

# Aquatic insects in organic and inorganic habitats in the streams on the Central Brazilian savanna

Insectos acuáticos en hábitats orgánicos e inorgánicos de corrientes de las sabanas centro-brasileñas

LEANDRO S. BRASIL<sup>1</sup>, NUBIA FRANÇA DA SILVA GIEHL<sup>2</sup>, JOANA DARC BATISTA<sup>3</sup>,  
BETHÂNIA OLIVEIRA DE RESENDE<sup>4</sup> and HELENA SOARES RAMOS CABETTE<sup>5</sup>

**Abstract:** A major part of the mechanisms that affects species distribution is related to environmental conditions. Within a stream channel the accumulation of substrates like litter, roots, stones, gravel and sand create habitats that act as shelter and/or food source to aquatic communities, in this way supporting, or not, the establishment of different species. Based on the differences on genera richness (i), individual abundance (ii) and genera composition (iii), and also on the differences on the orders Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera, Odonata and Heteroptera (EPTOH). Community composition differed between habitats, with organic ones having higher richness and abundance, besides being the only habitat that provided by organic substrates.

**Key words:** "Dgvc"fkxgtukv{."ecf fku{ {." oc{ { {."uvqpg{ {."cswcve"kpugevu0

**Resumen:** Una parte importante de los mecanismos que afectan la distribución de las especies está relacionada con las condiciones ambientales. Dentro de un canal de corriente la acumulación de sustratos como hojas, raíces, piedras, grava y arena crean hábitats que actúan como fuente de refugio y alimento para las diferentes especies de las comunidades acuáticas, propiciando o no su establecimiento. Basado en este hecho, se estudió la estructura de las comunidades de insectos acuáticos en hábitats orgánicos e inorgánicos dentro de corrientes del bioma Cerrado. Se analizaron las diferencias en la riqueza de géneros (i), la abundancia individual (ii) y la composición de géneros (iii). Asimismo se analizaron las diferencias en la riqueza de familias (iv) y la abundancia individual (v) de las familias de coleópteros acuáticos (vi) en hábitats orgánicos (basura) e inorgánicos (arena y grava) en cinco estaciones de tres arroyos, recolectando individuos de los órdenes Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera, Odonata y Heteroptera (EPTOH). Se encontró que la composición de las comunidades de insectos acuáticos difiere entre hábitats orgánicos e inorgánicos. Esta diferencia puede ser debida a la fuente de refugio y alimentación proporcionada por los sustratos orgánicos.

**Palabras clave:** Diversidad Beta, tricópteros, moscas efímeras, plecópteros, insectos acuáticos.

## Introduction

Understanding of species distribution patterns is a key question in ecology (Sutherland *et al.* 2013). In a broad way, niche theory (NT), as proposed by Hutchinson (1959), has been widely applied for this purpose. NT predicts that environments with similar conditions will harbor similar species pool by establishing a relation between species distribution and habitat heterogeneity and availability (Chase 2003; Popielarz and Neal 2007). In aquatic environments, species relation with niche and environmental heterogeneity over different spatial and temporal scales are discussed by the hierarchical patch dynamics (HPD) (Thorp *et al.* 2006). HPD proposes that the zoning of geomorphic and hydrological characteristics is responsible for the formation of hydro-geomorphological patches, which, in turn, are responsible for species distribution (Brasil *et al.* 2014a).

Environmental heterogeneity of the river channel, caused by spatial or temporal variation of water physicochemical patches that affect species distribution due to a set of features and resources favorable to their functional, physiological and life history traits (Poff and Ward 1990). Therefore, the substrate plays a major role in species distribution, as it provides conditions, resources, shelter and feeding for stream fauna (Resh and Rosenberg 1984; Shimano *et al.* 2012; Dias-Silva *et al.* 2013). The expression of refuges, habitats or factors that provide resistance and/or resilience to biotic communities occur at different spatial scales, such as microhabitats and zones (Sedell *et al.* 1990) within the channel of the creeks, with the substrates: sand, root, gravel and litter composing the physical heterogeneity of the riverbed (García-Roger *et al.* 2011). Each one of these substrates have

