

Exercises

Concurrency and Distributed Systems
January 2023

Contents

- Vending machines
- Abstract

Vending machines

Consider the following processes, each of which describes a different kind of vending machine user:

```
Person1 =  
  coin ->  
    (coffee -> Person1 [] tea -> Person1)
```

```
Person2 =  
  coin ->  
    (coffee -> Person2 |~| tea -> Person2)
```

```
Person3 =  
  coin -> coffee -> Person3
```

What happens if we place each of these in parallel with each of the vending machines VM1, VM2, and VM3?

In the third case, what difference does it make if we include, or do not include, tea in the alphabet of the user process?

Abstract

```
P =  
  let  
    P1 = a -> P2 [] b -> P3  
    P2 = e -> P1  
    P3 = f -> P1  
  within  
    P1
```

```
Q =  
  let  
    Q1 = c -> Q2 [] d -> Q3  
    Q2 = e -> Q1  
    Q3 = f -> Q1  
  within  
    Q1
```

Suppose that

$$\alpha_P = \{a, b, e, f\}$$

$$\alpha_Q = \{c, d, e, f\}$$

$$PQ = P \parallel \alpha_P \parallel \alpha_Q \parallel Q$$

Is the process PQ deadlock free?

$$\alpha_{PQ} = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$$
$$\alpha_R = \{a, b, c, d\}$$
$$PQR = PQ \left[\alpha_{PQ} \parallel \alpha_R \right] R$$

Write a definition for R that will ensure that PQR is deadlock free.
Explain how it achieves this.

Define a process S so that the assertion

$\text{assert } S \text{ [FD= PQR]}$

checks that the whole set of events $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is available initially—that is, before any events have happened—and also available immediately after any occurrence of event e or event f .