

# **PRD: AI Search & Brand Visibility Intelligence Platform**

## **1. Product Overview**

### **Product Name (Working)**

**AIO Mapper**

### **One-Line Description**

A platform that measures, explains, and improves how brands appear, are cited, and are trusted across AI search and generative answer engines.

### **Problem Statement**

Brands have no reliable way to understand:

- Whether they appear in AI-generated answers
- How often they are cited, recommended, or excluded
- Why competitors are referenced instead
- What specific content or authority gaps cause invisibility

Traditional SEO tools do not map to generative retrieval, citation behavior, or answer synthesis logic.

### **Solution**

Provide a system that:

- Simulates real AI questions
- Measures brand presence, absence, and sentiment
- Explains why outcomes occur

- Prescribes concrete, content-level and authority-level fixes
- 

## 2. Goals & Non-Goals

### Primary Goals

1. Quantify brand visibility in AI answers
2. Attribute visibility to specific content and authority signals
3. Track change over time
4. Provide actionable remediation guidance

### Non-Goals

- Rank tracking for classic SERPs
  - Traffic attribution or click modeling
  - Black-box “AI score” without explanation
- 

## 3. Target Users & Jobs-to-Be-Done

### Primary Users

- Enterprise SEO & Content Leads
- Brand & Comms Teams
- Digital Strategy Directors
- Agency Analytics & Search Teams

### Jobs-to-Be-Done

- “Do AI systems recommend us for our category?”
  - “Which competitors are cited instead of us?”
  - “What content is AI actually pulling from?”
  - “How do we improve visibility without guessing?”
- 

## 4. Core Use Cases

### 1. Brand Discovery Audit

- Is the brand mentioned for category-level prompts?

### 2. Competitive AI Share of Voice

- Who appears most often in AI answers?

### 3. Citation & Source Analysis

- Which URLs AI models rely on

### 4. Content Gap Detection

- What questions AI cannot answer using brand content

### 5. Trend Tracking

- Visibility before vs. after content changes
- 

## 5. Functional Requirements

### 5.1 AI Query Simulation Engine

#### Description

Simulates real user prompts across AI systems.

## Requirements

- Prompt library by intent:
  - Informational
  - Comparative
  - Transactional
  - Trust / safety / authority
- Ability to:
  - Run fixed prompts
  - Auto-generate prompts from site taxonomy
- Support for:
  - ChatGPT-style answers
  - Perplexity-style cited answers
  - Search-augmented AI flows

## Outputs

- Full answer text
- Brand mentions
- Position in answer
- Citation presence or absence

---

## 5.2 Brand & Entity Detection

### Description

Identifies how and where brands appear in responses.

## **Requirements**

- Detect:
    - Explicit mentions
    - Implied references
    - Brand variants
  - Classify:
    - Primary recommendation
    - Supporting mention
    - Negative / cautionary mention
  - Confidence scoring per mention
- 

## **5.3 AI Citation & Source Mapping**

### **Description**

Explains *why* a brand appears or does not.

### **Requirements**

- Extract cited URLs when available
- Map uncited claims to likely source types:
  - Wikipedia
  - Government sites
  - High-authority publishers
  - Brand-owned content
- Identify:

- Missing citations
  - Over-reliance on third parties
  - Competitor authority dominance
- 

## 5.4 AI Visibility Scoring Framework

### Composite Score (Transparent)

- Presence Rate
- Recommendation Rate
- Citation Rate
- Authority Diversity
- Sentiment Weighting

### Rules

- Scores must be explainable
  - Each sub-score visible
  - No single opaque “AI score”
- 

## 5.5 Competitive Benchmarking

### Description

Shows how visibility compares across brands.

### Requirements

- Side-by-side comparison

- Prompt-level breakdowns
  - Share of AI answers by brand
  - Trend deltas over time
- 

## 5.6 Prescriptive Insights Engine

### Description

Translates findings into actions.