<sup>1</sup> M. Sc. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Pará. Endereço postal: Av. Perimetral, 1901/1907, Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Campus de Pesquisa Coordenação de Zoologia - Terra Firme Belém, Pará, Brasil - CEP 66017-970, Caixa Postal 399. [brasil\\_biologia@hotmail.com](mailto:brasil_biologia@hotmail.com), corresponding author. <sup>2</sup> M. Sc. Programa de Pós Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso. Endereço postal: Br 158, Km 655 - Caixa Postal 08, Nova Xavantina, Mato Grosso, Brasil. [nubiagiehl@gmail.com](mailto:nubiagiehl@gmail.com). <sup>3</sup> Ph. D. Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso. Endereço postal: Br 158, Km 655 - Caixa Postal 08, Nova Xavantina, Mato Grosso, Brasil. [joanadareb@gmail.com](mailto:joanadareb@gmail.com). <sup>4</sup> Licenciatura em Ciências Biológicas, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso. Endereço postal: Br 158, Km 655 - Caixa Postal 08, Nova Xavantina, Mato Grosso, Brasil. [bethania-nx@hotmail.com](mailto:bethania-nx@hotmail.com). <sup>5</sup> Dr. Programa de Pós Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso. Endereço postal: Br 158, Km 655 - Caixa Postal 08, Nova Xavantina, Mato Grosso, Brasil. [bethania-nx@hotmail.com](mailto:bethania-nx@hotmail.com).

different characteristics and, therefore, different thresholds concerning its capacity for shelter and maintenance of the local fauna.

Among aquatic organisms, insects stand out in ecological analysis due to their high diversity (Dijkstra and Clausnitzer 2006), broad distribution and key roles in trophic webs (Tomanova *et al.* 2006, Ramírez and Gutiérrez-Fonseca 4236+0" Cf fvkqpcnn{. "kpugevu"ctg"guugpvkcñ"htq"vjg"gpgti{"f wz" between aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, as their life cycle comprises a juvenile aquatic stage and an adult terrestrial stage (Wesner 2010). Other than that, specimens of the order Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera (EPT) are widely used as biological indicators in ecological studies that evaluate the effect of biotic and abiotic processes in streams (Heino *et al.* 2007; Heino 2011; Couceiro *et al.* 2012; Heino and Peckarsky 2014; Nogueira *et al.* 2016). Other than EPT, orders Odonata (O) (Mendes *et al.* 2015; De Marco *et al.* 2015; Juen *et al.* 2016) and Heteroptera (H) (Dias-Silva *et al.* 2010; Giehl *et al.* 2014; Giehl *et al.* 2015; Cunha *et al.* 2015) were also indicated as relevant for understanding the ecological processes of aquatic insect communities in tropical streams, what reinforces the need to consider the whole EPTOH in order to understand ecological patterns of the Cerrado's aquatic insect communities.

Previous studies indicate that, for Cerrado streams, organic substrates, such as leaf packs and roots, have a higher abundance and diversity of Heteroptera from both suborders Nepomorpha (Dias-Silva *et al.* 2013) and Ephemeroptera (Shimano *et al.* 2012), when compared with inorganic substrates, such as sand and gravel. However, there are no evaluations about preference and diversity patterns in organic and inorganic substrates when several orders are taken into account. In our study we tried to address this issue and better represent the entire community of aquatic insects by considering juveniles of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera and Odonata and adults of aquatic and subaquatic Heteroptera (EPTOH).

" Yg" xgtkŁgf"vjg"eq o o wpkv{" uvtwevwtg"kp" Egttcfq" uvtgc o u" with both organic and inorganic substrate and tested for possible differences in richness (i), abundance (ii) and genera composition. Further, (iv) we looked for genera that may act cu" dkqnq i kecn" kpfkecvqtu." fwg" vq" kvu" urgekŁekv{" cpf" Łfgnk v{" vq" organic or inorganic habitats.

## Material and methods

**Study area.** Samples were collected in three streams of the Cerrado Biome (coordinates: 15°50'19,9"S e 052°14'47,6"W; 15°51'11,5"S e 52°08'08,3"W; 15°51'24,4"S e 052°12'24,3"W) (Fig. 1). All streams are in the River Garças basin, chlŁwgv" qh" Ctc i wkc" TkxgtŁ" Vjg" tgi kq" enko cvg" ku" Vtqrkecn" Savannah Aw (Peel *et al.* 2007), characterized by two well-fgŁpgf" ugcuppu." c" tckp{" ugcup" htq o" Qevqdg" vq" Crtkn" cpf" a dry season from May to September. All the streams are in the Parque Estadual da Serra Azul and its environmental protected area (APA), which is part of the Complexo Serra do Roncador, MT.

