# AdvStDaAn, Worksheet, Week 7

## Michael Lappert

## 25 April, 2022

## Contents

Exercise 1			 •																]	ĺ
Exercise 1.a)																			1	l
Question 1.a)			 			 													6	

### Exercise 1

#### Exercise 1.a)

##

Turbine Data (cf. Exercise 1 on Worksheet Week 4) Does the GLM that you have fitted in part 1(b) model the data adequately? #### Dataset loading and sanity check:

```
path <- file.path('Datasets', 'turbines.dat')</pre>
df <- read.table(path, header=TRUE)</pre>
# Fitted model in w4, 1.b)
glm1.1 <- glm(cbind(Fissures, Turbines-Fissures) ~ Hours, family = binomial, data = df)</pre>
summary(glm1.1)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(Fissures, Turbines - Fissures) ~ Hours, family = binomial,
##
       data = df)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                    3Q
## -1.5055 -0.7647 -0.3036
                                0.4901
                                         2.0943
## Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -3.9235966 0.3779589 -10.381
                                                 <2e-16 ***
                0.0009992 0.0001142
## Hours
                                        8.754
                                                 <2e-16 ***
## ---
```

## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\* 0.001 '\*\* 0.01 '\* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

```
## Null deviance: 112.670 on 10 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 10.331 on 9 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 49.808
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Because the response is binomially distributed with m > 1, we can test on overdispersion:

```
1-pchisq(10.331, 9) # if resulting value > 0.05 -> no overdispersion
```

```
## [1] 0.3243594
```

Because the p-value is > than the significance level of 5% we have no evidence against the null hypothesis that  $\phi = 1$  -> no overdispersion.

```
qchisq(0.95, df=9) # if resulting value > Residual deviance -> no overdispersion
```

```
## [1] 16.91898
```

Because the residual deviance is smaller than  $q_{0.95}^{\chi_9^2}$  the null hypothesis that  $\phi = 1$  cannot be rejected -> no overdispersion.

#### Question 1.a)

Is the conclusion in the two cells abvoe right? Are these two different methods to come to the same result (looking for overdispersion)?

Performing a residual and sensitivity analysis for the fitted model:

```
par(mfrow=c(2,4))
plot(glm1.1)
plot.glmSim(glm1.1, SEED = 1)
```