### Requirements

- Content recommendations:
    - Missing pages
    - Missing claims
    - Weak explanations
  - Authority recommendations:
    - Third-party validation gaps
    - Schema and structure gaps
  - Prioritization by:
    - Impact
    - Effort
    - Risk
- 

## 6. Non-Functional Requirements

### Performance

- Full audit under 5 minutes per brand
- Incremental refresh for tracking runs

## Transparency

- Every metric traceable to:
  - Prompt
  - Answer
  - Evidence

## Security & Compliance

- No storage of proprietary prompts without consent
  - SOC-ready architecture
  - Clear AI usage disclosure
- 

# 7. UX & IA Principles

## Core UX Rules

- Always show:
  - The question asked
  - The answer returned
  - Why the result happened
- Avoid:
  - Alarmist language

- Red/green panic metrics
- Progressive disclosure:
  - High-level summary first
  - Evidence on demand

## Key Screens

1. AI Visibility Overview
  2. Prompt Results Explorer
  3. Brand vs Competitor View
  4. Citation Source Breakdown
  5. Recommendations Dashboard
- 

## 8. Metrics & Success Criteria

### Product Metrics

- Time to insight
- % of users viewing evidence
- Recommendation adoption rate

### Customer Outcomes

- Increased brand presence in AI answers
- Increased citation of brand-owned content
- Reduced reliance on third-party summaries

---

## 9. Risks & Mitigations

### Risk

AI outputs change unpredictably

### Mitigation

- Aggregate trends, not single runs
- Confidence bands on metrics

### Risk

Users misinterpret absence as penalty

### Mitigation

- Explicit education on:
  - Coverage vs exclusion
  - Authority vs popularity

---

## 10. Differentiation vs Existing Tools

Area	This Tool	Profound-Like Tools
Explainability	Full evidence trail	Often abstracted
Scoring	Transparent sub-scores	Composite only
Content Guidance	Page-level fixes	Topic-level
Trust Signals	Explicit authority modeling	Implicit

---

## 11. Phase Roadmap

### Phase 1: Core Visibility

- Prompt simulation
- Brand detection
- Manual competitor input

### Phase 2: Authority Intelligence

- Citation mapping
- Source dominance modeling

### Phase 3: Continuous Monitoring

- Scheduled re-runs
  - Alerting on visibility shifts
- 

## 12. Open Questions

- Should users define their brand entity explicitly?
- How much prompt customization is too much?
- Do we expose raw AI answers or summaries by default?

## **Key Insight (Important)**

**You do NOT need to “integrate with ChatGPT” as a UI product.**

You need:

- Controlled LLM calls
- Deterministic prompt templates
- Logged outputs
- Repeatable measurement

This is *backend inference*, not chat UX.

---

## **Part 2: Phase 1 Scope (Deliberately Constrained)**

Phase 1 goal:

“Can we reliably measure whether a brand appears, is recommended, or is cited when AI answers category-level questions?”

### **Phase 1 Will Include**

- Fixed prompt library
- Single LLM provider
- Brand-presence detection
- Prompt-level outputs
- No competitor auto-discovery
- No sentiment modeling yet

### **Phase 1 Will NOT Include**

- Multiple AI engines

- User-custom prompts
  - Real-time monitoring
  - Alerting
  - Agent orchestration
- 

## Part 3: High-Level Architecture Additions

### Current Stack (You)

Next.js (App Router)  
Vercel  
Supabase (Postgres + RLS)  
Server actions / API routes

### Phase 1 Additions

LLM Inference Layer (server-only)  
Prompt Library  
AI Answer Store  
Brand Presence Analyzer

No infra changes. No queues yet. No workers.

---

## Part 4: AI Integration Strategy (Vercel-Safe)

### Recommended for Phase 1

OpenAI Responses API (server-only)

Why:

- Deterministic enough

- Supports structured output
- Cheap for batch prompts
- Well supported in Vercel edge or serverless

You do *not* need:

- LangChain
- Agents
- Vector DB
- Tool calling

This keeps your system auditable and explainable.