Vczqp" uc o rnkpi" cpf" kfgpvkŁecvkqp" We sampled immature aquatic insects of the orders Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera, Odonata and adults aquatic and semi-aquatics Heteroptera in two different seasons: rainy (December 4234+ cpf" ft{" \*Cwiwuv" 4235+0" Vjgtg" ctg" ugxgtcn" dpggŁvu"

for collecting on two different seasons, such as: (i) account for possible sampling bias related to the seasonal variation of Cerrado's streams, (ii) increase the number of captured organisms and (iii) reduce possible biases caused by the lower detectability of rare organisms. We also joined temporal replicates in a way that the combination of both represents a sampling unit to account for temporal dependence.

" Qp" gcej" uvtgc o" yg" fgŁpgf" 72" o gvg" nkp gct" vtcpugevu" cpf" hwtvjgt" fŁxkfgf" vjqug" vtcpugevu" vq" etgcvg" Łxg" 32" o gvg" sections. For each section we sampled twice with a Surber, one for organic substrate (litter) and the other for inorganic uwdvutcvg" \*ucpf" cpf" i txcgn+. " kp" vjcv" yc{" yg" jcf" Łhvvgp" uc o rnkpi" wpkvu" htq" gcej" uwdvutcvg" v{ rg. " Łxg" kp" gcej" uvtgc o" (three streams). According to Costa and Melo (2008), aquatic insect fauna has a greater difference in different habitats within a stream when compared with similar habitats on different streams. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume a certain degree of independence among different habitats within the same stream, which, in its turn, allows for the use of those locations as replicates, since there are little effects regarding possible bias related to spatial dependence among samples.

" Yg" kfgpvkŁgf" GRVQJ" urgek o gpu" d{" tgewttkpi" vq" igpgtke" taxonomic keys (Nieser and Melo 1997; Costa *et al.* 2004; Salles 2006; Domínguez *et al.* 2006; Mugnai *et al.* 2010; Hamada *et al.* 2014; Neiss and Hamada 2014) and stored our samples on the Zoobotanical Collection "James Alexander Ratter", UNEMAT, Nova Xavantina Campus.

**Data analysis.** For the statistical analysis it was considered 30 sampling units, of these, 15 were from organic substrates and 15 inorganic substrates. The experimental design and the number of insects collected per sample are detailed in Supplementary 1. In order to test for possible differences for both richness and abundance among organic and inorganic substrates we performed one t-test for each, in which we used genera richness (i) and individual abundance (ii) as response variables, and the substrate type (organic

**Figure 1.** Spatial distribution of the sampled streams in Central Brazil in Cerrado biome. The area represents the remnant native vegetation fragment aggregated to the Parque Estadual da Serra Azul (PESA).

or inorganic) as predictor variables. To test for possible fkhgtgpegu"kp"dgvc"fkxgtukv{"c o qpi"uwdutvcg"v{rgu"yg"Łtuv" performed an ordination while testing for divergences in multivariate homogeneity, as recommended by Anderson *et al.* (2006), on the specimens matrix using the Bray-Ewrtvku"fkuvcpel"Yg"vjgp"vguvgf"enwuvgt"ukipkŁecpeg"ykvj" c" PERMANOVA by using the same genera abundance matrix and considering organic and inorganic microhabitats as a hcevqt"kkk+0"Hkpcnn{."yg"xgtkŁgf"vczqp"Łfgnk{ "cpf"urgekŁekv{" to a micro-habitat (organic or inorganic) by calculating its indicator value (IndVal) (iv) (Dufrene and Legendre 1997). We performed a Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) using the array of species composition (abundance) transformed with the Bray-Curtis distance to demonstrate the relationship of selected taxa in IndVal with the sampling units (organic and inorganic) (Legendre and Legendre 2012). All analyses were performed in R software (R Core Development Team 2017).

### Results

A total of 1,156 EPTOH specimens from 41 genera were collected, of those the most abundant were *Ulmeritoides* Traver, 1959 with 226 individuals (19.55 % of the total abundance) and *Miroculis* Edmunds, 1963 with 212 (18.34 %) (Ephemeroptera: Leptophlebiidae). The rarest genera were *Baetodes* Needham e Murphy, 1924 (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae), *Elasmothermis* Westfall, 1988 (Odonata: Libellulidae) and *Lauromacromia* Geijskes, 1970 (Odonata: Corduliidae) with only one sampled individual, which stands for 0.09 % of the total abundance (Supplementary).

