1}, g^j \rangle = \langle g^{k+1}, d^j \rangle - \alpha_j \langle g^{k+1}, d^{j-1} \rangle = 0 ,$$

since  $g^j = d^j - \alpha_j d^{j-1}$ .

# Proof of Conjugate gradient

- Now:  $d^{k+1} = g^{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1}d^k$ . For  $j < k$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle d^{k+1}, Ad^j \rangle \\ &= \langle g^{k+1}, Ad^j \rangle + \alpha_{k+1} \langle d^k, Ad^j \rangle \\ &= \langle g^{k+1}, Ad^j \rangle .\end{aligned}$$

As  $g^{j+1} = g^j - \beta_j Ad^j$ , one obtains

$$\langle g^{k+1}, Ad^j \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta_j} \langle g^{k+1}, g^j - g^{j+1} \rangle = 0 \text{ if } \beta_j \neq 0.$$

This implies that if  $\beta_j \neq 0$ ,  $\langle d^{k+1}, Ad^j \rangle = 0$  for  $j < k$ .

- Furthermore one has  $\langle d^{k+1}, Ad^k \rangle = 0$ .
- So  $\langle d^{k+1}, Ad^j \rangle = 0$  for  $j < k + 1$ .

# Proof of Conjugate gradient

- This completes the proof for  $\beta_j \neq 0$  and  $g^j \neq 0$ .
- However one has that

$$\langle g^k, d^k \rangle = \langle g^k, g^k \rangle + \alpha_k \langle g^k, d^{k-1} \rangle = \|g^k\|^2 ,$$

$$\text{and } \beta_k = \frac{\langle g^k, d^k \rangle}{\langle Ad^k, d^k \rangle} .$$

- So  $\beta_k$  can only be 0 if  $g^k = 0$ , which would imply that  $x^k = x^*$ .
- Furthermore

$$\|d^k\|^2 = \|g^k\|^2 + \alpha_k^2 \|d^{k-1}\|^2 .$$

So if  $g^k \neq 0$  then  $d^k \neq 0$ .

# Proof of Conjugate gradient

- Consequently, if the vectors  $g^0, g^1, \dots, g^k$  are all non-zero, the vectors  $d^0, d^1, \dots, d^k$  are also non-zero.
- These vectors are an orthogonal basis for the dot product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$  and the  $k + 1$  directions
- $g^0, g^1, \dots, g^k$  are an orthogonal basis for the dot product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .
- These directions are therefore independent. As a consequence, if  $g^0, g^1, \dots, g^{n-1}$  are all non-zero, one has that  $d^n = g^n = 0$ .
- So it converges after  $n$  iterations at the most.

# Warm starts and paths

In machine learning it is common to try to solve a problem that is very similar to a previous one.

- You train a model every day and you need just to "update" the model
- You look for the best hyperparameter and evaluate the parameter on a grid of values. For example on a grid of  $\lambda$  when doing cross-validation.



# More

**Note:** Conjugate gradient for sparse linear systems is implemented in `scipy.sparse.linalg.cg` and in `scipy.optimize.fmin_cg`

**Note:** `sklearn.linear_model.Ridge` has many solvers. In v0.18 you have `'svd'`, `'cholesky'`, `'lsqr'`, `'sparse_cg'`, `'sag'` and `'auto'` mode.

→ more in the lecture notes.

→ cf. notebook