---

## Example Vercel Pattern

- `/app/api/ai/runPrompt/route.ts`
  - Server-only
  - No client exposure
  - Logged to Supabase
- 

## Part 5: Data Model Additions (Supabase)

### 1. `ai_prompt_templates`

Stores your fixed prompt library.

```
create table ai_prompt_templates (
    id uuid primary key default gen_random_uuid(),
    name text not null,
```

```
    intent text not null, -- informational | comparison | recommendation
    prompt_template text not null,
    is_active boolean default true,
    created_at timestamp default now()
);
```

Example prompt template:

```
"What are the most trusted {category} brands in Canada and why?"
```

---

## 2. ai\_prompt\_runs

One execution of one prompt.

```
create table ai_prompt_runs (
    id uuid primary key default gen_random_uuid(),
    audit_id uuid references audits(id),
    prompt_id uuid references ai_prompt_templates(id),
    brand_name text not null,
    model text not null,
    raw_response text not null,
    executed_at timestamp default now()
);
```

This is your **ground truth log**.

---

## 3. ai\_brand\_presence

Normalized analysis output.

```
create table ai_brand_presence (
    id uuid primary key default gen_random_uuid(),
    prompt_run_id uuid references ai_prompt_runs(id),
    brand_detected boolean,
    mention_type text, -- primary | secondary | implied | none
    citation_present boolean,
```

```
confidence numeric,  
created_at timestamp default now()  
);
```

This mirrors your **claim support coverage logic** and will feel familiar.

---

#### 4. Optional (Phase 1.5): **ai\_citations**

Only if citations are detectable.

```
create table ai_citations (  
    id uuid primary key default gen_random_uuid(),  
    prompt_run_id uuid references ai_prompt_runs(id),  
    source_url text,  
    source_type text, -- wikipedia | publisher | brand | government  
    created_at timestamp default now()  
);
```

---

## Part 6: API & Server Logic

### 1. Run AI Visibility Audit (Server Action)

POST /api/ai/run-visibility

#### Input

```
{  
    "audit_id": "uuid",  
    "brand_name": "PlayNow",  
    "category": "online lottery"  
}
```

#### Steps

1. Fetch active prompt templates

2. Interpolate category + brand
  3. Run LLM calls sequentially
  4. Store raw responses
  5. Run brand presence parser
  6. Save normalized results
- 

## 2. Brand Presence Parser (Critical Logic)

You already do this conceptually for claims.

Phase 1 rules:

- Exact string match
- Known variants
- Position in answer
- Citation adjacency (if available)

This can be a **pure function**:

```
analyzeBrandPresence(responseText, brandName)
```

No ML yet. Keep it inspectable.

---

## Part 7: UI Integration (Minimal, Non-Disruptive)

### Where This Lives in AIO Mapper

Add a **new tab**, not a new product:

**“AI Visibility”**

## Phase 1 UI Components

- Prompt list
- AI answer preview
- Brand presence badge
- Confidence note
- Educational copy

Do **not** mix this with SEO/GEO scores yet.

---

## Part 8: Credit Model Integration

Treat this like a **premium audit extension**.

Suggested cost model:

- 1 AI prompt = X credits
- Phase 1 default run = 5–10 prompts

Reuse your existing `credits` ledger exactly as is.

---

## Part 9: What You Are Explicitly NOT Doing Yet (Correctly)

- No competitor scraping
- No “AI rank”
- No visibility alerts
- No auto-prompt generation

Those come later once signal stability is proven.

---

## Part 10: Phase 1 Success Criteria

You will know this is working when:

- Results are repeatable across runs
  - Users understand *why* they appear or not
  - Outputs feel grounded, not alarming
  - You can explain every score on a call
- 

## Final Take (Important)

This is **not a new product**.

It is a **new analysis layer** that fits naturally beside:

- Claim Support Coverage
- Source Transparency
- GEO Readiness

Which is why AIO Mapper can credibly compete with Profound *without* copying it.