Community structure differed in all aspects, when comparing organic and inorganic substrates. Organic substrates had an average of 6.6 genera and 60 individuals more than inorganic substrates ( $T_{\text{sep. var.}} = -3.46$ ,  $df = 21.21$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ,  $T_{\text{sep. var.}} = -4.27$ ,  $df = 14.41$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , respectively). Species composition also differed among habitats (PERMANOVA, pseudo  $F = 3.407$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ) (Fig. 2), with six genera being characterised as indicators for organic habitats (Table 1 and Fig. 3). The distance between centroids kp"Łiwtg"4"cpf"vjg"nqy"qxgtncr"qt"rgtkrjgtcn"qxgtncr+qh"vjg"qticpke"ucornkpi"wpkvu"qxgt"vjg"ctgc"fgŁpgf"d{" inorganic sampling units reinforces the difference between habitats and suggests a community associated with organic substrates which is different from another associated with inorganic ones, even if those locations occur on the same stream.

**Figure 2.** Ordination (Homogeneity of multivariate dispersions within groups) of the taxonomic composition of aquatic insects in organic and inorganic habitats within Cerrado streams of Central Brazil.

**Figure 3.** Distribution of the Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Thichoptera, Odonata and Heteroptera (EPTOH) in the samples organic and inorganic habitats within Cerrado streams of Central Brazil. The genera highlighted in the graph are those selected by Indicator Species Index (ISI) (See table 1).

### Discussion

EPTOH's community structure was different among habitats for all evaluated aspects. Organic substrate habitats had a itgcvgf"fkxgtukv{"cpf"cu"ugv"qh"vczc"vjcv"y cu"urgekŁe"cpf"Łekvj hwn" to it. Our results indicating a higher diversity in organic substrates are in accordance with previous observed patterns for Ephemeroptera (Shimano *et al.* 2012), Heteroptera (Dias-Silva *et al.* 2013), Simuliidae (Hamada 1989) and for the entire aquatic insects' community (Beisel *et al.* 1998).

Taxa distribution within a stream is strongly related to the availability of environmental resources (Allan and Castillo 2007), among which food supply stands out (Cummins *et al.* 2005). That becomes clear in environmental patches with cdwpfcpv"ħqqf"uwrn{"ħqt" c"urgekŁe"itqwr."y jkej"tguwnvu"kp" a high abundance of individuals for this group and affects patterns of taxa distribution within a stream (Brasil *et al.* 2014a). As organisms preferentially colonize environmental patches with suitable conditions (Thorp *et al.* 2006). Environmental conditions at small spatial scale are what guide the distribution of aquatic insect communities (Godoy *et al.* 2016).

" Kp"qwt"uvwf{"ukz"vczc"jcf"Łfgnk{"cpf"urgekŁekv{"vq"qticpke" substrates, among which three were dependant on the litter (organic substrate) for feeding: shredders genera *Terpides* Demoulin, 1966 (Ephemeroptera: Leptophlebiidae) and genera *Ulmeritoides* (Shimano 2012, Brasil *et al.* 2014b) and the brusher genera *Miroculis*, that sweeps decanting particles (Baptista *et al.* 2006). The other three taxa, which were all predators (Corbet 1999, Spies *et al.* 2006), can also have its distribution being affected by the availability of food supply, since the higher values for richness and abundance of EPTOH were found in organic substrate habitats, which indicates a higher availability of potential prey. Such relation of the availability of prey affecting its predators' distribution was already described for aquatic environments, especially in cases where there is a bottom-up relation between prey and predator (Angelini *et al.* 2013).

" Vjg"ncem"qh"vczc"ykvj"jki j"urgekŁekv{"cpf"Łfgnk{"vq" habitats with inorganic substrates could be explained by the low abundance of apparent potential taxa, such as *Baetodes*,



**Table 1.** Kp fkecvqt"Urgeku"Kpfgz"KUK+"qh"vjqug"igpgtc"KfgpWkLgf"cu"Kp fkecvqtu"qh"qti cpike"jcdkvcvu"ykvjKp"Egttcfq"uvgtc o u"qh"Egpytcn" Brazil.

Order	Family	Genera	ISI	P	Microhabitat
Odonata	Coenagrionidae	<i>Argia</i> Rambur, 1842	0.632	0.045	Organic
	Perilestidae	<i>Perilestes</i> Hagen in Selys, 1862	0.577	0.040	Organic
Trichoptera	Polycentropodidae	<i>Cernotina</i> Ross, 1938	0.679	0.010	Organic
Ephemeroptera	Leptophlebiidae	<i>Mirotulis</i> Edmunds, 1963	0.906	0.045	Organic
		<i>Terpides</i> Demoulin, 1966	0.570	0.035	Organic
		<i>Ulmeritoides</i> Traver, 1959	0.816	0.020	Organic

*Brechmorhoga* Kirby, 1894 (Odonata: Libelulidae), *Elasmothemis* e *Macrostemum* Kolenati, 1859 (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae). Shimano *et al.* (2012) obtained indication *Baetodes* for stones and leaf litter of rapids, being of scraper MPOF weakly attached to substrates.

Other than food supply, another factor that may play a key role in the distribution of aquatic insects within a stream is the ability of the habitat to provide shelter. That is because most *vczc"fq"pq"jcxg"vjg"urgekLe"oqtrjqnqi"y jkej"yqwnf"cmqy"* them to occupy locations with high currents, an example of a genera with such adaptations would be *Spiritiops* Lugo-Ortiz e McCafferty, 1998 (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae), found in the walls of waterfalls (Brasil *et al.* 2014a). Therefore, the shelter created by the litter in habitats with organic substrate may play a major role in reducing the odds of stream loading the *rtqeguugu"qh"geqnqikecn"ftkhv."kp"y jkej"vjg"ycvgt"fqy"ecwugu"* the passive dispersion of a subset of the community (Waters 1972; Brittain and Eikeland 1988).

### Conclusion

There are differences in genera richness and composition and individual abundance between organic and inorganic substrates. It is possible to imply genera that have a high *LfgnkV{"cpf"urgekLekv{"vq"qti cpike"jcdkvcvu."qh"y jkej"vyq"ctg"* shredders, one is a brusher and three are predators. Habitats created by litter (organic) are used by a broader diversity of aquatic insects, due to, according to several authors, its higher food supply and structure as shelter. As riparian forests are the main source of allochthonous materials, which are responsible for the creation and maintenance of the litter inside streams, our results reinforce the need to preserve those forests. In addition, besides the advantages listed on this paper, riparian forests are the ones that makes it possible for the stream to sustain the diversity of aquatic insects as they are responsible for a high input of energy into the system, in the form of leaves.

### Acknowledgements

We appreciate M. Sc. Andre Andrade the assistance with the English language and MSc. Lourivaldo A. Castro and Biologists Paula V. B. Fonseca and Mariana G. Pavan the *Lgnf"uwrrqtv"Vjcpmu"ECRGU"htq" c"Rj" F"uejqnctujkr"cpf"* Joana Darc Batista thanks. We thank the team of Laboratório de Entomologia de Nova Xavantina, for their support *fwtkpi"Lgnf"ucornkpi"Yg"vjcpm"EPs"htq"vjg"Lpcpekn"ckf"vq"vjg"Lgnf"yqtm"UKUDKQVC"%78535614232/2+" /"Rtqlgev"* "Diversidade biológica do Cerrado: estrutura e padrões" and for the LSB PhD scholarship (Process 140111/2015-8)

and for NFSG scholarship of DTechnological and Industrial *Fgxgnqr o gpv/E" \*EPsIRGNF"%62594714232/9+0" Yg"vjcpm"vjg"UKUDKQ"d{"eqmngvki"nkegpug"%6796;914234/40"*

### Literature cited

- ALLAN, J. D.; CASTILLO, M. M. 2007. Stream ecology: structure and function of running waters. Springer, Dordrecht. 436 p.
- ANDERSON, M. J.; ELLINGSEN, K. E.; MCARDLE, B. H. 2006. Multi-variate dispersion as a measure of beta diversity. *Ecology Letters* 9 (6): 683-693.
- ANGELINI, R.; MORAIS, R. J.; CATELLA, A. C.; RESENDE, E. K.; LIBRALATO, S. 2013. Aquatic food webs of the oxbow *ncmgu"kp"vjg"Rcpvpcn" C"pgy"ukvg"htq" Lujgtku" iwtcpvggf" d{"* alternated control? *Ecological Modelling* 253: 82-96.
- BAPTISTA, D. F.; BUSS, D. F.; NESSIMIAN, J. L.; DA SILVA, E. R.; DE MORAES-NETO, A. H. A.; CARVALHO, S. N.; DE OLIVEIRA, M. A.; ANDRADE, L. R. 2006. Functional feeding groups of Brazilian Ephemeroptera nymphs ultrastructure of mouth parts. *Annales de Limnologie - International Journal of Limnology* 42 (2): 87-96.
- BEISEL, J. N.; USSEGLIO-POLATERA, P.; THOMAS, S.; MORETEAU, J. C. 1998. Effects of sampling on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in assessment of biological quality of running water. *Annales de Limnologie - International Journal of Limnology* 34 (4): 445-454.
- BRASIL, L. S.; JÜEN, L.; CABETTE, H. S. R. 2014b. The *ghhgevu"qh"gpixktqp o gpvcn"kpvgi tkv{"qp"vjg"fkxgtkv{"qh" o c{ fkgu."* Leptophlebiidae (Ephemeroptera), in tropical streams of the Brazilian Cerrado. *Annales de Limnologie - International Journal of Limnology* 50: 325-334.
- BRASIL, L. S.; JÜEN, L.; BATISTA, J. D.; PAVAN, M. G.; CABETTE, H. S. R. 2014a. Longitudinal distribution of the functional feeding groups of aquatic insects in streams of the Brazilian Cerrado Savanna. *Neotropical Entomology* 43 (5): 421-428.
- BRITAIN, J.; EIKELAND, T. 1988. Invertebrate drift - a review. *Hydrobiologia* 166 (1): 77-93.
- CHASE, J. M. 2003. Experimental evidence for alternative stable equilibria in a benthic pond food web. *Ecology Letters* 6 (8): 733-741.
- EQTGDV."R"U"3; ; ; 0" Ftc i qp fkgu"dgjcxkt"cpf"geqnqi {"qh"Qfqpvcv" Comstock Publishing Associates, New York. 829 p.
- COSTA, J. M.; SOUZA, L. O. I.; OLDRINI, B. B. 2004. Chave *rtct"kfpgwLec±"q"fcu"hc o f"nku" g"i "pgtqu"fcu"nctxcu"eqpjgekfcu"fg"Qfqpvcv"fq" Dtcukn" eq o gpv"tkqu" g" tgiuvtqu" dkdnkqit"Lequ"* (INSECTA, ODONATA). Publicação Avulsa do Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro 99: 1-44.
- COSTA, S. S.; MELO, A. S. 2008. Beta diversity in stream macroinvertebrate assemblages: among-site and among-microhabitat components. *Hydrobiologia* 598 (1): 131-138.
- COUCEIRO, S. R. M.; HAMADA, N.; FORSBERG, B. R.; PIMENTEL, T. P.; LUZ, S. L. B. 2012. A macroinvertebrate multimetric index to evaluate the biological condition of streams



- Coley, C. T.; Power, M. E.; Lawton, J. H. 1985. Food web structure and the dynamics of aquatic insect communities. *Journal of Ecology* 73 (1): 173-182.
- THORP, J. H.; THOMS, M. C.; DELONG, M. D. 2006. The riverine ecosystem synthesis: biocomplexity in river networks across space and time. *River Research and Applications* 22 (2): 123-147.
- TOMANOVA, S.; GOITIA, E.; HELESIC, J. 2006. Trophic levels and functional feeding groups of macroinvertebrates in neotropical streams. *Hydrobiologia* 556 (1): 251-264.
- WATERS, T. F. 1972. The drift of stream insects. *Annual Review of Entomology* 17: 253-272.
- WESNER, J. S. 2010. Seasonal variation in the trophic structure of a spatial prey subsidy linking aquatic and terrestrial food webs: adult aquatic insects. *Oikos* 119 (1): 170-178.
- BRASIL, L. S.; GIEHL, N. F. S.; BATISTA, J. D.; RESENDE, B. O.; CABETTE, H. S. R. 2017. Aquatic insects in organic and inorganic habitats in the streams on the Central Brazilian savanna. *Revista Colombiana de Entomología* 43 (2): 286-291. Julio - Diciembre 2017. ISSN: 0120-0488.

Tgegxgf<36/Fge/4238E'Ceegrvgf<7/Pqx/4239

Suggested citation: